

Integrating thermal stress indexes within Shuttleworth–Wallace model for evapotranspiration mapping over a complex surface

Jamal Elfarkh, Salah Er-Raki, Jamal Ezzahar, Abdelghani Chehbouni, Bouchra Aithssaine, Abdelhakim Amazirh, Saïd Khabba, Lionel Jarlan

▶ To cite this version:

Jamal Elfarkh, Salah Er-Raki, Jamal Ezzahar, Abdelghani Chehbouni, Bouchra Aithssaine, et al.. Integrating thermal stress indexes within Shuttleworth–Wallace model for evapotranspiration mapping over a complex surface. Irrigation Science, 2020, 39 (1), pp.45-61. 10.1007/s00271-020-00701-3 . ird-04420881

HAL Id: ird-04420881 https://ird.hal.science/ird-04420881v1

Submitted on 27 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ORIGINAL PAPER

1



Integrating thermal stress indexes within Shuttleworth–Wallace 2 model for evapotranspiration mapping over a complex surface З

Jamal Elfarkh¹ · Salah Er-Raki^{1,2} · Jamal Ezzahar^{2,3} · Abdelghani Chehbouni^{2,4} · Bouchra Aithssaine² · 4

5 Abdelhakim Amazirh² · Saïd Khabba^{2,5} · Lionel Jarlan⁴

6 Received: 31 December 2019 / Accepted: 18 September 2020

7 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

Author Proo

8 9 The main goal of this work was to evaluate the potential of the Shuttleworth-Wallace (SW) model for mapping actual crop 10 evapotranspiration (ET) over complex surface located in the foothill of the Atlas Mountain (Morocco). This model needs 11 many input variables to compute soil (r_s^a) and vegetation (r_s^a) resistances, which are often difficult to estimate at large scale 12 particularly soil moisture. In this study, a new approach to spatialize r_s^s and r_s^v based on two thermal-based proxy variables 13 was proposed. Land Surface Temperature (LST) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) derived from Landsat 14 data were combined with the endmember temperatures for soil $(Ts_{min} \text{ and } Ts_{max})$ and vegetation $(Tv_{min} \text{ and } Tv_{max})$, which 15 were simulated by a surface energy balance model, to compute the soil (Ts) and the vegetation (Tv) temperatures. Based on 16 these temperatures, two thermal proxies (SI_{ss} for soil and SI_{sy} for vegetation) were calculated and related to r_s^s and r_s^v , with 17 an empirical exponential relationship [with a correlation coefficient (R) of about 0.6 and 0.5 for soil and vegetation, respec-18 tively]. The proposed approach was initially evaluated at a local scale, by comparing the results to observations by an eddy 19 covariance system installed over an area planted with olive trees intercropped with wheat. In a second step, the new approach 20 was applied over a large area which contains a mixed vegetation (tall and short) crossed by a river to derive r_s^{o} and r_s^{v} , and 21 thereafter to estimate ET. A Large aperture scintillometer (LAS) installed over a line transect of 1.4 km and spanning the 22 total area was used to validate the obtained ET. The comparison confirmed the ability of the proposed approach to provide 23 satisfactory ET maps with an RMSE, bias and R^2 equal to 0.08 mm/h, 0.06 mm/h and 0.80, respectively.

des ech,
le
e

Introduction

The fast-growing population and economy in recent decades are accompanied by an increase in agricultural production. Agricultural and irrigation techniques have been widely developed, but the deterioration and depletion of water resources remain a problem that threatens the future of food security, especially in arid and semi-arid zones (Plattner et al. 2009; Gohar et al. 2019). Rational management of water resources, which is a primary concern for the managers, requires a good knowledge of the water and energy processes taking place in the soil-vegetation-atmosphere continuum (Blinda 2012; Bhattarai et al. 2019). Indeed, the control of these processes allows to assess the exact amount of water that the plant requires overtime, which is similar to the field water loss through evapotranspiration (Allen et al. 2011). The process of evapotranspiration is difficult to determine because of various challenges, including the vegetation complexity, plant diversity, lack of available data and because the in-situ direct measurement of ET is difficult

(D)	Springer
<u></u>	Springer

Journal : Large 271 Article No : 701 Pages : 17	MS Code : 701	Dispatch : 30-9-2020
---	---------------	----------------------

43 in complex surfaces like our study site. Then an accurate assessment of evapotranspiration (ET) is crucially needed at 44 the basin scale for studying the hydrological processes and 45 46 water balance especially from upstream to downstream and it is required for a good integrated water management. There 47 is thus an imperative need to develop reliable computation 48 method with a limited number of input parameters especially 49 over complex surfaces. 50

Several methods have been used to measure ET and its 51 components [plant transpiration (T) and soil evaporation 52 (E)], which are different in complexity and/or in accuracy. 53 The principles of these methods are various; they can be 54 hydrological, physiological or micrometeorological. Based 55 on the hydrological balance, the lysimeter provides direct 56 evaporation or evapotranspiration measurements at a local 57 scale and over homogeneous canopy (Allen et al. 2011; 58 Sánchez et al. 2019). To assess the plant transpiration, the 59 physiological approach has been used based on introducing 60 61 a source of low-grade heat into the stem or the trunk of a plant. This approach known as the sap flow method, can be 62 used in homogeneous crops but it requires an up-scaling 63 64 procedures to extrapolate measurements from individual plants to the stand level transpiration (Green et al. 2003; 65 Steppe et al. 2010; Er-Raki et al. 2010a; Rafi et al. 2019). 66 Currently, around the world the micrometeorological tech-67 niques such as eddy covariance are widely used to measure 68 ET over a scale of a few hectares, depending on the height 69 of the measurements (Ezzahar et al. 2007; Allen et al. 2011; 70 Fang et al. 2020). By contrast, the scintillometer technique 71 that was recently developed allows for measurements of sen-72 73 sible (optical LAS) and latent (microwave LAS) heat fluxes over transects up to 10 km (Ezzahar and Chehbouni 2009; 74 Ezzahar et al. 2009b, a; Zieliński et al. 2013, 2017; Yee et al. 75 2015). In addition, scintillometers are easy to install, to mon-76 itor and can provide measurements of the surface fluxes over 77 complex surfaces which makes them suitable for validating 78 remote sensing-based methods (Duchemin et al. 2008; Tang 79 and Li 2015; Huang et al. 2016; Ait Hssaine et al. 2018; 80 Saadi et al. 2018). The LAS applies the Monin–Obukhov 81 Similarity Theory (MOST) to estimate the sensible heat flux. 82 However, the applicability of MOST is limited when condi-83 tions are not favorable, which can happen for a number of 84 85 reasons. Atmospheric conditions, instrumental setup, data processing and evaluation criteria (particularly choice of 86 similarity function and variable of interest) can all affect 87 88 the MOST applicability (Ward 2017).

With regard to modelling aspect, there are different types of models to estimate surface fluxes. They range from complex physically based, conceptual, to empirical ones. There are some models characterised by a simplified relation using thermal infrared and micrometeorological data (Jackson et al. 1977; Seguin and Itier 1983). Others are based on residual methods of the energy budget (Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land (Bastiaanssen et al. 1998), Sur-96 face Energy Balance System (Su 2002), two-source energy 97 balance (Norman et al. 1995), Mapping Evapotranspiration 98 at a high-Resolution with Internalized Calibration (Allen 99 et al. 2007)). A third one, more complex, characterizes the 100 energy and water transfers in all the continuum soil-vege-101 tation-atmosphere (Interaction Soil Biosphere Atmosphere 102 (Noilhan and Mahfouf 1996; Aouade et al. 2020), Simple 103 Soil-Plant-Atmosphere Transfer (Braud et al. 1995), Soil 104 Plant Atmosphere and Remote Sensing Evapotranspiration 105 (Boulet et al. 2015) and the Interactive Canopy Radiation 106 Exchange (Gentine et al. 2007)). Compared to those based 107 only on the energy budget, this category of models needs 108 more input data which is often not available at large scale. 109 Finally, some other approaches use a crop coefficient to pro-110 vide actual ET from reference or potential one (Allen et al. 111 2005; Neale et al. 2005). These models can be extended to 112 large areas when they are combined with remote sensing 113 data (Duchemin et al. 2008; Er-Raki et al. 2010b; Diarra 114 et al. 2017). Satellite products such as land surface tempera-115 ture (LST), emissivity, albedo and vegetation indexes have 116 been improving in their spatiotemporal resolution during 117 the recent years, especially with the launch of Landsat 7 118 and 8 and Sentinel 2 satellites. Consequently, mapping and 119 assessing ET over heterogeneous and large areas became a 120 promising challenge which has been largely investigated in 121 recent years (Albergel 2010; Singh et al. 2014; Semmens 122 et al. 2016; Tan et al. 2019). 123

The two components of the ET are influenced differently 124 by many factors which mean that the contribution of each 125 one (E and T) to the total ecosystem ET is highly variable in 126 time and space (Aouade et al. 2016). Consequently, estimat-127 ing accurate ET over vegetation canopy using two-source 128 models is necessary since this type of model computes each 129 component distinctly. In this context, Shuttleworth and Wal-130 lace developed in Shuttleworth and Wallace 1985 a two-131 source model taking into account the interaction flux from 132 vegetation and soil separately. It is characterized by a rigor-133 ous physically based framework which provides accurate ET 134 estimation values especially for row crops (Ortega-Farias 135 et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2008). However, Shuttleworth-Wal-136 lace (SW) model is significantly sensitive to errors in the 137 values of soil and vegetation resistances (Zhu et al. 2014). 138 These resistances can be parameterized using measurements 139 of E, T and ET (Samanta et al. 2007; Villagarcía et al. 2010; 140 Zhu et al. 2014). Many authors have used empirical equa-141 tions to compute these resistances based on surface and root-142 zone soil water content (Ramírez et al. 2007; Were et al. 143 2007). However, the soil water content is difficult to obtain 144 at a large scale. Several methods were developed to estimate 145 this variable but they are complex and need the knowledge 146 of many physical soil parameters (Guswa et al. 2002; Ver-147 hoef et al. 2006; Merlin et al. 2016). For this reason and to 148

estimate spatial values of ET using the SW model, a param-149 eterization of the soil and vegetation resistances using a ther-150 mal-based proxy derived from Landsat was proposed in this 151 paper. The stress indexes presented by this proxy reflect the 152 hydric state of both soil and vegetation since the temperature 153 variation of the soil or the vegetation can be attributed to 154 change in the water content between dry and wet conditions. 155 In this context, good results have been obtained by relating 156 thermal-based proxy of vegetation water stress to canopy 157 resistance (Amazirh et al. 2017) and to stress coefficient in 158 FAO-56 (Olivera-Guerra et al. 2018, 2020). The novelty of 159 the proposed approach is the link of both vegetation and 160 soil resistances to the stress indexes which can provide an 161 accurate estimation of spatial ET. 162

This paper is organized as follows: first, an overview of the study area, the experimental design and the proposed approach is presented. After, a description of the obtained results at a local scale is provided. Then, an illustration of the spatial results with a discussion about the potential of the developed approach to assessing the evapotranspiration over a heterogeneous semi-arid region is presented. Finally, a summary and conclusions are provided.

171 Materials and methods

172 Study area and data description

173 Study area description

An experiment was conducted over the piedmont of the 174 Atlas mountain in the center of Morocco (Tahanaout city, 175 Fig. 1). This area is characterized by a semi-arid climate 176 with a low annual rainfall amount especially for 2017 where 177 an annual cumulative value of about 157 mm compared to 178 the cumulative value during last two decades (302 mm). 179 The land use mapping of the experimental area is also pre-180 sented in Fig. 1a. According to this figure, one can remark 181 clearly that the area is heterogeneous and composed of small 182 fields planted by olive trees with a height ranging from 3 to 183 12 m which were measured using the 45° Triangle method 184 (Fig. 1b). Note that some farmers mix the olive trees with 185 herbaceous crops such as wheat, alfalfa and/or corn. In the 186 same area apricot, plum, orange and peach trees are also 187 cultivated. The bare soil is mainly present on the sides of 188 Rherhaya river and on the North-West part of the study area. 189 Additionally, the heterogeneity of the site is amplified by the 190 difference in the height between different trees and herba-191 ceous crops. All fields are irrigated using the flooding tech-192 nique. In general, the irrigation water comes from mountain 193 (rainfall or melting snow) and is diverted to the study area 194 through traditional networks (Bouimouass et al. 2020). 195



Fig. 1 Locations of the Eddy Covariance (EC) station, the scintillometer (transmitter and receiver) superimposed on the land use map (a), vegetation height map (b) and Landsat image with their footprint (c)

Meteorological data, eddy covariance and scintillometer measurements

196 197

A set of instruments was deployed over the EC tower in the 198 study site for measuring meteorological data, turbulent 199 fluxes, soil moisture and surface temperature. Air tempera-200 ture and humidity were measured with a Vaisala (HMP155, 201 Campbell scientific) at a height of about 15.6 m. The four 202 components of the net radiation were measured using a 203 CNR4 radiometer (Campbell scientific) at a height of about 204 16.7 m. The soil heat flux was averaged using the measure-205 ment of two heat flux plates at 5 cm depths (HPF01, Hukse-206 flux) in two locations: one below the tree (always shaded) 207 and the other between the trees (directly exposed to solar 208

🖄 Springer

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

radiation). Soil moisture was measured at different depths (5 209 and 15 cm) using CS655 water content reflectometers 210 (Campbell Scientific). Radiometric brightness temperature 211 was measured over the soil and the vegetation separately 212 using an Infra-Red radiometer (IRTS-P's, Apogee) and then 213 converted to land surface temperature (LST) using surface 214 emissivity. One of the radiometers was positioned at height 215 of about 11.7 m directed vertically towards the ground and 216 the other one was positioned at height of about 6.5 m 217 directed towards the vegetation with a view angle of about 218 60 degrees. An eddy covariance (EC) system was installed 219 on 17.5 m height tower to measure the latent and sensible 220 heat fluxes. It is composed of a three-dimensional sonic 221 anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific Ltd.) and a Kryp-222 ton hygrometer (KH20, Campbell Scientific Ltd.). Raw data 223 were sampled at a rate of 20 Hz and processed using the 224 ECpack software to compute turbulent fluxes. The compari-225 son between available energy (Rn - G) and the sum of the 226 sensible (H) and latent (LE) heat fluxes shows a non-closure 227 of the energy balance which is expected given the high com-228 plexity of the surface. The turbulent flux values used in this 229 work were corrected using the approach proposed by (Twine 230 et al. 2000) which is based on the hypothesis that the ratio 231 H/LE is accurately measured than H and LE separately. 232 Then, corrected turbulent fluxes were derived as 233 LE = $\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}(\text{Rn} - G)$ and $H = \frac{(Rn-G)}{\beta+1}$, with β computed from 234 the 30-min observed H and LE. Additionally, a Large Aper-235 ture Scintillometer (LAS) was set up over a 1464 m transect 236 spanning a representative area of the total site across the 237 river between 10 and 50 m above the ground, with an effec-238 tive height of ≈ 26 m. It consists of a transmitter installed at 239 a height of 10.5 m and a receiver mounted at the height of 240 10 m (Fig. 1). Measurements were sampled at 1 Hz, aver-241 aged, and then stored at 30 min intervals on CR10X datalog-242 gers. In this study, a footprint model proposed by Horst and 243 Weil (1992, 1994) was applied to average the remote sensing 244 data over the source areas where the turbulent fluxes were 245 emanated (see Appendix 1). 246

247 Remote sensing data

In this study, 17 satellite images were collected from Land-248 sat 7 and 8. Combining the two satellites, a repeatability 249 of eight days was reached. The resolution of the acquired 250 images ranges from 30 to 100 m, depending on the spectral 251 bands. The satellites products were downloaded from the 252 USGS website (https://earthexplorer.com/). Nevertheless, 253 the radiation measured by Landsat 7/8 has to be corrected 254 from the atmosphere. To overcome this issue and derive 255 actual land surface temperature (LST), the images were cor-256 rected following the steps described in Tardy et al. (2016). AQ1The corrections associated with the radiation emitted by the 258

🖄 Springer

atmosphere and to the atmospheric attenuation were based259on the vertical atmospheric conditions (pressure, tempera-
ture, water vapor and ozone) of the ERA-Interim dataset.261The atmospheric correction parameters were finally com-
puted using the commercial RTM software MODTRAN.263The surface emissivity was determined based on the veg-
etation index (NDVI) according to Wittich (1997).265

Evapotranspiration modelling

Shuttleworth–Wallace model

266

267

Shuttleworth and Wallace developed a parameterization of 268 the canopy evapotranspiration in 1985. This model considers 269 two sources of water transfer from the surface to the atmos-270 phere, from the soil by the evaporation process and from the 271 vegetation through transpiration. For each source, the model 272 takes into account both aerodynamic and surface resistances 273 and assumes that the two sources will interact at the effective 274 canopy's height considering another aerodynamic resistance 275 between this height and the reference height source. The 276 model is represented as follows: 277

$$ET = E + T = C_s PM_s + C_v PM_v$$
 (1) 278
279

where E is the soil evaporation, T is the vegetation transpiration, PM_s and PM_v are terms similar to the Penman–Monteith combination equation.

They are expressed by the two following equations:

$$PM_{s} = \frac{\Delta A + \left[\left(\rho C_{p} D - \Delta r_{a}^{s} \left(A - A_{s} \right) \right) / \left(r_{a} + r_{a}^{s} \right) \right]}{\Delta + \gamma \left[1 + r_{s}^{s} / \left(r_{a} + r_{a}^{s} \right) \right]}$$
(2)

285

294

280

281

282

283

$$PM_{v} = \frac{\Delta A + \left[\left(\rho C_{p} D - \Delta r_{a}^{v} (A - A_{s}) \right) / \left(r_{a} + r_{a}^{v} \right) \right]}{\Delta + \gamma \left[1 + r_{s}^{v} / \left(r_{a} + r_{a}^{v} \right) \right]}$$
(3)

where *A* and *A*_s are the available energy above canopy and the soil surfaces (W/m²), respectively. Δ is the slope of saturation vapour pressure curve (kPa/K), ρ is the air density (kg/ m³), *C*_p is the specific heat of dry air at constant pressure (J/ kg/K), *D* is the water vapor deficit (kPa), γ is the psychrometric constant (Pa/K).

The coefficients $C_{\rm s}$ and $C_{\rm v}$ are given as follows:

$$C_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{1 + \left[R_{\rm s} R_{\rm a} / R_{\rm v} \left(R_{\rm s} + R_{\rm a} \right) \right]} \tag{4}$$

$$C_{\rm v} = \frac{1}{1 + \left[R_{\rm v} R_{\rm a} / R_{\rm v} \left(R_{\rm v} + R_{\rm a} \right) \right]} \tag{5}$$

where $R_{\rm s}$, $R_{\rm v}$, $R_{\rm a}$ are formulated as: 299

 Journal : Large 271
 Article No : 701
 Pages : 17
 MS Code : 701
 Dispatch : 30-9-2020

$$R_{\rm s} = (\Delta + \gamma)r_{\rm a}^{\rm s} + \gamma r_{\rm s}^{\rm s}$$
(6)

$$R_{a} = (\Delta + \gamma)r_{a}$$

$$(8)$$

where r_a^v , r_a , and r_a^s are the aerodynamic resistance of the 306 canopy, the aerodynamic resistance between the reference 307 height and the effective height and from the effective height 308 to the soil surface (s/m), respectively. Additionally, soil 309 evaporation and plant transpiration are controlled by the 310 soil surface resistance (r_s^s) and the canopy resistance (r_s^v) , 311 respectively. Both resistances are expressed as follow: 312

$$r_{\rm s}^{\rm s} = r_{\rm s\,min}^{\rm s} \left(2.5 \left(\frac{\theta_{\rm F}}{\theta_{\rm s}} \right) - 1.5 \right) \tag{9}$$

314

31

313

Author Proof

³¹⁵
$$r_{\rm s}^{\rm v} = \frac{r_{\rm STmin}}{\text{LAI }\Pi_{i=1}^3 F_i(X_i)}$$
 (10)

³¹⁷
$$F_1(S) = \left(\frac{S}{1100}\right) \left(\frac{1100 + a_1}{S + a_1}\right)$$
 (11)
³¹⁸

³¹⁹
$$F_2(T) = \frac{(T - T_L) (T_H - T)^{(T_H - a_2)/(a_2 - T_L)}}{(a_2 - T_L) (T_H - a_2)^{(T_H - a_2)/(a_2 - T_L)}}$$

³²⁰

321

$$F_{3}(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, \theta \ge \theta_{\rm F} \\ \frac{\theta - \theta_{\rm W}}{\theta_{\rm F} - \theta_{\rm W}}, \theta_{\rm W} < \theta < \theta_{\rm F} \\ 0, \theta \le \theta_{\rm W} \end{cases}$$
(13)

322 where r_{smin}^{s} is the minimum soil surface resistance (taken as 323 100 s/m (Zhang et al. 2008)) and r_{STmin} is the minimal stomatal 324 resistance of individual leaves under optimal conditions (taken 325 as 146 s/m (Zhang et al. 2008)). θ_s is the water content of the 326 upper soil surface layer (cm³/cm³). LAI is the leaf area index, 327 and $F_i(X_i)$ is the stress function of the incoming photosyntheti-328 cally active radiation flux (S), the air temperature (T) and the 329 actual soil moisture content in the root-zone (θ). $T_{\rm H}$ and $T_{\rm L}$ 330 are the upper and lower temperature limits outside of which 331 transpiration is assumed to stop (°C) and are set at values of 332 40 and 0 °C (Harris et al. 2004). $\theta_{\rm W}$ is the soil moisture con-333 tent at wilting point (cm³/cm³), $\theta_{\rm F}$ is the soil water content at 334 field capacity (cm³/cm³). The empirical coefficients a_1 and a_2 335 were derived by optimization and were set to 57.67 and 25.78 336 (Zhang et al. 2008), respectively. 337

The available energies above canopy and above the soil 338 surface are defined by the following expressions: where G is 339 the soil heat flux (W/m^2) and Rn is the net radiation (W/m^2) . 340

Jour

$$A_{\rm s} = \mathrm{Rn}_{\rm s} - G \tag{15}$$

344

350

351

352

353

356

374

 Rn_s is the net radiation fluxes into the soil surface (W/m²) 345 which is parametrized as follows: where C is the extinction 346 coefficient of light attenuation, that equal to 0.68 for the fully 347 grown plant (Sene 1994). Moreover, resistance parameteri-348 zations are detailed in Zhang et al. (2008). 349

$$Rn_{s} = R_{n}e^{-C \times LAI}$$
(16)

Leaf area index (LAI) is derived from Landsat NDVI following Wang et al. (2008):

$$LAI = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ NDVI } < 0.2\\ \sqrt{\text{NDVI}\frac{1+\text{NDVI}}{1-\text{NDVI}}}, \text{ NDVI } \ge 0.2 \end{cases}$$
(17)

Energy balance model and hourglass method

Soil energy balance model: The soil energy balance model 357 was implemented to compute the endmembers temperature 358 $(Ts_{\min}, Ts_{\max}, Tv_{\min} \text{ and } Tv_{\max})$ further used in the Hourglass 359 method. The extremes soil temperature Ts_{min} and Ts_{max} were 360 simulated by forcing the surface resistances to 0 and ∞ , 361 respectively (Stefan et al. 2015; Merlin et al. 2016; Amazirh 362 et al. 2017, 2018). The model was initialized by the observed 363 air temperature and soil temperature is sought by minimiz-364 ing the following cost function F: where H and LE are the 365 sensible and latent heat fluxes, respectively. 366

$$F = (Rn - G - H - LE)^2$$
(18) 367
368

The Tv_{min} was set to the air temperature measured over 369 the studied areas (Carlson et al. 1995; Bastiaanssen et al. 370 1998; Roerink et al. 2000; Merlin 2013; Stefan et al. 2015). 371 For Tv_{max} , it was defined according to the assumptions 372 described in Stefan et al. (2015), which considered the dif-373 ferences between the extreme soil temperatures is the same that between the extreme vegetation temperatures. 375

Hourglass method: Based on the strong relationship 376 between the variation of the cover fraction (fc) and the sur-377 face temperature (LST), Moran et al. (1994) determined a 378 polygon with four zones where each one is characterized 379 by a range of values of fc and LST. Merlin et al. (2012) 380 defined and separated four zones: transpiration- and evap-381 oration-controlled, stressed and unstressed mixed surface. 382 The endmembers obtained with the soil energy balance were 383 used in the Hourglass method to determine the four zones. 384 Hereafter, the partitioning of LST into its soil and vegetation 385 components is based on the LST and fc within the polygon 386 to determine one of the temperature components T_v or T_s 387 (see Appendix 2). 388

Soil and vegetation temperature estimations: A time series 389 of vegetation and soil temperatures $(T_v \text{ and } T_s)$ and their 390

Deringer

nal : Large 271	Article No : 701	Pages : 17	MS Code : 701	Dispatch : 30-9-2020
			·	

)

(12)

corresponding endmembers $(Ts_{min}, Ts_{max}, Tv_{min} \text{ and } Tv_{max})$ 391 from day of the year 45–60 (2017) are shown in Fig. 2. In this 392 section, LST used in Eq. 32 was inverted from the longwave 393 radiation emitted by the surface and measured using CNR4 394 as follow: 395

³⁹⁶ LST =
$$\left(\frac{LW}{\varepsilon\sigma}\right)^{1/4}$$
 (19)

where LW is the longwave radiation emitted by the surface, ε is the surface emissivity and σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. This period was chosen to illustrate the variation of the different temperatures since it coincides with the development stage of the wheat that presents a mixture of soil and vegetation. The two temperatures T_{y} and T_{s} ranged between their minimum and maximum values supporting the good performances of the Hourglass method. To validate the two components T_v and T_s of the partition of the LST, both were compared against soil and vegetation tem-407 perature observations (Fig. 3). T_v is well reproduced with 408 an RMSE and R^2 equal to 1.6 K and 0.94, respectively. By 409 contrast, the simulated T_s is not as good with an RMSE and 410 R^2 of about 3 K and 0.76, respectively. It is clearly seen that 411 both temperatures T_s and T_v are overestimated, with a bias 412 equal to -1.4 K and -1 K for T_s and T_y , respectively. This 413 scattering can be justified by numerous factors. As T_{y} was 414 computed first for most of the time while T_s was deducted 415 from Eq. 32. Meaning that T_s accumulated errors of both T_v 416 and LST. Likewise, the discrepancy can be related to the 417 estimation of fc from Landsat NDVI, as the Landsat pixel 418 size is larger than the surface of view of the IRT-Apogee. 419 This will obviously lead to some errors in the partition of 420 temperature between soil and vegetation. Another reason 421 that can probably explain the discrepancy is the location 422 of the measurements. As reported by Olivera-Guerra et al. 423 (2018) the youngest leaves of the plant are expected to be 424

39

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406







Fig. 3 Simulated soil and vegetation temperatures versus in situ measurements for the same period as Fig. 2

🖄 Springer

Journal : Large 271	Article No : 701	Pages : 17	MS Code : 701	Dispatch : 30-9-2020

colder than the adult and senescing leaves, whose tempera-425 ture has not been measured. Otherwise, by comparing both 426 simulated T_s and T_v temperatures in terms of magnitude it 427 can notice that T_v is superior to T_s . T_s never exceeds 294 K 428 although $T_{\rm v}$ reaches 300 K. This can be explained by the 429 plotting period of T_s and T_v that coincides with the develop-430 ment stage of wheat planted under olive trees and thus there 431 was no bare soil surface. As well as, T_s can be lower than 432 $T_{\rm v}$ during rainfall or irrigation events. Overall, these results 433 are in agreement with the values reported in the literature 434 (Stefan et al. 2015; Olivera-Guerra et al. 2018). Soil and 35 vegetation temperatures and their endmembers are used to 136 calculate the two stress indexes related to the soil (SIss) and 37 to the vegetation (SI_{sy}) , and finally to estimate spatial ET by 38 the SW model. 39

Spatialization of the SW model 40

ET derived by the SW model showed a good consistency at 441 the local scale (Zhang et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2013, 2014). 442 However, to our knowledge, no study tried to spatialize the 443 SW model since many variables are required especially, for 444 the soil and the vegetation resistances (r_s^s and r_s^v) computa-445 tion. As mentioned above, this work aims to retrieve the 446 resistances using accurate values of transpiration and evapo-447 ration and to suggest a relationship between the retrieved 448 resistances and the stress indexes based on the measured 449 LST. In fact, retrieving these resistances from measure-450 ments based on the equations of the SW model is very 451 difficult mathematically. To overcome this issue, the Shut-452 tleworth-Wallace model has been used to retrieve the two 453

resistances values based on a very small threshold conver-454 gence (less than 2 W/m^2) defined as the absolute value of the 455 difference between simulated (ET_{SW}) and measured (ET_{FC}) 456 evapotranspiration. This threshold is chosen based on the 457 reliability of the simulated values and on their number to get 458 enough points to develop the relationship between the indi-459 ces and the resistances. Hereafter, an empirical exponential 460 relationship was developed between the retrieved resistances 461 and the soil and the vegetation stress indexes (SI_{se}, SI_{sv}) . 462 These latter were computed using the endmember tempera-463 tures deducted from the soil energy balance model and the 464 vegetation and the soil temperature calculated using Hour-465 glass method as follow: 466

$$SI_{ss} = \frac{Ts - Ts_{min}}{Ts_{max} - Ts_{min}}$$

$$(20)$$

$$467$$

$$468$$

$$I_{\rm sv} = \frac{T v - T v_{\rm min}}{T v_{\rm max} - T v_{\rm min}}$$
(21) 469

An overview of the methodology is summarized in Fig. 4. 471

Results

In situ evaluation of the SW model

Figure 5 presents a comparison between the half-hourly ET 474 measured by eddy covariance system (average of 0.26 mm/h) 475 and simulated (average of 0.30 mm/h) by the original SW 476 model based on the soil moisture data to compute soil and 477



472

	4
	4
	4
10	4
lõ	4
L L	
10	4
n n	
A	Δ



Fig. 5 Half-hourly comparison between evapotranspiration predicted by the Shuttleworth–Wallace model (ET_{SW}) and measured by the Eddy covariance system (ET_{EC}) during 2017

1.2

vegetation resistances, during the 2017 growing season. This 478 479 figure shows that the linear regression between simulated and in situ ET is very encouraging with a slope and an inter-480 cept of about 0.94 and 0.08 mm/h, respectively. According 481 482 to the statistical analysis depicted in this figure, the model simulations are acceptable with a relative RMSE, bias and 483 R^2 of about 0.38, -0.1 mm/h and 0.65, respectively. The 484 obtained results indicate that the model is able to predict 485 ET over surface with a high degree of canopy cover hetero-486 geneity. The scatter of modeled ET is mainly due to canopy 487 uniformity over the surface. Moreover, the experimental plot 488 is irrigated differently during the season. In fact, the farmer 489 irrigates the entire surface when the wheat was cultivated 490 under the olive trees. By contrast, when there is no wheat, 491 the irrigations were limited to the tree rows. This can create 492 a large heterogeneity over the EC footprint. Additionally, 493 this heterogeneity can affect the soil and vegetation resist-494 ances which were estimated using data acquired near the EC 495 tower. Another major issue that can explain the differences 496 497 between measured and simulated ET is the non-closure of the energy balance at the EC system level. The compari-498 son between the available energy and the turbulent fluxes 499 shows that the sum of the turbulent fluxes only reaches 60%500 of the available energy. This non-closure is similar to what 501 has been observed in previous studies (Mauder et al. 2006; 502 Liu et al. 2011) and can be considered acceptable due to 503 the complexity of the study site. In fact, the EC measure-504 ments were corrected using Bowen ratio method (Twine 505 506 et al. 2000). However, the correction was not ideal due to the storage and the photosynthesis terms which were not 507 taken into consideration. Additionally, the footprint devices 508 of the EC system, the CNR4 radiometer and the soil flux 509

🖄 Springer

546

plaques were quite different. Furthermore, the soil heat flux510was measured using a heat flux plate, which does not take511into consideration the heat storage in the soil layer above512it. This error related to the G measurements can affect the513Bowen correction of the EC measurements and consequently514the comparison between simulated and measured ET.515

As reported by Zhang et al. (2009), when the soil was 516 partially wetted the SW model tends to overestimate ET 517 by 25.2% over a vineyard. Likewise, Zhang et al. (2008) 518 found that this model overestimates the ET over a vineyard 519 in an arid desert region with a mean absolute error (MAE) 520 of about 0.057 mm/h. On the contrary, some studies showed 521 that the SW model underestimated half-hourly ET. Zhu et al. 522 (2014) indicated that the SW model tended to underestimate 523 the half-hourly ET with a RMSE value of 0.12 mm/h and a 524 maximum nearly equal to 0.88 mm/h over the spring maize 525 in the arid desert oasis. Also, Ortega-Farias et al. (2010) 526 reported that the SW model underestimated on half-hourly 527 time intervals compared to the EC-measured ET over a 528 drip-irrigated Merlot vineyard in a Mediterranean semi-529 arid region during the growing season in 2006/2007 with a 530 RMSE equal to 0.046 mm/h and a maximum nearly equal 531 to 0.59 mm/h. Therefore, the performance of the SW model 532 seemed to be variable for different crops and places. Further-533 more, several parameters used in the model are set to con-534 stant values throughout the study period without considering 535 the seasonal changes. This may impact the quality of the ET 536 predictions, in particular for the physiology-related param-537 eters. In addition, Hu et al. (2009) and Zhu et al. (2013) 538 reported that for long-term simulations of ET, a systematic 539 overestimation or underestimation may occur when constant 540 parameters are used during different vegetation and environ-541 mental conditions. The simulated values chosen to retrieve 542 the soil and vegetation resistances were selected based on 543 the good simulation of ET by the SW model when the gap 544 between simulated and measured ET is less than 2 W/m^2 . 545

Local evaluation of the proposed approach

Figure 6 displays the relationship between the retrieved 547 resistances $(r_{s}^{s} \text{ and } r_{s}^{v})$ and the stress indexes $(SI_{ss} \text{ and } SI_{sv})$, 548 respectively. The values have been chosen between 12 and 549 15 h which correspond to the maximum of radiation. The 550 resistance variation ranges (0–3000 s/m for r_s^s and 0–250 s/m 551 for r_{s}^{v}) presented in Fig. 6 are in good agreement with those 552 obtained in the literature (Ortega-Farias et al. 2010; Zhu 553 et al. 2014; Zhao et al. 2015). In addition, the obtained trend 554 is similar to that found by Ortega-Farias et al. (2010), Bren-555 ner and Incoll (1997) and Zhao et al. (2015) between the 556 soil resistance and the soil humidity which is replaced in 557 this work by the stress indexes. Note, that the stress indexes 558 represent dry conditions when they tend towards 1 and wet 559 conditions when they are close to 0. Figure 6a presents some 560

 Journal : Large 271
 Article No : 701
 Pages : 17
 MS Code : 701
 Dispatch : 30-9-2020

Fig. 6 Relationships between the soil (**a**) and vegetation (**b**) resistances $(r_s^c and r_s^v)$ and two stress indexes (SI_{ss}, SI_{sv}) derived from Eqs. 22, 23 for the values between 12 and 15 h. The best fit was also presented (solid line)



Author Proof

577

soil resistance corresponding to the same stress index value 561 $(SI_{ss} = 0.5)$. These (LST, fc) points were situated in the 562 transpiration-control zone (see Appendix 2). In this zone T_s 563 was calculated as the average of their endmembers (Eq. 27). 564 The stress index was calculated as the ratio of the differ-565 ence between $T_{\rm s}$ and $T_{\rm s_{min}}$ and the difference between $T_{\rm s_{max}}$ 566 andTs_{min}. Consequently, the stress index will be continu-567 568 ally equal to 0.5 in this zone. This problem was not seen in Fig. 6b for the vegetation resistance since there are few 569 (LST, fc) points located in the evaporative control zone. The 570 571 figure shows the best fits obtained between the soil and the canopy resistances and the stress indexes which are given by: 572

573
$$r_s^s = ae^{b \times SI_{ss}}, R = 0, 6$$

574 (22)
575 $r_s^v = ce^{(d \times SI_{sv})}, R = 0, 5$
576 (23)

Where the calibration parameters a, c, b and d are equal to 160.25 and 36.02 s/m, 2.62 and 1.30, respectively. Note that the values of these parameters are expected to depend on the canopy, on the local meteorological conditions and on the soil types. Exponential fitting was chosen after testing numerous fitting types given its good representativity of the points scatter.

For the validation of the proposed approach based on 585 optical (NDVI) and thermal (LST) data to compute soil and 586 vegetation resistances in SW model, a comparison between 587 the predicted (average of 0.37 mm/h) and measured (aver-588 age of 0.34 mm/h) ET at the station scale was illustrated in 589 Fig. 7. The obtained linear regression between simulated 590 and in situ ET is very encouraging with a slope and an inter-591 cept of about 0.8 and 0.1 mm/h, respectively. The results 592 are acceptable with a relative RMSE, bias and a R^2 of about 593 0.29, -0.03 mm/h and 0.48, respectively. The discrepan-594 cies in the estimation of ET can be related to the error in 595 the LST estimations and in its partitioning. The statistical 596 performances obtained were in concordance with other 597



300

Fig. 7 Half-hourly comparison between estimated ET using the proposed approach and measured one by the Eddy covariance system from January to June 2017

studies that used the thermal proxy based on LST such as (i) 598 FAO-2Kc model used by Olivera-Guerra et al. (2018), who 599 found a RMSE of 0.08 mm/h and R^2 of 0.75 over a wheat 600 plot using daily average values, (ii) Penman-Monteith equa-601 tion used by Amazirh et al. (2017) who found a RMSE of 602 about 0.12 mm/h and a R^2 of 0.53 over a wheat plot, and (iii) 603 TSEB model where Diarra et al. (2017) obtained a RMSE 604 of 0.10 mm/h and a R^2 of 0.67 over a sugar beet field and a 605 RMSE of 0.11 mm/h and R^2 of 0.42 over a wheat field. 606

Spatial evaluation of the proposed approach

Stress indexes and resistances spatialization

The SW model has been used to spatialize the evapotranspiration over the piedmont zone. The inputs used in the calculation of the soil and vegetation resistances are difficult to be 611

🖄 Springer

607

Journal : Large 271	Article No : 701	Pages : 17	MS Code : 701	Dispatch : 30-9-2020

613

614

616

617

619

621

623

624

625

629

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

spatialized given the need for soil moisture (SM) maps with 612 high spatial resolution. Indeed, global soil moisture products are generally derived from passive microwave radiometers with a spatial resolution exceeding 10 km, largely too 615 coarse for hydrological applications or from the active one which can provide soil moisture products with high spatial resolution but using complexed method. By contrast, opti-618 cal and thermal remote sensing data are available with high spatial resolution. Consequently, to overcome this issue the 620 Landsat data have been used instead of the SM to calculate the soil and vegetation resistances using the new proposed 622 approach. Figure 8 presents the spatial variation of the two stress indexes (SI_{ss} and SI_{sy}) at two dates in winter (2017-01-16) and summer (2017-06-09) periods. The stress indexes range between 0 (no stress) and 1 (severe stress) depending 626 on the hydric state of the surface. For both dates, the two 627 indexes exhibited as expected values close to 1 at the river 628 level since there were no growing plants. By contrast, the areas covered by vegetation are characterized by values of 630 SI lower than 1. Both stress indexes achieved high values in summer (date 2017-06-09) exceeding 0.6 which can be justified by the absence of water supply. This is compatible with the available water since the irrigation system is based on surface water that occurs as a result of melting snow. Figure 9 represents the corresponding soil and vegetation resistances, computed from two stress indexes through



Fig. 8 Maps of the soil (SI_{ss}) and vegetation (SI_{sv}) stress index over the study area for two contrasted dates (2017-01-16) and (2017-06-(09)

646



Fig. 9 Maps of the soil (r_{c}^{s}) and vegetation (r_{c}^{v}) resistance over the study area for two dates (2017-01-16) and (2017-06-09)

two relationships proposed above (Eqs. 22, 23). Vegetation 638 resistance is obviously very high along the river bed since 639 the LAI tends to zero and leads to negligible transpiration. 640 Soil resistance reflects the temporal and spatial variation of 641 the surface soil moisture on the study area. High soil resist-642 ance values lead to a sharp decrease in evaporation which 643 is produced by the increase in solar radiation reaching the 644 surface and the low irrigation supply. 645

Evapotranspiration mapping

Figure 10 displays the spatial and temporal distributions 647 of ET from winter to summer of 2017. For that, 17 Land-648 sat images have been used but only 9 images acquired by 649 Landsat-7 are plotted for illustrating purpose. The spatial 650 distribution of ET is tightly linked to the spatial variation of 651 the fraction cover (Fig. 11), with low values along the river 652 bed which represents a bare soil surface and higher overall 653 the study site which contains a diversity of croplands and 654 arboriculture especially olive trees. The use of an averaged 655 fc value integrating different heterogeneous crops which are 656 located within one Landsat pixel can generate some uncer-657 tainties in the ET estimates. Indeed, the transpiration for 658 each type of crops is different and depends on its response to 659 the stress conditions due to the difference of LAI and rooting 660 depth. In practice, values of LAI should be calculated for 661 each crop within one Landsat pixel and afterwards a specific 662 formula should be used for deriving the correspondent fc of 663 each LAI value. However, this solution cannot be applied in 664 **Fig. 10** Evapotranspiration (mm/h) maps over the study area for the dates of the Landsat-7 overpass. The footprint of LAS and EC for the time of the satellite overpass is also represented



Fig. 11 Fraction cover maps over the study area for the dates that coincide with the overpass of Landsat-7

this study due to the complexity of the site. Therefore, it can 665 be concluded that although great progress has been made 666 in the development of the satellites particularly in terms of 667 spatial resolution, the heterogeneity of our site is one of the 668 major challenges that must be overcome to use the satellite 669 data to assess evapotranspiration in that orchards. Another 670 issue that can generate some uncertainties in the ET esti-671 mates over our site was the difficulties of measuring T_{y} . In 672 fact, measured $T_{\rm v}$ used in this study was a value attributed 673 to the whole vegetation which means that the same resist-674 ance value was attributed to the different crops. In practice, 675 it is difficult to have an adequate place to install the Infra-676 Red radiometer sensor for providing measurements that can 677 be representative of the whole vegetation in such complex 678 area. To avoid this problem, we need to install an Infra-Red 679

radiometer over each crop which is not feasible as explained 680 for fc issue. Otherwise, the estimated $T_{\rm v}$ using the energy 681 balance model and the hourglass method represents the 682 whole vegetation, meaning that no separation between crops 683 was considered. Consequently, to improve the transpiration 684 estimates using the proposed model, as mentioned before, a 685 high spatial resolution of satellite data is required over such 686 complexity to distinguish between different types of crops. 687 Figure 10 shows also a significant seasonal variation of ET 688 values ranging from less than 200 to up to 520 W/m². This 689 variation is mainly related to the available energy and the 690 fraction cover which increase progressively in time from 691 winter to early summer when wheat is harvested (Figs. 11, 692 12). However, in the fifth day of March, ET values were low 693 even while the available energy was significant (an average 694

Deringer

Journal : Large 271	Article No : 701	Pages : 17	MS Code : 701	Dispatch : 30-9-2020



of about 420 W/m^2) and the fraction cover was high (an 695 average of about 0.65). This is attributed to the atmospheric 696 saturation, as the air temperature was low (about 14 °C) 697 698 and the air humidity was high (71.45%). This drastically decreased the amount of the evaporative demand. 699

The footprints of the scintillometer and EC were also 700 701 presented in Fig. 10 to show the variation of the source area of the LAS and EC measurements. The footprint was used 702 to weight the ET values from the maps at the time of the 703 satellites overpass. The modeled ET values were validated 704 by comparing them to the measurements (over the LAS and 705 EC footprints). Figure 13 shows good consistency between 706 the simulated ET values, using SW model driven by Landsat 707 7 and 8 and observed one. The statistical analysis between 708 the model and the LAS measurements showed better agree-709 ment than those obtained between the model and the EC 710 measurements with an RMSE and R^2 of about 0.08 mm/h 711 and 0.80, respectively, for the LAS and 0.1 mm/h and 0.47, 712

respectively, for the EC. This can be justified by the accu-713 racy of the relation between the resistances and the stress 714 indexes which could be stronger over other plots than the 715 plot where the calibration was done. Otherwise, the com-716 parison with EC and LAS measurements show an underesti-717 mation with a slope of about 0.84 and 0.88 for LAS and EC, 718 respectively. This underestimation of the ET by the model 719 is probably justified by the error on the satellites LST. The 720 processing of this data as mentioned in Sect. (Remote sens-721 ing data) can cause a surface temperature overestimation. 722 This will generate an overestimation of the resistances and 723 consequently, the ET will be underestimated. Additionally, 724 in our model, for an NDVI less than 0.2 the LAI is set to 725 0 while, some sparse vegetation can grow along the river 726 which is not enough to exceed the NDVI threshold. This 727 can provide low estimated ET. The results obtained are rel-728 evant compared to other studies that used the scintillometry 729 method to evaluate the performance of the different model 730

Fig. 13 Comparison of estimated evapotranspiration from the modified SW model driven by Landsat-7 and Landsat-8 and measured by the eddy covariance system (a) and the scintillometer (b)

Fig. 12 Available energy (W/

the dates that coincide with the overpass of Landsat-7



Deringer

Journal : Large 271	Article No : 701	Pages : 17	MS Code : 701	Dispatch : 30-9-2020

in estimating ET. Ait Hssaine et al. (2018) have found an 731 RMSE and R^2 of about 0.11 mm/h and 0.52, respectively, 732 between scintillometer measurement and ET estimated using 733 the Two-source Energy Balance model (TSEB) over an het-734 erogeneous area covered by Millet (58%), Fallow savannah 735 (23%) and Degraded shrubs (19%) in the region of Niamey 736 city, Niger. In the same vein, Saadi et al. (2018) have used 737 an extra-large scintillometer to validate ET values estimated 738 using Soil Plant Atmosphere and Remote Sensing Evapo-739 transpiration (SPARSE) model over a landscape dominated 740 by agriculture products (cereals, olive groves, fruit trees, 741 market gardening). They found a RMSE and R^2 of about 742 0.06 mm/h and 0.55, respectively. 743

The proposed approach has some limitations compared 744 to traditional models such as SEBAL or METRIC. In fact, 745 these models don't need an accurate surface temperature 746 which demands complicated treatments and corrections 747 (Allen et al. 2007; Gonzalez-Dugo et al. 2009) or air tem-748 perature measurements. In contrary, our approach requires 749 accurate values of surface temperature to correctly esti-750 mate the different resistances. Otherwise, Choi et al. (2009) 751 reported that METRIC forces the predicted flux distributions 752 to scale between wet and dry hydrologic conditions, which 753 may not necessarily be present in every image. In contrast, 754 the proposed approach does not make this assumption and 755 depend only on moisture variability represented by the stress 756 indexes in the model. In addition, the proposed approach 757 is a two-source model which allows to the ET partitioning 758 into soil evaporation (E) and plant transpiration (T). This is 759 an important advantage that provides precise information 760 about the real amount of water needs by crops. However, in 761 this study, the partition of the soil evaporation and the tran-762 spiration was not evaluated given the unavailability of the 763 measurement devices of separate E/T in the study area. The 764 proposed approach was calibrated over olive tree with an 765 understory of annual crops. The established relation between 766 the stress indexes and the resistances (Eqs. 22, 23) over this 767 type of cover may need to be adapted over other vegetation 768 types which their sensitivity to the stress conditions is differ-769 ent. Otherwise, although the study site presents a high het-770 erogeneity of vegetation type, the proposed approach shows 771 good consistency when the simulation values were compared 772 to the LAS measurements. Overall, this modification of the 773 SW model is needed to be tested with other data set and over 774 other meteorological conditions to evaluate its robustness 775 and improve the relation found between the resistances and 776 the thermal stress indexes. 777

The proposed approach has a great potential for estimating ET over complex surface. This provides a precise information about the real amount of crops water needs for improving large scale sustainable irrigation strategy. In addition, the use of thermal/optical remote sensing data has proven to be remarkably accurate and robust for estimating the ET over complex and heterogeneous area. However, test-784 ing this approach with other data set and over other meteoro-785 logical conditions to evaluate its robustness and improve the 786 relation found between the resistances and the thermal stress 787 indexes is necessary. Likewise applying other constraint on 788 soil evaporation and plant transpiration as the soil moisture 789 derived from the microwave data will be of great importance 790 to improve the soil and vegetation resistances estimates. 791

Conclusion

Accurate and consistent estimation of evapotranspiration is essential in determining the crop water requirement. The aim of this work was to map the ET over a heterogeneous surface in the piedmont of Atlas mountain using the SW model. 796

792

812

813

814

821

822

823

824

825

826

The spatialization of this model requires knowledge of the 797 spatial variation of certain inputs such as the soil moisture 798 which is not easy to be obtained with high spatial resolution 799 taking into account the heterogeneity of the site. The idea 800 that has been proposed is to use the thermal and optical 801 data provided from Landsat 7 and 8 instead to soil moisture 802 which is difficult to obtain. Soil and vegetation resistances 803 $(r_{\circ}^{s}, r_{\circ}^{v})$ were linked to the stress indexes which are computed 804 from a normalization of vegetation and soil temperature by 805 their endmembers. The vegetation and soil temperatures are 806 derived using the Hourglass method forced by vegetation 807 fraction and meteorological data. The endmembers of the 808 two surface temperature components are simulated using a 809 surface energy balance model. The following conclusions 810 were drawn from the results of the study: 811

First, SW model was tested locally and acceptable results were obtained in estimating ET with a relative RMSE and bias are equal to 0.38 and -0.1 mm/h, respectively.

Second, the resistances values were inverted from the SW model and used to found the empirical relations based on the stress indexes. The method was validated at local scale by comparing the results with EC system and the statistical performance were encouraging with a relative RMSE and bias equal to 0.29 and -0.03 mm/h, respectively. 820

Finally, the proposed approach was spatialized using Landsat 7 and 8 data and confirmed its accuracy by comparison to the LAS and EC measurements. The RMSE, bias and R^2 are equal to 0.08 mm/h, 0.06 mm/h and 0.80, respectively, compared to the LAS and equal to 0.1 mm/h, 0.02 mm/h and 0.47, respectively, compared to the EC.

This approach proves the utility of thermal and optical remote sensing for estimating accurate ET at a regional scale. This will be even better if these data were provided with a high revisit time keeping the same spatial resolution. Such improvement is possible with the advent of the TRISHNA mission as reported by Lagouarde and Bhattacharya (2018). In addition to optical and thermal data

🖄 Springer

Fig. 14 Illustration of a polygon defined in the land surface temperature-fractional vegetation cover space contains four distinct zones (Evaporationcontrolled zone, stressed mixed surface zone, transpirationcontrolled zone and unstressed mixed zone



other relevant remote sensing product could be used to 834 feed the proposed approach. In particular, the microwave 835 data, which are strongly related to the soil hydric status, 836 837 can provide a promising issue to improve irrigation management. Finally, it should be noted that the procedure 838 proposed here has been solely tested during one growing 839 840 season. Further tests of this procedure with other data sets and over other surface conditions are needed. 841

Acknowledgments This work was carried out within the frame of the 842 843 Joint International Laboratory TREMA (https://lmi-trema.ma). Setup was funded by CNRST SAGESSE project and German Cooperation 844 845 Giz within the frame of the Hydraulic Basin Agency of the Tensift (ABHT). PHC TBK/18/61 project is acknowledged for providing 846 Jamal Elfarkh a travel grant. The authors wish to thank the projects: 847 RISE-H2020-ACCWA (grant agreement no: 823965) and ERANET-848 849 MED03-62 CHAAMS for partly funding the experiments.

850 Appendix 1

851 The LAS measurement is the average of an entire area where each part of it contributes with a percentage 852 depends on its position, weight and wind speed. To obtain 853 this contribution several analytical and Lagrangian models 854 of footprint have been developed (Schuepp et al. 1990; 855 Horst and Weil 1992; Rannik et al. 2000). In this work, 856 we used the model of Horst and Weil (1992) that relates 857 the flux measured at z_m to the surface distribution of the 858 flux: where f is the footprint function relates the flux meas-859 ured at z_m , $F(x, y, z_m)$ to the spatial distribution of surface 860 fluxes, $F(x, y, z = 0) = F_0(x, y)$. x and y are the downwind 861 and crosswind distances (m) from the point where the 862 863 measurements are taken, respectively.

Article No : 701

🖄 Springer

Journal : Large 271

$$F(x, y, z_m) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{x} F_0(x', y') f(x - x', y - y', z_m) dx' dy'$$
(24)
864
864
864
865

As already mentioned, each point is weighted according to the spatial weighting function of the LAS (Meijninger 2003): Where $F_{LAS}(x, y, Z_{LAS})$ is the LAS footprint, and $F(x, y, Z_{LAS})$ is the flux footprint for a point located at *d* distance from the transmitter. G(d) is the scintillometer pathweighting function for the position located at *d* distance. 871

$$F_{\text{LAS}}(x, y, Z_{\text{LAS}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} F(x, y, Z_{\text{LAS}}) G(d)$$
(25)
873

Appendix 2

874

881

882

The partitioning of LST into its soil and vegetation components is based on the LST and fc within the polygon (Fig. 14) to determine one of the temperature components T_v or T_s as follow: 878

For a given data point located in the evaporation-controlled zone, T_v computed as: 880

$$T_{\rm v} = \frac{T {\rm v}_{\rm max} + T {\rm v}_{\rm min}}{2} \tag{26}$$

For a given data point located in the transpiration-controlled zone, T_s computed as: 884

$$T_{\rm s} = \frac{T_{\rm s_{max}} + T_{\rm s_{min}}}{2} \tag{27}$$

Pages : 17 MS Code : 701 Dispatch : 30-9-2020

For a given data point located in the stressed mixed sur-887 face zone, $T_{\rm v}$ computed as: 888

$$T = \frac{\text{LST} - Ts_{\text{max}}}{fc} + Ts_{\text{max}}$$
(28)

890

89

897

⁸⁹¹
$$T_{\rm v} = \frac{T {\rm v}_{\rm max} + T}{2}$$
 (29)

For a given data point located in the unstressed mixed 893 zone, $T_{\rm v}$ computed as: 894

⁸⁹⁵
$$T = \frac{\text{LST} - Ts_{\min}}{fc} + Ts_{\min}$$
 (30)

$$T_{\rm v} = \frac{T {\rm v}_{\rm max} + T}{2} \tag{31}$$

Then, the other component T_v or T_s was derived based on a linear decomposition of the LST in its vegetation and soil components as follows:

902 LST =
$$\left((1 - fc)T_s^4 + fcT_v^4\right)^{1/4}$$
 (32)
903

In what follows, fc is derived from Landsat NDVI using 904 the following equation: 905

906
$$fc = 1 - e^{-0.5 \times \text{LAI}}$$
. (33)
907

References 908

- Ait Hssaine BA, Ezzahar J, Jarlan L et al (2018) Combining a two 909 source energy balance model driven by MODIS and MSG-910 SEVIRI products with an aggregation approach to estimate tur-911 bulent fluxes over sparse and heterogeneous vegetation in Sahel 912 region (Niger). Remote Sens 10(6):974. https://doi.org/10.3390/ 913 rs10060974 914
- Albergel C (2010) Assimilation de données de télédétection dans le 915 modèle ISBA-A-gs pour une analyse conjointe de la biomasse et 916 de l'état hydrique du sol. https://www.theses.fr 917
- Allen RG, Pereira LS, Howell TA, Jensen ME (2011) Evapotran-918 spiration information reporting: I. factors governing measure-919 ment accuracy. Agric Water Manag 98:899-920. https://doi. 920 org/10.1016/j.agwat.2010.12.015 921
- Allen RG, Tasumi M, Morse A et al (2007) Journal of irrigation and 922 drainage engineering satellite-based energy balance for Map-923 ping Evapotranspiration with Internalized Calibration (MET-924 RIC)—applications. J Irrig Drain Eng. https://doi.org/10.1061/ 925 (ASCE)0733-9437(2007)133 926
- Allen RG, Tasumi M, Morse A, Trezza R (2005) A landsat-based 927 energy balance and evapotranspiration model in Western US water 928 rights regulation and planning. Irrig Drain Syst 19:251-268. https 929 ://doi.org/10.1007/s10795-005-5187-z 930
- Amazirh A, Er-Raki S, Chehbouni A et al (2017) Modified Pen-931 man-Monteith equation for monitoring evapotranspiration of 932 wheat crop: Relationship between the surface resistance and 933 remotely sensed stress index. Biosyst Eng 164:68-84. https://doi. 934 org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2017.09.015 935

Amazirh A, Merlin O, Er-Raki S et al (2018) Retrieving surface soil moisture at high spatio-temporal resolution from a synergy between Sentinel-1 radar and Landsat thermal data: a study case over bare soil. Remote Sens Environ 211:321-337

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

- Aouade G, Ezzahar J, Amenzou N et al (2016) Combining stable isotopes and micrometeorological measurements for partitioning evapotranspiration of winter wheat into soil evaporation and plant transpiration in a semi-arid region. Agric Water Manag 177(1):181-192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.07.021
- Aouade G. Jarlan L. Ezzahar J et al (2020) Evapotranspiration partition using the multiple energy balance version of the ISBA-Ags land surface model over two irrigated crops in a semi-arid Mediterranean region (Marrakech, Morocco), Hydrol Earth Syst Sci Discuss 24(7):3789-3814. https://doi.org/10.5194/ hess-24-3789-2020
- Bastiaanssen WGM, Pelgrum H, Wang J et al (1998) A remote sensing surface energy balance algorithm for land (SEBAL): 2. Validation J Hydrol. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00254-6
- Bhattarai N, Mallick K, Stuart J et al (2019) An automated multi-model evapotranspiration mapping framework using remotely sensed and reanalysis data. Remote Sens Environ 229:69-92. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.04.026
- Blinda M (2012) Cahier 14 EAU EFFICIENCE Vers une meilleure efficience de l'utilisation de l'eau en Méditerranée
- Boulet G, Mougenot B, Lhomme, et al (2015) The SPARSE model for the prediction of water stress and evapotranspiration components from thermal infra-red data and its evaluation over irrigated and rainfed wheat. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 19(11):4653-4672. https:// doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4653-2015
- Bouimouass H, Fakir Y, Tweed S, Leblanc M (2020) Groundwater recharge sources in semiarid irrigated mountain fronts. Hydrol Process 34:1598-1615. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13685
- Braud I, Dantas-Antonino AC, Vauclin M et al (1995) A simple soilplant-atmosphere transfer model (SiSPAT) development and field verification. J Hydrol 166:213-250. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(94)05085-C
- Brenner AJ, Incoll LD (1997) The effect of clumping and stomatal response on evaporation from sparsely vegetated shrublands. Agric For Meteorol 84:187-205. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168 -1923(96)02368-4
- Carlson TN, Capehart WJ, Gillies RR (1995) A new look at the simplified method for remote sensing of daily evapotranspiration. Remote Sens Environ 54:161-167. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(95)00139-R
- Choi M, Kustas WP, Anderson MC et al (2009) An intercomparison of three remote sensing-based surface energy balance algorithms over a corn and soybean production region (Iowa, U.S.) during SMACEX. Agric For Meteorol 149:2082-2097. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.07.002
- Diarra A, Jarlan L, Er-Raki S et al (2017) Performance of the twosource energy budget (TSEB) model for the monitoring of evapotranspiration over irrigated annual crops in North Africa. Agric Water Manag 193:71-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat .2017.08.007
- Duchemin B, Hagolle O, Mougenot B et al (2008) Agrometerological study of semi-ard areas: an experiment for analysing the potential of time series of FORMOSAT-2 images (Tensift-Marrakech plain). Int J Remote Sens 29:5291-5300. https://doi. org/10.1080/01431160802036482
- Er-Raki S, Chehbouni A, Boulet G, Williams DG (2010a) Using the dual approach of FAO-56 for partitioning ET into soil and plant components for olive orchards in a semi-arid region. Agric Water Manag 97:1769-1778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat .2010.06.009
- Er-Raki S, Chehbouni A, Duchemin B (2010b) Combining satellite 1000 remote sensing data with the FAO-56 dual approach for water use 1001

🙆 Springer

1068

1071

1086

1087

1088

1096

1097

1098

1099

1110

1111

1112

1002

1003

1009

1010

1011

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1036

Proo Author

mapping in irrigated wheat fields of a semi-arid region. Remote Sens. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs2010375

- Ezzahar J, Chehbouni A (2009) The use of scintillometry for vali-1004 dating aggregation schemes over heterogeneous grids. Agric 1005 For Meteorol 149:2098-2109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo 1006 rmet.2009.09.004 1007
- Ezzahar J, Chehbouni A, Er-Raki S, Hanich L (2009a) Combining a large aperture scintillometer and estimates of available energy to derive evapotranspiration over several agricultural fields in a semi-arid region. Plant Biosyst 143:209-221. https://doi. org/10.1080/11263500802710036 1012
 - Ezzahar J, Chehbouni A, Hoedjes J et al (2009b) Combining scintillometer measurements and an aggregation scheme to estimate areaaveraged latent heat flux during the AMMA experiment. J Hydrol 375:217-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.01.010
 - Ezzahar J, Chehbouni A, Hoedjes JCB et al (2007) The use of the scintillation technique for monitoring seasonal water consumption of olive orchards in a semi-arid region. Agric Water Manag 89:173-184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2006.12.015
 - Fang B, Lei H, Zhang Y et al (2020) Spatio-temporal patterns of evapotranspiration based on upscaling eddy covariance measurements in the dryland of the North China Plain. Agric For Meteorol. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107844
 - Gentine P, Entekhabi D, Chehbouni A et al (2007) Analysis of evaporative fraction diurnal behaviour. Agric For Meteorol 143:13-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.11.002
 - Gohar AA, Cashman A, Ward FA (2019) Managing food and water security in small island states: new evidence from economic modelling of climate stressed groundwater resources. J Hydrol 569:239-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.008
 - Gonzalez-Dugo MP, Neale CMU, Mateos L et al (2009) A comparison of operational remote sensing-based models for estimating crop evapotranspiration. Agric For Meteorol 149:1843-1853. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.06.012
- Green S, Clothier B, Jardine B (2003) Theory and Practical Application of Heat Pulse to Measure Sap Flow. In: Agronomy Journal. AQ2 pp 1371-1379
- Guswa AJ, Celia MA, Rodriguez-Iturbe I (2002) Models of soil 1039 moisture dynamics in ecohydrology: a comparative study. Water 1040 Resour Res 38:5-1-5-15. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001wr000826 1041
- Harris PP, Huntingford C, Cox PM et al (2004) Effect of soil mois-1042 ture on canopy conductance of Amazonian rainforest. Agric 1043 For Meteorol 122:215-227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo 1044 rmet.2003.09.006 1045
- Horst TW, Weil JC (1994) How far is far enough? The fetch require-1046 ments for micrometeorological measurement of surface 1047 fluxes. J Atmos Ocean Technol 11:1018-1025. https://doi. 1048 org/10.1175/1520-0426(1994)011<1018:hfifet>2.0.co;2 1049
- Horst TW, Weil JC (1992) Footprint estimation for scalar flux measure-1050 ments in the atmospheric surface layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 1051 59:279-296. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00119817 1052
- Hu Z, Yu G, Zhou Y et al (2009) Partitioning of evapotranspiration 1053 and its controls in four grassland ecosystems: Application of a 1054 two-source model. Agric For Meteorol 149:1410-1420. https:// 1055 doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.03.014 1056
- Huang G, Li X, Huang C et al (2016) Representativeness errors of 1057 point-scale ground-based solar radiation measurements in the 1058 validation of remote sensing products. Remote Sens Environ 1059 181:198-206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.04.001 1060
- Jackson RD, Reginato RJ, Idso SB (1977) Wheat canopy temperature: a 1061 practical tool for evaluating water requirements. Water Resour Res 1062 13:651-656. https://doi.org/10.1029/WR013i003p00651 1063
- Liu SM, Xu ZW, Wang WZ, Jia ZZ, Zhu MJ, Bai J, Wang JM (2011) A 1064 comparison of eddy-covariance and large aperture scintillometer 1065 measurements with respect to the energy balance closure problem. 1066 Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 15:1291-1306 1067

- Mauder M, Liebethal C, Göckede M, Leps JP, Beyrich F, Foken T (2006) Processing and quality control of flux data during LIT-1069 FASS-2003. Bound Layer Meteorol 121:67-88 1070
- Meijninger WML (2003) Surface fluxes over natural landscapes using scintillometry AQ3 2
- Merlin O (2013) An original interpretation of the wet edge of the 1073 surface temperature-albedo space to estimate crop evapotran-1074 spiration (SEB-1S), and its validation over an irrigated area 1075 in northwestern Mexico. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 17:3623-3637. 1076 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-3623-2013 1077
- Merlin O, Rüdiger C, Al Bitar A et al (2012) Disaggregation of 1078 SMOS soil moisture in Southeastern Australia. IEEE Trans 1079 Geosci Remote Sens 50:1556-1571. https://doi.org/10.1109/ 1080 TGRS 2011 2175000 1081
- Merlin O, Stefan VG, Amazirh A et al (2016) Modeling soil evapo-1082 ration efficiency in a range of soil and atmospheric conditions 1083 using a meta-analysis approach. Water Resour Res 52:3663-1084 3684. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018233 1085
- Moran MS, Clarke TR, Inoue Y, Vidal A (1994) Estimating crop water deficit using the relation between surface-air temperature and spectral vegetation index. Remote Sens Environ 49:246-263. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(94)90020-5
- 1089 Neale CMU, Jayanthi H, Wright JL (2005) Irrigation water manage-1090 ment using high resolution airborne remote sensing. Irrig Drain 1091 Syst 19:321-336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10795-005-5195-z 1092
- Noilhan J, Mahfouf JF (1996) The ISBA land surface parameteri-1093 sation scheme. Glob Planet Change 13:145-159. https://doi. 1094 org/10.1016/0921-8181(95)00043-7 1095
- Norman JM, Kustas WP, Humes KS (1995) Source approach for estimating soil and vegetation energy fluxes in observations of directional radiometric surface temperature. Agric For Meteorol 77:263-293. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923(95)02265-Y
- Olivera-Guerra L, Merlin O, Er-Raki S et al (2018) Estimating the 1100 water budget components of irrigated crops: combining the 1101 FAO-56 dual crop coefficient with surface temperature and 1102 vegetation index data. Agric Water Manag 208:120-131. https 1103 ://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.06.014 1104
- Olivera-Guerra L, Merlin O, Er-Raki S (2020) Irrigation retrieval 1105 from Landsat optical/thermal data integrated into a crop water 1106 balance model: a case study over winter wheat fields in a semi-1107 arid region. Remote Sens Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. 1108 rse.2019.111627 1109
- Ortega-Farias S, Carrasco M, Olioso A et al (2007) Latent heat flux over Cabernet Sauvignon vineyard using the Shuttleworth and Wallace model. Irrig Sci 25:161-170. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00271-006-0047-7
- 1113 Ortega-Farias S, Poblete-Echeverría C, Brisson N (2010) Param-1114 eterization of a two-layer model for estimating vineyard 1115 evapotranspiration using meteorological measurements. Agric 1116 For Meteorol 150:276-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo 1117 rmet.2009.11.012 1118
- Plattner G-K, Stocker T, Midgley P, Tignor M (2009) INTERGOV-ERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE IPCC Expert Meeting on the Science of Alternative Metrics Meeting Report Supporting material prepared for consideration by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. This material has not been subjected to for AQ4
- Rafi Z, Merlin O, Le Dantec V et al (2019) Partitioning evapotranspiration of a drip-irrigated wheat crop: Inter-comparing eddy covariance-, sap flow-, lysimeter- and FAO-based methods. Agric For Meteorol 265:310-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo 1128 rmet.2018.11.031
- Ramírez DA, Bellot J, Domingo F, Blasco A (2007) Can water responses in Stipa tenacissimaL. during the summer season be promoted by non-rainfall water gains in soil? Plant Soil 291:67-79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9175-3 1133

🖄 Springer

- Rannik U, Aubinet M, Kurbanmuradov O et al (2000) Footprint analy-1134 sis for measurements over a heterogeneous forest. Boundary-Layer 1135 Meteorol 97:137-166. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1002702810929 1136
- Roerink GJ, Su Z, Menenti M (2000) S-SEBI: A simple remote sens-1137 ing algorithm to estimate the surface energy balance. Phys Chem 1138 Earth Part B Hydrol Ocean Atmos 25:147-157. https://doi. 1139 org/10.1016/S1464-1909(99)00128-8 1140
- Saadi S, Boulet G, Bahir M et al (2018) Assessment of actual evapo-1141 transpiration over a semiarid heterogeneous land surface by means 1142 of coupled low-resolution remote sensing data with an energy balance model: comparison to extra-large aperture scintillometer measurements. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 22:2187-2209. https://doi. org/10.5194/hess-22-2187-2018
 - Samanta S, Mackay DS, Clayton MK et al (2007) Bayesian analysis for uncertainty estimation of a canopy transpiration model. Water Resour Res 43:1-13. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005028
 - Sánchez JM, López-Urrea R, Valentín F et al (2019) Lysimeter assessment of the simplified two-source energy balance model and eddy covariance system to estimate vineyard evapotranspiration. Agric For Meteorol 274:172-183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo rmet.2019.05.006
 - Schuepp PH, Leclerc MY, MacPherson JI, Desjardins RL (1990) Footprint prediction of scalar fluxes from analytical solutions of the diffusion equation. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 50:355-373. https ://doi.org/10.1007/BF00120530
- Seguin B, Itier B (1983) Using midday surface temperature to estimate 1159 daily evaporation from satellite thermal IR data. Int J Remote Sens 1160 4:371-383. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431168308948554 1161
- Semmens KA, Anderson MC, Kustas WP et al (2016) Monitoring daily 1162 evapotranspiration over two California vineyards using Landsat 1163 8 in a multi-sensor data fusion approach. Remote Sens Environ 1164 185:155-170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.10.025 1165
- Sene KJ (1994) Parameterisations for energy transfers from a 1166 sparse vine crop. Agric For Meteorol 71:1-18. https://doi. 1167 org/10.1016/0168-1923(94)90097-3 1168
- Shuttleworth WJ, Wallace JS (1985) Evaporation from sparse crops-an 1169 energy combination theory. Q J R Meteorol Soc 111:839-855. 1170 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711146510 1171
- Singh RK, Senay GB, Velpuri NM et al (2014) On the downscaling of 1172 actual evapotranspiration maps based on combination of MODIS 1173 and landsat-based actual evapotranspiration estimates. Remote 1174 Sens 6:10483-10509. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs61110483 1175
- Stefan VG, Merlin O, Er-Raki S et al (2015) Consistency between 1176 In Situ, model-derived and high-resolution-image-based soil 1177 temperature endmembers: Towards a robust data-based model for 1178 multi-resolution monitoring of crop evapotranspiration. Remote 1179 Sens 7:10444-10479. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70810444 1180
- Steppe K, De Pauw DJW, Doody TM, Teskey RO (2010) A com-1181 parison of sap flux density using thermal dissipation, heat pulse 1182 velocity and heat field deformation methods. Agric For Meteorol 1183 150:1046-1056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.04.004 1184
- Su Z (2002) The Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS) for estima-1185 tion of turbulent heat fluxes. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 6:85-100. 1186 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-6-85-2002 1187
- Tan S, Wu B, Yan N (2019) A method for downscaling daily evapo-1188 transpiration based on 30 m surface resistance. J Hydrol. https:// 1189 doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.06.054 1190
- Tang R, Li ZL (2015) Evaluation of two end-member-based models for 1191 regional land surface evapotranspiration estimation from MODIS 1192 data. Agric For Meteorol 202:69-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. 1193 agrformet.2014.12.005 1194
- Twine TE, Kustas WP, Norman JM et al (2000) Correcting eddy-covar-1195 iance flux underestimates over a grassland. Agric For Meteorol 1196 103:279-300. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00123-4
 - Verhoef A, Fernández-Gálvez J, Diaz-Espejo A et al (2006) The diurnal course of soil moisture as measured by various dielectric sensors:

effects of soil temperature and the implications for evaporation estimates. J Hydrol 321:147-162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydr ol.2005.07.039

- Villagarcía L, Were A, García M, Domingo F (2010) Sensitivity of a clumped model of evapotranspiration to surface resistance parameterisations: application in a semi-arid environment. Agric For Meteorol 150:1065-1078. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo rmet.2010.04.006
- Wang L, Parodi GN, Su Z (2008) SEBS module beam: A practical tool for surface energy balance estimates from remote sensing data. In: European Space Agency, (Special Publication) ESA SP AQ5 0
- Ward HC (2017) Scintillometry in urban and complex environments : a review. Meas Sci Technol 28:27. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/aa5e85
- Were A, Villagarcia L, Domingo F et al (2007) Analysis of effective 1214 resistance calculation methods and their effect on modelling 1215 evapotranspiration in two different patches of vegetation in semi-1216 arid SE Spain. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 11:1529-1542. https://doi. 1217 org/10.5194/hess-11-1529-2007 1218
- Wittich KP (1997) Some simple relationships between land-surface 1219 emissivity, greenness and the plant cover fraction for use in sat-1220 ellite remote sensing. Int J Biometeorol 41:58-64. https://doi. 1221 org/10.1007/s004840050054 1222
- Yee MS, Pauwels VRN, Daly E et al (2015) A comparison of opti-1223 cal and microwave scintillometers with eddy covariance derived 1224 surface heat fluxes. Agric For Meteorol 213:226-239. https://doi. 1225 org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.07.004 1226
- Zhang B, Kang S, Li F, Zhang L (2008) Comparison of three evapotranspiration models to Bowen ratio-energy balance method for a vineyard in an arid desert region of northwest China. Agric For Meteorol 148:1629-1640. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrfo rmet.2008.05.016
- Zhang B, Kang S, Zhang L et al (2009) An evapotranspiration model for sparsely vegetated canopies under partial root-zone irrigation. Agric For Meteorol 149:2007-2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agrformet.2009.07.007
- Zhao P, Li S, Li F et al (2015) Comparison of dual crop coefficient method and Shuttleworth-Wallace model in evapotranspiration partitioning in a vineyard of northwest China. Agric Water Manag 160:41-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.06.026
- Zhu G, Su Y, Li X et al (2013) Estimating actual evapotranspiration 1240 from an alpine grassland on Qinghai-Tibetan plateau using a 1241 two-source model and parameter uncertainty analysis by Bayes-1242 ian approach. J Hydrol 476:42-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydr 1243 ol.2012.10.006 1244
- Zhu GF, Li X, Su YH et al (2014) Simultaneously assimilating multi-1245 variate data sets into the two-source evapotranspiration model by 1246 Bayesian approach: application to spring maize in an arid region 1247 of northwestern China. Geosci Model Dev 7:1467-1482. https:// 1248 doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-1467-2014 1249
- Zieliński M, Fortuniak K, Pawlak W, Siedlecki M (2013) Turbulent sen-1250 sible heat flux in łódź, central poland, obtained from scintillometer 1251 and eddy covariance measurements. Meteorol Zeitschrift 22:603-1252 613. https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2013/0448 1253
- Zieliński M, Fortuniak K, Pawlak W, Siedlecki M (2017) Influence 1254 of mean rooftop-level estimation method on sensible heat flux 1255 retrieved from a large-aperture scintillometer over a city centre. 1256 Boundary Layer Meteorol 164:281-301. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 1257 s10546-017-0254-1 1258

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to 1259 jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. 1260

1261

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1211

1212

1213

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1158

Journal:	271
Article:	701

Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	Reference: Reference [Tardy et al. (2016)] was mentioned in the manuscript; however, this was not included in the reference list. As a rule, all mentioned references should be present in the reference list. Please provide the reference details to be inserted in the reference list.	
AQ2	Please provide publisher location and editor name for reference [Green et al., 2003].	
AQ3	Please provide journal title, volume number, issue number, page range/DOI for references [Meijninger, 2003].	
AQ4	Please provide full details and complete sentence for references [Plattner et al., 2009].	
AQ5	Please provide publisher location, editor name for references [Wang et al., 2008].	