



HAL
open science

Temperature affects the reproductive outputs of coral-eating starfish *Acanthaster* spp. after adult exposure to near-future ocean warming and acidification

Thomas Hue, Olivier Chateau, Gaël Lecellier, Mohsen Kayal, Noeline Lanos, Hugues Gossuin, Mehdi Adjeroud, Pascal Dumas

► To cite this version:

Thomas Hue, Olivier Chateau, Gaël Lecellier, Mohsen Kayal, Noeline Lanos, et al.. Temperature affects the reproductive outputs of coral-eating starfish *Acanthaster* spp. after adult exposure to near-future ocean warming and acidification. *Marine Environmental Research*, 2020, 162, pp.105164. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105164 . ird-02974677

HAL Id: ird-02974677

<https://ird.hal.science/ird-02974677>

Submitted on 24 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Temperature affects the reproductive outputs of coral-eating starfish *Acanthaster* spp. 2 after adult exposure to near-future ocean warming and acidification

3 THOMAS HUE^{1,2*}, OLIVIER CHATEAU³, GAEL LECELLIER^{1,2,4}, MOHSEN KAYAL^{1,2}, NOELINE LANOS³,
4 HUGUES GOSSUIN³, MEHDI ADJEROUD^{2,5,6}, PASCAL DUMAS^{1,2}

5 ¹IRD, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, BP A5, Noumea, New Caledonia; ²Laboratoire d'Excellence "Corail", 58 avenue
6 Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan, France; ³Laboratory of Marine Biology and Ecology, Aquarium des lagons,
7 98807 Noumea, New Caledonia; ⁴Université de Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, 45 avenue des Etats-Unis, Versailles
8 Cedex, France; ⁵UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, IRD, Université de la Réunion, CNRS, IFREMER, Université de la
9 Nouvelle-Calédonie, Perpignan, France; ⁶PSL Université Paris, USR 3278 CRILOBE, EPHE-UPVD-CNRS,
10 Perpignan, France

11 * corresponding author: thomas.hue@ird.fr

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Outbreaks of the coral-eating crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster* spp. (COTS) have become to be
15 amongst the most severe threats to coral reefs worldwide. Although most research has focused on
16 COTS early development, it remains unclear how COTS populations will keep pace with changing
17 ocean conditions. Since reproduction is a key process contributing to outbreaks, we investigated the
18 reproductive success of adult COTS acclimated for 3-4 months to different treatment combinations of
19 ambient conditions, ocean warming (+2 °C) and acidification (-0.35 pH). Our results suggest that the
20 optimal breeding season in New Caledonia is concentrated around the end of the calendar year, when
21 water temperature reaches >26 °C. We found negative effects of temperature on egg metrics,
22 fertilisation success, and GSI, conflicting with previously documented effects of temperature on
23 echinoderm reproductive outputs. Fertilisation success dropped drastically (more than threefold) with
24 elevated temperature during the late breeding season. In contrast, we detected no effects of near-future
25 acidification conditions on fertilisation success nor GSI. This is the first time that COTS reproduction
26 is compared among individuals acclimated to different conditions of warming and acidification. Our
27 results highlight the importance of accounting for adult exposure to better understand how COTS
28 reproduction may be impacted in the face of global change.

29 *Keywords: Adult exposure, Ocean warming, Ocean acidification, Crown-of-thorns starfish,*
30 *Reproductive outputs*

31

32 **1. Introduction**

33 Coral reefs worldwide are severely impacted by large-scale disturbances and local stressors such as
34 thermally induced bleaching events, cyclones, overfishing, water pollution, sedimentation, and
35 increasingly, outbreaks of the coral-eating crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster* spp. (COTS) (Bruno
36 and Selig, 2007; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Hughes et al., 2010, 2017a, 2017b; Kayal et al., 2012;
37 Leray et al., 2012). Most Indo-Pacific reefs have already been impacted by COTS outbreaks, leading
38 to growing concerns that they are becoming more frequent (Bellwood et al., 2004; Brodie et al., 2005;
39 Dumas et al., 2015; Pratchett et al., 2014). For instance, the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) suffered a 21%
40 decline in coral cover over 27 years due to recurrent COTS outbreaks alone (De'Ath et al., 2012).
41 These outbreaks are also impacting coral reefs in New Caledonia where local densities of >10,000
42 ind.ha⁻¹ and significant damages to coral communities have recently been reported, e.g. up to 92% loss
43 in coral cover in some reefs (Adjeroud et al., 2018; Buttin, 2018; Sulu et al., 2002). Despite intensive
44 research effort over the last two decades, triggers for COTS outbreaks remain mostly unresolved,
45 making predictions of future events challenging (Pratchett et al., 2014). However, the most widely
46 accepted hypothesis is currently the eutrophication hypothesis, stating that agricultural land runoff,

47 making more phytoplankton available, would ultimately improve larvae survival (Fabricius et al.,
48 2010). To further confound predictions, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change estimates an
49 ocean warming of 2 °C and a pH decrease to 7.75 by 2100 for the “business-as-usual” scenario (IPCC
50 2014). Recent studies suggest that these near-future ocean conditions could benefit COTS early life
51 stages, potentially resulting in increased outbreaks as climate change continues. For example, a 2 °C
52 warming of the sea surface temperature coupled with eutrophication may increase COTS larval
53 survival by 240% (Uthicke et al., 2015), while ocean acidification to a pH_{NIST} of 7.6 could enhance
54 juvenile growth (Kamya et al., 2016).

55 COTS development has been widely studied in the context of global change, from early
56 embryonic stages to juveniles (Allen et al., 2017; Caballes et al., 2017b; Kamya et al., 2014, 2016,
57 2017, 2018; Lamare et al., 2014; Sparks et al., 2017; Uthicke et al., 2013a, 2015). For example,
58 research conducted on the Great Barrier Reef on thermal tolerance of COTS fertilisation processes
59 showed that 28 °C was the optimum temperature, with no significant decrease in fertilisation rates up
60 to 31 °C (Rupp, 1973). These results were supported with high fertilisation rates (>80%) observed
61 between 24 °C and 32 °C (Caballes et al., 2017b). In terms of ocean acidification effect on COTS
62 fertilisation success, the results are varied. While some authors predicted negative effects on the
63 fertilisation rates from a pH_{NBS} of 7.70 (Uthicke et al., 2013a), others found no effects of pH_{NIST} down
64 to 7.60 (Caballes et al., 2017b). These discrepancies could be related to differences in experimental
65 protocols, for example in terms of the number of adults used for the fertilisation trials, i.e. 4 versus 3
66 males and 5 versus 2 females in Uthicke et al. (2013a) and Caballes et al. (2017b) respectively. It has
67 been suggested that moderate differences in protocols may lead to conflicting results, especially when
68 parameters that exert a major influence on the outcome of fertilisation assays are considered (review in
69 Byrne, 2012). Similarly, the environmental conditions experienced by adult COTS during gonad
70 development could also affect the experimental outcomes. However, to date, deliberate exposure of
71 broodstock to warming and acidification has not been conducted, which makes it difficult to
72 accurately predict the potential impacts of altered ocean conditions on COTS reproductive processes.

73 Exposing broodstock to modified environmental conditions during gametogenesis and gonad
74 maturation is an important step towards a more realistic experimental approach to global change
75 studies (Byrne et al., 2020). This approach may allow for transgenerational plasticity, i.e. a phenotypic
76 change in offspring in response to the environmental stress experienced by broodstock prior to
77 fertilisation (reviewed in Ross et al., 2016). While no studies have performed such an approach on
78 COTS yet, there are some examples of studies that have exposed adults of other echinoderms, to
79 determine plasticity on reproductive outputs such as egg quality, quantity and fertilisation success of
80 sea urchins. For example, female fecundity of the green sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*
81 decreased 4.5-fold after a 4 month exposure to an elevated pCO₂ during the reproductive conditioning
82 period (Dupont et al., 2013). Moreover, this exposure had negative carry-over effects over subsequent
83 life-history stages, with 5 to 9 times less offspring reaching the juvenile stage. Comparatively,
84 Suckling et al. (2015) showed that sea urchins *Sterechius neumayeri* adult exposed to warming (+2 °C)
85 and/or acidification (-0.3 and -0.5 units) for 6 months had higher fertilisation success (+17%) but on
86 average ~12% smaller eggs than animals kept in control conditions. Nevertheless, a 6 week exposure
87 of adult sea urchins *Echinometra mathaei* to either control or different elevated pCO₂ treatments (485-
88 1770 µtam) did not make the progeny more resilient or sensitive to the treatments (Uthicke et al.,
89 2013b). These few examples illustrate the potential importance of parental exposure as it could
90 produce transgenerational plasticity responses and buffer the effects of ocean acidification on
91 echinoderm populations (and different marine taxa, see Ross et al., 2016). Similarly, it appears that
92 exposing broodstock to different temperatures during the reproductive conditioning phase could
93 dramatically shift the thermotolerance of embryos and larvae (Byrne, 2012). This may be a
94 consequence of temperatures in the ovary imprinting on eggs. Indeed, collected sea urchins
95 *Helicoidaris tuberculata* have higher fertilization rates at the experimental temperature that aligns
96 closest to their collection temperature, rather than any “optimal” breeding temperature for the
97 population (O’Connor and Mulley, 1977). However, it has been showed that echinoderm fertilisation,

98 including COTS, seems resilient to moderate temperature increases as expected during the coming
99 century (Rupp, 1973). Nevertheless, considering the combined effects of warming and acidification is
100 critical, as they are expected to simultaneously impact marine organisms. Furthermore, it is currently
101 unknown if transgenerational plasticity responses will be possible with multiple stressors, therefore
102 making predictions difficult in the current context of global change studies.

103

104 The present study is the first to acclimate adult COTS to modified environmental conditions
105 for several months prior to assessing potential transgenerational plasticity responses. An increase of
106 maternal provisioning is one adaptive strategy associated with transgenerational plasticity responses:
107 adults exposed to stressful environments would invest more energy per egg to the detriment of
108 fecundity to increase the subsequent life stage fitness in this non-optimal environment (Allen et al.,
109 2008). It has been suggested that egg size is directly linked to fertilisation success, as larger eggs
110 would have more probability to encounter spermatozooids (reviewed in Levitan, 2006). Therefore, we
111 focused on three proxies to evaluate COTS reproductive outputs: a) egg quality, assessed by a set of
112 complementary criteria of size and shape (Ayukai et al., 1996; Caballes et al., 2016, 2017a), b)
113 fertilisation success, and c) egg quantity estimated with the gonado-somatic index (GSI). To test for
114 possible transgenerational plasticity effects, we exposed adult COTS to near-future ocean warming
115 and acidification (2 °C warming, pH 7.75) following the “business-as-usual scenario” for 2100 (IPCC
116 2014), prior to investigate their reproductive outputs. We hypothesize that COTS in the experimental
117 treatments of our study (higher temperature and/or lower pH) might have lower GSI but larger eggs
118 compared to the control treatment, following a possible maternal provisioning effect. Moreover, from
119 the known literature on COTS fertilisation, we predict that fertilisation success would not be affected
120 by +2 °C neither by a pH target of 7.75, and would, if anything, be enhanced if COTS eggs are indeed
121 larger. In the current context where COTS outbreaks constitute a major threat to coral reefs, this study
122 attempts to better understand and forecast the future effects of climate change on COTS biology and
123 dynamics. This study may also provide valuable information for local conservation and management
124 efforts in New Caledonia, where 15,743 km² of reefs and lagoons were recently enlisted as a
125 UNESCO World Heritage site.

126

127 2. Material & Methods

128 2.1 Study species, collection sites

129 About a hundred adult specimens of *Acanthaster* spp. were collected over two consecutive years,
130 respectively in late October 2018 on the shallow fringing reefs near the Redika islet and in late August
131 2019 on the West benches, two mid-shelf reefs located in the southwestern lagoon of New Caledonia
132 (22°30’S, 166°36’E, and 22°26’S, 166°29’E, respectively; Fig. S1). Within one hour of collection,
133 COTS were transported to the Aquarium des Lagons facilities in coolers filled with seawater renewed
134 every 20 minutes (Fig. S1). Individuals were then stored in flow-through 3000 l raceways for one
135 week prior to the experiments. Several gonadic lobes were collected per specimen by incising the
136 proximal end of one arm and sex was determined microscopically, depending on the presence of eggs
137 or spermatozoid in the lobes (Conand, 1983). The individuals were distributed into 12 tanks of 500 l
138 (2018: three males and three females per tank, n=72; 2019 four males and four females per tank, n=
139 96), as a compromise between maximizing genetic pool and avoiding overcrowded tanks (Ayukai et
140 al., 1996; Kamyra et al., 2017; Uthicke et al., 2015). A multiple parent approach was chosen to reflect a
141 population of natural spawners and to avoid the potential maternal and paternal effects characteristics
142 of single dam-sire crosses (Caballes et al., 2016; Palumbi, 1999; Sparks et al., 2017).

143

144 2.2 Experimental treatments

145 All tanks were supplied with flow-through seawater pumped from 5 m deep in nearshore waters facing
146 the aquarium facility. Filtered Seawater was obtained by using 5 μm cartridge filters set at a flow rate
147 of 1 $\text{l}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$. Tanks were haphazardly allocated to one of four different treatments (three replicates \times
148 four treatments; see details below and in Fig. S2). Each of the 12 tanks had temperature and pH
149 controlled independently, in order to avoid pseudo-replication and follow appropriate design
150 guidelines (Cornwall and Hurd, 2016). Depending on the desired treatment, seawater was manipulated
151 to the target condition inside a technical compartment that acted as a header tank: water overflowed
152 into this compartment, where it was warmed and/or acidified, and sent back to the tank by submersible
153 Tetra aquarium pumps (1000 $\text{l}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) to homogenise large quantities of water at the target conditions.
154 COTS were exposed to one of the four following treatments: Control: ambient seawater parameters
155 not modified. Acidification (elevated CO_2): pH_{NIST} target was a 0.35 decline from ambient to
156 approximately 7.75. This treatment represents the upper values of ocean acidification projected for the
157 end of the century (IPCC 2014). Water acidification was obtained by bubbling pure CO_2 through
158 solenoid valves. In each tank, pH was monitored and regulated continuously using an IKS Aquastar
159 pH-stat system (accuracy ± 0.05 pH units). Electrodes were calibrated using standardized NBS buffers
160 and adjusted each day to the desired pH_{NIST} using a Mettler Toledo 1140 pH meter with an InLab
161 Expert DIN temperature compensated probe; the probe was calibrated weekly using standardized
162 NIST precision buffers. Warming (elevated temperature): temperature was increased by 2 $^{\circ}\text{C}$
163 compared to control conditions. This treatment represents the ocean warming projected by the
164 “business as usual” scenario for the end of the century (IPCC 2014). Temperature was adjusted once a
165 week to keep the +2 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ delta constant, while following the natural (seasonal) temperature changes.
166 During the week, if the delta between ambient and elevated temperature treatments varied by $>50\%$
167 (i.e. ± 1 $^{\circ}\text{C}$), it would be immediately readjusted to keep the +2 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ delta as stable as possible during
168 the experiment. Temperature was controlled using 300 W titanium aquarium heaters (Tetra, HT)
169 plugged with electronic temperature controllers (DR 983 Eliwell). Acidification and Warming
170 (elevated CO_2 and elevated temperature): a combination of the two previous treatments, i.e ambient
171 temperature +2 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and pH 7.75.

172 Temperature and pH_{NIST} were progressively modified in the corresponding tanks, to reach target
173 conditions over a week. Temperature and pH_{NIST} were measured twice a day in all tanks using a
174 Mettler Toledo 1140 pH meter with an InLab Expert DIN temperature compensated probe. Total
175 alkalinity was measured on water samples collected and filtered through 0.45 μm syringes and
176 immediately analysed by titration. The pH_{NIST} was measured at 0.1 ml increments of 0.01 N HCl at 25
177 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ using a Schott Titroline Easy® titration system. Three replicates of 20 ml were analysed. Total
178 alkalinity (A_{T}) was calculated from the Gran function applied to pH variations from 4.2 to 3.0 as mEq
179 l^{-1} from the slope of the curve HCl volume versus pH. Parameters of the CO_2 system were calculated
180 with the free access CO2SYS Systat package using the dissociation constants of Dickson & Millero
181 (Dickson and Millero, 1987) (Table 1). It is worth noting that due to logistical constraints, we could
182 not measure alkalinity for the experiment in 2018-2019, but we did it for the second one in 2019-2020
183 (Table 1). However, as the whole system was rigorously the same for both experimental periods, it is
184 not expected to be an issue.

185

186 2.3 Adult exposure and spawning

187 COTS specimens were kept under the four treatment conditions for a 3-4 month exposure period,
188 overlapping with the COTS natural spawning season in New Caledonia (October-November to
189 February-March; Conand, 1983) (Fig. 1). To date, the only available data on COTS in New Caledonia
190 gives a reproduction season extending from November to February (Conand, 1983). Therefore, we
191 repeated our experiment two years in a row, to perform reproduction both during the early and late
192 known season period, aiming to provide a more specific time frame for COTS natural peak spawning.

193 However, none of the animals were able to reproduce at the start of exposure showing that all of them
194 spent part of their gametogenesis exposed to the treatments. Two fertilisation trials were performed
195 each year using the same specimen: the first at the end of the exposure period, and the second one
196 month later (Fig. 1; ocean temperatures for both experimental periods are provided in Fig. S3). During
197 the first 3 months, COTS were fed twice a week with 50 g of seafood mix (squids, fishes, mussels) per
198 tank. Feeding was stopped after the first fertilisation trial to minimize water pollution. Cumulative
199 mortality was very low, i.e. <7% and 1% by the end of each year's experiments, respectively.
200 Nevertheless, as 4 out of 6 animals died in a single tank (acidification treatment) the first year, we
201 chose to exclude this tank from the analyses.

202 Spawning induction and *in vitro* reproduction were carried out using the methods described by
203 Uthicke et al. (2015). For all steps, we used 1 µm filtered seawater from the corresponding tanks. In
204 each tank, ovaries from each female COTS were collected and rinsed multiple times with sea water to
205 eliminate loose eggs, then placed in maturation-inducing hormone (10^{-4} 1-méthyladenine diluted in
206 ~200 ml of sea water). Testes were then collected from each male, and placed in covered well plates to
207 prevent desiccation. After 60 minutes, eggs were washed through a 500 µm mesh to retrieve eggs
208 without the gonadic envelopes and pooled together resulting in a stock solution of approximately
209 350 eggs ml⁻¹. Two µl of sperm were collected from each male and diluted to 15 ml of seawater. One
210 ml of this mix was then added in the egg stock solution achieving a sperm to egg ratio of
211 approximately 50:1 (Kamya et al., 2014). Gametes of the males and the females were pooled to
212 simulate a population of natural spawners.

213

214 2.4 Oocytes metrics, fertilisation success and Gonado-Somatic Index

215 We used oocyte metrics as quality criteria (Ayukai et al., 1996; Caballes et al., 2016, 2017a). After
216 being released by the 1-méthyladenine, 30-50 oocytes from each female were chosen haphazardly and
217 photographed at x100 magnification with a camera integrated with a Leica DM750 microscope.
218 Diameters of long and short axes were measured using ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012) (Fig.
219 S4). Oocyte sphericity was calculated as the ratio of long and short axes and the oocyte volume was
220 estimated using the following formula:

$$221 \text{Oocyte Volume} = \frac{4}{3} \pi \times (\text{long axis radius})^2 \times \text{short axis radius}$$

222 Oocyte metric data were collected once a year, before mixing the gametes for the first fertilisation
223 trial. After mixing the gametes, egg samples were collected every 5 minutes from t0 to t+20 minutes to
224 account for potential delays in fertilisation (approximately 200 eggs observed per assay). The eggs
225 were examined under microscope (x100; Leica DM750) to determine their fertilisation status based on
226 the presence or absence of a fertilisation envelope (Fig. S5). Fertilisation success was calculated every
227 5 minutes as the ratio of fertilised eggs out of all the eggs observed, resulting in 5 repeated measures
228 for each fertilisation.

229 The Gonado-Somatic Index (GSI) was used as a proxy of sexual maturity; for every animal, it was
230 estimated at the end of the second fertilisation trial from each year using the following formula
231 (Dumas et al., 2016):

$$GSI (\%) = \left(\frac{\frac{W_g}{3} \times N_a}{W_t} \right) \times 100$$

232

233 Where:

234 Wt: total weight of the animal

235 Wg: cumulated weight of the gonads from three consecutive, randomly chosen arms
236 Na: total number of arms

237

238 2.5 Statistical analyses

239 Normality and homogeneity of variances of data on oocyte metrics (maximum diameter, sphericity
240 and volume) and GSI did not improve after transformations. Therefore, we used non-parametric 3-
241 ways permutational ANOVA (PERMANOVA) tests to evaluate the effects of Year (two levels, fixed),
242 Temperature (two levels, fixed) and pH (two levels, fixed) on these response variables. Analyses were
243 conducted using the PERMANOVA+ add-on for PRIMER v.7.0.13 (Primer-E 2017) and used the
244 Euclidean distance, Type III sums of squares, and 999 permutations of the residuals under a reduced
245 model to calculate the significance of the pseudo-F statistics. Pair-wise comparisons were used to
246 further analyse the significant results. Variability in COTS fertilization success across treatments
247 (fixed effects of Year, Temperature, pH and their interactions) was tested using generalized linear
248 mixed-effect models (GLMMs) in which the effects of repeated observations (longitudinal data) on
249 multiple tanks within each experimental set was accounted for using random effects of Tank nested in
250 Set. Data were arcsine transformed and model residuals were checked for normality and
251 homoscedasticity. Autocorrelations in data structure were also tested, and GLMM parameters were
252 corrected for where significant (Pinheiro et al., 2017). GLMMs were computed in R (R Development
253 Core Team) complemented by the NLME package (Pinheiro et al., 2017).
254

255 3. Results

256 3.1 Oocyte metrics

257 Egg volumes and maximum diameters for the first year were significantly lower than the second
258 (Pseudo-F = 136.74; $p = 0.001$ and Pseudo-F = 133.79; $p = 0.001$; respectively), independent of
259 treatments (Fig. 2; Table 2). We found a significant effect of temperature on both the maximum
260 diameter (Pseudo-F = 71.15; $p = 0.001$) and the egg volume (Pseudo-F = 77.81; $p = 0.001$), with
261 smaller eggs for COTS exposed to elevated temperature compared to ambient, independent of the year
262 (Table 2). More complex effects were observed with acidification, as pH alone had an overall negative
263 effect on egg maximum diameters and volumes, though effects varied across years and temperature
264 treatments (Table 2). Antagonistic effects of temperature and pH were detected for the three
265 parameters studied, differing with the year studied (Table 2). For instance, egg volumes were smaller
266 for COTS exposed to pH alone compared to control (mean \pm SE: Volume_{acidification} = $5.28 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{-3}$
267 mm³ vs Volume_{control} = $6.18 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3}$ mm³) but larger in COTS exposed to pH and temperature
268 combined compared to pH alone (mean \pm SE: Volume_{acidification & warming} = $6.03 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3}$ vs Volume
269_{acidification} = $5.28 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{-3}$ mm³) and similar effects were detected for maximum diameters (Fig. 2;
270 Table 2). These antagonistic effects were significant only the first year for the egg maximum diameter
271 and volumes. Finally, egg sphericity was also affected by the combination of warming and
272 acidification in the same way as described above, though the effect was only significant for the second
273 year (Fig. 2; Table 2).

274

275 3.2 Fertilisation success

276 We observed lower fertilization success the first year compared to the second ($F = 84.083$; $p < 0.001$)
277 (Table 3). Fertilisation success was also lower for COTS exposed to elevated temperatures ($F =$
278 379.514 ; $p < 0.001$), with effects that varied across years (interaction Year \times Temperature, $F = 23.378$;
279 $p < 0.001$; Table 3; Fig. 3). A three-fold decrease in fertilization success was found for COTS exposed
280 to elevated temperature treatments (mean \pm SE = $20.8 \pm 7\%$) compared to ambient temperature
281 treatments the first year (mean = \pm SE $77 \pm 8\%$; Fig. 3). Despite also being significant the second year,

282 this effect was much less prevalent (mean \pm SE = 93.6 ± 0.5 vs 96.5 ± 0.7 %). In contrast, no effects of
283 pH on fertilization success were detected, whether alone or in interaction with temperature and/or year
284 (Table 3).

285 286 3.3 Gonado-Somatic Index (GSI)

287 We observed significant differences in GSI between years (Pseudo-F = 4.06; $p = 0.04$), with lower
288 values the first year regardless of the treatments considered (mean \pm SE: 2018-2019 = 4.98 ± 0.7 %,
289 2019-2020 = 6.5 ± 0.5 ; Fig.4). GSI was significantly impacted by temperature (Pseudo-F = 8.69; $p =$
290 0.004 ; Table 4), with lower values for COTS exposed to elevated temperature (4.7 ± 0.6 %) treatments
291 compared to ambient temperature treatments (7.2 ± 0.6 %). There was a non-significant trend that pH
292 was associated with lower GSI values but the overall effect of pH over the two years was above the
293 significance threshold (Pseudo-F = 3.63; $p = 0.06$; Table 4).

294

295 4. Discussion

296 Our study investigated the reproductive outputs of COTS after a 3-4 month adult exposure period to
297 projected near-future ocean conditions. Following the “business-as-usual” scenario (IPCC 2014),
298 animals were exposed to ocean warming (+2 °C) and ocean acidification (pH_{NIST} target 7.75) in a fully
299 crossed design in two consecutive years. We used a combination of metrics, including egg size and
300 shape (Ayukai et al., 1996; Caballes et al., 2016, 2017a), fertilisation success (Uthicke et al., 2013a),
301 and GSI index (Dumas et al., 2016), to test for potential effects of adult exposure to modified seawater
302 conditions. To our knowledge, this is the first study to keep COTS in modified conditions for several
303 months prior to investigating reproductive outputs. We found that adult exposure to ocean warming
304 (+2 °C above current) impacts all the reproductive outputs studied here, while ocean acidification (at
305 0.35 units below current; pH_{NIST} target 7.75) does not have unequivocal effect. We could associate
306 lower GSI with high temperature treatments, but there was no unequivocal effect of pH. However,
307 regarding our hypothesis, we could not demonstrate that eggs were larger nor fertilisation success
308 higher in any experimental treatment. On the contrary, both these parameters were negatively
309 impacted by the +2 °C adult exposure, therefore disagreeing with our main hypothesis. However, a
310 moderate increase of temperature may have detrimental effects on egg quality, fertilisation and gonad
311 index, which would become much prevalent in sub-optimal breeding season. Whether COTS are able
312 to develop transgenerational plasticity responses after a longer period of exposure will require further
313 investigation.

314

315 We observed marked differences among years across all parameters measured, though the
316 experimental design did not change. All reproductive outputs of COTS were significantly higher the
317 second year in comparison with the first, regardless of treatments considered. These differences could
318 result from variable ambient temperatures, as we chose to retain the annual and seasonal natural
319 variability. However, all fertilisation trials were done at similar temperatures in 2018-2019 and 2019-
320 2020 (26.5-27 °C in ambient temperature treatments; 28.5-29 °C in elevated temperature treatments).
321 Moreover, the variation patterns were globally similar during the exposure periods for both years, with
322 the exception of slightly higher peak temperatures (> 29 °C) reached in 2018-2019 (Fig. 1). Another
323 parameter that could generate discrepancies among years is the timing of the fertilisation trials within
324 the spawning period. Due to logistical and technical constraints, fertilisation was performed at the end
325 of the natural breeding season the first year (early February and March) but was moved to mid-
326 December/mid-January the second year, closer to the beginning of the COTS natural spawning period
327 (Conand, 1983). Our results showed that animals from the second year trials had better reproductive
328 outputs as fertilisation were conducted early on the breeding season proposed by Conand (1983).
329 These results allow us to propose a more specific time frame for the peak spawning of COTS in New
330 Caledonia, which would indeed likely occurs around the end of the calendar year. COTS usually start
331 spawning when temperature reaches a certain temperature threshold, dependent on the latitude (e.g.
332 ~28 °C on the Great Barrier Reef; Lucas, 1973; Pratchett et al., 2014). Our results suggest that this

333 triggering temperature for COTS reproduction would likely be around 26.0-26.5 °C in New Caledonia,
334 a temperature generally reached in early December. It is possible that COTS from the second year
335 experiment had better reproductive success because their exposure period started at the onset of the
336 gametogenesis and therefore the spawning was induced at the natural peak of reproduction when the
337 gonad quality was the highest. Nevertheless, COTS in the late breeding season trial also had high
338 fertilisation success, though it drastically fell under elevated temperature.

339

340 It has been documented that COTS grow and mature new gonads each year, following an
341 annual cycle which is highly dependent on latitude, and therefore temperature (Mita et al., 2016;
342 Pratchett et al., 2014). Here, the reproductive outputs of COTS were lower under elevated temperature
343 (28.5-29 °C) compared to ambient conditions (26.5-27 °C). Nevertheless, the detrimental effects of
344 temperature were much more prevalent the first year as compared to the second. For instance, animals
345 exposed to elevated temperature had their fertilisation success reduced by more than threefold the first
346 year (mean 77% in ambient temperature vs 20.8% in elevated temperature). The second year, although
347 still significant, the effect was much less prevalent (mean 96.5% vs 93.6%, respectively). This
348 suggests that, although fertilisation success was quite resilient at the natural peak spawning period, the
349 negative effects of increased sea surface temperature may predominantly impact COTS fertilisation
350 during other, sub-optimal periods. These results are particularly interesting as they contrast with
351 current literature on COTS and, to a larger extent, on echinoderms (Conand, 1983; Rupp, 1973).
352 Indeed, it is generally accepted that a moderate temperature rise enhances fertilisation, up to a certain
353 physiological limit dependent on the species (Rupp, 1973). This may be attributed to several factors,
354 such as increased motility, velocity and respiratory performances of spermatozooids, a decreased
355 viscosity of warmed water that raises the probability of egg-spermatozoid encounter (Kupriyanova and
356 Havenhand, 2005; Mita et al., 1984), and the loading of protective maternal factors (Hamdoun and
357 Epel, 2007). Our results suggest an opposite, period-specific effect during the spawning cycle, where
358 even a moderate increase in temperature may significantly alter COTS fertilisation success. This could
359 result from a degraded quality of gonads/gametes in the late breeding season, which is supported by
360 the lower eggs metrics measured the first year. Indeed, as the reproduction trials were later the first
361 year compared to the second, animals spent a longer period of time at temperatures above their
362 spawning trigger threshold (26-26.5°C), an impact that could explain why eggs were smaller and GSI
363 lower the first year independent of treatments.

364

365 Another point that supports the thermal stress hypothesis is that all the reproductive outputs
366 we studied here were systematically lower in elevated temperature treatments regardless of the year
367 considered. Additionally, animals in elevated temperatures treatments spent 9-13 weeks in
368 temperatures ranging from 28 to 30.5°C the first year (Fig. 1) against only 1-5 weeks in 28-29°C the
369 second year. This reinforces our hypothesis, showing that animals facing higher and longer thermal
370 stress similar to the first year would have less eggs of lower quality, eventually resulting in
371 catastrophically low fertilisation success. Similarly, a recent study on the sea urchin *Evechinus*
372 *chloroticus* showed that adult exposure to ambient temperature +3 °C for 3 months resulted in
373 negative growth of gonad material entraining a complete loss of reproductive outputs (Delorme and
374 Sewell, 2016). Experiments at elevated seawater temperature in different sea urchins species have
375 shown similar patterns of negative gonad growth (Lawrence et al., 2009; Siikavuopio et al., 2008;
376 Uthicke et al., 2014). For instance, Uthicke et al. (2014) showed that the decrease of gonad
377 development at elevated temperatures is attributed to the high energy demands of increased
378 metabolism at high temperatures for *Echinometra* sp.. There are no previous studies on the effects of
379 future ocean conditions on COTS eggs with or without adult exposure, making these results difficult to
380 compare. Only a few studies so far have tested the effects of parental exposure to both warming and
381 acidification on echinoderm reproductive outputs (Morley et al., 2016; Suckling et al., 2015). Similar
382 to our study, Suckling et al. (2015) found negative impacts of temperature on egg size when adult
383 Antarctic sea urchins *Sterechinus neumayeri* were kept for 6 months in +2 °C treatments. Moreover,
384 Karelitz et al. (2019) also found similar results, with eggs up to 21.8% smaller in female sea urchins
385 *Tripneustes gratilla* reared for 7 weeks in +2 °C treatments. These results highlights the importance of
386 including parental exposure in climate change studies, as the environmental history of broodstock may
387 critically influence the resilience of offspring, i.e. the transgenerational plasticity. Further research on

388 parental exposure of adult COTS to increased temperatures will be needed to confirm these
389 mechanisms.

390

391 In contrast with temperature, the effects of acidification on COTS reproductive outputs were
392 less prevalent. Overall, we did not detect marked impacts of a moderate drop in pH on the measured
393 parameters; acidification to a pH_{NIST} target of 7.75 had no significant effect on fertilisation success or
394 GSI. The research on the effects of ocean acidification on COTS fertilisation has not yet reached a
395 consensus, as multiple studies reveal inconsistent results. For instance, Uthicke et al. (2013a) reported
396 a drop of ~25% in fertilisation at pH_{NBS} 7.70, while other research did not show any decrease down to
397 a pH_{NIST} of 7.60 (Caballes et al., 2017a). In experimental conditions, acidification could reduce COTS
398 spermatozoid performances, such as motility and velocity, in turn reducing fertilisation success at low
399 sperm concentration (Gonzalez-Bernat et al., 2013; Uthicke et al., 2013a) and increasing polyspermy
400 rates (Reuter et al., 2011). In the natural environment, however, COTS reproduction in outbreak
401 densities involve massive synchronous spawning of highly fertile animals for which gametic activity is
402 not likely to limit fertilisation (Pratchett et al., 2014).

403

404 We also did not observe univocal effects of pH on egg size or shape, instead demonstrating
405 antagonistic effects of pH and temperature, with various levels of significance depending on the year
406 and parameters considered. While smaller eggs were associated with acidification alone, egg size
407 eventually increased under specific combinations of temperature, pH and periods. Amongst the studies
408 that have investigated the combined effects of pH and temperature on echinoderms, it has been
409 suggested that rising temperatures could buffer the detrimental effects of acidification (review in
410 Byrne and Przeslawski, 2013), though not reverse it. Keeping in mind the intrinsic limitations of this
411 study, e.g. the logistical constraints of a restricted number of replicates and only two years, our results
412 suggest complex interactions of lower pH combined with rising temperature on COTS gametogenesis.
413 Finally, it is important to underline that differences in timing relative to the reproduction period, as
414 suggested by our study, along with differences in exposure period length, could affect study outcomes.
415 Indeed, we show some detrimental effects on animal reproductive outputs after a 3-4 month exposure
416 period which coincide with the exposure period and outcomes from Suckling et al. (2015).
417 Nevertheless, longer periods of exposure could allow animals to acclimate their physiology to stressful
418 conditions, resulting in less prevalent effects (Karelitz et al., 2020; Suckling et al., 2015). Indeed, a
419 recent study from with a comparable design to ours found that future acidification and warming does
420 not affect egg size of the coral reef sea urchin *Echinometra* sp. after 20 months of adult exposure
421 (Karelitz et al., 2020). This underscores the necessity of including parental exposure periods of
422 sufficient length to study the impact of projected near-future ocean conditions on the reproductive
423 outputs of echinoderms and, to a larger extent, marine taxa.

424

425 Studies focusing on the impact of global change on echinoderms do not usually include a
426 phase of adult exposure prior to experiments. In most cases, fertilisation is performed under standard
427 (ambient) conditions, with eggs or larvae directly exposed to the target treatments (review in Byrne,
428 2012). This is partly due to logistical and technical constraints, which tend to increase exponentially
429 with the size and number of species, conditions and replicates. However, it has been previously
430 showed that acclimating echinoderms to ocean acidification with minimum losses is feasible
431 (reviewed in Dupont et al., 2013), including a study focusing on a starfish species (Hernroth et al.,
432 2011). Here, we demonstrated that keeping large adult COTS in warmed/acidified conditions for
433 several months was achievable with minimal mortality, even in the absence of optimal food. We
434 worried that the absence of fresh coral food would have detrimental effects on COTS reproductive
435 outputs, as it is known that quantity and quality of food can substantially alter echinoderm
436 reproduction (George, 1995). A single COTS of similar size than the ones in this study can consume
437 up to 155-234 cm^2 of live coral per day (Keesing and Lucas, 1992). Feeding large numbers of adult
438 COTS for a prolonged duration as in this study would have required collecting tremendous quantities
439 of live corals, which is not allowed under current New Caledonia environmental regulation.
440 Alternatively, COTS in our experiments were fed with a mix of seafood (see Methods section),
441 considered to be the best alternative to keep starfish for prolonged periods in aquaria (Böttger et al.,

442 2004). However, very high reproductive success was eventually reached (e.g. >90%), consistent with
443 reproduction trials on COTS fed with non-preferred corals species or even starved (Caballes et al.,
444 2016). As these authors also emphasized potential carry over effects, from slowdowns to a complete
445 stop in larval development, additional studies should also focus on subsequent biological stages. That
446 being said, it would be interesting to extend the experiments performed in this study to the larval
447 development phase, to test if larvae from COTS fed with sub-optimal food would develop at different
448 rates depending on the treatments.

449 In conclusion, we suggest that COTS reproduction may be relatively resilient and would not
450 be significantly affected by near-future changes in the ocean conditions (specifically warming and
451 acidification), at least when fertilisation occurs during the natural peak spawning period. However,
452 moving away from this specific time frame towards an increase in temperature, even moderate, entails
453 detrimental impacts on egg quality, quantity, and fertilisation success. In New Caledonia, the breeding
454 season is relatively condensed in the year compared to lower latitudes, like Guam (Conand, 1983).
455 Temperature increases may shift the peak spawning period earlier in the year, as observed in the
456 neighbouring archipelago of Vanuatu (Dumas et al., 2016). Ultimately, it might even extend the whole
457 reproduction window, as is commonly observed at lower latitudes where reproduction extends
458 throughout the year (e.g., Guam, Cheney, 1974 or Palau, Idip, 2003). Nevertheless, exceeding the
459 upper limits of COTS thermal tolerance may counterbalance the process, given the detrimental effects
460 on fertilisation and the subsequent stages of development (Caballes et al., 2017b; Kanya et al., 2014).
461 COTS outbreaks are a rising threat across the Indo-Pacific, the patterns and processes of which are not
462 fully understood. Further research will clarify whether the predicted effects are of sufficient magnitude
463 to significantly alter current trends.

464

465 **Acknowledgements**

466 The authors are particularly grateful to Richard Farman, director of the Aquarium des Lagons in
467 Noumea, for hosting our experiments. We also wish to express our warmest thanks to the aquarium
468 staff for their logistical support, in particular Sylvain Govan and Jeff Dubosc.

469

470 **Competing interests**

471 The authors declare no competing interest.

472

473 **References**

- 474 Adjeroud, M., Kayal, M., Peignon, C., Juncker, M., Mills, S.C., Beldade, R., Dumas, P., 2018.
475 Ephemeral and localized outbreaks of the coral predator *Acanthaster cf. solaris* in the
476 southwestern lagoon of new caledonia. *Zool. Stud.* 57, 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.6620/ZS.2018.57-](https://doi.org/10.6620/ZS.2018.57-04)
477 04
- 478 Allen, J.D., Schrage, K.R., Foo, S.A., Watson, S.A., Byrne, M., 2017. The effects of salinity and pH
479 on fertilization, early development, and hatching in the crown-of-thorns seastar. *Diversity* 9, 13.
480 <https://doi.org/10.3390/d9010013>
- 481 Allen, R.M., Buckley, Y.M., Marshall, D.J., 2008. Offspring Size Plasticity in Response to
482 Intraspecific Competition: An Adaptive Maternal Effect across Life-History Stages. *Am. Nat.*
483 171, 225–237. <https://doi.org/10.1086/524952>
- 484 Ayukai, T., Keesing, J.K., Cartwright, C.M., Halford, A.R., Hocking, D., Okaji, K., 1996. Practical
485 handbook for large scale rearing of the larvae and juveniles of crown-of-thorns starfish. *AIMS*
486 *Rep.* 22, 1–29.

- 487 Bellwood, D.R., Hughes, T.P., Folke, C., Nyström, M., 2004. Confronting the coral reef crisis. *Nature*
488 429, 827–833. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02691>
- 489 Böttger, S.A., Walker, C.W., Unuma, T., 2004. Care and Maintenance of Adult Echinoderms, in:
490 *Methods in Cell Biology*. pp. 17–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-679X\(04\)74002-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-679X(04)74002-9)
- 491 Brodie, J., Fabricius, K., De'ath, G., Okaji, K., 2005. Are increased nutrient inputs responsible for
492 more outbreaks of crown-of-thorns starfish? An appraisal of the evidence. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 51,
493 266–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.10.035>
- 494 Bruno, J.F., Selig, E.R., 2007. Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: Timing, extent, and
495 subregional comparisons. *PLoS One* 2. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000711>
- 496 Buttin, J., 2018. Caractérisation, suivi et impacts écologiques de population d'*Acanthaster cf. solaris*
497 en explosion démographique, au sein du lagon Sud-Ouest de la Nouvelle-Calédonie. Nouméa,
498 Nouvelle Calédonie.
- 499 Byrne, M., 2012. Global change ecotoxicology: Identification of early life history bottlenecks in
500 marine invertebrates, variable species responses and variable experimental approaches. *Mar.*
501 *Environ. Res.* 76, 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.10.004>
- 502 Byrne, M., Foo, S.A., Ross, P.M., Putnam, H.M., 2020. Limitations of cross- and multigenerational
503 plasticity for marine invertebrates faced with global climate change. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 26, 80–
504 102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14882>
- 505 Byrne, M., Przeslawski, R., 2013. Multistressor Impacts of Warming and Acidification of the Ocean
506 on Marine Invertebrates' Life Histories. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 53, 582–596.
507 <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ict049>
- 508 Caballes, C.F., Pratchett, M.S., Buck, A.C.E., 2017a. Interactive effects of endogenous and exogenous
509 nutrition on larval development for crown-of-thorns starfish. *Diversity* 9, 15.
510 <https://doi.org/10.3390/d9010015>
- 511 Caballes, C.F., Pratchett, M.S., Kerr, A.M., Rivera-Posada, J.A., 2016. The role of maternal nutrition
512 on oocyte size and quality, with respect to early larval development in the coral-eating starfish,
513 *Acanthaster planci*. *PLoS One* 11, e0158007. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158007>
- 514 Caballes, C.F., Pratchett, M.S., Raymundo, M.L., Rivera-Posada, J.A., 2017b. Environmental tipping
515 points for sperm motility, fertilization, and embryonic development in the crown-of-thorns
516 starfish. *Diversity* 9, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d9010010>
- 517 Cheney, D.P., 1974. Spawning and aggregation of *Acanthaster planci* in Micronesia, in: *Proceedings*
518 *of the Second International Coral Reef Symposium*. pp. 1, 591–594.
- 519 Conand, C., 1983. Abondance, cycle sexuel et relations biometriques de l'étoile de mer *Acanthaster*
520 *planci* en Nouvelle-Caledonie 43.
- 521 Cornwall, C.E., Hurd, C.L., 2016. Original article experimental design in ocean acidification research:
522 Problems and solutions. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 73, 572–581. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv118>
- 523 De' Ath, G., Fabricius, K.E., Sweatman, H., Puotinen, M., 2012. The 27-year decline of coral cover on
524 the Great Barrier Reef and its causes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 17995–17999.
525 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208909109>
- 526 Delorme, N.J., Sewell, M.A., 2016. Effects of warm acclimation on physiology and gonad
527 development in the sea urchin *Evechinus chloroticus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol.*
528 *Integr. Physiol.* 198, 33–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.03.020>
- 529 Dickson, A.G., Millero, F.J., 1987. A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the dissociation of
530 carbonic acid in seawater media. *Deep Sea Res. Part A. Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 34, 1733–1743.
531 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(87\)90021-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90021-5)

- 532 Dumas, P., Gereva, S., Moutardier, G., Ham, J., Kaku, R., 2015. Collective action and lime juice fight
533 crown-of-thorns starfish outbreaks in Vanuatu. SPC Fish. Newsl. 47–52.
- 534 Dumas, P., Moutardier, G., Ham, J., Kaku, R., Gereva, S., Lefèvre, J., Adjeroud, M., 2016. Timing
535 within the reproduction cycle modulates the efficiency of village-based crown-of-thorns starfish
536 removal. Biol. Conserv. 204, 237–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.10.027>
- 537 Dupont, S., Dorey, N., Stumpp, M., Melzner, F., Thorndyke, M., 2013. Long-term and trans-life-cycle
538 effects of exposure to ocean acidification in the green sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus*
539 *droebachiensis*. Mar. Biol. 160, 1835–1843. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-1921-x>
- 540 Fabricius, K.E., Okaji, K., De'ath, G., 2010. Three lines of evidence to link outbreaks of the crown-of-
541 thorns seastar *Acanthaster planci* to the release of larval food limitation. Coral Reefs 29, 593–
542 605. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-010-0628-z>
- 543 George, S.B., 1995. Echinoderm egg and larval quality as a function of adult nutritional state.
544 Oceanol. Acta 19.
- 545 Gonzalez-Bernat, M.J., Lamare, M., Barker, M., 2013. Effects of reduced seawater pH on fertilisation,
546 embryogenesis and larval development in the Antarctic seastar *Odontaster validus*. Polar Biol.
547 36, 235–247. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-012-1255-7>
- 548 Hamdoun, A., Epel, D., 2007. Embryo stability and vulnerability in an always changing world. Proc.
549 Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 1745–1750. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610108104>
- 550 Hernroth, B., Baden, S., Thorndyke, M., Dupont, S., 2011. Immune suppression of the echinoderm
551 *Asterias rubens* (L.) following long-term ocean acidification. Aquat. Toxicol. 103, 222–224.
552 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.03.001>
- 553 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P.J., Hooten, A.J., Steneck, R.S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Harvell,
554 C.D., Sale, P.F., Edwards, A.J., Caldeira, K., Knowlton, N., Eakin, C.M., Iglesias-Prieto, R.,
555 Muthiga, N., Bradbury, R.H., Dubi, A., Hatziolos, M.E., 2007. Coral reefs under rapid climate
556 change and ocean acidification. Science 318, 1737–1742.
557 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1152509>
- 558 Hughes, T.P., Barnes, M.L., Bellwood, D.R., Cinner, J.E., Cumming, G.S., Jackson, J.B.C., Kleypas,
559 J., Van De Leemput, I.A., Lough, J.M., Morrison, T.H., Palumbi, S.R., Van Nes, E.H., Scheffer,
560 M., 2017a. Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. Nature 546, 82–90.
561 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22901>
- 562 Hughes, T.P., Graham, N.A.J., Jackson, J.B.C., Mumby, P.J., Steneck, R.S., 2010. Rising to the
563 challenge of sustaining coral reef resilience. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 633–642.
564 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.07.011>
- 565 Hughes, T.P., Kerry, J.T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J.G., Anderson, K.D., Baird, A.H.,
566 Babcock, R.C., Beger, M., Bellwood, D.R., Berkelmans, R., Bridge, T.C., Butler, I.R., Byrne,
567 M., Cantin, N.E., Comeau, S., Connolly, S.R., Cumming, G.S., Dalton, S.J., Diaz-Pulido, G.,
568 Eakin, C.M., Figueira, W.F., Gilmour, J.P., Harrison, H.B., Heron, S.F., Hoey, A.S., Hobbs,
569 J.P.A., Hoogenboom, M.O., Kennedy, E. V., Kuo, C.Y., Lough, J.M., Lowe, R.J., Liu, G.,
570 McCulloch, M.T., Malcolm, H.A., McWilliam, M.J., Pandolfi, J.M., Pears, R.J., Pratchett, M.S.,
571 Schoepf, V., Simpson, T., Skirving, W.J., Sommer, B., Torda, G., Wachenfeld, D.R., Willis,
572 B.L., Wilson, S.K., 2017b. Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature 543,
573 373–377. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21707>
- 574 Idip, D.J., 2003. Annual reproduction cycle of *Acanthaster planci* (L.) in Palau, in: Proceedings of the
575 First Coral Reef Conference, Palau International Coral Reef Center Publication. pp. 04–001, 87–
576 91.

- 577 IPCC, 2014. Climate change 2014: synthesis report. In: Pachauri, R.K., Meyer, L.A. (Eds.),
578 Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
579 Panel on Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, p. 151.
580
- 581 Kamyra, P.Z., Byrne, M., Graba-Landry, A., Dworjanyn, S.A., 2016. Near-future ocean acidification
582 enhances the feeding rate and development of the herbivorous juveniles of the crown-of-thorns
583 starfish, *Acanthaster planci*. *Coral Reefs* 35, 1241–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1480-6>
584
- 585 Kamyra, P.Z., Byrne, M., Mos, B., Dworjanyn, S.A., 2018. Enhanced performance of juvenile crown
586 of thorns starfish in a warm-high CO₂ ocean exacerbates poor growth and survival of their coral
587 prey. *Coral Reefs* 37, 751–762. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-018-1699-5>
- 588 Kamyra, P.Z., Byrne, M., Mos, B., Hall, L., Dworjanyn, S.A., 2017. Indirect effects of ocean
589 acidification drive feeding and growth of juvenile crown of- thorns starfish, *Acanthaster planci*.
590 *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 284, 20170778. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0778>
- 591 Kamyra, P.Z., Dworjanyn, S.A., Hardy, N., Mos, B., Uthicke, S., Byrne, M., 2014. Larvae of the coral
592 eating crown-of-thorns starfish, *Acanthaster planci* in a warmer-high CO₂ ocean. *Glob. Chang.*
593 *Biol.* 20, 3365–3376. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12530>
- 594 Karelitz, S., Lamare, M., Patel, F., Gemmell, N., Uthicke, S., 2020. Parental acclimation to future
595 ocean conditions increases development rates but decreases survival in sea urchin larvae. *Mar.*
596 *Biol.* 167, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-019-3610-5>
- 597 Karelitz, S., Lamare, M.D., Mos, B., De Bari, H., Dworjanyn, S.A., Byrne, M., 2019. Impact of
598 growing up in a warmer, lower pH future on offspring performance: transgenerational plasticity
599 in a pan-tropical sea urchin. *Coral Reefs* 38, 1085–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-019-01855-z>
600
- 601 Kayal, M., Vercelloni, J., Lison de Loma, T., Bosserelle, P., Chancerelle, Y., Geoffroy, S., Stievenart,
602 C., Michonneau, F., Penin, L., Planes, S., Adjeroud, M., 2012. Predator Crown-of-Thorns
603 Starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) Outbreak, Mass Mortality of Corals, and Cascading Effects on Reef
604 Fish and Benthic Communities. *PLoS One* 7. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047363>
- 605 Keesing, J.K., Lucas, J.S., 1992. Field measurement of feeding and movement rates of the crown-of-
606 thorns starfish *Acanthaster planci* (L.). *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* 156, 89–104.
607 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(92\)90018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(92)90018-6)
- 608 Kupriyanova, E. k., Havenhand, J. n., 2005. Effects of temperature on sperm swimming behaviour,
609 respiration and fertilization success in the serpulid polychaete, *Galeolaria caespitosa* (annelida:
610 Serpulidae). *Invertebr. Reprod. Dev.* 48, 7–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07924259.2005.9652166>
- 611 Lamare, M., Pecorino, D., Hardy, N., Liddy, M., Byrne, M., Uthicke, S., 2014. The thermal tolerance
612 of crown-of-thorns (*Acanthaster planci*) embryos and bipinnaria larvae: Implications for spatial
613 and temporal variation in adult populations. *Coral Reefs* 33, 207–219.
614 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1112-3>
- 615 Lawrence, J.M., Cao, X., Chang, Y., Wang, P., Yu, Y., Lawrence, A.L., Watts, S.A., 2009.
616 Temperature Effect on Feed Consumption, Absorption, and Assimilation Efficiencies and
617 Production of the Sea Urchin *Strongylocentrotus intermedius*. *J. Shellfish Res.* 28, 389–395.
618 <https://doi.org/10.2983/035.028.0223>
- 619 Leray, M., Béraud, M., Anker, A., Chancerelle, Y., Mills, S.C., 2012. *Acanthaster planci* outbreak:
620 Decline in coral health, coral size structure modification and consequences for obligate decapod
621 assemblages. *PLoS One* 7, e35456. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035456>
- 622 Levitan, D.R., 2006. The relationship between egg size and fertilization success in broadcast-spawning
623 marine invertebrates. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 46, 298–311. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icj025>

- 624 Lucas, J.S., 1973. Reproductive and larval biology of *Acanthaster planci* (L.) in Great Barrier Reef
625 Waters. *Micronesica* 9, 197–203.
- 626 Mita, M., Hino, A., Yasumasu, I., 1984. Effect of Temperature on Interaction Between Eggs and
627 Spermatozoa of Sea Urchin. *Biol. Bull.* 166, 68–77. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1541431>
- 628 Mita, M., Murata, R., Nakamura, M., 2016. Seasonal Changes in Gonads of Crown-of-thorns Starfish ,
629 *Acanthaster planci*. *Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. Div. Nat. Sci.* 68, 65 ~ 72.
- 630 Morley, S.A., Suckling, C.C., Clark, M.S., Cross, E.L., Peck, L.S., 2016. Long-term effects of altered
631 pH and temperature on the feeding energetics of the Antarctic sea urchin, *Sterechinus neumayeri*.
632 *Biodiversity* 17, 34–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2016.1174956>
- 633 O'Connor, C., Mulley, J.C., 1977. Temperature effects on periodicity and embryology, with
634 observations on the population genetics, of the aquacultural echinoid *Heliocidaris tuberculata*.
635 *Aquaculture* 12, 99–114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486\(77\)90176-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(77)90176-4)
- 636 Palumbi, S.R., 1999. All males are not created equal: Fertility differences depend on gamete
637 recognition polymorphisms in sea urchins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 96, 12632–12637.
638 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.22.12632>
- 639 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., Heisterkamp, S., Van Willigen, B., Maintainer, R.,
640 2017. Package 'nlme.' Linear nonlinear Mix. Eff. Model. version 3.
- 641 Pratchett, M., Caballes, C., Rivera-Posada, J., Sweatman, H., 2014. Limits to Understanding and
642 Managing Outbreaks of Crown--of--Thorns Starfish (*Acanthaster* spp.), in: *Oceanography and*
643 *Marine Biology: An Annual Review*. pp. 133–200. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b17143-4>
- 644 Reuter, K.E., Lotterhos, K.E., Crim, R.N., Thompson, C.A., Harley, C.D.G., 2011. Elevated pCO₂
645 increases sperm limitation and risk of polyspermy in the red sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus*
646 *franciscanus*. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 17, 163–171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02216.x>
- 647 Ross, P.M., Parker, L., Byrne, M., 2016. Transgenerational responses of molluscs and echinoderms to
648 changing ocean conditions. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 73, 537–549.
649 <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv254>
- 650 Rupp, J.H., 1973. Effects of temperature on fertilization and early cleavage of some tropical
651 echinoderms, with emphasis on *Echinometra mathaei*. *Mar. Biol.* 23, 183–189.
652 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00389483>
- 653 Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
654 analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 671–675. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>
- 655 Siikavuopio, S.I., Mortensen, A., Christiansen, J.S., 2008. Effects of body weight and temperature on
656 feed intake, gonad growth and oxygen consumption in green sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus*
657 *droebachiensis*. *Aquaculture* 281, 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.05.033>
- 658 Sparks, K.M., Foo, S.A., Uthicke, S., Byrne, M., Lamare, M., 2017. Paternal identity influences
659 response of *Acanthaster planci* embryos to ocean acidification and warming. *Coral Reefs* 36,
660 325–338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1505-1>
- 661 Suckling, C.C., Clark, M.S., Richard, J., Morley, S.A., Thorne, M.A.S., Harper, E.M., Peck, L.S.,
662 2015. Adult acclimation to combined temperature and pH stressors significantly enhances
663 reproductive outcomes compared to short-term exposures. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 84, 773–784.
664 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12316>
- 665 Sulu, R., Cumming, R., Wantiez, L., Kumar, L., Mulipola, A., Lober, M., Sauni, S., Poulasi, T.,
666 Pakoa, K., 2002. Status of coral reefs in Southwest Pacific to 2002: Fiji, Nauru, New Caledonia,
667 Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tuvalu, Vanuatu. *Status of Coral Reefs of the World* 1–22.

- 668 Uthicke, S., Liddy, M., Nguyen, H.D., Byrne, M., 2014. Interactive effects of near-future temperature
669 increase and ocean acidification on physiology and gonad development in adult Pacific sea
670 urchin, *Echinometra* sp. A. Coral Reefs 33, 831–845. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-1165-y>
- 671 Uthicke, S., Logan, M., Liddy, M., Francis, D., Hardy, N., Lamare, M., 2015. Climate change as an
672 unexpected co-factor promoting coral eating seastar (*Acanthaster planci*) outbreaks. Sci. Rep. 5,
673 8402. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08402>
- 674 Uthicke, Sven, Pecorino, D., Albright, R., Negri, A.P., Cantin, N., Liddy, M., Dworjanyn, S., Kamya,
675 P., Byrne, M., Lamare, M., 2013a. Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Early Life-History Stages
676 and Settlement of the Coral-Eating Sea Star *Acanthaster planci*. PLoS One 8, e82938.
677 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082938>
- 678 Uthicke, S., Soars, N., Foo, S., Byrne, M., 2013b. Effects of elevated pCO₂ and the effect of parent
679 acclimation on development in the tropical Pacific sea urchin *Echinometra mathaei*. Mar. Biol.
680 160, 1913–1926. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-2023-5>
- 681
- 682

Temperature affects the reproductive outputs of coral-eating starfish *Acanthaster* spp. after adult exposure to near-future ocean warming and acidification

Table 1. Seawater physicochemical conditions for the 2019-2020 adult exposure experiment

<i>Treatment</i>	<i>pH_{NIST}</i>	<i>Temperature</i>	<i>DIC</i> ($\mu\text{mol kg-1}$)	<i>pCO₂</i> (μatm)	<i>HCO₃³⁻</i> ($\mu\text{mol kg-1}$)	<i>CO₃²⁻</i> ($\mu\text{mol kg-1}$)	<i>A_T</i> ($\mu\text{mol kg-1}$)	Ω <i>Ca</i>	Ω <i>Ar</i>	<i>Salinity</i>
<i>Control</i>	8,01 ± 0,01	Ambient	2199,6 ± 7,2	548.4 ± 11.3	1981 ± 7,7	203,5 ± 2,05	2473.4 ± 6.9	4.91 ± 0.07	3.24 ± 0.04	34,83 ± 0,01
<i>Acidification</i>	7,74 ± 0,002	Ambient	2309,5 ± 7,1	989.2 ± 17.3	2149,3 ± 7,2	132,9 ± 1,4	2470.5 ± 6.8	3.20 ± 0.05	2.12 ± 0.03	34,81 ± 0,01
<i>Warming</i>	8,00 ± 0,006	Ambient +2°C	2183,8 ± 7	555.2 ± 6.1	1957,1 ± 7,1	212,1 ± 1,5	2470.2 ± 6.9	5.14 ± 0.03	3.42 ± 0.02	34,82 ± 0,01
<i>Acidification & Warming</i>	7,72 ± 0,01	Ambient +2°C	2298,4 ± 7,1	1022.7 ± 16.6	2133,3 ± 7,5	138,4 ± 1,9	2467.7 ± 6.6	3.35 ± 0.04	2.23 ± 0.03	34,82 ± 0,01

Values for DIC (Dissolved Inorganic Carbon), pCO₂, HCO₃³⁻, CO₃²⁻, Ω calcite and Ω aragonite were calculated from salinity, temperature, pH_{NIST} and total alkalinity using the CO2sys software (means ± SE). Temperature values are presented separately in Fig. 1, as they varied seasonally and could not be averaged. (n=30 except for pH where n=435). In 2018-2019, pH values were (mean ± SE): 8.08 ± 0.03 (Control); 7.75 ± 0.001 (Acidification); 8.06 ± 0.02 (Warming), 7.75 ± 0.03 (Acidification & Warming); n=415 for the 4 treatments.

Table 2. Results of PERMANOVA analyses performed on egg metrics (maximum diameter, volume and sphericity) of COTS subjected to different levels of temperature and pH on two experimental years.

<i>Source of variation</i>	<i>Maximum Diameter</i>				<i>Volume</i>				<i>Sphericity</i>			
	df	SS	Pseudo-F	p (perm)	df	SS	Pseudo-F	p (perm)	df	SS	Pseudo-F	p (perm)
<i>Year</i>	1	0.096	136.74	0.001	1	590.44	133.79	0.001	1	0.005	1.351	0.238
<i>Temperature</i>	1	0.05	71.156	0.001	1	343.38	77.81	0.001	1	0.001	0.366	0.546
<i>pH</i>	1	0.003	5.002	0.034	1	15.911	3.605	0.057	1	0.002	0.498	0.482
<i>Year × Temperature</i>	1	0.001	2.124	0.141	1	16.84	3.816	0.053	1	1.20E-05	0.002	0.963
<i>Year × pH</i>	1	0.007	10.635	0.002	1	40.201	9.109	0.005	1	2.76E-05	0.006	0.944
<i>Temperature × pH</i>	1	0.058	82.078	0.001	1	558.68	126.6	0.001	1	0.103	25.058	0.001
<i>Temperature × pH × Year</i>	1	0.03	0.03	0.001	1	135.1	30.61	0.001	1	0.02	4.897	0.02
<i>Residuals</i>	3370	2.387			3370				3370	0.004		

Table 3: Results of generalised linear mixed-effect models (GLMMs) on the fertilisation success of COTS subjected to different levels of temperature and pH on two experimental years.

<i>Fertilisation success</i>				
<i>Source of variation</i>	numdf	denDF	F-value	p-value
<i>Year</i>	1	15	84.083	<u><0.001</u>
<i>Temperature</i>	2	15	379.514	<u><0.001</u>
<i>pH</i>	1	15	0.011	0.915
<i>Year × Temperature</i>	1	15	23.378	<u><0.001</u>
<i>Year × pH</i>	1	15	<0.001	0.850
<i>Temperature × pH</i>	1	15	0.036	0.993
<i>Year × Temperature × pH</i>	1	15	0.005	0.941

Table 4: Results of the permutational ANOVA (PERMANOVA) performed on the Gonado-Somatic-Index (GSI) of COTS subjected to different levels of temperature and pH on two experimental years.

<i>Gonado-Somatic Index (GSI)</i>				
<i>Source of variation</i>	df	SS	Pseudo-F	p (perm)
<i>Year</i>	1	101.58	4.061	<u>0.047</u>
<i>Temperature</i>	1	217.39	8.691	<u>0.004</u>
<i>pH</i>	1	90.918	3.635	0.061
<i>Year × Temperature</i>	1	1.254	5.014E-2	0.819
<i>Year × pH</i>	1	55.198	2.207	0.148
<i>Temperature × pH</i>	1	49.958	1.997	0.168
<i>Year × Temperature × pH</i>	1	4.711	0.188	0.646
<i>Residuals</i>	153			




Figure 1. Variation of seawater temperatures over the experimental periods for the four treatments. Colour lines represent mean temperature for each treatment (n=3 replicates) through the whole exposure periods: solid line for the first experimental period 2018-2019, n=130 days and dashed lines for the second experimental period 2019-2020, n=145 days). The arrows mark indicate the fertilisation trials.




Figure 2. Effects of warming and acidification on maximum diameter, volume and sphericity of COTS eggs. Results of the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 trials across the four experimental treatments. Bold horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes enclose the upper and lower quartiles of the data, whiskers mark the maximum and minimum values excluding outliers, and the dots show outliers. Bold squares indicate the mean values for each treatment. Sample sizes for 2018-2019 = 269-312. Sample sizes for 2019-2020: 543-565.




Figure 3. Effects of warming and acidification on COTS fertilisation success. Results of the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 trials across the four experimental treatments. Bold horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes enclose the upper and lower quartiles of the data, whiskers mark the maximum and minimum values excluding outliers, and the dots show outliers. Bold squares indicate the mean values for each treatment. Sample sizes for 2018-2019 = 30 per treatment except for acidification treatment where $n = 20$. Sample size for 2019-2020: 30 for all treatments.




Figure 4: Effects of warming and acidification on COTS Gonado-Somatic-Index (GSI). Results of the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 trials across the four experimental treatments. Bold horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes enclose the upper and lower quartiles of the data, whiskers mark the maximum and minimum values excluding outliers, and the dots show outliers. Bold squares indicate the mean values for each treatment. Sample sizes for 2018-2019 = 18 animals for control and warming treatments, 17 for acidification and warming and 13 for acidification. Sample size for 2019-2020: 24 animals for all treatments except acidification and warming 23.