



A database of freshwater fish species of the Amazon Basin

Céline Jézéquel, Pablo A Tedesco, Remy Bigorne, Javier Maldonado-Ocampo, Hernan Ortega, Max Hidalgo, Koen Martens, Gislene Torrente-Vilara, Jansen Zuanon, Astrid Acosta, et al.

► To cite this version:

Céline Jézéquel, Pablo A Tedesco, Remy Bigorne, Javier Maldonado-Ocampo, Hernan Ortega, et al.. A database of freshwater fish species of the Amazon Basin. *Scientific Data*, 2020, 7 (1), 10.1038/s41597-020-0436-4 . ird-02558001

HAL Id: ird-02558001

<https://ird.hal.science/ird-02558001>

Submitted on 23 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

SCIENTIFIC DATA



OPEN

DATA DESCRIPTOR

A database of freshwater fish species of the Amazon Basin

Céline Jézéquel *et al.*[#]

The Amazon Basin is an unquestionable biodiversity hotspot, containing the highest freshwater biodiversity on earth and facing off a recent increase in anthropogenic threats. The current knowledge on the spatial distribution of the freshwater fish species is greatly deficient in this basin, preventing a comprehensive understanding of this hyper-diverse ecosystem as a whole. Filling this gap was the priority of a transnational collaborative project, *i.e.* the AmazonFish project - <https://www.amazon-fish.com/>. Relying on the outputs of this project, we provide the most complete fish species distribution records covering the whole Amazon drainage. The database, including 2,406 validated freshwater native fish species, 232,936 georeferenced records, results from an extensive survey of species distribution including 590 different sources (*e.g.* published articles, grey literature, online biodiversity databases and scientific collections from museums and universities worldwide) and field expeditions conducted during the project. This database, delivered at both georeferenced localities (21,500 localities) and sub-drainages grains (144 units), represents a highly valuable source of information for further studies on freshwater fish biodiversity, biogeography and conservation.

Background & Summary

The Amazon Basin covers more than 6,000,000 km², produces about 20% of the world's freshwater discharge^{1–3} and contains the highest freshwater richness on Earth⁴. This is especially true for Amazonian fishes that represent ~15% of all freshwater fish species described worldwide^{5,6}. The processes having generated this highly diverse fish fauna are incompletely understood. However, low rates of species extinction over several millions of years due to the diversity in aquatic habitats and the stability in favourable climatic conditions are most probably involved^{7,8}. Compared to other large riverine ecosystems on Earth, the Amazon Basin and its fish fauna are still in a relatively good state of conservation^{9,10}. Nevertheless, recent expansion of infrastructures and economic activities are likely to endanger this fish fauna in the near future due to the substantial increase in threats such as habitat fragmentation and river flow modification by dams, deforestation, roads, mining, urban and/or agricultural pollutions, species introduction and overfishing¹¹. Climate change will probably exacerbate these threats further amplifying changes in the structure and function of fish communities^{11,12}.

Our knowledge on fish species occurrence and spatial distribution within the Amazon Basin is far from complete. Numerous new species are described each year^{13,14} and some large areas are still unknown in several portions of the basin^{15,16}. This was among the key motivations of the transnational collaborative project AmazonFish (<https://www.amazon-fish.com/>) that aimed to compile the most complete and up-to-date information currently available on freshwater fish species distribution for the entire Amazon drainage basin and to initiate scientific collecting expeditions in under-sampled areas to fill the gaps. This database is thus the result of mobilizing information available from various sources (published articles, grey literature, field expedition reports, online biodiversity databases and scientific collections from museums and universities worldwide) and field expeditions organized during the project. This compilation, covering a time span of almost two hundred years (1834–2019), currently comprises 2,406 valid native freshwater fish species recorded from 590 different sources representing more than 235,064 occurrence records (232,936 georeferenced and 2,128 non-georeferenced) and 21,500 sampled localities (hereafter called sampling sites). Two parallel compilation efforts on the distribution of freshwater fish species in the Amazon Basin have been recently released^{17,18}. The field guide book from van der Sleen and Albert¹⁷ delivers a general view of the current knowledge of fish ecology and distribution maps at the genus level only. The compilation from Dagosta & De Pinna¹⁸ provides species lists for 30 Amazonian sub-drainages but suffers from a lack of

[#]A full list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper.

information¹⁹. Here, we complement and refine these previous initiatives by providing species-level distributions on a database format combining available information at both sampling site and sub-drainage grains (144 units).

By compiling the knowledge on the spatial distribution of freshwater fishes and addressing the taxonomic and sampling gaps, the Amazon Fish database should become a valuable and long-lasting source of information for ecological and conservation studies. The database is currently being used to analyse fish diversity patterns at the Amazon Basin scale¹⁹, to evaluate the potential effect of climate change²⁰ and fragmentation²¹ on this biodiversity and to define diversity hotspots for the whole basin conservation priorities²². Besides improving our fundamental knowledge of the patterns and processes involved in the generation of Neotropical freshwater fish diversity, the information provided can also help developing regional conservation programs and contributing to largescale transnational ecosystem management initiatives.

Species occurrences are delivered here at two spatial grains, sampling sites (with precise geographic coordinates) and sub-drainage (144 units) grains. The database is organised in two sub-datasets and one shapefile. The first dataset contains the species list by sub-drainage with the taxonomic FishBase reference name (Family, Genus, Referent species valid name and Author), the species status ('native' or 'exotic') and the occurrence species status ('valid', 'to be verified', 'marine'; see Technical validation for more details). The second dataset contains the geographic coordinates for the georeferenced records, the information source of each record, and the original name of the species cited in the source ('synonym', 'typing error'). Finally, the shapefile delineates all the sub-drainages, along with the corresponding geographic information (e.g. main river name, main country, geographic coordinates and surface area of the sub-drainage). The database is obviously not complete, regular updates are planned in the future to include new occurrence records from literature, collections and new field expeditions planned to cover sampling gaps, together with the distribution of newly described species and nomenclatural changes.

Methods

Information sources. The database results from the transnational collaborative project AmazonFish (ERANetLAC/DCC-0210) whose purpose was to identify and compile all known information sources available on freshwater fish species occurrences for the entire Amazon drainage basin. The original project included researchers from (1) the French Institute for Development (IRD) in France, (2) the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (PUJ-UNESIS) in Colombia, (3) the Museo de Historia Natural de la Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos (MUSM) in Peru and (4) the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences in Belgium. The project also benefited from official collaborations with researchers from Brazil (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia INPA; Universidade Federal de São Paulo UNIFESP; Universidade Federal de Rondônia UNIR; Universidade Federal do Pará UFPA; Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará UFOPA; Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso UFMT), Colombia (Instituto Alexander von Humboldt IAvH, Universidad Nacional de Colombia UN ICN-MHN, Universidad del Tolima UT-CZUT, Instituto Amazónico de Investigaciones Científicas SINCHI-CIACOL, Instituto para la Investigación y la Preservación del Patrimonio Cultural y Natural del Valle del Cauca INCIVA, Universidad Católica de Oriente UCO), Ecuador (Museo Ecuatoriano de Ciencias Naturales MECN-DP, Instituto Nacional De Biodiversidad INABIO), Bolivia (Universidad Mayor de San Simon UMSS-ULRA, Colección Boliviana de Fauna MNHN-IE UMSA, Universidad Autónoma del Beni CIRA) and Switzerland (Museum d'Histoire Naturelle de Genève, MHNG). All these partners brought into the project, besides their Neotropical fish taxonomic expertise needed to produce a high-quality database, existing fish databases from their own collections and expeditions, and a large networking capacity that was essential for identifying and involving other data providers.

In order to build the AmazonFish database, an inventory of the possible data sources was conducted at the beginning of the project in early 2016 and data from a wide range of sources were compiled and standardized in a single dataset.

The information used includes five source types:

- A. Information extracted from the literature (published articles, books, grey literature)
- B. Data from online biodiversity databases (*i.e.* GBIF and others)
- C. Data from museums and universities collections
- D. Data held or compiled by the project partners (*e.g.* country level)
- E. New data from sampling campaigns organized within the framework of the project

An inventory of all the literature sources (published articles, books, technical reports) existent for the Amazon Basin led to more than 800 different documents that were subsequently analysed, from which 459 provided valuable data on fish species distribution, not redundant with any official collection. An important amount of data was extracted from the most used and frequently updated online biodiversity databases (see details in Table 1). These repositories release biological data under a Creative Commons licence in which the user agrees to acknowledge the data sources. Data from museums and universities collections not available through these online facilities were obtained by contacting the curators or researchers in charge and integrating them as official project collaborators (curators and researchers mainly from Brazil, Ecuador and Bolivia). The project partners (Colombia and Peru) compiled data at the country level. For Colombia^{23,24}, the data were previously published through the GBIF network. For Peru, the AmazonFish project has supported the numeric digitalization of the national freshwater fish collections^{25,26}, which is still an ongoing work (51% of the records have been digitalized so far). Finally, supplementary occurrence data were obtained during five sampling campaigns in Brazil, Colombia and Peru and targeting under-sampled areas identified during the project.

Biodiversity Repository	Online Biodiversity Repository complete name	Number of occurrences	Number of institutions	Last consulted date	Internet link
GBIF	Global Biodiversity Information Facility	84,507	60	1/5/2020	https://www.gbif.org/
SpeciesLink	SpeciesLink Portal, Brazil	38,656	21	1/5/2020	http://splink.cria.org.br
Fishnet2	Fishnet2 Portal, USA	32,133	26	9/27/2016	http://fishnet2.net/
iDigBio	Integrated Digitized Biocollections	21,021	24	4/10/2019	https://www.idigbio.org/
ICMBio	Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade, Brazil	9,054	1	10/31/2019	https://portaldabiodiversidade.icmbio.gov.br/portal/
AMNH	American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA	223	1	9/27/2016	https://www.amnh.org/research/vertebrate-zoology/ichthyology
SiBBr	Sistema de Informação sobre a Biodiversidade Brasileira, Brazil	130	6	9/27/2016	https://www.sibbr.gov.br/
IABIN	Inter-American Biodiversity Information Network	39	1	9/27/2016	https://www.oas.org/en/sedi/dsd/iabin/default.asp

Table 1. Online Biodiversity Repository Sources with the complete name, the number of occurrences and institutions, the last consulted date and the internet link.

Species, taxonomy and status. All occurrences not identified to species level were discarded (*i.e.* occurrences giving only genus names commonly abbreviated to sp., species affinis commonly abbreviated to: sp. aff., aff., or affin. or species confer abbreviated to cf.). All species scientific names are reported in the database as appearing in each information source and were carefully checked for typing errors and misspellings. Because taxonomy is a ‘moving target’, species names were standardized and linked to an internationally accepted standardized name and associated taxonomic information in order to find synonymies and provide accepted names. All species names were first searched in FishBase through the ‘rfishbase’ package²⁷ from the R environment²⁸ allowing to easily obtain the valid species names. For species names absent from FishBase, a manual search was applied in the Eschmeyer’s Catalog of Fishes (<http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp>). This last step allowed finding valid names and recently described species not yet included in FishBase. The final standardized species list contains 3,366 valid species names avoiding biases due to synonyms and uncertain identifications (see ‘Technical Validation’). We also integrated all remaining species names, *i.e.* not listed in any of the two scientific catalogues, as ‘unknown name at present’ (294 species names).

A species status (‘native’ or ‘exotic’) and an occurrence species status (‘valid’, ‘to be verified’ or ‘marine’) were assigned to each species. The species status distinguishes ‘native’ from ‘exotic’ species (*i.e.* non-native species introduced in the Amazon Basin)⁵ and the occurrence species status is divided in three criteria: (1) ‘valid’ (species known to belong to the Amazon Basin); (2) ‘to be verified’ (species whose presence in the Amazon Basin is not certain because of possible mis-identification or localisation errors); and (3) ‘marine’ (species whose primary habitat is not freshwater, based on information available in FishBase or Eschmeyer’s Catalog of Fishes).

At this time, the database contains 2,406 ‘native’ and ‘valid’ freshwater fish species, 837 ‘to be verified’ species, 105 ‘marine’, 18 ‘exotic’ and 294 ‘unknown’ species. The species considered as ‘native’ and ‘valid’, *i.e.* freshwater species belonging to the Amazon Basin, were the only species considered in all species numbers reported below.

Sub-drainages delineation. The Amazon Basin was defined here as the area of land where precipitation collects and drains off into a common outlet. This excludes de facto the Tocantins basin and Guiana coastal streams (see Fig. 1), but constitutes for freshwater fishes an ideal grain for conducting biogeographical and/or macroecological studies²⁹.

The hydrological sub-drainage units within the Amazon Basin were delineated using the HydroBASINS framework, a subset of the HydroSHEDS database³⁰. The levels 5 and 6 were combined with a constraint area of >20,000 km², at the exception of sub-drainages located in the river mainstem where delineation was based on the distance between two main tributaries entering the mainstem. This led to obtain a total of 144 sub-drainages covering the entire Amazon system (Fig. 1).

Data Records

The database³¹ provides a comprehensive overview of the current knowledge of the fish species diversity and distribution in the Amazon Basin, with 21,500 sites (Fig. 1), 232,936 georeferenced occurrence records and 2,128 non-georeferenced records from 590 different sources combining literature, scientific collections, sampling campaigns and partner’s datasets. Some of the online biodiversity repositories (Table 1) showed some redundancies because often referring to the same collections. In this specific case, only one occurrence record was retained.

The main sources of the database are online biodiversity databases (56% of the occurrences), followed by locally hosted data from the scientific partners (Peru and Colombia), museums and universities from Brazil, Bolivia and Ecuador (38%), literature data (5% of the records) and data obtained during sampling campaigns by partners from Colombia, Peru and Brazil (1%). This represents 93 different collections from Scientific Institutions, 31 Partners references, 459 literature references and five AmazonFish expeditions.

The database includes information for 56 families, 514 genera and 2,406 native valid freshwater species, virtually half of the *circa* 4,760 total number of species known for the whole Neotropical biogeographic region^{5,6}. Among these 2,406 species, 1,402 are found exclusively in the Amazon Basin (*i.e.* species appearing nowhere else on Earth; Amazonian endemic species) based on the global species distribution provided by Tedesco *et al.*⁵.




Fig. 1 (a) Distribution of sampling sites recorded in the AmazonFish database and (b) delimitation and codes of the 144 sub-drainages units (see corresponding names in Online-only Table 1), based on a modified version of HydroBASINS (see methods). The major tributaries of the Amazon Basin are represented in different colours and their names are added in bold.

The lowland Amazon and its two main tributaries, the Negro and Madeira Rivers regroup the highest number of sites, occurrences and the highest diversity (Table 2), whereas less information is available for some small tributaries. At the sub-drainage grain, the density of sites presents an important spatial variability (Online-only Table 1 and Fig. 2). For instance, the Curuá sub-drainage belonging to the Javari River, currently lacks information about its ichthyofauna. The ‘updates and limitations’ section below presents a more detailed overview of the spatial data gaps.

The whole dataset is organised in three sub-sets³¹: a table of the species list by sub-drainage (‘GeneralDistribution’), a table of occurrence records with sources (‘CompleteDatabase’), and a shapefile of the 144 sub-drainages (‘SubDrainageShapefile’).

The first sub-set (‘GeneralDistribution’) contains the species list by sub-drainage with the taxonomic reference name (Family, Genus, Referent species valid scientific name and Author), the species status (‘native’ or ‘exotic’) and the occurrence species status (‘valid’, ‘to be verified’, ‘marine’). The corresponding table has nine columns (see Table 3).

The second sub-set (‘CompleteDatabase’) provides the geographic coordinates for the georeferenced sampling sites and the information source of each record. It is complemented with the original name of the species cited in the source (‘synonym’ or ‘typing error’) and those species with status ‘unknown name at present’. The detailed sources contain the source type of the data (‘Literature’, ‘Online Biodiversity Database’, ‘Partners Datasets’ and ‘AmazonFish Expedition’), the Biodiversity Repository source for the Online Biodiversity Database, the Scientific Institution Code and complete name, the GBIF Citation and DOI, the complete literature reference and the citation reference of the Partner dataset. Finally, the non-georeferenced occurrences are separated in three categories, ‘sub-drainage information’ (species occurrence information at the sub-drainage grain), ‘approximated coordinates’ (species occurrence information at river or reach scales) and ‘geographic error’ (the geographical coordinates of a site do not correspond to the geographical location given in the source). The corresponding table has 22 columns (see Table 3).

The third sub-set (‘SubDrainageShapefile’), corresponds to shapefile delineating all the sub-drainages and their corresponding geographic information and is organized in eight columns: (1) the major Amazon tributary name (MTRIB_NM), (2) the unique major Amazon tributary code (MTRIB_CD), (3) the unique sub-drainage name (SBD_NM), (4) the unique sub-drainage code (SBD_CD), (5) the surface area of the sub-drainage (AREA, in km²), (6) the main country where it belongs (COUNTRY), (7,8) the centroid longitude and latitude coordinates of the sub-drainage (CENT_X and CENT_Y).

The two table sub-sets (‘GeneralDistribution’ and ‘CompleteDatabase’) are in CSV format (columns separated by commas) and the shapefile sub-set (‘SubDrainageShapefile’) in ArcGis SHP format³¹. Both formats can be linked to the species occurrence table using the unique sub-drainage code or name to visualize and analyse species distribution using any adapted software (e.g. R or QGIS, <http://qgis.osgeo.org>). The sampling coordinates and shapefile are in the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84) datum and geographic coordinate system. The files of the database are in ‘CSV’ format (UTF-8 encoding, comma separator) and can be uploaded by most statistical software, spreadsheets or any other database management systems. The current version of the database

Major Tributary	Area	Number of sites	Number of occurrences	Number of Families	Number of Genera	Number of species	Number of endemic species
Amazonas	100,687	1,463	16,365	51	342	971	11
Jari	58,207	80	471	41	160	227	9
Xingu	511,169	1,701	13,215	50	314	821	73
Paru	39,289	10	28	13	20	22	1
Curuá-una	31,116	123	1,025	38	119	195	2
Curuá	25,291	42	189	25	58	80	—
Tapajós	492,570	1,804	17,765	52	334	982	66
Trombetas	126,619	243	2,883	47	237	494	5
Nhamundá	28,609	108	630	36	129	235	1
Uatumã	67,920	174	1,692	47	191	416	—
Madeira	1,496,852	5,514	73,048	52	404	1,406	135
Negro	711,562	2,566	27,983	53	393	1,233	83
Solimões	186,654	1,856	23,732	51	362	1,113	35
Purus	377,158	631	9,310	48	319	836	5
Coari	35,588	59	795	46	189	323	—
Japurá	268,954	793	6,399	51	314	838	12
Tefé	24,269	230	2,226	48	245	545	2
Juruá	188,895	293	3,337	47	256	557	1
Jutaí	78,109	96	1,354	43	175	322	—
Putumayo	120,579	282	3,282	48	287	705	2
Javari	108,966	150	3,140	48	254	552	1
Napo	102,190	639	6,832	48	298	744	9
Marañon	363,296	1,088	8,356	49	286	747	39
Ucayali	352,304	1,555	11,007	49	289	727	28
Amazon Basin	5,896,853	21,500	235,064	56	514	2,406	1,402

Table 2. Summary table with the total number of sites, occurrences and total number of families, genera, species and endemic species (i.e. Amazon endemic species present only in the sub-drainage) for each Amazon major tributary (with their surface area in km²).

can be retrieved from Figshare³¹, the AmazonFish website (<https://www.amazon-fish.com/>) and the Freshwater Biodiversity data portal (<https://data.freshwaterbiodiversity.eu/>).

Technical Validation

Taxonomic and status validation. Each species name found in a given information source was confronted to the valid and synonym species names lists from FishBase and Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes to ensure the identifications validity provided by the information source. This taxonomic validation identified 1,332 synonyms, 781 typing errors and 294 unknown species names (names not listed in any of the two scientific catalogues). The original scientific names of the species are reported in the expanded table of the database ('CompleteDatabase'), where users can extract sub-species, synonyms or unknown species names.

After having validated the taxonomic names, we further verified the presence certainty in the Amazon Basin of all the taxonomically valid species recorded in our database. This careful review was an essential step in the elaboration of the database and resulted in assigning a status to each species. The species status is based on the information provided by the data source, expert opinion from the AmazonFish partners and information about the species general distribution available in FishBase or Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes catalogues. When the presence of a taxon was inconsistent with its actual known distribution, the species was classified as 'to be verified'. A recently published database on the global distribution of freshwater fish species⁵ was also consulted to verify the overall distribution of each species, their exotic status and to identify species endemic to the Amazon Basin.

As a result, the database provides not only information on the validity of each species, but also on species occurrences and names that need further attention ('to be verified' and 'unknown name at present'). This gives the opportunity for database users to refer to their own expertise and knowledge to validate or not the accuracy of the original source, species name and distribution (ideally, giving feedback to the AmazonFish project, <https://www.amazon-fish.com/>).

Species distribution validation. The geographic coordinates of the sites were compared to the location name of the sub-basin given in the source. In case of mismatch, the coordinates were removed from the database and the information was kept only at the sub-basin grain and referenced as 'geographic error'.

The geographic accuracy of the species distribution (for 'native' and 'valid' species) inside the Amazon Basin was checked using a basic geographic analysis. A convex hull envelop was delineated for each species based on its occurrence points, resulting in a list of sub-drainages potentially occupied by a given species. This list was then compared to the list of sub-drainages where the species had at least one record. From this comparison, *circa* 200




Fig. 2 (a) Number of records, (b) density of sites (number of sites divided by the sub-drainage area and areas without information using the HydroBASINS Level7 spatial grain unit³⁰), (c) total number of species and (d) number of endemic species (species present only in the Amazon Basin and only in the sub-drainage) for the 144 sub-drainage units.

species showed some inconsistent distributions (outlying occurrences). All these occurrences were consequently carefully checked and further validated or excluded (see 'ExcludedOccurrences' file³¹).

Updates and limitations. The database is obviously not complete and definitive, and we aim to keep the high-quality level of the database with regular updates, ideally with bi-annual steps, depending on human and financial resources. More than 100 new fish species were described between 2017 and 2019, which makes this update effort crucial in order to improve our knowledge about the distribution of freshwater fish within the Amazon Basin. The technical and taxonomic validation procedures described above will be applied to any new information included in the database. Three main factors will be considered in future updates: (1) new or previously non-available data sources with species lists or records; (2) occurrences of newly described species; and (3) nomenclature changes in the taxonomic classification.

If the main rivers of the Amazon Basin appear well surveyed, some gaps do exist, however in various parts of the basin (Fig. 2). These gaps are mainly located in zones either difficult to access due to the topography and/or located in protected areas (indigenous lands or protected areas). Identifying never-sampled (to our knowledge) or under-sampled sub-drainages is a first step to guide increasing sampling efforts in these areas. The AmazonFish project has already initiated this process, by supporting the numeric digitalization of the national freshwater fish

		Column Name	Description
General Distribution		Family.Referent.Species	Family name of the referent species
		Genus.Referent.Species	Genus name of the referent species
		Referent.Species.Name	Referent species: valid scientific species name (FishBase or Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes) at the time of releasing the database
		Author.Referent.Species	Author's name of the referent species
		Species.Status	Species status: 'native', 'exotic' or 'unknown name at present'
		Occurrence.Status	Occurrence species status for native species: 'valid', 'to be verified' or 'marine'
		Major.Tributary.Name	Major Amazon Tributary name
		SubDrainage.Name	Unique name of the sub-drainage
		SubDrainage.Code	Unique code of the sub-drainage
Complete Database		Original.Species.Name.Source	Original species name in the source
		Species.State	State of the original species name: 'synonym' or 'typing error'
		Longitude.X	Longitude coordinates (3 decimal rounded)
		Latitude.Y	Latitude coordinates (3 decimal rounded)
		Data.Source	Data source: 'AmazonFish Expedition', 'Literature', 'Online Biodiversity Database' or 'Partners Datasets'
		Biodiversity.Repository	Biodiversity Repository source for the 'Online Biodiversity Database' source. The combination of two or more repositories mean that the museum or university collection is available from different sources: GBIF, SpeciesLink, FishNet2, iDigBio, AMNH, SiBB, IABIN, see Table 1
		Institution.Code	Scientific Institution Code
		Institution.Name	Scientific Institution complete name
		GBIF.Citation	GBIF Citation of the Scientific Institution
		GBIF.DOI	GBIF DOI of the Scientific Institution
		Literature.Reference	Complete literature reference (Author, Date, Title and Scientific Journal)
		Partners.Citation	Citation reference of the Partner dataset
		Non.Georeferenced	Occurrences non-georeferenced: Sub-drainage Information (species occurrence information at the sub-drainage grain), Approximated Coordinates (species occurrence information at river or reach scales), Geographic Error (given coordinates of the site do not correspond to the sub-drainage information of the source)

Table 3. Detailed legend of the information given in each column of the 2 datasets (9 columns for the GeneralDistribution file and 22 columns for the CompleteDatabase file).

collections from Peru^{25,26} and by initiating sampling campaigns in detected gaps in Colombia, Peru and Brazil. All these spatial gaps in the database will also be prioritized in future updates through literature and web-based sources checking. Researchers holding fish distribution data from any of the current gaps or under-sampled areas (Fig. 2) and that wish to share these data are welcome to join the project. This information will be included with the complete source, after validation, in the next update of the database.

Received: 30 October 2019; Accepted: 2 March 2020;

Published online: 19 March 2020

References

- Salati, E. & Vose, P. B. Amazon Basin: A system in equilibrium. *Science* (80-). **225**, 129–138 (1984).
- Venticinque, E. *et al.* An explicit GIS-based river basin framework for aquatic ecosystem conservation in the Amazon. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **8**, 651–661 (2016).
- Latrubesse, E. M. *et al.* Damming the rivers of the Amazon basin. *Nature* **546**, 363–369 (2017).
- Tisseuil, C. *et al.* Global diversity patterns and cross-taxa convergence in freshwater systems. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **82**, 365–376 (2013).
- Tedesco, P. A. *et al.* A global database on freshwater fish species occurrence in drainage basins. *Sci. Data* **4**, 1–6 (2017).
- Leroy, B. *et al.* Global biogeographical regions of freshwater fish species. *J. Biogeogr.* **00**, 1–13 (2019).
- Lundberg, J. G., Kottelat, M., Smith, G. R., Stiassny, M. L. J. & Gill, A. C. So Many Fishes, So Little Time: An Overview of Recent Ichthyological Discovery in Continental Waters. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* **87**, 26–62 (2000).
- Junk, W. J., Soares, M. G. M. & Bayley, P. B. Freshwater fishes of the Amazon River basin: Their biodiversity, fisheries, and habitats. *Aquat. Ecosyst. Heal. Manag.* **10**, 153–173 (2007).
- Reis, R. E. *et al.* Fish biodiversity and conservation in South America. *J. Fish Biol.* **89**, 12–47 (2016).
- Vörösmarty, C. J. *et al.* Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature* **467**, 555–561 (2010).
- Castello, L. *et al.* The vulnerability of Amazon freshwater ecosystems. *Conserv. Lett.* **0**, 1–13 (2013).
- Pellicie, F. M., Pompeu, P. S. & Agostinho, A. A. Large reservoirs as ecological barriers to downstream movements of Neotropical migratory fish. *Fish Fish.* **16**, 697–715 (2015).
- Reis, R. E. Conserving the freshwater fishes of South America. *Int. Zoo Yearb.* **47**, 65–70 (2013).
- Winemiller, K. O. *et al.* Balancing hydropower and biodiversity in the Amazon, Congo, and Mekong. *Science* (80-). **351**, 128–129 (2016).
- Antonelli, A. *et al.* Conceptual and empirical advances in Neotropical biodiversity research. *PeerJ* **6**, 1–53 (2018).
- ter Steege, H. *et al.* The discovery of the Amazonian tree flora with an updated checklist of all known tree taxa. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 1–15 (2016).
- Van der Sleen, P. & Albert, J. S. *Field Guide to the Fishes of the Amazon, Orinoco & Guianas*. (Princeton University Press, 2017).
- Dagosta, F. C. P. & De Pinna, M. C. The Fishes of the Amazon: Distribution and Biogeographical Patterns, with a Comprehensive List of Species. *Bull. Am. Museum Nat. Hist.* **2019**, 1 (2019).

19. Oberdorff, T. *et al.* Unexpected fish diversity gradients in the Amazon Basin. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, 1–9 (2019).
20. Oberdorff, T. *et al.* Opinion Paper: how vulnerable are Amazonian freshwater fishes to ongoing climate change? *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* **31**, 4–9 (2015).
21. Anderson, E. P. *et al.* Fragmentation of Andes-to-Amazon connectivity by hydropower dams. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, 1–8 (2018).
22. Jézéquel, C. *et al.* Freshwater fish diversity hotspots for conservation priorities in the Amazon Basin. *Conserv. Biol.* **0**, 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13466> (2020).
23. DoNascimento, C. *et al.* Checklist of the freshwater fishes of Colombia: A darwin core alternative to the updating problem. *Zookeys* **2017**, 25–138 (2017).
24. DoNascimento, C., Herrera Collazos, E. & Maldonado-Ocampo, J. Lista de especies de peces de agua dulce de Colombia/Checklist of the freshwater fishes of Colombia. v2.10. *Asoc. Colomb. Ictiólogos*, <https://doi.org/10.15472/numrso> (2018).
25. Ortega, H. & Hidalgo, M. H. Freshwater fishes and aquatic habitats in Peru: Current knowledge and conservation. *Aquat. Ecosyst. Health Manag.* **11**, 257–271 (2008).
26. Quezada-García, M., Hidalgo, M., Tarazona, J. & Ortega, H. Ictiofauna de la cuenca del río Aguaytía, Ucayali, Perú. *Rev. Peru. Biol.* **24**, 331–342 (2017).
27. Boettger, C., Lang, D. T. & Wainwright, P. C. Rfishbase: Exploring, manipulating and visualizing FishBase data from R. *J. Fish Biol.* **81**, 2030–2039 (2012).
28. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <https://www.R-project.org/> (2019).
29. Hugueny, B., Oberdorff, T. & Tedesco, P. A. Community Ecology of River Fishes: A Large-Scale Perspective. *Community Ecol. Stream Fishes Concepts, Approaches, Tech.* **73**, 1–34 (2010).
30. Lehner, B. & Grill, G. Global river hydrography and network routing: Baseline data and new approaches to study the world's large river systems. *Hydrol. Process.* **27**, 2171–2186 (2013).
31. Jézéquel, C. *et al.* A database of freshwater fish species of the Amazon Basin. *figshare* <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9923762> (2020).

Acknowledgements

The construction of this database was supported by the AMAZONFISH project (ERANet-LAC: ELAC2014/DCC-0210, www.amazon-fish.com). Colombian partners received support from Colciencias (44842-519-2015) and Peruvian partners from Fondecyt – Concytec (203-2015). Members of the EDB laboratory were also supported by 'Investissement d'Avenir' grants (CEBA, ANR-10-LABX-25-01; TULIP, ANR-10-LABX-0041). The Javari River sampling campaign was supported by a grant from FAPESP (#2016/07910-0 to G.T.V.). We also thank the Brazilian Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas (FAPEAM) for long-term financial support to the Igarapés Project. The Brazilian Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico provided a productivity grant to J.Z. (CNPq#313183/2014-7).

Author contributions

C.J. compiled the data, created the first database version and wrote the first version of the manuscript with inputs from P.A.T. and T.O. R.B., P.A.T. and C.J. entered literature occurrences and checked the information on distribution and status of the species. All authors contributed substantially to providing data, checking the information on distribution and status of the species. T.O. initiated the Database project.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.J.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver <http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/> applies to the metadata files associated with this article.

© The Author(s) 2020

Céline Jézéquel^{1✉}, Pablo A. Tedesco¹, Rémy Bigorne¹, Javier A. Maldonado-Ocampo^{2,31}, Hernan Ortega³, Max Hidalgo³, Koen Martens^{4,5}, Gislene Torrente-Vilara⁶, Jansen Zuanon⁷, Astrid Acosta⁸, Edwin Agudelo⁸, Soraya Barrera Maure⁹, Douglas A. Bastos⁷,

Juan Bogotá Gregory⁸, Fernando G. Cabeceira¹⁰, André L. C. Canto¹¹,
 Fernando M. Carvajal-Vallejos¹², Lucélia N. Carvalho¹³, Ariana Cella-Ribeiro¹⁴,
 Raphaël Covain¹⁵, Carlos Donascimento¹⁶, Carolina R. C. Dória¹⁷, Cleber Duarte⁷,
 Efreim J. G. Ferreira⁷, André V. Galuch⁷, Tommaso Giarrizzo¹⁸, Rafael P. Leitão¹⁹,
 John G. Lundberg²⁰, Mabel Maldonado¹², José I. Mojica²¹, Luciano F. A. Montag²²,
 William M. Ohara²³, Tiago H. S. Pires⁷, Marc Pouilly²⁴, Saúl Prada-Pedrerós²,
 Luiz J. de Queiroz²⁵, Lucia Rapp Py-Daniel⁷, Frank R. V. Ribeiro¹¹, Raúl Ríos Herrera²⁶,
 Jaime Sarmiento⁹, Leandro M. Sousa²⁷, Lis F. Stegmann⁷, Jonathan Valdiviezo-Rivera²⁸,
 Francisco Villa²⁹, Takayuki Yunoki³⁰ & Thierry Oberdorff¹

¹UMR EDB (Laboratoire Évolution et Diversité Biologique), CNRS 5174, IRD253, UPS, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062, Toulouse, France. ²Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (PUJ), Unidad de Ecología y Sistemática (UNESIS), Laboratorio de Ictiología, Departamento de Biología, Carrera 7 No. 40–62, Bogotá, Colombia. ³Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor San Marcos (MUSM), Departamento de Ictiología, Avenida Arenales 1256, Jesús María, 15072, Lima, Peru. ⁴Royal Belgian Institute for Natural Sciences, OD Nature, Freshwater Biology, Vautierstraat 29, B-1000, Brussels, Belgium. ⁵University of Ghent, Department Biology, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000, Gent, Belgium. ⁶Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Departamento de Ciências do Mar, Campus Baixada Santista (UNIFESP). Rua Doutor Carvalho de Mendonça, 144, Encruzilhada, 11015-020, Santos, SP, Brazil. ⁷Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Coordenação de Biodiversidade, Avenida André Araújo, 2936, Petrópolis, 69067-375, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. ⁸Instituto Amazónico de Investigaciones Científicas Sinchi, Colección Ictiológica de la Amazonia Colombiana (CIACOL), Avenida Vasquez Cobo entre Calles 15 y 16, Leticia, Amazonas, Colombia. ⁹Museo Nacional de Historia Natural - MMaYA, Calle 26 de Cota Cota, La Paz, Bolivia. ¹⁰Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso (UFMT), Campus Universitário de Cuiabá, Avenida Fernando Correa da Costa, 2367, 78060-900, Cuiabá, Mato Grosso, Brazil. ¹¹Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará (UFOPA), Instituto de Ciências e Tecnologia das Águas (ICTA), Rua Vera Paz, 68040-050, Santarém, Pará, Brazil. ¹²Universidad Mayor de San Simón, Unidad de Limnología y Recursos Acuáticos (UMSS-ULRA), Calle Sucre y parque la Torre, 2500, Cochabamba, Bolivia. ¹³Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso (UFMT), Campus Universitário de Sinop, Avenida Alexandre Ferronato, 1200, 78550-728, Sinop, Mato Grosso, Brazil. ¹⁴Centro Universitário Aparício Carvalho, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Rua das Ararás, 241, 76811-678, Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. ¹⁵Muséum d'histoire naturelle (MHNG), Département d'herpétologie et d'ichtyologie, route de Malignou 1, case postale 6434, CH-1211, Genève, Switzerland. ¹⁶Instituto Alexander von Humboldt (IAvHP), Calle 28A#15-09, Bogotá, Colombia. ¹⁷Universidade Federal de Rondônia, Departamento de Biologia (UNIR), Campus José Ribeiro Filho, Rodovia BR-364, s/n km 9,5, 76801-059, Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. ¹⁸Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA), Núcleo de Ecologia Aquática e Pesca da Amazônia (NEAP), Avenida Perimetral, 2651, 66077-830, Belém, Pará, Brazil. ¹⁹Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Departamento de Genética, Ecologia e Evolução, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Avenida Antonio Carlos, 6627, 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. ²⁰Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia and Drexel University (ANSP), Ichthyology Department, 1900 Benjamin Franklin Parkway, Philadelphia, PA, 19103, USA. ²¹Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia (UN ICN-MHN), Ak 30#45-03, Bogotá, Colombia. ²²Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA), Ecology and Conservation Lab, Rua Augusto Correa, 01, 66075-110, Belém, Pará, Brazil. ²³Universidade Federal de Rondônia (UNIR), Laboratório de Ciências Ambientais, Campus Presidente Médici, Rua da Paz, 4376, 76916-000, Presidente Médici, Rondônia, Brazil. ²⁴Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, IRD, SU, UCN, UA, 43 Rue Cuvier, F-75005, Paris, France. ²⁵University of Geneva, Department of Genetics and Evolution (UNIGE GenEv), Boulevard D'Yvoy 4, 1205, Genève, Switzerland. ²⁶Instituto para la Investigación y la Preservación del Patrimonio Cultural y Natural (INCIVA), Calle 6#24-80, Avenida Roosevelt, Cali, Colombia. ²⁷Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA), Laboratório de Ictiologia de Altamira, Rua Coronel José Porfírio, 2515, 68372-040, Altamira, Pará, Brazil. ²⁸Instituto Nacional De Biodiversidad (INABIO), Pje Rumipamba 341 y Avenida de los Shyris (Parque La Carolina), 170150, Quito, Ecuador. ²⁹Universidad del Tolima (UT CZUT-IC), Facultad de Ciencias, Grupo de Investigación en Zoología, Barrio Santa Helena Parte Alta, Ibagué, Tolima, Colombia. ³⁰Universidad Autónoma del Beni, Centro de Investigación de Recursos Acuáticos (CIRA), Avenida 6 De Agosto No. 61, Trinidad, Bolivia. ³¹Deceased: Javier A. Maldonado-Ocampo. ✉e-mail: celine.jezequel@ird.fr