

Lateritic weathering of trachyte, and bauxite formation in West Cameroon: Morphological and geochemical evolution

Mathieu Nouazi Momo, Nouazi Momo, Anicet Beauvais, Paul Tematio, Jean-Paul Ambrosi, Martin Yemefack, Kfuban Yerima, Rose Yongue-Fouateu

▶ To cite this version:

Mathieu Nouazi Momo, Nouazi Momo, Anicet Beauvais, Paul Tematio, Jean-Paul Ambrosi, et al.. Lateritic weathering of trachyte, and bauxite formation in West Cameroon: Morphological and geochemical evolution. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 2019, 205. ird-02197686v1

HAL Id: ird-02197686 https://ird.hal.science/ird-02197686v1

Submitted on 30 Jul 2019 (v1), last revised 31 Jul 2019 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Lateritic weathering of trachyte in West Cameroon: Bauxite formation and
2	morphological and geochemical evolution
3	
4	Mathieu Momo Nouazi Momo* ¹ , Anicet Beauvais ² , Paul Tematio ³ , Jean-Paul Ambrosi ² ,
5	Martin Yemefack ⁴ , Bernard Palmer Kfuban Yerima ⁵ , Rose Yongue-Fouateu ⁶
6	¹ Institute of Geological and Mining Research (IRGM), P.O. Box 4110 Yaoundé, Cameroon
7	² Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, Coll France, CEREGE, BP 80, 13545, Aix-en-Provence,
8	Cedex 4, France
9	³ University of Dschang, Faculty of Science - Department of Earth Sciences. P.O. Box 67
10	Dschang - Cameroon
11	⁴ International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), ASB Partnership REALU Project, P.O.
12	Box 2008 (Messa) Yaoundé, Cameroon
13	⁵ University of Dschang, Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Research, P.O. Box 222
14	Dschang, Cameroon
15	⁶ University of Yaoundé 1, Faculty of Science, Department of Earth Sciences. P.O. Box 337
16	Yaoundé, Cameroon
17	Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 205, (2019) 106324
18	
19 20	*Corresponding author Email: nouazimat@yahoo.fr
71	
<u> </u>	

22 Abstract

Bauxites and Fe laterites were formed on Neogene volcanics from Fongo-Tongo 23 region in the highlands of western Cameroon, and are distributed on plateaus, slopes and 24 downslope surfaces. Bauxitic profiles result from intense in-situ weathering of trachytes that 25 26 implied depletion of silica and labile elements from the saprolite, while alumina relatively accumulated from parent minerals pseudomorphosis by primary gibbsite formation. During 27 ongoing lateritization and bauxite maturation, important leaching and illuviation processes 28 resulted in secondary gibbsite crystallizations. Late incision and dissection of upper bauxitic 29 plateau resulted in degradation and dismantling of bauxitic duricrusts with Fe-depletion and 30 increasing silica. Compared to trachyte, bauxitic duricrusts are relatively enriched in Nb, Zr, 31 Ga, Ni, Cu, Co, V, Cr, As, Pb, Th, Hf, U and Ta, while Y, Sr, Rb, Ba and Zn are depleted. 32 Trace elements contents depend on relative proportions of gibbsite, kaolinite, iron oxides and 33 34 anatase and their affinity with these minerals across the weathering sequence. The overall REE composition and C1-Chondrite normalized REE patterns highlight significant 35 fractionations with enrichment in the upslope profile and labile behavior in other profiles of 36 the sequence. Our results document two major bauxitic phases in the Fongo-Tongo area, i.e., 37 mid-Miocene primary in situ bauxitic weathering of trachyte and late Miocene secondary 38 bauxitization of previously formed bauxitic profiles that led to alumina enrichment up to 39 53.50 wt.%. Combined together, the morphological distribution and geochemical composition 40 of the studied bauxitic profiles constitute guides for bauxite exploration, and more generally 41 document the dynamics and morphogenetic evolution of lateritic landforms in West 42 Cameroon. 43

44

Keywords: Bauxite, Lateritic weathering, Gibbsite, Rare Earth Elements, Neogene, Cameroon

47 **1. Introduction**

The African tropical belt hosts the most widespread lateritic landforms on the earth 48 surface from West to Central Africa (Tardy, 1997). These landforms expose unconsolidated 49 materials from basement rock to groundsurface resulting from lateritic weathering of a variety 50 of parent materials. As so, tens of meters' thick regolith mantles were formed, and are 51 relatively well preserved despite obvious landscape dissection (Grandin, 1976; Tardy and 52 Roquin, 1998; Taylor and Howard, 1998; Taylor and Eggleton, 2001). The morphological 53 structure of these lateritic surfaces, and mineralogical / geochemical features of their 54 weathering soils and profiles reflect long and complex evolutions during successive 55 morphoclimatic and geochemical changes over time (Nahon, 1986; Tardy, 1997). 56

Past and recent studies of lateritic geosystems in West Africa emphasized their 57 geomorphological distribution at various spatial and temporal scales (e.g., Beauvais and 58 Chardon, 2013; Beauvais and Roquin, 1996; Grimaud et al., 2015); and their genetic and 59 evolution processes were described in Central Africa (Beauvais, 1999, 2009). Particular 60 interest was also devoted to relict lateritic terrains of economic potential, formed by intense 61 chemical rock weathering under warm and humid climates that has led to accumulation of 62 63 metals among which Al, Fe, Mn, Ni, Cu, Cr, Ag, and Pt, and exportation of soluble elements (Boulangé et al., 1997; Colin et al., 2004; Nahon, 1991; Tardy, 1997; Traore et al., 2008a-b). 64 Most of these studies focused on steady cratonic landscapes (e.g., West Africa), but few 65 studies have described the petrologic and geomorphic features of lateritic landsurfaces and 66 associated regolith in epirogenic area such as that of the Western highlands in Cameroon (Fig. 67 1a). In this region, widespread lateritic landsurfaces bear various lateritic weathering mantles 68 upon various lithologies, and under different climatic and tectonic regimes. A unique 69 geormorphic sequence exhibits highly elevated bauxitic surfaces up to ca. 1900 m on 70

successive Neogene volcanics, stepped with wide relicts of Fe-duricrusted surfaces (Momo 71 72 Nouazi, 2016). In this environment, issues aimed mainly at providing geochemical and mineralogical data useful for bauxite exploration given the renewed interest in mineral 73 resource assessment and inventory for this developing country (Cameroon Alumina LTD., 74 2008; Momo Nouazi et al., 2016; Tematio et al., 2015). Few studies were devoted to the 75 description and quantification of weathering processes of these bauxitic landsurfaces for 76 highlighting the influence of combined lithotectonic and morphoclimatic factors. We describe 77 the morphological, mineralogical and geochemical features of the lateritic weathering and 78 bauxites developed on trachytes in the Fongo-Tongo area (West Cameroon; Fig. 1b), and we 79 80 derive chemical indices of alteration and intensity of lateritization that document all together the formation and evolution processes of lateritic soil profiles and their bauxitization. 81

82

83 2. Morphogeological setting of the study area

The Fongo-Tongo laterites formed upon the so-called "upper lateritic surface" of the 84 85 Bamiléké plateau, which is part of the Western Cameroon highland located at 05°10' to 05°56'N and 09°44' to 10°33'E, between the Bamoun plateau in the east, the Grassfields in 86 the north and the Mbô plain in the southwest (Fig. 1a and 1b). It covers a surface of ~ 4.52 87 km² between altitudes of 1580 m to ca. 1900 m on the southern flank of the Bambouto 88 volcano (Momo Nouazi et al., 2016), which is the main morphogeological feature of the 89 Bamiléké plateau culminating at the altitude of 2740 m (Fig. 1b), and the third most important 90 volcano of the Cameroon Volcanic Line (Déruelle et al., 1991). The region is subjected to a 91 sub-equatorial climate, which is influenced by high altitudes (1500 to > 2500 m) with ca. 92 1600 mm mean annual rainfall and ca. 18°C for the mean annual temperature (Kengni et al., 93 2009). 94

The Cameroon Volcanic Line includes wide Cenozoic volcanic complexes and mafic 95 and felsic plutons extruded through the Neoproterozoic granito-gneissic basement (Kwekam 96 et al., 2010). The volcanic complex of the Bamiléké plateau is made up mainly of basalts, 97 trachytes and ignimbrites (Fig. 1c). The ⁴⁰K-⁴⁰Ar geochronological data suggested three main 98 periods of volcanic activity extending from the Miocene (ca. 19 Ma, Burdigalian) (Nkouathio 99 et al., 2008) up to ca. 0.5 Ma with uncommon lava flows (Kagou Dongmo et al., 2010). These 100 101 periods refer to (i) the pre-caldera magmatism characterized by fissure-related basalts of strombolian activity (Nono et al., 2004), (ii) the caldera formed by eruption (Burdigalian to 102 Tortonian, 18.1±0.01 Ma to 11.1±0.02 Ma) with pyroclastic rocks as trachytes and scarce 103 104 rhyolites (Nkouathio et al., 2006), and (iii) the post-caldera extrusive domes from 7.75±0.01 Ma to 4.52 ± 0.28 Ma and late quaternary basalts flow at 0.480 ± 0.014 Ma (Kagou Dongmo et 105 al., 2010). 106

Bauxites formed upon trachytes are preserved in the southern part of the Bambouto 107 volcano (Fig. 1b), which erupted at 16±0.39 Ma (Nkouathio et al., 2006). Massif outcrops of 108 trachytes occur on slope and in talwegs. These rocks are made up essentially of a microlithic 109 assemblage of plagioclases (Pl), clinopyroxenes (cpx), Fe-Ti oxides, and scarce Pl and cpx 110 phenocrysts (up to 1 mm; Fig. 1d and 1e). According to Nkouathio et al. (2008), these 111 minerals include anorthoclase (An₂₅₋₁₁ Ab₇₀₋₅₄ Or₂₂₋₁₉) and alkali feldspar, i.e., sanidine to Na-112 sanidine (Or₁₅₋₅₅Ab₃₅₋₈₅An₀₋₆), sodic cpx (En₃₋₄Aeg₃₃₋₃₅Fs₆₂₋₆₅) or hedenbergite (Wo₄₅₋₄₇En₂₆₋ 113 ₃₀Fs₄₀₋₄₄) and titanomagnetite (94-25 mol% ulvöspinel). The chemical composition of 114 trachytes comprises 58.74 to 62.73 wt.% SiO₂, 14.26 to 18.84 wt.% Al₂O₃, 5.11 to 6.55 wt.% 115 Fe₂O₃, 5 to 5.44 wt.% Na₂O, and 2.99 to 5.58 wt.% K₂O (Nkouathio et al., 2008). 116 117

3. Materials and methods

We implemented detailed morphological description, X-ray Diffraction (XRD), ICP 119 geochemistry, and we calculated chemical indices of weathering and lateritization to 120 document the formation and evolution of the lateritic bauxitic profiles along a 300 m long 121 topographical sequence. Four pits were bored through thick lateritic mantle, which depth 122 reaches 6 to 12 m, respectively on the plateau (P1-FO), upslope (P2-FO), hillslope (P3-FO) 123 and downslope (P4-FO) (Fig. 2a). These profiles exhibit various petrographic horizons. The 124 lateral weathering sequence was reconstructed based on profile description including macro-125 morphological description of petrographic structures using the reference works of Nahon 126 (1991) and Tardy (1997). Thirty-four samples were collected along each profile and prepared 127 for micro-morphological observations, mineralogical and geochemical analysis. Polished thin 128 129 sections of undisturbed collected samples were observed under optical microscope and described according to reference terms defined by Brewer and Sleeman (1988). 130

All samples were sorted and ground to produce powders with a sieve size $< 63 \mu m$, 131 which were analyzed by XRD, using an X'Pert PANalytical diffractometer with CuKa 132 radiation (1.79 Å) in the range of 2° to 78° 20 and at a speed of 0.2°/min (0.033° for 10s 133 counting time). Minerals were identified upon diffraction spectra using High Score X'Pert 134 Plus software (Version 3.0) and compared with known standards (American Mineralogist 135 Crystal Structure Database, 2016). A Rietveld Refinement process (Rietveld, 1969; Ufer et 136 al., 2008) was also applied for semi-quantifying the proportion (%) of each mineral. Each 137 Mineral proportion is obtained by the ratio between the surface areas of specific XRD peaks 138 of each mineral to the total surface of the sample XRD pattern. 139

Geochemical analyses were obtained using ICP methods from pulverized samplesfraction of the samples. Major and trace elements were analyzed by ICP-AES (Inductively

coupled plasma-Atomic emission spectrometry), while rare earth elements (REE) were
analyzed by ICP-MS (Inductively coupled plasma-Mass spectrometry). The resulting
geochemical data were interpreted and discussed for highlighting the behavior of major
elements, trace elements and REE during trachyte weathering that document key weathering
factors.

The geochemical indices were calculated for better understanding and quantifying 147 processes of weathering, bauxitization and late bauxite degradation/dismantling. The 148 Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA), and the Mafic Index of Alteration (MIA) were used to 149 quantify both mafic and felsic mineral components of rock weathering (Nesbit and Young, 150 1982; Babechuck et al., 2014). These indices are expressed as molecular ratios between 151 mobile and immobile elements, and are thus suitable to define the weathering intensity 152 (Durgoren-Aydin, 2002). The CIA was used to describe feldspar dissolution and the 153 concomitant release of Ca, Na, and K relative to Al during the weathering. According to this 154 approach: 155

156
$$CIA (\%) = 100 \text{ x } Al_2O_3/(Al_2O_3 + CaO^* + Na_2O + K_2O)$$
 (1)

157 CaO* being the amount of CaO incorporated in the silicate fraction of fresh rock.

The MIA includes Mg and Fe, thereby allowing characterization of weathering ofmafic minerals as pyroxenes according to the formula:

160 MIA=100 x
$$(Al_2O_3+Fe_2O_3)/(Al_2O_3+Fe_2O_3+MgO+CaO^*+NaO+K_2O)$$
 (2)

The Ki ratio (Ki=SiO₂/Al2O₃) was also calculated to estimate the degree of chemical
weathering of volcanic rocks (Irfan, 1999).

163 Finally, the different stages of chemical weathering were determined by the Index Of164 Lateritization (IOL), which considers the main major elements (Al-Si-Fe) involved in the

dominant processes and the resulting residue. The IOL was estimated according to a method 165 used by Babechuck et al. (2014), i.e.: 166

167
$$IOL = 100 \text{ x} (Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3) / (SiO_2 + Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O)$$
 (3)

The computed IOL values are reported along with a ternary diagram (SiO₂-Al₂O₃-168 Fe₂O₃) for classification into kaolinized, weakly lateritized, moderately lateritized and 169 strongly lateritized ferritic or bauxitic horizons (Schellmann, 1994). 170

171

4. Results and Discussion 172

Field observations and morphological descriptions along the sequence of pits across 173 two geomorphological segments (Fig. 2a) revealed six different weathering horizons. From 174 bottom to top of profiles, we have identified and described a saprolite (C), a blocky bauxitic 175 duricrust (B13), a massive bauxitic duricrust (B12) and a nodular bauxitic horizon (B11; see 176 Fig. 3a) on the plateau; and, a bauxitic pebbly duricrust (B15), and a fine earthy horizon 177 178 (B14) in downslope profile (Figs. 2a, 2b). In this section, detailed petrological descriptions of weathering horizons highlights vertical and lateral morphologic changes along the sequence 179 with reference to Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Based on these descriptions, mineralogical and 180 geochemical variations along a composite upslope profile (P2-FO and saprolite of P3-FO) 181 document major patterns of lateritic bauxite evolution. 182

183

4.1. Major petrological features across the weathering profiles sequence

On the plateau, the saprolite (horizon C) overlying the parent rock is highly porous 184 and composed of a greyish matrix with reddish to dark micrometric features preserving the 185 porphyry structure of the trachyte. The blocky bauxite duricrust (B13) overlying the saprolite 186 (Fig. 2a and 2b) includes decimetric blocks of porous reddish bauxitic duricrust mixed with 187 centimetric coarse nodules and loose matrix. It is overlained by a yellowish saprolite-like 188

duricrusted matrix crossed by sub-horizontal centimeter size massive dark and elongated 189 domains (Fig. 3b), marking the transition with the uppermost horizons. The massive bauxitic 190 duricrust (B12; Fig. 2a and 2b) is made up of a reddish to yellow brown matrix with 191 interconnected voids coated by 1 to 2 mm thick yellowish cutans (Fig. 3c); higher in the 192 horizon B12, the voids are filled with a crumbly reddish matrix (Fig. 3d). The surface horizon 193 (B11) is continuous on the plateau (Fig. 3a); it is made up of a loose matrix embedding 194 fragments of bauxitic duricrust and bauxitic nodules whose petrographic structure is quite 195 similar to that of underlying massive bauxite. 196

The bauxitic pebbly duricrust of the downslope profile (B15; Figs. 2a and 2b) is made up of a reddish massive matrix cementing coarse duricrust pebbles with compact and massive internal structure; voids of the matrix are filled by red to yellowish loose fine earth (Fig. 3e). The heterogeneous structure of this horizon is suggestive of allochthonous materials, possibly imported from erosion of the uppermost lateritic plateau. The loose fine earth layer (B14) over the bauxitic pebbly duricrust is dark red and highly porous.

Under the microscope, saprolite is made up of two different weathering plasmas 203 crossed by interconnected micrometer to millimeter-sized voids (Fig. 4a). Feldspars (mostly 204 205 plagioclases) are weathered and replaced by grey brown crystic plasma composed of in-situ formed primary gibbsite and some relicts of clinopyroxene phenocrysts (Fig. 4a). The 206 207 gibbsitic plasma is surrounded by grey argillic plasma with preserved trachytic features (Fig. 208 4a). Both mineralogical composition (See Fig. 5) and Rietveld refinement semi-quantification from XRD (hillside profile, P3-FO; Tab. 1) highlight the predominance of kaolinite (61%), 209 gibbsite (16%), and goethite (7%). Anatase (8%) and maghemite (5%) are also identified (Fig. 210 211 6a and Tab. 1) with traces of florencite (Fig. 5c).

In the blocky bauxitic duricrust (B14), the microstructure of coarse features (bauxitic 212 213 blocks and nodules) exhibits a predominance of reddish brown argillic plasma (Fig. 4b), probably made up of kaolinite coated with goethite; and a preservation of trachytic structure 214 with clinopyroxene phenocrysts and dark micrometric anatase and maghemite. This plasma is 215 also crossed by cracks filled with secondary gibbsite (Fig.4b). The mineral composition (Fig. 216 5 and Tab. 1) indicates up to 56% of kaolinite in the loose matrix, which is close to the 217 218 content of the underlying saprolite (P3-FO; Tab. 1). A decreasing trend with 16% in the bauxitic nodules and lowest content (< 5%) in the massive bauxitic blocks is noticed in the 219 upslope profile (P2-FO) (Fig. 6a and Tab. 1). Gibbsite and goethite varies from 23% and 8% 220 in the loose matrix, to 57% and 29% in bauxitic blocks, respectively (Tab. 1). 221

The yellowish matrix at the bottom of the massive bauxite duricrust has a saprolitelike structure with grey argillic weathering plasma (Fig. 4c), composed of up to 68% gibbsite and 33% goethite (Tab. 1), and is locally preserved upward in the massive bauxite. The microstructure of the surrounding massive dark domains is made up of a dark brown argilic weathering plasma crossed by millimeter size interconnected cracks filled with secondary gibbsite crystals (Fig. 4d) resulting in 58% of goethite, 40% of gibbsite and up to 13% hematite (P2-FO; Tab. 1).

The micro-morphological organization of the massive bauxite duricrust (B12) is quite similar to that of the blocky bauxitic horizon and reveals abundant secondary gibbsite differentiated from the reddish-brown weathering plasma and thick clay Fe-rich cutans in interconnected voids (Fig. 4e); the secondary gibbsite crystals filling voids may derive from congruent dissolution of cpx (Fig. 4f). The resulting mineralogical composition along the plateau profiles (Fig. 5 and Tab. 1) highlights up to 66% of gibbsite, increasing content of goethite from 21% to 31% (Fig.6a, and Tab. 1), and hematite content less than 9% despite local enrichment up to 22% on P1-FO profile (Tab. 1). The microstructure of cutans is
composed of yellow brown argilic plasma of kaolinite and goethite, and also secondary
crystals of gibbsite as "gibbsitans" (Fig. 4e) (Boulangé, 1984; Soares de Oliveira et al., 2013).
Noticed that traces of florencite are detected in some XRD diagrams (ex: P2-FO.5, Fig. 5b).

In the surface nodular horizon (B11), the internal structure of coarse bauxitic 240 fragments and nodules is similar to that of the underlying massive bauxite suggesting 241 inheritance from this fragmented duricrust. The mineralogical composition displays an 242 increasing trend from the lowest kaolinite content in the massive bauxitic blocks (< 5%), to 243 9% in the loose matrix as shown in the upslope profile (Fig. 6a and Tab. 1), and a maximum 244 of 22% on top of the hillside profile (P3-FO; Tab. 1). A decreasing trend is noticed for 245 gibbsite and goethite with 65% to 43% and 25% to 23%, in the massive blocks and the loose 246 matrix, respectively (Fig. 6a and Tab. 1). A quartz amount up to 17% is also measured in the 247 loose matrix. 248

In the downslope profile, in addition to the heterogeneous and polygenic structures of 249 the horizons, other dissimilarities with the plateau profiles include higher proportions of 250 kaolinite up to 28% in the porous duricrusted matrix and lesser goethite with a minimum of 251 252 7% with ca. 60 % gibbsite (Fig. 5d; Tab. 1). The coarse bauxitic pebbles clearly differentiates from the surrounding matrix with maximum gibbsite content (71%), lowest kaolinite content 253 (7%), and highest goethite content (16%) suggesting that these duricrusted pebbles may be 254 255 inherited from massive bauxites of the plateau. Finally, the loose fine earth at the surface has a mineralogical composition similar to that of the surface nodular horizon (B11) of the plateau 256 profiles, with quartz content of 13% (Tab.1). 257

4.2. Geochemical differentiations across the weathering profiles sequence

Major elements contents and chemical weathering indices are given in table 2, and 259 their typical vertical changes are displayed in the composite profile of the plateau including 260 the profile P2-FO and the saprolite of P3-FO (Fig. 6b and 6c). The chemical composition of 261 the saprolite C (Fig. 6b) shows a decreasing SiO₂ content (26.40 wt.%) and increasing Al₂O₃ 262 (34.30 wt.%), Fe₂O₃(17.30 wt.%) and TiO₂(3.33 wt.%) relative to trachyte. At this stage, the 263 264 Ki ratio of 0.77 against 3.84 for the trachyte highlights Al₂O₃ enrichment relative to SiO₂ (Tab. 2). The CIA value of 86.8% (Fig. 6c and Tab. 2), attests to strong export of mobile 265 elements (Ca, Na, and K) consequently to intense feldspar pseudomorphic alteration mostly 266 267 into kaolinite, and gibbsite in a lesser measure. The MIA value of 91.7% compared to 53.1% in the trachyte further indicates intense dissolution of cpx and consecutive Mg removal. The 268 calculated IOL value of 66.1% for the saprolite (Fig. 6c and Tab. 2) against 28.7% for the 269 270 trachyte indicates a weak lateritization (Fig. 7) during the first stage of bauxitization.

271 The geochemical composition of the blocky bauxitic duricrust (B13) is marked by maximum SiO₂ content (19.50 wt.%) measured in the loose matrix of P3-FO profile (Tab. 2), 272 while minimum content of 1.59 wt.% is noticed in the massive bauxitic blocks of P2-FO 273 profile (Fig. 6b and Tab. 2). An increasing trend is noticed for Al₂O₃ contents from 35.50 274 wt.% in the loose matrix of the blocky bauxitic duricrust in the P3-FO profile, to 49.40 wt.% 275 in the massive bauxitic blocks of the P2-FO profile (Fig. 6b and Tab. 2). The corresponding 276 Ki ratios vary from 0.55 to 0.03 (Tab. 2). The amounts of Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ are also relatively 277 enriched in the nodules of the blocky duricrust from the P2-FO profile, with maxima of 25.9 278 279 wt.% and 2.82 wt.%, respectively (Table 2). The IOL varies from 74.6% in the loose matrix to 97.8% in the bauxitic blocks (Tab. 2) indicating moderate to strong lateritization (Fig. 7). The 280 maximum IOL value implies a near-complete leaching of silica relative to Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃, 281 282 while the minimum IOL value correlates with higher silica content in the loose matrix (Fig. 7

and Tab. 2). The CIA and MIA values reaches maximum of 90.5% and 92.3%, respectively
(Fig. 6c and Tab. 2) confirming a near-complete leaching of alkali and alkaline earth elements
from plagioclases, and Mg from pyroxenes.

The amounts of Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ of the massive bauxite duricrust (B12) reach up to 286 51.80 wt.% and 25.50 wt.%, respectively (Fig. 6b and Tab. 2). The corresponding 287 mineralogical composition (Tab. 1) indicates highest contents of gibbsite (66%), followed by 288 goethite (30%), and hematite (9%). The Ki factor decreases from the bottom to the surface of 289 the horizon and reaches the lowest value (0.01) of the weathering sequence (Tab. 2). The CIA 290 and MIA also show the highest values, 90.9% and 92.4%, respectively (Fig 6c and Tab. 2). 291 The IOL of 99.2% indicates complete silica leaching, depletion of labile elements and strong 292 lateritization (Fig. 6c and Fig. 7) including also illuviation of clay ferruginous fine matter 293 (Fig. 4e). 294

The surface nodular bauxitic horizon (B11) shows decreasing Al₂O₃ content from 295 46.30 wt.% in the fragmented duricrust to 26.70 wt.% in the loose matrix, and Fe₂O₃ content 296 from 21.90 wt.% to 17.55 wt.% relative to the underlying massive bauxite (P2-FO; Fig. 6b 297 and Tab. 2). An increasing trend is noticed for SiO₂ up to 19.25 wt.% in the loose matrix. 298 299 Consequently, IOL decreases to 69.7% with increasing SiO₂ and kaolinite (Figs. 6b and 6c; Fig. 7) relative to the underlying massive duricrust (Tabs. 1 and 2). The resulting weakly 300 301 lateritized loose matrix may enrich in SiO₂ at the surface of profiles (ex: P2-FO) by quartz 302 allochthonous input. This results in a Ki factor up to 0.72 and slight decreases of CIA (82.6%) and MIA (69.7%) at the surface of the weathering sequence (Tab. 2). 303

In the downslope profile (P4-FO), Al₂O₃ contents are similar as those of the plateau profiles (Tab. 2), but SiO₂ contents are higher (up to 14.40 wt.% in the duricrusted matrix). This corresponds to 28% of kaolinite (Tab. 1) and a Ki factor up to 0.37 (Tab. 2). The Fe₂O₃ content is lower than that of the plateau profiles (~17%) with low goethite amounts (7% to 16%; Tab. 1). The massive bauxitic pebbles clearly differ from the cementing duricrusted matrix with the highest Al_2O_3 content of the profile (53.50 wt.%) and lowest silica content (2.02 wt.%). The CIA and MIA values are similar to those of the plateau profiles while IOL is low in the porous bauxitic duricrust (79.8%) compared to the plateau profiles (Tab. 2). The surface horizon of this profile shows the same composition as that of the plateau profiles with possible allochthonous enrichment of quartz.

314

4.3. Trace elements distribution across the weathering profiles sequence

The concentrations of trace elements are presented in Table 3 and variations relative to the trachyte composition are shown in the figure 8. The vertical evolution of some elements (Fig. 6) is also shown in the upslope composite profile (P2-FO + saprolite of P3-FO). These data first evidence that Nb and Zr are the most abundant trace elements, followed by Ga, Cr and V. Two groups of elements are distinguished based on their relative behavior compared to parent rock composition and their distribution along the profiles of the weathering sequence.

The first group comprises Nb, Zr, Ga, Cu, Ni, Co, Cr, V, As, Pb, Th, Hf, U and Ta, 322 which are all enriched relative to the trachyte composition (Fig. 8; Tab. 3). Among these 323 elements, Nb, Zr, Ga, Th, Hf, Ta are highly correlated (Fig. 6d and Figs. 9a to 9f). Their 324 highest contents are recorded in the saprolite, the massive and bauxitic pebbly duricrusts 325 326 while the lowest contents are measured in the blocky and nodular bauxitic horizons (Figs. 6, 8 and Tab. 3). These compositions including high field strength elements (HFSE: Zr, Hf, Nb, 327 Ga and Ta) suggest their relative stability during weathering and lateritization (Zarasvandi et 328 al., 2012) as commonly observed in bauxites developed from lateritic weathering of alkaline 329 parent rocks (Mordberg, 1996). Moreover, Nb, Zr, Ga, Th, Hf, U, and Ta are correlated to 330 TiO₂ (Tab. 4 and Figs. 9e to 9g), suggesting anatase as potential mineral carrier. Significant 331

positive correlations are also noticed between U, Ta and P_2O_5 (Tab. 4; Fig. 9h) indicating possibly florencite as host mineral (Fig; 5). On the other hand, the poor correlation between Al₂O₃ and some relatively enriched trace elements (Nb, Zr, Ga, Hf, Th, Ta; Tab. 4) indicates little gibbsite control in their distribution. This is explained by the low capacity of Al isomorphic replacement by trace elements in the structure of gibbsite (Mordberg, 1986).

Cu, Sc and Ni also exhibit similar behaviors and highest contents in the saprolite, the 337 blocky bauxitic duricrust and the nodular bauxitic horizon, while the lowest contents are 338 339 measured in the massive bauxitic duricrust (P2-FO; Figs. 6e and 8, and Tab. 3). These elements originate from the alteration of plagioclases and pyroxenes (Boski and Herbosch, 340 1990). According to Sahoo et al. (1980) and Trescases (1973), their high enrichment in the 341 lowermost horizons of the profiles owes to downward translocation followed by their trapping 342 in neoformed minerals. The moderate positive Spearman correlation with SiO₂ (Tab. 4) 343 indicates little affinity with kaolinite (Lopez et al., 2005). 344

Co, Cr, and V show their highest contents in the blocky bauxitic duricrust and the nodular bauxitic horizon, and their lowest contents in the saprolite and the massive bauxitic duricrust (P2-FO; Figs. 6e-f, and 8; Tab. 3). Their behavior is primarily linked to the weathering of plagioclases, pyroxenes and Ti-magnetite, and secondarily to potential trapping by iron oxides. For example, Co is possibly controlled by goethite as indicated by the Spearman correlation coefficient with Fe₂O₃ (see e.g., Beauvais and Roquin, 1996; Moshood et al., 2006).

The second group comprises Y, Sr, Rb, Ba, and Zn, which are all depleted relative to the trachyte (Fig. 8 and Tab. 3), showing a decreasing trend from the saprolite to the massive bauxitic ducricrust, and a slight increase toward the surface. Their highest content is noticed with important Zn at the bottom of the massive bauxitic duricrust (Figs. 6f and 8b, Tab. 3).

Their leaching along the sequence is due to the weathering of plagioclases (Babechuck et al, 2014; Calagari et al., 2010), as reflected by strong positive Spearman correlations between Rb and alkali and alkaline earth elements (Tab. 4). Sr, Ba and Zn are further enriched in the saprolite relative to the uppermost duricrusted horizons (Figs. 6f and 8c) due to downward leaching (Mordberg, 1996) and their partial retention in kaolinite-rich horizons as indicated by the positive Spearman correlation with SiO₂ (Tab. 4; Plank and Langmuir, 1988).

Finally, Rb, Cu, Ni, Co, V and As are significantly enriched in the surface horizons (B11) compared to the underlying bauxites (Figs. 8a to 8c), which potentially reflect importation of bearing heavy minerals. The overall distribution of trace elements depends on secondary mineral formation (mainly kaolinite, goethite and anatase) and thus upon the intensity of lateritization, with no geomorphic control along the sequence.

367 4.4. Rare earth elements fractionation across the weathering profiles sequence

REE abundance varies from 49 μ g/g to 1790 μ g/g (Tab. 5). Saprolite and massive bauxitic horizon exhibit maximum contents while minimum REE concentrations are mostly measured in the other horizons (e.g. upslope profile P2-FO; Fig. 6g). Light rare earth elements (LREE) are the most abundant (Σ LREE from 47 μ g/g to 1746 μ g/g) compared to heavy rare earth elements (HREE) (Σ HREE from 2 μ g/g to 44 μ g/g; Tab. 5). Their overall behavior along the sequence evidence maximum contents in the upslope profile (P2-FO; Σ REE up to 1102 μ g/g) compared to other profiles (Tab. 5).



(Tab. 4) suggest potential phosphates host minerals (Putzolu et al., 2018). Although

concentration < 5% is a limit for XRD identification, XRD peaks ($d_{h,k,l} \sim 5.71$ Å, 2.93 Å and 2.17 Å) suggest that florencite (Figs. 5b-c; see P2-FO-5 and P3-FO-3) is a potential carrier of LREE (La and Nd).

The C1 chondrite normalized REE (Mc Donough and Sun, 1995) for trachyte and weathered samples are similar and evidence REE enrichment relative to Chondrite across the profiles sequence. LREE are strongly enriched compared to HREE (Fig. 10). The normalized spectra further evidence REE depletion relative to trachyte along the sequence, except in the saprolite and the upslope profile P2-FO (Fig. 10).

Two anomalies, Eu and Ce, are highlighted. The positive Eu anomaly in the trachyte and the saprolite (Fig. 10c) is linked to plagioclases, which bear Eu (Babechuck et al., 2014). However, negative Eu anomaly is noticed across the sequence from the bottom to the top of duricrusted lateritic horizons (Fig. 10) implying a progressive Eu leaching during lateritization, as previously shown in weathering profiles derived from basalts (Babechuck et al., 2014). Although a negative Ce anomaly is clearly defined in saprolite (Fig 10c), a positive anomaly is rather noticed across the sequence, except in the upslope profile P2-FO (Fig. 10b).

Calculated Eu/Eu* and Ce/Ce* anomalies are in agreement with chondrite normalized patterns. Eu/Eu* values extend from a maximum of 1.28 in the trachyte, 1.09 in the saprolite, and 0.60 in duricrusted horizons of the plateau profiles (Tab. 5) due to the quick weathering of plagioclases; while the minimum value of 0.42 is noticed in bauxitic pebbles of the downslope profile (P4-FO; Tab. 5). Ce/Ce* values vary from 0.99 in the trachyte to a minimum of 0.53 in the saprolite (Tab. 5). Maximum values (up to 4.89) are further measured in the massive bauxitic duricrust indicating Ce enrichment relative to La and Pr. The

scatterplot between Ce/Ce* and ∑REE (Fig. 11a) also indicates Ce enrichment during
lateritization.

The La/Y ratio varies from 1.50 to 9.12 along the sequence (Tab. 5; Fig. 11b) that 405 characterizes REE fractionation during the weathering process. The highest values measured 406 in the saprolite, the massive and bauxitic pebbly duricrusts correlate with the highest REE 407 content, while the lowest values correlate with lowest REE content in the other horizons of 408 409 the profiles sequence (Tab. 5). This behavior may suggest REE depletion with pH decrease (Maksimovic and Panto, 1991; Zarasvandi et al., 2012) inferring potential effect of pH 410 variations during bauxitic weathering. The (La/Yb)_N ratio of saprolite is the highest (68.4), 411 412 and varies in the profiles from 40.8 in the massive bauxite to lower values (7.8) in the surface nodular bauxitic and fine earthy horizon (Table 5). Despite the poor correlation between 413 $(La/Yb)_N$ and CIA, the broad correlation in the massive bauxite (Fig. 11c) suggests increasing 414 415 (La/Yb)_N with the weathering intensity (Ndjigui et al., 2008). This trend is confirmed in scatterplots (La/Yb)_N vs. (Gd/Yb)_N and (Eu/Eu*) vs. (Gd/Yb)_N (Fig. 11d and 11e), which also 416 417 indicate REE fractionation with increasing lateritization. The overall REE composition is strongly correlated to that of trace elements (Fig. 11f) that suggests common host minerals 418 and/or similar geochemical behaviors along the weathering sequence to some extent. 419

420

421 4.5. Major pattern of bauxite formation and evolution

Geochemical analyses and chondrite normalized REE spectra of weathering samples document that lateritic weathering profiles formed by in-situ weathering of trachyte. Saprolite formation consists in pseudomorphic weathering of parent rock minerals implying strong leaching of labile elements and in-situ formation of kaolinite, while plagioclases and cpx weathered into gibbsite and goethite, respectively. Low Ki value (0.77) indicates a kaoliniterich weathering, with noticeable amount of primary gibbsite both formed under humid

428	climatic conditions (Beauvais, 1999, 2009; Tardy and Roquin, 1998) and optimal drainage of
429	the profiles sequence (Bocquier et al., 1983; Yongué-Fouateu, 1986). The interconnected
430	porosity observed in saprolite results from eluviation processes of its clayey matrices (Nahon,
431	1991; Tardy and Nahon, 1985).

The structural, mineralogical and geochemical characteristics of the blocky bauxitc 432 duricrust document the disaggregation process of the overlying massive duricrust, which is 433 characterized by Fe-depletion from clayey matrices during seasonal changes of the water table 434 level (Ambrosi and Nahon, 1986; Boulangé, 1984; Tardy, 1997; Tematio and Olson, 1997). 435 This results in less cohesiveness and more fragmentation and disaggregation of massive 436 duricrust (Beauvais and Tardy, 1993; Beauvais, 2009; Hieronymus et al., 1999; Soares de 437 Oliveira et al., 2013). The Ki value of 0.03 in the bauxitic blocks highlights intense 438 bauxitization implying near-complete silica leaching under hot and very wet climatic 439 weathering conditions (Boulangé, 1984; Tardy and Roquin, 1998). However, highest value 440 (0.54 in the loose matrix) indicates less leaching of the upper duricrusted horizons 441 (Hieronymus, 1973; Soares de Oliveira et al., 2013). 442

The massive bauxitic duricrust has the lowest Ki ratio of the sequence (0.01) typifying 443 444 very strong bauxitization process with complete silica depletion and increasing chemical weathering intensity (Hiéronymus, 1973; Nyobe, 1987; Tematio et al., 2009). Aluminum 445 446 enrichment at this stage does not only result from relative accumulation after labile element depletion, but also from secondary illuviation process (Eschenbrenner, 1987; Mutakyahwa et 447 al., 2003), which is illustrated by cutans and/or complete infilling of voids with secondary 448 gibbsite crystallizations in the massive bauxite. The elongated dark brown domains at the 449 450 bottom of this massive duricrusted horizon result from late pedogenetic process involving

451 water table level variations, which preferentially mobilizes and concentrates iron into goethite452 (Hieronymus, 1973; Beauvais, 1999).

The duricrust disaggregation mechanism in the surface nodular bauxitic horizon is similar to that of the blocky bauxite, implying Fe mobility (Beauvais, 2009), and potential downward Al enrichment and maturation of massive bauxite by secondary gibbsite crystallization. Silica enrichment on top of profile sequence may be assigned to possible aeolian inputs (Brimhall et al., 1991).

The loose and heterogeneous structures of the lateritic materials from the downslope 458 profile with lower IOL values than in the plateau profiles typify a reworked allochthonous 459 formation resulting from erosion and redistribution of various sized fragments of bauxites 460 461 and/or Fe lateritic crusts on gently sloping surfaces (Beauvais et al., 2003; Boulangé, 1984; Chardon et al., 2018; Eno Belinga, 1972; Grimaud et al., 2015; Momo Nouazi et al., 2012). 462 463 Such an erosion feature may have occurred after incision of the initial bauxitic surface, which was potentially favored by combined Pliocene surface uplift (Morin, 1985) and climate 464 change from humid to drier conditions (Ruddiman et al., 1989) that triggered mechanical 465 erosion of bauxite and redistribution of bauxitic elements in the landscape. Given that the 466 Fongo-Tongo trachytes erupted during the Burdigalian ca. 16 Ma ago (Nkouathio et al., 467 2006), intense bauxitic weathering illustrated by primary gibbsite formed by weathering of 468 plagioclase was first initiated in mid-Miocene under warm and humid climatic conditions 469 correlated to high chemical marine sedimentation (Davies et al., 1977). Then, former bauxitic 470 weathering profiles possibly maturated by massive secondary gibbsite 471 formation/crystallization in discontinuities and porosities in Late Miocene before their 472 dismantling by mechanical erosion from Pliocene, which was also a period of continental dust 473 474 fluxes and high clastic sedimentation in offshore basins (Van Andel et al., 1977).

475

476 **5.** Conclusion

Neogene lateritic weathering of trachyte from the Bambouto volcanics led to the 477 formation and differentiation of lateritic bauxitic profiles that preserved basically the trachytic 478 structure from the saprolites to the top of profiles. Weathering of parent minerals results in 479 strong leaching of labile elements, relative or absolute enrichment of alumina from 34.3 wt% 480 up to 53.5 wt.% in the saprolite and duricrusted horizons, respectively, and consecutive 481 formation of gibbsite and goethite with lesser kaolinite. During lateritic bauxitization, both 482 mineralogical and geochemical differentiations control the trace elements behavior and REE 483 fractionation across the weathering profiles, with major contents upslope in the sequence. 484 Pliocene degradation of the bauxite and/or dissection or dismantling of bauxitic landscapes 485 486 previously formed during mid- to upper Miocene resulted in Fe-depletion, and allochthonous silica enrichment on top of lateritic profiles. Accounting for major geochemical and 487 morphological differentiation of the Fongo-Tongo bauxitic weathering landscapes usefully 488 489 document the evolving dynamics of lateritic regolith and landsurfaces in Cameroon.

490

491 Acknowledgements

The financial support for mineralogical and geochemical data of this study was 492 provided by the Service de Coopération et d'Action Culturelle de la France au Cameroun 493 (SCAC) (815364G). The mineralogical analyses have been carried out at the Centre Européen 494 de Recherche et d'Enseignement des Géosciences de l'Environnement (CEREGE), Aix 495 Marseille University, OSU Pytheas, France; the geochemical analysis has been carried out at 496 ALS Laboratory Group SL-Spain. AB and J-PA are supported by IRD and CNRS, 497 respectively. Two anonymous reviewers are thanked for their comments that help improving 498 the structure of the paper. 499

500 **References**

- Ambrosi, J.P., Nahon, D., 1986. Petrological and geochemical differentiation of lateritic iron
 crust profiles. Chemical Geology 57, 371-393.
- 503 American Mineralogist Crystal Structure Database, 2016.
- 504 <u>http://rruff.geo.arizona.edu/AMS/amcsd. php</u> (accessed 06.08.17).
- 505 Babechuk, M.G., Widdowson, M., Kamber, B.S., 2014. Quantifying chemical intensity and
- trace element release from two contrasting profiles, Decan Traps, India. Chemical Geology365, 56-75.
- 508 Ballentine, C.J., Lee, D.C., Halliday, A. N., 1997. Hafnium isotopic studies of the Cameroon
- Line and new HIMU paradoxes. Chemical Geology 139, 111-124.
- 510 Beauvais, A., 1999. Geochemical balance of lateritization processes and climatic signaturs in
- weathering profiles overlain by ferricretes in Central Africa. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta, 63 (1999), pp. 3939-3957.
- 513 Beauvais, A., 2009. Ferricrete biochemical degradation on the rainforest savannas boundary
- of Central African Republic. Geoderma 150, 379-388.
- 515 Beauvais, A., Chardon, D., 2013. Modes, tempo, and spatial variability of Cenozoic cratonic
- denudation: The West African example. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 14 (5),
- 517 1590-1608. doi:10.1002/ggge.20093.
- 518 Beauvais, A., Ritz, M., Parisot, J-C., Bantsimba, C., 2003. Testing etching hypothesis in
- shaping granite dome structures beneath lateritic weathering landsurfaces using ERT
- 520 method. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 28 (10), 1071-1080.
- 521 Beauvais, A., Roquin, C., 1996. Petrological differentiation patterns and geomorphic
- distribution of ferricretes in Central Africa. Geoderma 73, 63-82.
- 523 Beauvais, A., Tardy, Y., 1993. Degradation and dismantling of iron crusts under climatic
- changes in Central Africa. Chemical Geology 107, 277-280.

- 525 Bocquier, G., Boulangé, B., Ildefonse, P., Nahon, D., Muller, D., 1983. Transfers,
- accumulation modes, mineralogical transformation and complexity of historical
- 527 development in lateritic profiles. International Symposium on Laterisation. Proceeding.,
- 528 Sao Paulo., AJ. Melii et A. Carvahlo éds. 2, 331-343.
- 529 Boulangé, B., 1984. Les formations bauxitiques latéritiques de Côte d'Ivoire. Les faciès, leur
- transformation, leur distribution et l'évolution du modelé. Thèse et Mémoire ORSTOM
- 531 363 pp.
- 532 Boulangé, B., Ambrosi, J.-P. and Nahon, D., 1997. Laterites and Bauxites. In: H. Paquet and
- N. Clauer (Editors), Soils and Sediments: mineralogy and geochemistry. Springer Berlin
 Heidelberg, pp. 49-65.
- Boski, T., Herbosch, A., 1990. Trace elements and their relation to the mineral phases in
 lateritic bauxites from Se Guinea Bissau. Chemical Geology 82, 279-297.
- 537 Braun, J.-J., Pagel, M., Herbillon, A., Rosin, C., 1993. Mobilization and redistribution of
- REEs and thorium in a syenitic lateritic profile: a mass balance study. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta 57, 4419-4434.
- 540 Brewer, R., Sleeman, J. R., 1988. Soil Structure and Fabric. CSIRO Australia, Melbourne,
 541 173 pp.
- 542 Brimhall, G.H., Lewis, C.J., Ford, C., Bratt, J., Taylor, G., Warin, O., 1991. Quantitative
- 543 geochemical approach to pedogenesis: importance of parent material reduction, volumetric
- expansion, and eolian influx in lateritization. Geoderma 51, 51-91.
- 545 Calagari, A.A., Kangarini Farahani, F., Abedini, A., 2010. Geochemistry on major, trace and
- rare earth elements in Biglar Permo-Triasic bauxite deposit, Northwest of Abgarm,
- 547 Ghazvin Province, Iran. Journal of Sciences, Islamic republic of Iran 21 (3), 225-236.

- 548 Cameroon Alumina LTD., 2008. Etude d'Impact Environnemental et Social du projet
- 549
 d'exploitation des gisements de bauxite de Minim-Martap et Ngaoundal dans la Région de
- ⁵⁵⁰ l'Adamaoua, République du Cameroun. Résumé exécutif. pp 39.
- 551 Chardon, D., Grimaud, J.L., Beauvais, A., Bamba, O., 2018. West African lateritic pediments:
- 552 Landform-regolith evolution processes and mineral exploration pitfalls. Earth science
- reviews 179, 124-146.
- Colin, F., Beauvais, A., Ambrosi, J-P., Nahon, D., 2004. Les latérites en environnement
 tropical, source de métaux d'intérêt économique. Assises de la Recherche Française dans le
- 556 Pacifique, Nouméa, pp. 104-107.
- Davies, T.A., Hay, W.W., Southam, J.R., Worsley, T.R., 1977. Estimates of cenozoic oceanic
 sedimentation rates. Science 197, 53-55.
- 559 Déruelle, B., Moreau, C., Nkoumbou, C., Kambou, R., Lissom, J., Njonfang, E., Ghogomu,
- 560 R.T., Nono, A., 1991. The Cameroon Line: a review. In: Kampunzu AB, Lubala RT (eds)
- 561 Magmatism in extensional structural settings. Springer, Berlin, pp. 274-327.
- 562 Duzgoren-Aydin, N.S., Aydin, A., Malpas, J., 2002. Re-assessment of chemical weathering
- indices: case study on pyroclastic rocks of Hong-Kong. Engineering geology 63, 99-119.
- 564 Eno Belinga, S.M., 1972. L'altération des roches basaltiques et le processus de bauxitisation
- dans l'Adamaoua (Cameroun). Thèse de Doctorat, Université de Paris, pp 571.
- 566 Eschenbrenner, V., 1987. Les glébules des sols de Côte d'Ivoire. Thèse ès Sciences,
- 567 Université de Bourgogne, Dijon, 2 t, pp 496.
- Grandin, G., 1976. Aplanissements cuirassés et enrichissement des gisements de manganèse
 dans quelques régions d'Afrique de l'Ouest. Mémoire de l'ORSTOM 82, 1-275.
- 570 Grimaud, J-L., Chardon, D., Metelka, V., Beauvais, A., Bamba, O., 2015. Neogene cratonic
- erosion fluxes and landform evolution processes from regional regolith mapping (Burkina
- 572 Faso, West Africa). Geomorphology 241, 315-330. Doi :10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.04.006.

- 573 Hiéronymus, B., 1973. Etude minéralogique et géochimique des formations bauxitiques de
- 1'Ouest Cameroun. Cahier de l'ORSTOM, Série Géologie 1, 97-112.
- 575 Hieronymus, B., Kotschoubey, B., Godot, J.-M., Boulègue, J., 1999. Evolução atual da
- 576 cobertura laterítico-bauxítica da serra de Trucará, baixo rio Tocantins, Pará. Revista
- 577 Brasileira de Geociencias 29 (4), 649-656.
- 578 Irfan, T.Y., 1999. Characterisation of weathered volcanic rocks in Hong Kong. Quarterly
- Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology 32, 317-348.
- 580 Kagou Dongmo, A., Nkouathio, D.G., Pouclet, A., Bardintzeff, J-M., Wandji, P., Nono, A.,
- 581 Guillou, H., 2010. The discovery of Late Quaternary basalt on Mount Bambouto:
- implications for recent widespread volcanic activity in the southern Cameroon Line.
- Journal of African Earth Sciences 57, 96-108.
- 584 Kengni, L., Tekoudjou, H., Tematio, P., Tedonkeng, E.P., Mubeteneh Tankou, C., 2009.
- 585 Rainfall Variability along the Southern Flank of the Bambouto Mountain (West-
- 586 Cameroon). Journal of the Cameroon academy of sciences 8, 45-52.
- 587 Kwékam, M., Liégeois, J.P., Njonfang, E., Affaton, P., Hartmann, G., 2010. Nature, origin
- and significance of the Fomopéa Pan-African high-K calc-alkaline plutonic complex in the
- 589 Central African fold belt (Cameroon). Journal of African Earth Sciences 57, 79-95.
- 590 Lopez J.M.G., Bauluz, B., Fernandez-Nieto, C., Oliete, A., 2005. Factors controlling the trace
- solution element distribution in fine-grained rocks: the Albian kaolinite-rich deposits of the Oliete
- Basin (NE Spain). Chemical Geology 214, 1-19.
- Mc Donough, W.F., Sun, S.S., 1995. The composition of the Earth. Chemical Geology 120,
 223-253
- 595 Maksimovic, Z., Panto, G., 1991. Contribution to the geochemistry of the rare earth elements
- in the karst-bauxite deposits of Yugoslavia and Greece. Geoderma 51, 93-109.

- 597 Momo Nouazi, M., 2016. The lateritic surfaces on the Bamiléké Plateau (West Cameroon):
- bauxitic potential mapping, genetic processes, morphotectonic analysis and implication on
 landscape dynamics. Ph.D Thesis, University of Dschang, pp 223.
- Momo Nouazi, M., Yemefack, M., Tematio, P., Beauvais, A., Ambrosi, J-P., 2016.
- 601 Distribution of duricrusted bauxites and laterites on the Bamiléké plateau (West
- 602 Cameroon): Constraints from GIS mapping and geochemistry. Catena 140, 15-23.
- 603 Momo Nouazi, M., Tematio, P., Yemefack, M., 2012. Multiscale organization of the
- 604 Doumbouo-Fokoué Bauxites Ore Deposits (West Cameroun): Implication to the
- Landscape Lowering. Open Journal of Geology 2, 14-24.
- Mordberg, L.E., 1996. Geochemistry of trace elements in Paleozoic bauxite profiles in
- northern Russia. Journal of Geochemical Exploration 57, 187-199.
- Morin, S., 1985. Cuirasses et reliefs de l'ouest Cameroun. Laboratoire de géomorphologie du
 CEGET/CNRS, 33405 Talence France. 11 p.
- Moshood, N.T., Okunlola, O.A., Abimbola, A.F., 2006. Lithogenic concentrations of trace
- 611 metals in soils and saprolites over crystalline basement rocks: A case study from SW
- 612 Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Sciences 46, 427-438.
- Mutakyahwa, M.K.D., Ikingura, J.R., Mruma, A.H., 2003. Geology and geochemistry of
- bauxite deposits in Lushoto District, Usambara Mountains, Tanzania. Journal of African
- Earth Sciences 36, 357-369.
- Nahon, D., 1991. Introduction to the petrology of soils and chemical weathering. A WileyInter-science Publication, pp 313.
- Nahon, D., 1986. Evolution of iron crusts in tropical landscapes. In: Rates of chemical
- 619 weathering of rocks and materials, S.M. Coleman and D.P. Dethier eds., Academic Press
- 620 Inc., pp. 169-191.

- Ndjigui, P.D., Bilong, P., Bitom, D., Dia, A., 2008. Mobilization and redistribution of major
- and trace elements in two weathering profiles developed on serpentinites in the Lomié

623 ultramafic complex, South-East Cameroon. Journal of African Earth Sciences 50, 305-328.

- Nesbitt, H.W., Young, G.M., 1982. Early Proterozoic climates and plate motions inferred
- from major element chemistry of lutites. Nature 279, 715-717.
- 626 Ngako, V., Njonfang, E., Tongwa Aka, F., Affaton, P., Metuk Nnange, J., 2006. The North-
- 627 South Paleozoic to Quaternary trend of alkaline magmatism from Niger-Nigeria to
- 628 Cameroon: Complex interaction between hotspots and Precambrian faults. Journal of
- 629 African Earth Sciences 45 (3), 241-256.
- 630 Nkouathio, D.G., Kagou Dongmo, A., Wandji, P., Bardintzeff, J.M., Tchoua, F.M., 2006.
- Evolution and tectonic control of volcanism along the Cameroon Volcanic Line: case study
- of graben and horst volcanoes. Implication on the nature of volcanic hazards. Garavolcan,
- 633 300th ?? International Conference commemorating the 1706 Arenas Negras Eruption,
- Garachico, Tenerife, Canary Islands, May 22-27.
- Nkouathio, D.G., Kagou Dongmo, A., Bardintzeff, J. M., Wandji, P., Bellon, H., Pouclet, A.,
- 636 2008. Evolution of volcanism in graben and horst structures along the Cenozoic Cameroon
- 637 Line (Africa): implications for tectonic evolution and mantle source composition.
- 638 Mineralogy and Petrology 94, 287-303.
- Nono, A., Njonfang, E., Kagou Dongmo, A., Nkouathio, D. G., Tchoua F. M., 2004.
- 640 Pyroclastic deposits of the Bambouto volcano (Cameroon Line, Central Africa): evidence
- of a strombolian initial phase. Journal of African Earth Sciences 39, 409-414.
- 642 Nyobe, J.B., 1987. A geological and geochemical study of the Fongo-Tongo and areally
- related bauxites deposits, Western Highlands, Republic of Cameroun. PhD thesis, pp 352.
- Plank, T., Langmuir, C.H., 1988. The chemical composition of subducting sediment and its
- 645 consequences for the crust and mantle. Chemical Geology 145, 325-394.

- 646 Putzolu, F., Papa, A.P., Mondillo, N., Boni, M., Balassone, G., Mormone, A., 2018.
- Geochemcial characterization of bauxite deposits from the Abruzzi mining district (Italy).
 Minerals 8, 298, doi:10.3390/min8070298.
- 649 Rietveld, H.M., 1969. A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures.
- Journal of Applied Crystallography 2, 65-71.
- Ruddiman, W.F., Sarnthein, M., Backman, J., Baldauf, J.G., Curry, W., Dupont, L.M.,
- Janecek, T., Pokras, E.M., Raymo, M.E., Stabell, B., Stein, R., Tiedemann, R., 1989. Late
- 653 Miocene to Pleistocene evolution of climate in Africa and the low-Iatitude Atlantic:
- overview of Leg 108 results. Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific
- 655 Results, 108, (7), pp. 463-486.
- 656 Sahoo, R.K., Kaden, G.V.D., Muller, G., 1980. The mineralogy and geochemistry of
- nickeliferous laterite of Sukinda, Orissa, India. Proceeding of the 1st International
 Symposium on Laterites, Trivandrum, pp. 77-85.
- Schellmann, W. 1994. Geochemical differentiation in laterite and bauxite formation. Catena21, 131-143.
- 661 Soares de Oliveira, F., Chicarino Varajão, A.F.D., Chicarino Varajão, C.A., Boulangé, B.,
- Vieira Soares, C.C., 2013. Mineralogical, micromorphological and geochemical evolution
- of the facies from the bauxite deposit of Barro Alto, Central Brazil. Catena 105, 29-39.
- Tardy, Y., 1997. Petrology of Laterites and Tropical Soils. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp 408.
- Tardy, Y., Nahon, D., 1985. Geochemistry of laterites, stability of Al-goethite, Al-hematite,
- and Fe^{3+} -kaolinite in bauxites and ferricretes : an approach to the mechanism of concretion
- 667 formation. American Journal of Science 285, 865-903.
- 668 Tardy, Y., Roquin, C., 1998. Dérive des continents, Paléoclimats et altérations tropicales.
- 669 B.R.G.M., pp 473.
- Taylor, G., Eggleton, R.A., 2001. Regolith geology and geomorphology. Wiley, Chichester.

671	Taylor, R.G., Howard, K.W.F., 1998. Post-Paleozoic evolution of weathered landsurfaces in
672	Uganda by tectonically controlled deep weathering and striping. Geomorphology 25, 173-
673	192.

Tematio, P., Olson, K.R., 1997. Characterization of two phases of encrustment in a sequence
of ferrallitic soils in the South Cameroon and its effects on landscape evolution. Soil
Science, USA., 162 (10) 758-766.

Tematio, P., Fritsch, E., Hodson, M. E., Lucas, Y., Bitom, D., Bilong, P., 2009. Mineral and
geochemical characterization of a leptic aluandic soil and a thapto aluandic-ferralsol

developed on trachytes in Mount Bambouto (Cameroon volcanic line). Geoderma 152,314-323.

681 Tematio, P., Meli, S., Leumbe Leumbe, O., Momo Nouazi, M., Yemefack, M., Yongue

Fouateu, R., 2015. Mapping bauxite indices using Landsat ETM+ imageries constrained
with environmental factors in Foumban area (West Cameroon). Journal of African Earth
Sciences 109, 47-54.

685 Traoré, D., Beauvais, A., Chabaux, F., Peiffert, C., Parisot, J.C., Ambrosi, J.P., Colin,

686 F., 2008a. Chemical and physical transfers in an ultramafic rock weathering profile: part 1.

687 Supergene dissolution of Pt-bearing chromite. American Mineralogist 93 (1), 22-30.

Traoré, D., Beauvais, A., Augé, T., Parisot, J.C., Colin, F., Cathelineau, M., 2008b. Chemical

and physical transfers in an ultramafic rock weathering profile: part 2. Dissolution vs.

accumulation of platinum-group minerals. American Mineralogist 93 (1), 31-38.

Trescases, J.J., 1973. L'évolution géochimique supergène des roches ultrabasiques en zone

- 692 tropicale Formation des gisements nickélifères de Nouvelle Calédonie. Mémoire de
- l'ORSTOM (Office de la recherche scientifique et technique outre-mer), Paris. 78, pp 259.

694	Ufer K., Stanjek H., Roth G., Dohrmann R., Kleeberg R., Kaufhold S., 2008. Quantitive
695	phase analysis of bentonites by the Rietveld method. Clays and Clay Minerals 56 (2), 272-
696	282.
697	Van Andel, T.H., Thiede, J., Sckater, J.G., Hay, W.W., 1977. Depositional history of the
698	South-Atlantic Ocean during the last 125 million years. J. Geol. 85 (6), 651-678.
699	Yongue-Fouateu, R., 1986. Contribution à l'étude pétrologique de l'altération et des faciès de
700	cuirassement ferrugineux des gneiss migmatitiques de la région de Yaoundé. Thèse
701	Doctorat 3 ^{ème} cycle, Université de Yaoundé, 214 pp.
702	Zarasvandi, A., Carranza, E.J.M., Ellahi, S.S., 2012. Geological, geochemical and
703	mineralogical characteristics of the Deh-Now bauxite deposits, Zagros fold belt, Iran. Ore
704	geology reviews 48, 125-138.

706 FIGURES CAPTION

Fig. 1. **a)** Location and structure of the Cameroon Volcanic Line (from Ballentine et al., 1997;

and Ngako et al., 2006). b) Morphology and distribution of lateritic landsurfaces on the

709 Bamiléké plateau; c) Geological map of the Bamiléké plateau, Adapted from Kagou Dongmo

et al. (2010) and Nkouathio et al. (2008) (framed in Fig. 1b); d) Optical microscope

711 photomicrographs (crossed polarised light) of the porphyric trachyte highlighting a microlitic

assemblage of plagioclases (Pl), titanomagnetite (Tit) and large clinopyroxene (Cpx)

713 phenocrysts; e) Microlitic assemblage of Pl, Tit, and large phenocrysts of Cpx.

714

Fig. 2. Petrographical structure of the lateritic sequence (a), and the weathering profiles (b)
highlighting the key features of structural changes.

Fig. 3. Petrographical structure of a field section and duricrusted samples. **a**) Vertical and

718lateral view of upper profile. The dashed line is a limit between the bauxitic pebble horizon

(B11) at the top, and the massive bauxite duricrust (B12); **b**) Saprolitic duricrust and dark

brown domains (B12); c) and d) Massive bauxite duricrust (B12); e) Porous bauxite duricrust

(B15). 1= saprolitic matrix, 2= dark brown domain, 3= reddish to yellow brown matrix, 4=

void coated with yellowish cutans, 5= reddish matrix, 6= reddish crumbly infill, 7= fine earth
infill.

Fig. 4. Micromorphology of the weathering structures. a) Saprolite; b) Blocky bauxite

duricrust; c) and d) Saprolitic duricrust with dark brown domains; e) and f) Massive bauxite

duricrust. 1= grey brown crystic fabric with primary gibbsite crystallization; 2= voids; 3=grey

727 argilic plasma; 4=reddish brown plasma; 5=grey crystic fabric with secondary gibbsite

rystallization; 6=grey argilic plasma; 7=dark brown argillic plasma; 8= Clay Fe-rich cutans.

Fig. 5. Mineralogical compositions from X-ray diffraction analyses. a) Plateau profile, b)
Upslope profile, c) Hillside profile, d) Downslope profile. San= sanidine; Aug= augite; an=
anorthite, Mi= microcline; Tit= Titanomagnetitte.

Fig. 6. Mineralogical and geochemical variations along the composite upslope profile (P2-FO

+ saprolite). a) Mineralogical profile; b) Major oxides; c) Geochemical indices; d), e) and f)

Trace elements; g) Rare Earth elements. See figure 2 for the explanation of horizons in thecomposite profile.

Fig. 7. SiO₂-Al₂O₃-Fe₂O₃ ternary plot illustrating the different degrees of lateritization in the
weathering sequence (after Schellman, 1994).

Fig. 8. Trachyte normalized trace elements spider diagrams of the weathering samples.

Fig. 9. Trace elements geochemical scattergrams. **a**) Th vs. Hf; **b**) Ta vs. Nb; **c**) Ta vs. Zr; **d**)

740 Ga vs. Hf; e) TiO2 vs. Zr; f) TiO2 vs. Hf; g) TiO2 vs. Σ Trace elements; h) P₂O₅ vs. U.

Fig. 10. Chondrite normalized REE spider diagrams of the weathering samples and thetrachyte

Fig. 11. REE geochemical scattergrams. **a**) ∑REE vs. Ce/Ce*; **b**) ∑REE vs. La/Y; **c**)

744 $(La/Yb)_N$ vs. CIA; d) $(La/Yb)_N$ vs. $(Gd/Yb)_N$; e) Eu/Eu* vs. $(Gd/Yb)_N$; f) \sum Trace elements vs.

745 ∑REE.

747 TABLES CAPTION

748 Table 1. Mineralogical composition obtained by quantitative Rietveld Refinement on the

- 749 weathering facies. (-)=mineral present but below calculation limits, i.e. <5%; Ka=kaolinite;
- 750 Bo=boehmite; Gi=gibbsite; Go=goethite; An=anatase; He=hematite; Ma=maghemite;
- 751 Fl=Florencite; Qz=quartz. See Fig. 2 for description of horizons labels (B).
- **Table 2**. Major elements (wt.%) and major elements mass change (%) in the weathering
- rsa sequence. LOI=Loss Of Ignition; CIA (%)=Chemical Index of Alteration; IOL (%)=Index of
- 754 Lateritization; MIA (%)=Mafic Index of Lateritization; Ki= Silica to aluminium ratio
- 755 (SiO₂/Al2O₃); d.l.=Detection limits; (-)=Elements below detection limits. See Fig. 2 for
- 756 description of horizons labels (B).
- **Table 3.** Trace elements content $(\mu g/g)$ of the weathering facies and the fresh rock.
- d.l.=Detection limits; (-)=Elements below detection limits. See Fig. 2 for description of
 horizons labels (B).
- Table 4. Spearman's correlation coefficients of major elements with trace elements and REE(n=33).
- **Table 5**. Rare earth elements content $(\mu g/g)$ of the weathering facies and the fresh rock.
- 763 d.l.=Detection limits. See Fig. 2 for description of horizons labels (B).
- 764 $Ce/Ce^* = (Ce_{sample}/Ce_{chondrite})/(La_{sample}/La_{chondrite})^{1/2} (Pr_{sample}/Pr_{chondrite})^{1/2}$
- 765 $Eu/Eu^* = (Eu_{sample}/Eu_{chondrite})/(Sm_{sample}/Sm_{chondrite})^{1/2} (Gd_{sample}/Gd_{chondrite})^{1/2}$
- 766 $(La/Yb)_N = (La_{sample}/La_{chondrite})/(Yb_{sample}/Yb_{chondrite})$
- 767 $(Gd/Yb)_N = (Gd_{sample}/Gd_{chondrite})/(Yb_{sample}/Yb_{chondrite})$
- 768



N











Fig. 4



FIG. 5



Fig 6









FIG. 10



Plateau P1-FO-1.2 P1-FO-1.1	Horizon	Description	Depth (m)	Mineralogical composition (%)											
Plateau				Ka	Во	Gi	Go	An	Не	Ма	FI	G			
P1-FO-1.2	B11	0.5	10		43	18	5	5	-		1				
P1-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	0.5	6	-	54	22	-	-	-		1			
P1-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	0.5	-		70	20	-	5	-					
P1-FO-2	B12	Massive bauxite	2.8	-		67	21	-	9	-					
P1-FO-3.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	3.0	6		51	33	-	7	-					
P1-FO-3	B12	Massive bauxite	4.8	-		55	19	-	22	-	-				
P1-FO-4	B12	Massive bauxite	6.0	-		72	16	-	6	-					
P1-FO-4.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	6.0	-		54	33	-	5	5					
Upslope															
P2-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	0.5	9		43	23	-	-	-		1			
P2-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	0.5	-		63	29	-	-	-					
P2-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	1.0			65	25	-	-	5					
P2-FO-2	B12	Massive bauxite	2.5	-		55	24	6	5	8	-				
P2-FO-3.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	4.0	-		68	29	-	-						
P2-FO-3	B12	Massive bauxite	4.5			55	30	6	7	-	-				
P2-FO-4	B12	Massive bauxite	6.0			59	26	-	7	-	-				
P2-FO-5.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	7.5	3		48	25	-	9	9					
P2-FO-5	B12	Massive bauxite	8.0			52	31	5	9	-	-				
P2-FO-6	B12	Massive bauxite	9.0	8		61	18	-	5	6					
P2-FO-7	B12	Massive bauxite	10.0	-		66	21	-	-	6	-				
P2-FO-7.1	B12	Dark brown domains	10.0			40	58	-		-					
P2-FO-8	B13	Bauxitic blocks	11.0	-		57	29	-	6	-					
P2-FO-8.1	B13	Nodules	11.0	16		49	22	-	7	-					
Hillside															
P3-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	1.0	22		31	23	-	-	-		1			
P3-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	1.0	7	-	60	21	-	-	-					
P3-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	1.0	6	-	65	16	-	7	-					
P3-FO-2	B13	Loose matrix	5.0	56		23	8	5	-	-					
P3-FO-2.1	B13	Nodules	5.0	10		49	19	7	13						
P3-FO-3	С	Saprolite	10.5	61		16	7	8	-	5	-				
Downslope															
P4-FO-1	B14	Loose fine earth	0.5	26		34	13	3	6	5		1			
P4-FO-2	B15	Massive matrix	1.5	12		63	12	2	10	-					
P4-FO-2.1	B15	Coarse massive	1.7	7		71	16	3	-	-					
P4-FO-3	B15	Massive matrix	4.0	24		57	7	2	9	-					
P4-FO-4	B15	Massive matrix	5.0	28		54	9	3	-	-					

Table 1.

		Major oxides (%)															Weath	ering ch	emical i	ndices
	Horizon	Description	Depth (m)		SiO ₂	AI_2O_3	Fe ₂ O ₃	TiO ₂	CaO	MgO	Na ₂ O	K₂O	MnO	P_2O_5	LOI	Total	CIA	IOL	MIA	Ki
Plateau				d.I.	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01						
P1-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	0.5		18.75	31.50	17.65	2.48	0.16	0.34	0.05	0.25	0.05	0.31	28.0	99.58	85.1	72.4	87.0	0.60
P1-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	0.5		7.31	42.10	18.65	2.21	0.06	0.11	-	0.10	0.05	0.20	27.7	98.53	88.8	89.3	90.8	0.17
P1-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	0.5		2.34	48.40	18.45	1.97	0.03	0.02	-	0.03	0.04	0.16	28.2	99.68	90.3	96.6	92.0	0.05
P1-FO-2	B12	Massive bauxite	2.8		0.60	44.90	25.90	2.9	0.04	0.01	-	0.01	0.16	0.14	25.5	100.20	89.6	99.16	92.2	0.01
P1-FO-3.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	3.0		2.56	40.30	29.80	2.48	0.04	0.02	-	0.02	0.12	0.22	24.4	99.99	88.6	96.5	91.9	0.06
P1-FO-3	B12	Massive bauxite	4.8		0.80	41.60	29.60	3.14	0.04	0.03	-	0.01	0.18	0.2	23.9	99.54	88.9	98.9	92.0	0.02
P1-FO-4	B12	Massive bauxite	6.0		1.07	44.50	27.50	3.39	0.05	0.01	-	0.02	0.09	0.17	24.8	101.65	89.5	98.5	92.2	0.02
P1-FO-4.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	6.0		1.68	41.40	29.80	1.45	0.04	0.02	-	0.02	0.13	0.13	25.8	100.50	88.8	97.7	92.0	0.04
Upslope																				
P2-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	0.5		19.25	26.70	17.55	2.36	0.28	0.47	0.08	0.28	0.08	0.38	31.3	98.77	82.6	69.7	84.8	0.72
P2-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	0.5		3.92	44.00	22.70	2.05	0.05	0.07	-	0.05	0.15	0.23	27.6	100.86	89.4	94.5	91.5	0.09
P2-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	1.0		2.27	46.30	21.90	1.93	0.03	0.03	-	0.02	0.08	0.2	27.6	100.39	89.9	96.8	92.0	0.05
P2-FO-2	B12	Massive bauxite	2.5		1.97	50.70	14.25	2.29	0.02	0.01	-	0.03	0.06	0.33	28.9	98.68	90.7	97.1	91.9	0.04
P2-FO-3.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	4.0		2.29	38.10	34.50	1.71	0.08	0.01	-	0.02	0.06	0.34	23.9	101.03	88.0	96.9	92.0	0.06
P2-FO-3	B12	Massive bauxite	4.5		1.10	43.90	25.10	3.39	0.04	-	-	0.01	0.06	0.35	25.6	99.61	89.4	98.4	92.0	0.03
P2-FO-4	B12	Massive bauxite	6.0		0.73	49.20	20.50	2.17	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.05	0.26	27.4	100.39	90.5	99.0	92.3	0.01
P2-FO-5.1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	7.5		2.16	35.00	32.80	8.00	0.02	0.64	-	0.01	0.12	0.24	20.9	99.92	87.1	96.9	89.2	0.06
P2-FO-5	B12	Massive bauxite	8.0		0.79	44.90	25.50	3.39	0.08	-	-	0.01	0.06	0.39	25.7	100.89	89.6	98.9	92.2	0.02
P2-FO-6	B12	Massive bauxite	9.0		1.80	51.50	17.45	2.12	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.09	0.16	27.2	100.37	90.8	97.5	92.4	0.03
P2-FO-7	B12	Massive bauxite	10.0		1.32	51.80	17.45	2.03	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.08	0.27	27.6	100.61	90.9	98.1	92.4	0.03
P2-FO-7.1	B12	Dark brown domains	10.0		1.66	23.90	52.70	1.11	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.17	0.54	20.4	100.53	82.2	97.9	91.7	0.07
P2-FO-8	B13	Bauxitic blocks	11.0		1.59	49.40	20.20	2.58	-	-	-	0.02	0.07	0.25	27	101.15	90.5	97.8	92.3	0.03
P2-FO-8.1	B13	Nodules	11.0		5.89	39.10	25.90	2.82	0.07	0.06	-	0.06	0.11	0.41	24.5	98.99	88.2	91.7	91.2	0.15
Hillside																				
P3-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	1.0		19.45	33.60	17.00	2.32	0.10	0.21	0.01	0.19	0.06	0.30	26.3	99.58	86.2	72.2	88.2	0.58
P3-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	1.0		4.61	47.60	17.35	1.72	0.03	0.03	-	0.04	0.12	0.21	27.3	99.05	90.1	93.4	91.7	0.10
P3-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	1.0		2.99	49.90	17.00	1.81	0.03	0.02	-	0.02	0.24	0.18	28.1	100.35	90.6	95.7	92.0	0.06
P3-FO-2	B13	Loose matrix	5.0		19.50	35.50	21.90	2.08	0.05	0.03	-	0.06	0.07	0.25	19.7	99.19	87.1	74.6	90.3	0.55
P3-FO-2.1	B13	Nodules	5.0		3.50	39.70	27.50	5.84	0.03	0.15	-	0.02	0.09	0.23	23.1	100.23	88.4	95.1	91.1	0.09
P3-FO-3	С	Saprolite	10.5		26.40	34.30	17.30	3.33	0.05	0.01	-	0.02	0.08	0.55	16.5	98.79	86.8	66.1	89.7	0.77
Downslope																				
P4-FO-1	B14	Loose fine earth	0.5		19.25	35.70	17.55	2.59	0.07	0.16	-	0.22	0.05	0.21	24.4	100.23	86.8	73.5	89.0	0.54
P4-FO-2	B15	Massive matrix	1.5		4.29	49.30	16.95	1.87	0.03	0.02	-	0.04	0.08	0.15	26.8	99.56	90.4	93.9	91.9	0.09
P4-FO-2.1	B15	Coarse massive pebbles	1.7		2.02	53.50	10.65	2.22	0.01	-	-	0.02	0.03	0.15	29.6	98.22	89.2	97.0	91.1	0.26
P4-FO-3	B15	Massive matrix	4.0		14.40	39.30	17.50	2.39	0.07	0.11	-	0.16	0.08	0.24	24.9	99.19	91.1	79.8	92.1	0.04
P4-FO-4	B15	Massive matrix	5.0		11.25	43.70	18.90	2.71	0.04	0.06	-	0.09	0.07	0.18	23.7	100.74	88.0	84.8	90.0	0.37
Parent rock			-		59.50	15.50	8.40	0.62	2.85	0.22	4.36	5.08	0.39	0.16	1.59	98.97	46.5	28.7	53.1	3.84

Table 2.

					Trace	e eleme	ents (µ	g/g)																
	Horizon	Description	Depth (m)		Nb	Zr	Y	Sr	Rb	Ва	Ga	Zn	Cu	Ni	Co	Cr	۷	As	Sc	Pb	Th	Hf	U	Та
Plateau				d.l.	0.2	2	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.1	2	1	1	1	10	5	0.1	1	2	0.05	0.2	0.05	0.1
P1-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	0.5		179	1240	28.6	38	17.3	134	65.2	47	19	24	5	220	211	5.1	16	29	35	28	7.7	12
P1-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	0.5		211	1450	24.1	24.7	5.6	93.1	67.1	39	9	11	4	240	165	4.6	15	34	39	34	7.4	14
P1-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	0.5		223	1640	21.4	21.2	1.3	95.1	65.4	41	5	5	2	200	125	5.2	9	32	36	36	6.4	14
P1-FO-2	B12	Massive bauxite	2.8		272	1830	29.2	35.8	0.3	302	79.9	63	5	3	5	130	139	1	17	56	37	41	7.5	18
P1-FO-3 .1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	3.0		226	1610	25.5	30.8	1.3	113	78.2	78	8	10	6	110	132	3.7	21	30	35	39	7.3	13
P1-FO-3	B12	Massive bauxite	4.8		292	2020	31.6	34.7	0.4	231	81.6	102	7	4	3	100	92	1.5	24	45	37	45	7.6	19
P1-FO-4	B12	Massive bauxite	6.0		330	2120	33.8	39.7	0.6	252	87.1	57	6	6	11	100	116	1.4	9	33	39	49	8.2	19
P1-FO-4. 1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	6.0		143	1040	15.0	15.7	0.7	130.5	74.2	90	10	5	7	110	80	5.8	22	21	22	25	6.8	8.3
Upslope																								
P2-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	0.5		156	1180	25.5	43.6	17	191	55.2	90	20	24	5	130	154	5.4	21	37	29	25	6.6	10
P2-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	0.5		185	1480	18.1	20.3	3.3	221	63.2	122	7	4	3	130	107	6.2	25	56	27	31	6.6	11
P2-FO-1	B11	Bauxitic blocks	1.0		175	1320	16.2	16.7	1.7	103	57.3	121	5	3	2	110	88	4.6	21	26	24	28	6.2	11
P2-FO-2	B12	Massive bauxite	2.5		228	1730	35.1	50.9	0.7	939	72.5	108	4	2	3	40	49	2.9	28	51	30	40	8.1	14
P2-FO-3 .1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	4.0		157	1140	16.0	16.1	0.8	111	52.2	76	6	7	4	60	73	2.7	16	7	21	27	8.8	9.5
P2-FO-3	B12	Massive bauxite	4.5		341	2500	47.4	43.5	0.6	482	90.8	87	7	5	4	20	45	2	42	48	43	58	11	22
P2-FO-4	B12	Massive bauxite	6.0		244	1800	38.2	38.8	0.2	380	71.2	72	5	5	5	10	25	1.9	11	19	28	41	6.4	14
P2-FO-5. 1	B12	Saprolitic bauxite	7.5		82.8	414	3.1	4.0	1.0	8.4	45	93	15	35	33	270	784	3.5	30	18	9.3	9.5	5.6	5.8
P2-FO-5	B12	Massive bauxite	8.0		366	2660	53.8	65.8	-	669	104	125	8	7	9	10	20	0.6	19	41	42	63	9.9	21
P2-FO-6	B12	Massive bauxite	9.0		230	1680	27.4	12.5	-	155	72.3	400	5	6	5	10	26	1.9	13	13	29	40	6.6	13
P2-FO-7	B12	Massive bauxite	10.0		226	1530	27.8	22.3	-	269	62.2	140	6	7	3	0	17	1.4	15	21	24	36	6.2	12
P2-FO-7. 1	B12	Dark brown domains	10.0		114	813	14.7	10.4	0.2	293	37.1	81	6	6	7	10	20	2	53	42	14	20	8.4	6.4
P2-FO-8	B13	Bauxitic blocks	11.0		245	1650	26.0	26	0.6	242	71.2	108	5	10	6	50	57	2.7	13	9	28	40	6.5	14
P2-FO-8.1	B13	Nodules	11.0		272	1850	42.2	44.1	2.7	518	76.2	207	9	10	8	40	51	5.1	30	23	32	46	7.9	15
Hillside																								
P3-FO-1.2	B11	Loose matrix	1.0		180	1330	31	39.2	13.8	236	66.6	82	21	27	5	140	162	4.5	21	42	34	29	7.7	11
P3-FO-1.1	B11	Nodules	1.0		179	1350	23.7	31.1	5.8	289	68.9	85	7	8	1	110	107	3.4	15	47	28	30	6	11
P3-FO-1	B11	Bauxitc blocks	1.0		187	1440	24	38	1.4	521	62.5	104	6	5	-	70	87	5	13	102	28	32	5.6	13
P3-FO-2	B13	Loose matrix	5.0		193	1500	30.9	35.1	4.5	338	68.3	145	10	12	1	60	81	4.3	34	31	29	31	7.2	12
P3-FO-2 .1	B13	Nodules	5.0		123	729	11.9	35.3	0.9	103	46.1	35	18	17	11	520	494	4.9	24	17	16	17	5.1	8.1
P3-FO-3	С	Saprolite	10.5		329	2460	73.6	172	1.4	2090	88.6	414	9	15	2	20	51	2.6	34	62	41	54	9.5	20
Downslope																								
P4-FO-1	B14	Loose fine earth	0.5		164	1180	25.7	29.2	13.6	112	58	54	21	28	4	130	180	4.4	23	39	38	30	8.2	12
P4-FO-2	B15	Massive matrix	1.5		236	1440	26.4	45.4	2.7	127.5	66.8	52	5	7	1	170	96	3	13	38	38	37	7.1	14
P4-FO-2.1	B15	Coarse massive pebbles	1.7		203	1500	20	15.6	1.2	65.8	65.6	137	4	2	-	90	61	1.4	10	16	35	35	8	13
P4-FO-3	B15	Massive matrix	4.0		205	1270	31	36.1	10.3	142	65.9	57	13	24	7	150	158	5.1	13	18	26	32	8.5	12
P4-FO-4	B15	Massive matrix	5.0		227	1530	30.6	38.4	6.6	230	73.5	99	11	18	7	150	148	4.7	12	26	36	33	7.3	12
Parent rock			-		83	617	46.8	119.5	79.8	2630	29	189	3	-	2	10	-	0.1	16	3	9.5	14	22	4,9

Table 3.

	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	TiO ₂	CaO	MgO	Na ₂ O	K₂O	MnO	P_2O_5
Nb	-0.11	0.36	-0.20	0.86	-0.10	-0.50	-0.20	-0.28	-0.13	0.13
Zr	-0.06	0.35	-0.24	0.83	-0.07	-0.54	-0.18	-0.27	-0.14	0.19
Y	0.34	0.02	-0.28	0.75	0.11	-0.33	-0.03	-0.01	-0.22	0.49
Sr	0.49	-0.14	-0.25	0.55	0.15	-0.13	0.06	0.03	-0.10	0.53
Rb	0.74	-0.53	-0.35	0.00	0.78	0.57	0.71	0.99	-0.28	0.08
Ва	0.32	-0.06	-0.14	0.40	-0.04	-0.25	-0.10	-0.18	0.02	0.62
Ga	-0.04	0.34	-0.25	0.80	-0.05	-0.43	-0.18	-0.21	-0.11	0.00
Zn	0.22	0.07	-0.17	0.18	-0.12	-0.20	-0.12	-0.23	0.02	0.32
Cu	0.66	-0.67	-0.08	0.12	0.64	0.73	0.54	0.80	-0.18	0.14
Ni	0.64	-0.61	-0.08	0.14	0.47	0.81	0.41	0.69	-0.21	0.15
Co	-0.18	-0.27	0.42	0.37	-0.03	0.66	-0.01	-0.07	0.08	0.05
Cr	0.06	-0.17	-0.01	-0.09	0.11	0.49	0.14	0.23	-0.05	-0.33
v	0.04	-0.28	0.16	0.07	0.05	0.78	0.09	0.12	0.06	-0.16
As	0.42	-0.32	-0.17	-0.36	0.35	0.37	0.29	0.51	0.04	-0.13
Sc	0,20	-0,60	0,56	-0,02	-0,03	0,08	-0,05	-0,09	0,21	0,65
Pb	0.12	-0.01	-0.11	0.11	0.05	-0.12	0.01	0.00	0.57	0.14
Th	0.27	0.15	-0.43	0.79	0.20	-0.30	0.03	-0.29	-0.25	-0.04
Hf	-0.10	0.36	-0.20	0.83	-0.09	-0.55	-0.21	-0.26	-0.14	0.19
U	0.15	-0.18	0.12	0.58	0.10	-0.28	-0.07	0.01	-0.30	0.50
Та	-0.07	0.34	-0.24	0.87	-0.06	-0.45	-0.17	-022	-0.10	0.66
La	0.25	0.04	-0.17	0.05	-0.05	-0.29	-0.13	-0.22	-0.08	0.57
Ce	0.24	0.16	-0.32	-0.16	0.04	-0.32	-0.06	-0.05	-0.11	0.21
Pr	0.24	0.02	-0.15	0.06	-0.03	-0.28	-0.11	-0.21	-0.14	0.60
Nd	0.21	0.04	-0.14	0.06	-0.03	-0.29	-0.12	-0.22	-0.16	0.60
Sm	0.21	0.04	-0.15	0.07	0.00	-0.29	-0.09	-0.20	-0.18	0.60
Eu	0.24	-0.02	-0.10	0.09	0.00	-0.25	-0.10	-0.19	-0.17	0.62
Gd	0.21	0.04	-0.17	0.05	0.01	-0.29	-0.08	-0.17	-0.16	0.59
Tb	0.26	0.03	-0.22	0.03	0.03	-0.28	-0.06	-0.13	-0.19	0.57
Dy	0.20	0.06	-0.18	0.00	0.04	-0.33	-0.08	-0.13	-0.20	0.55
Но	0.26	0.06	-0.23	-0.03	0.06	-0.34	-0.07	-0.09	-0.19	0.50
Er	0.25	0.06	-0.22	-0.09	0.11	-0.37	-0.04	-0.05	-0.18	0.45
Tm	0.31	0.00	-0.23	-0.13	0.16	-0.37	-0.03	0.04	-0.15	0.37
Yb	0.26	0.04	-0.20	-0.18	0.13	-0.42	-0.05	0.02	-0.10	0.29
Lu	0.31	-0.02	-0.18	-0.23	0.18	-0.39	-0.02	0.12	-0.14	0.21

Table 4.

				REE (J	ıg/g)																				
	Horizon	Depth (m)		La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Но	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	∑REE	∑LREE	∑HREE	La/Y	Ce/Ce*	Eu/Eu*	(La/Yb) _N	(Gd/Yb) _N
Plateau			d.l.	0.5	0.5	0.03	0.1	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01								
P1-FO-1.2	B11	0.5		44.6	207.0	8.4	31.3	6.9	1.3	5.0	0.9	5.6	1.2	3.4	0.5	3.7	0.6	320	304	16	1.56	2.58	0.62	8.1	1.1
P1-FO-1.1	B11	0.5		42.9	199.0	7.0	24.3	4.4	1.2	4.5	0.8	4.9	1.2	3.1	0.5	3.6	0.6	298	283	15	1.78	2.77	0.80	8.0	1.0
P1-FO-1	B11	0.5		48.1	242.0	7.2	25.3	4.4	1.1	3.8	0.7	4.5	1.0	2.9	0.5	3.1	0.5	345	332	13	2.25	3.14	0.77	10.5	1.0
P1-FO-2	B12	2.8		70.9	284.0	11.6	41.7	8.0	1.7	6.5	1.1	6.6	1.4	3.8	0.6	4.3	0.6	443	424	18	2.43	2.39	0.66	11.0	1.2
P1-FO-3.1	B12	3.0		66.8	148.0	10.4	36.8	6.4	1.3	4.5	0.7	5.5	1.1	3.3	0.5	3.9	0.6	290	274	16	2.62	1.35	0.69	11.4	0.9
P1-FO-3	B12	4.8		132.0	252.0	16.2	51.3	8.6	1.6	7.3	1.1	6.9	1.5	4.1	0.7	4.7	0.7	489	469	20	4.18	1.31	0.58	18.8	1.3
P1-FO-4	B12	6.0		97.0	183.0	15.1	52.4	9.5	1.8	6.7	1.0	7.3	1.5	4.7	0.7	4.9	0.8	386	365	21	2.87	1.15	0.64	13.2	1.1
P1-FO-4.1	B12	6.0		37.6	236.0	6.2	21.1	4.1	0.9	2.9	0.5	3.7	0.7	2.3	0.3	2.5	0.4	319	309	10	2.51	3.72	0.74	10.0	0.9
Upslope																									
P2-FO-1.2	B11	0.5		64.3	209.0	11.3	37.3	7.3	1.6	5.9	0.9	5.5	1.1	3.2	0.5	3.1	0.5	351	337	15	2.52	1.87	0.68	13.8	1.5
P2-FO-1.1	B11	0.5		53.3	177.5	7.7	23.8	4.3	0.8	3.6	0.6	3.7	0.9	2.4	0.4	2.6	0.4	282	271	11	2.94	2.11	0.62	13.9	1.1
P2-FO-1	B11	1.0		49.3	118.0	6.6	20.8	4.0	0.8	3.1	0.5	3.3	0.7	2.4	0.3	2.4	0.4	213	203	10	3.04	1.58	0.62	13.9	1.1
P2-FO-2	B12	2.5		202.0	296.0	28.8	98.0	16.3	3.1	13.8	1.9	10.3	1.7	4.1	0.5	3.3	0.4	680	658	22	5.75	0.94	0.60	40.6	3.3
P2-FO-3.1	B12	4.0		50.7	192.0	7.7	25.7	4.5	0.9	3.4	0.5	3.8	0.8	2.4	0.4	2.8	0.5	296	285	11	3.17	2.35	0.65	12.0	1.0
P2-FO-3	B12	4.5		320.0	320.0	52.3	169.5	26.2	7.9	20.1	2.8	14.8	2.6	6.0	0.8	5.2	0.8	949	916	33	6.75	0.60	0.99	40.8	3.1
P2-FO-4	B12	6.0		208.0	300.0	37.0	127.5	19.4	5.5	13.1	1.7	10.7	1.9	4.7	0.6	3.8	0.6	734	710	24	5.45	0.82	0.99	36.1	2.7
P2-FO-5.1	B12	7.5		5.2	33.1	1.2	4.7	1.3	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.1	49	47	2	1.68	3.23	0.86	6.3	1.5
P2-FO-5	B12	8.0		326.0	408.0	61.9	207.0	33.7	9.3	20.0	2.6	16.5	2.8	6.9	0.9	5.5	0.8	1102	1066	36	6.06	0.69	1.03	39.4	2.9
P2-FO-6	B12	9.0		60.4	579.0	13.5	50.1	8.8	1.4	5.8	0.9	5.9	1.2	3.3	0.5	3.3	0.5	734	719	15	2.20	4.89	0.58	12.2	1.4
P2-FO-7	B12	10.0		133.5	159.0	26.1	88.7	15.2	3.7	8.4	1.2	7.5	1.3	3.4	0.5	3.1	0.5	452	435	17	4.80	0.65	0.95	28.8	2.2
P2-FO-7.1	B12	10.0		41.7	94.9	8.2	29.3	5.0	1.3	3.6	0.5	3.6	0.7	2.0	0.3	2.3	0.4	194	184	10	2.84	1.23	0.91	12.2	1.3
P2-FO-8	B13	11.0		100.5	170.0	15.9	55.5	9.3	2.0	6.4	0.9	6.3	1.2	3.1	0.5	3.4	0.5	375	360	16	3.87	1.03	0.75	19.6	1.5
P2-FO-8.1	B13	11.0		189.0	250.0	32.9	115.0	17.4	5.0	12.6	1.7	11.4	2.0	4.8	0.7	4.5	0.7	648	622	26	4.48	0.76	0.98	28.1	2.2
Hillside																									
P3-FO-1.2	B11	1.0		90.7	247.0	16.1	53.2	9.1	2.3	7.0	1.1	6.5	1.3	3.6	0.6	3.3	0.6	442	425	17	2.93	1.56	0.81	18.4	1.7
P3-FO-1.1	B11	1.0		106.0	222.0	15.3	47.5	7.5	1.7	5.4	0.9	5.1	1.1	2.8	0.5	2.9	0.4	419	405	14	4.47	1.33	0.78	24.1	1.5
P3-FO-1	B11	1.0		158.5	238.0	19.9	59.8	9.3	2.1	7.6	1.0	6.0	1.3	3.2	0.5	3.4	0.5	511	495	16	6.6	1.02	0.72	30.8	1.8
P3-FO-2	B13	5.0		116.5	222.0	20.5	67.2	11.3	2.9	7.9	1.2	6.8	1.4	3.4	0.6	3.6	0.6	466	448	17	3.77	1.09	0.89	21.8	1.8
P3-FO-2.1	B13	5.0		31.1	122.5	6.7	24.8	4.9	1.1	3.3	0.5	3.0	0.6	1.5	0.3	1.7	0.2	202	194	8	2.61	2.05	0.76	12.6	1.6
P3-FO-3	С	10.5		671.0	578.0	103.0	308.0	44.0	13.4	28.6	4.0	19.6	3.6	8.3	1.0	6.5	0.9	1790	1746	44	9.12	0.53	1.09	68.4	3.5
Downslope																									
P4-FO-1	B14	0.5		42.3	240.0	7.9	29.2	5.9	1.4	5.0	0.9	5.5	1.2	3.2	0.5	3.6	0.6	347	332	15	1.65	3.16	0.76	7.8	1.1
P4-FO-2	B15	1.5		136.5	292.0	16.1	48.2	7.0	1.5	5.5	0.9	5.7	1.2	3.3	0.5	3.9	0.6	523	507	16	5.17	1.50	0.68	23.6	1.1
P4-FO-2.1	B15	1.7		45.9	81.6	8.0	27.7	5.2	0.7	3.9	0.7	3.9	0.9	2.2	0.4	2.3	0.4	184	173	11	1.50	1.03	0.42	13.1	1.3
P4-FO-3	B15	4.0		60.6	259.0	9.8	34.1	6.3	1.5	4.6	0.8	6.2	1.3	3.9	0.7	4.3	0.7	394	376	18	3.03	2.56	0.78	9.5	0.9
P4-FO-4	B15	5.0		99.2	262.0	14.6	50.9	8.7	1.8	5.8	0.9	6.5	1.4	3.6	0.5	4.0	0.6	460	443	18	3.2	1.66	0.72	16.4	1.2
Parent rock		-		84.0	169.0	20.1	78.1	14.5	5.8	12.0	1.8	9.1	1.7	4.7	0.6	4.8	0.6	407	383	23	1.79	0.99	1.28	11.8	2.0

Table 5.