

Consequences of volcano sector collapse on magmatic storage zones: insights from numerical modeling

Virginie Pinel, Fabien Albino

▶ To cite this version:

Virginie Pinel, Fabien Albino. Consequences of volcano sector collapse on magmatic storage zones: insights from numerical modeling. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 2013, 252, pp.29-37. 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.11.009. ird-00782222

HAL Id: ird-00782222 https://ird.hal.science/ird-00782222

Submitted on 29 Jan 2013 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Consequences of volcano sector collapse on magmatic storage zones: insights from numerical modeling

V. Pinel^a, F. Albino^b

^aISTerre, Université de Savoie, IRD, CNRS, F73376 Le Bourget du Lac, France ^bNordic Volcanological Center, Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland

Abstract

Major volcano flank collapses strongly affect the underlying magmatic plumbing system. Here, we consider the magma storage zone as a liquid pocket embedded in an elastic medium, and we perform numerical simulations in two-dimensional axisymmetric geometry as well as in three dimensions in order to evaluate the consequences of a major collapse event. We quantify the pressure decrease induced within and around a magma reservoir by a volcano flank collapse. This pressure reduction is expected to favour replenishment with less evolved magma from deeper sources. We also estimate the impact of the magma pressure decrease, together with the stress field variations around the reservoir, on the eruptive event associated with the edifice failure. We show that, for a given magma reservoir geometry, the collapse of a large strato-volcano tends to reduce the volume of the simultaneous eruption; destabilization of large edifices may even suppress magma emission, resulting in phreatic eruptions instead. This effect is greater for shallow reservoirs, and is more pronounced for spherical reservoirs than for

Preprint submitted to Journal of Volcanological and Geothermal ResearchNovember 15, 2012

Email address: Virginie.Pinel@univ-savoie.fr (V. Pinel)

vertically-elongated ones. It is reduced for compressible magmas containing a large amount of volatiles. Over a longer time scale, the modification of pressure conditions for dyke initiation at the chamber wall may also explain an increase in eruption rate as well as an apparent change of magma storage location.

Keywords: Edifice flank collapse, numerical modeling

1 1. Introduction

Large flank collapses have been recognized as common phenomena in 2 the long-lived evolution of volcanic edifices. A large number of studies fo-3 cus on the causes of, and/or triggers for, these destabilization events. They show that the origin of the destabilization can be related to exogenous processes such as weathering, but in most cases volcanic activity itself is involved (Mc Guire, 1996). In particular, the ability of magmatic intrusions to favour 7 large flank collapse either during vertical dyke emplacement or during sill 8 formation has been observed in the field (Famin and Michon, 2010), and in-9 vestigated through modeling (Paul et al., 1987; Iverson, 1995). Siebert (1992) 10 emphasized the potential hazards represented by sector collapses, which fully 11 justify studies investigating volcano stability (Voight and Elsworth, 1997; 12 Borselli et al., 2011). From a risk assessment perspective, the direct impact 13 of a sudden and drastic sector collapse is also investigated through studies 14 or modelling related to the volume and extension of the associated debris 15 avalanche deposits (Borselli et al., 2011). 16

Another field of study encompasses describing and quantifying the consequences of such an event on the magmatic plumbing system evolution. The

long-term history of volcanic edifices reveals that partial destruction of an 19 edifice is usually followed by a change in eruption rate and/or magma com-20 position (Presley et al., 1997; Hildenbrand et al., 2004; Hora et al., 2007; 21 Longpré et al., 2010; Boulesteix et al., 2012). For oceanic volcanoes, this 22 observation has usually been related to an increase in decompression melting 23 subsequent to collapse (Presley et al., 1997; Hildenbrand et al., 2004), al-24 though Manconi et al. (2009) also evoked the depressurisation of a magmatic 25 storage zone. For continental volcanoes, Pinel and Jaupart (2005), using an 26 analytical elastic model for the two-dimensional plane strain approximation, 27 quantified the pressure decrease induced within a magmatic reservoir by the 28 partial destruction of an edifice. They also detailed the influence of such an 29 event on the volume of magma erupted during the failure event. 30

Meanwhile, other surface load variations, occurring over a larger time 31 scale, have been proven to have a significant impact on eruptive behaviour. In 32 particular, a temporal correlation is observed between ice retreat induced by 33 climate warming and volume of magma erupted, with an increase of eruption 34 rates during postglacial periods (Jellinek et al., 2004; Sinton et al., 2005). The 35 effect of ice retreat on both magma melting and storage has been investigated 36 (see Sigmundsson et al. (2010) for a review). More recently, new modeling 37 has shown that magma propagation within the upper crust is also affected 38 by ice unloading, with an increased likelihood of magma storage within the 39 crust during transport towards the surface. This is in good agreement with 40 some geodetic observations performed around Vatnajökull ice cap in Iceland 41 (Hooper et al., 2011). 42

43

In this study, we calculate the pressure reduction induced by a sudden

flank collapse event within and around a magma storage zone located beneath 44 a volcanic edifice. We only consider one-shot catastrophic flank collapses, 45 rather than the effects of large, progressive landslides. We then quantify 46 how the flank collapse affects the volume of erupted magma during the as-47 sociated eruption, resulting from the storage zone withdrawal. Results are 48 derived from numerical simulations incorporating the equation of elasticity, 49 performed with the commercial software COMSOL both in axisymmetric ge-50 ometry and in three dimensions. We also discuss the potential impact of 51 large flank collapses on the long-term eruptive history, based on petrological 52 observations. 53

⁵⁴ 2. Pressure decrease induced by a volcano flank collapse

Broadly speaking, a major sector collapse is equivalent to a surface un-55 loading event. In reality, the edifice portion which fails is not removed from 56 the Earth's surface, but is redistributed over a larger area. As previously 57 shown by Pinel and Jaupart (2005), using analytical solutions, and by Al-58 bino et al. (2010), using numerical models in axisymmetric geometry, an 59 unloading event always induces a pressure decrease within the underlying 60 crust. This pressure reduction is of the same order of magnitude as the load 61 removed from the surface. 62

63 2.1. A conical load removed over an elastic half-space

If we consider the crust to be an elastic, homogeneous medium characterized by its Young's modulus, E, and Poisson's ratio, ν , the stress changes induced at depth by a conical load can be derived by integration of the point load solution. At the axis, the vertical stress due to a cone of radius, R_e , and maximum height, H_e , as a function of depth below the surface, z, is given by:

$$\sigma_{zz} = P_e [1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}}],$$
(1)

with $P_e = \rho_c g H_e$, where ρ_c is the load density. The horizontal components r1 are equal and given by Pinel and Jaupart (2000):

$$\sigma_{rr} = \sigma_{\theta\theta} = \frac{P_e}{2} \left[(1+2\nu) - 2(1+\nu) \frac{z}{R_e} \ln(\frac{R_e + \sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}}{z}) + \frac{z}{\sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}} \right] \quad \text{for } z > 0$$

$$\sigma_{rr} = \sigma_{\theta\theta} = \frac{P_e}{2} (1+2\nu) \qquad \text{for } z = 0, \qquad (2)$$

It follows that the pressure, P, defined as one third on the stress tensor
trace, induced by a conical load, is equal to:

$$P = \frac{2P_e}{3}(1+\nu)\left[1 - \frac{z}{R_e}\ln(\frac{R_e + \sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}}{z})\right] \text{ for } z > 0$$

$$P = \frac{2P_e}{3}(1+\nu) \text{ for } z = 0 \tag{3}$$

Stress and pressure reduction induced by the removal of conical load are shown in figure 1. The stress component most affected by the load is, as expected, the vertical one σ_{zz} . The amplitude of the perturbation is greatest at the surface, and is directly related to the height of the load removed. The pressure reduction decreases with depth and becomes negligible at depths greater than three times the radius of the load.

⁸⁰ 2.2. A conical load removed from above a magmatic reservoir

Most tomographic studies performed on volcanoes (Monteiller et al., 2005; Prôno et al., 2009) reveal that the crust is far from being homogeneous around a magmatic system. In particular, shallow magma storage zones have been detected in many locations by either petrologic, seismic or geodetic studies (Gardner et al., 1995; Sturkell et al., 2006; Peltier et al., 2008). The pressure

reduction induced by an unloading event within these magma pockets will 86 depend both on the crustal deformation and on the equation of state of 87 the melt embedded in the crust. Here we consider an ellipsoidal magmatic 88 reservoir filled with fluid, embedded in an homogeneous elastic crust. Initially 89 the liquid has the same density as the surrounding crust and is characterized 90 by its bulk modulus, K. We only deal with the perturbation induced by 91 a conical load removed from the Earth's surface, on which the initial stress 92 field has no influence. 93

Within the magma reservoir the pressure change, ΔP , is related to the reservoir volume change, ΔV , through the bulk modulus definition:

$$\Delta P = -K \frac{\Delta V}{V},\tag{4}$$

with V being the initial volume of the reservoir.

The change in reservoir volume is also a function of the chamber wall dis-97 placement, which depends on both the conical load and the magma pressure 98 change. This volume change is calculated numerically, using the equations 99 of elasticity with the commercial software COMSOL. The domain of calcu-100 lation is a 100*100 km square box with a mesh of about 100 000 triangular 101 units that is refined around the volcanic edifice and magma reservoir. No 102 displacement perpendicular to the boundary is allowed at the basal and lat-103 eral boundaries; the upper boundary is considered as being a free surface. 104 The load is modeled with a normal stress applied at the upper surface, and 105 a normal stress equal to the magma overpressure is applied at the reservoir 106 walls. Numerical solutions have been validated using well-known analytical 107 solutions as detailed in Albino et al. (2010). Pressure reduction within and 108 around the magma reservoir induced by a conical load of 2 km radius, 1 km 109

height, and density 2800 kg/m^3 , is shown in figure 2 for two different chamber 110 geometries: a spherical chamber, and a vertically-elongated chamber (pro-111 late shape). Calculations are performed for a chamber top at 1 km depth 112 and with a maximum chamber radius of 1 km. The pressure variation within 113 the crust departs strongly from the homogeneous case (figure 1) in the vicin-114 ity of the reservoir, this difference being more pronounced for the spherical 115 reservoir than for the prolate one. An increase in pressure is even observed 116 (negative values of the pressure reduction) at the chamber margins, the effect 117 being most extreme at the chamber top. This is due to the deformation of 118 the reservoir wall induced by the unloading event and partially counterbal-119 anced by pressure variations within the magma reservoir. Within the magma 120 reservoir, pressure always decreases as a consequence of the unloading event, 121 the effect being, once again, larger in the case of the spherical reservoir than 122 in the case of the prolate one. The amplitude of the magma pressure reduc-123 tion increases with the value of the bulk modulus. This can be explained by 124 the fact that for incompressible magmas (larger value of K) no reservoir vol-125 ume change occurs, thus all the volume reduction induced by the unloading 126 event has to be compensated by a pressure reduction within the chamber. 127 The effect of compressibility is shown in figure 3. In a compressible magma, 128 buoyancy forces appear due to magma density variation; however since the 129 model used here is valid in most of natural cases, as discussed by Pinel and 130 Jaupart (2005), these forces have not been included here. 131

Figure 5 shows the pressure reduction within a spherical reservoir with a top at 1 km depth, induced by the removal of the upper 20 % of the edifice, which corresponds to a mean value based on field observations (figure 4a). This pressure reduction is more marked when considering larger edifices
and smaller magma reservoirs. Calculations performed also show that the
amplitude of the pressure reduction decreases for deeper magma reservoirs
and is less marked in the case of a prolate reservoir than in a spherical one.

¹³⁹ 3. Effect of volcano flank collapse on an ongoing eruption

As described above, partial destruction of an edifice always induces a 140 pressure decrease in the underlying storage zone. Simultaneously, this drastic 141 change in surface load strongly modifies the stress field at the reservoir walls, 142 and thus presumably dyke initiation and closure in this zone. While long-143 term conduit systems develop at the surface of silicic strato-volcanoes, this 144 shallow level conduits are connected to deeper reservoirs via dykes as shown 145 by deformation data (Mattioli et al., 1998), seismicity (Roman et al., 2006), 146 and magma flow studies (Costa et al., 2007). Thus it is important to consider 147 dyke opening and closure at the reservoir walls when dealing with magma 148 transport to the surface. 149

150 3.1. Principle

For any given state of stress, one may define a threshold pressure, P_r , 151 required for dyke initiation at the chamber wall. Here, we consider that 152 once this pressure threshold is reached within the magma reservoir, a dyke 153 initiates and magma leaves the storage zone to reach the surface and feed 154 an eruption, such that pressure within the magma chamber cannot exceed 155 this threshold value. Following Albino et al. (2010), we consider that tensile 156 rupture of the reservoir wall occurs when the deviatoric part of the hoop stress 157 exceeds the tensile strength, T_s , of host rocks. This criterion is consistent 158

with the rupture criterion used in dyke propagation studies (Lister, 1990). Once a dyke has initiated, magma pressure within the reservoir decreases until it reaches a second threshold value, P_{cl} , at which point the dyke closes (Pinel et al., 2010). It follows that the volume of erupted magma is directly proportional to the pressure difference: $\Delta P_e = P_r - P_{cl}$.

We consider an initial state, corresponding to the situation just before the 164 edifice partial destruction, with a pressurized storage zone. For this initial 165 state, we can define the two threshold values, $P_r(i)$ and $P_{cl}(i)$ (see figure 166 6). As magma intrusions often acts as the triggers for edifice destabilization 167 (Mc Guire, 1996), we make the assumption that the system is about to 168 erupt, such that the magma pressure, P(i), is equal to the threshold value 169 required for dyke initiation, $P_r(i)$. A major collapse of the edifice then occurs, 170 and our final state is a truncated edifice. The unloading event results in a 171 pressure reduction (ΔP) within the magma reservoir, such that the final 172 magma pressure within the reservoir is P(f) < P(i). In the final state, the 173 two threshold pressures, here denoted $P_r(f)$ and $P_{cl}(f)$ are different from the 174 ones in the initial state (see figure 6). Based on the evolution of the threshold 175 pressures, which can either increase or decrease, three different scenarios can 176 result. Where the pressure difference, $P - P_{cl}$, increases with the edifice 177 partial destruction (case 1 of figure 6, where $P(f) - P_{cl}(f) > P(i) - P_{cl}(i)$), the 178 edifice collapse is followed by an eruption with a volume of erupted magma 179 larger that it would have been in the absence of edifice destruction. Where 180 the pressure difference, $P - P_{cl}$, decreases with the edifice partial destruction 181 (case 2 of figure 6, where $P(f) - P_{cl}(f) < P(i) - P_{cl}(i)$), the erupted volume 182 associated with the edifice collapse is smaller that it would have been in 183

absence of edifice destruction. In the event of the magma pressure within the reservoir dropping below the threshold pressure for dyke closure (case 3 of figure 6, where $P(f) < P_{cl}(f)$), the incipient eruption is aborted and no magma is erupted at the surface as a consequence of the edifice collapse.

Factors determining which scenario occurs are the initial edifice size and geometry, the amount of edifice destruction, the size, shape and depth of the magma reservoir, and magma gas content.

¹⁹¹ 3.2. Numerical results for axisymmetric models

We performed numerical simulations for edifices of different initial sizes, 192 with various reservoir depths and sizes, and two different reservoir shapes: 193 a spherical one and a vertically-elongated one (prolate shape). We consid-194 ered the effect of partial destruction, corresponding to the removal of the 195 upper 20 % of a strato-volcano with a conical shape and a slope of 30 de-196 grees (figure 9 B). A slope of 30 degrees is an upper limit for the upper part 197 of strato-volcanoes based on a compilation of Digital Elevation Models, and 198 corresponds to the maximum frequency of major slope failure events on Qua-199 ternary volcanoes (Voight and Elsworth, 1997); collapse of 20 % of the initial 200 volcano can be considered as a mean value based on a compilation of field 201 observations (figure 4a). In all simulations we consider an incompressible 202 magma; effects of compressibility and gas content are discussed at the end 203 of this section, and in section 5. 204

For a spherical reservoir located at 1 km depth beneath the volcanic edifice, each of the three scenarios previously described (figure 6) can occur (see figure 7 b). When the collapse affects small edifices, the erupted volume is larger than, but still close to, that expected in the absence of edifice destruction. As the edifice size increases, so the volume of erupted magma after the edifice collapse tends to decrease. This volume reduces to zero when large strato-volcanoes are partially destroyed by flank collapse, resulting in the abortion of any incipient eruption. For a shallower magma reservoir, a smaller edifice size is required to reach the point of aborted eruption, (see figure 7 a), whereas all effects of edifice collapse on erupted magma volume are reduced with a deeper chamber (see figure 7 c).

Effects of an edifice collapse event on the subsequent eruption also depend on the magma reservoir shape. Figure 8 shows that, for a prolate reservoir, the influence of the collapse is smaller, and a larger edifice size is required in order to decrease the amount of erupted magma, than for a spherical reservoir at the same depth. Above a prolate reservoir with a top at one kilometer depth, magma eruption is only aborted when the edifice radius is greater than 6 km.

For storage zones located at a few kilometers depth beneath the volcanic 223 edifice, it is possible to include compressibility effects (see section 5), which 224 can be important when volatiles are present. The inclusion of compressibility 225 effects mainly acts to reduce the magma pressure decrease within the storage 226 zone following the unloading event, as shown in figure 3. It follows that 227 the volume of erupted magma will thus be larger than in the case of an 228 incompressible magma. Larger edifice size is required to counteract this, and 229 reduce the amount of erupted magma. 230

231 3.3. 3D effects: Influence of the shape of the load removed

To be more realistic, we also carry out 3D models in order to simulate asymmetric flank collapses and mimic the resulting horseshoe- shaped craters

of the final edifice geometry that are observed at many strato-volcanoes, such 234 as Mount St Helens (Cascades, USA), Bezymianni and Shiveluch (Kamtchatka, 235 Russia) or Galunggung (Indonesia). The volume of the landslide remains 236 fixed at 20 % of the initial volcanic edifice, but the collapse now occurs only 237 at one side of the volcano (figure 9 C). The unloading associated with the ed-238 ifice collapse is thus asymmetric, with potential consequences for failure con-239 ditions at the reservoir wall. The removed part of the edifice is re-distributed 240 as a thin deposit layer around the volcano. This layer has a constant thick-241 ness from the base of the edifice to a distance of ten times the edifice radius, 242 and is only emplaced in a sector of $\pm 30^{\circ}$ from the collapse flank. The runout 243 and sector angle are based on field observations, as shown in figure 4b. 244

For comparison, elastic parameters are the same as in the previous ax-245 isymmetric models. We run models for the same reservoir geometry: a sphere 246 with a 3 km radius, with a top situated at two different depths (1 and 3 km). 247 Three different values for the initial edifice radius (1, 3 and 6 km) are con-248 sidered. Table 1 gives the comparison between symmetric and asymmetric 240 collapses. Here the symmetric case is recalculated so that the removed part 250 of the edifice is re-distributed as a deposit layer of constant thickness from 251 the edifice to a distance equal to 5 times the edifice radius. This distance 252 is chosen in order to obtain the same order of magnitude for the deposit 253 thickness as for the asymmetric case. 254

Values of the ratio of erupted volume after collapse to erupted volume without collapse are almost the same for both collapse geometries. The erupted volume after collapse only remains the same as that without any edifice destruction for small edifices (case 1, for an edifice of 1 km radius). However, this erupted volume decreases when the initial edifice size increases (case 2, for an edifice of 3 km radius and a chamber top at 1 km depth, or for an edifice of 6 km radius and a chamber top at 3 km depth). For large edifices and shallow storage zones, no magma is erupted, since eruption is aborted by the collapse event (case 3 for an edifice of 6 km radius and a chamber depth of 1 km).

The closure pressure tends to decrease for asymmetric failure compared 265 to symmetric failure. This effect produces a small increase in erupted vol-266 ume compared to the symmetrical model for case 2 (Table 1). Calculated 267 differences are minimal between the two failure geometries, with a difference 268 in total erupted volume of only a few percent. In the same way, our results 269 for erupted volume do not change significantly when taking into account 270 the mass load redistribution due to runout deposits at the periphery of the 271 volcano. 272

From these calculations, it appears that the results are not significantly affected by the collapse geometry; thus the less time-consuming axisymmetric models, can be used to perform parametric studies.

276 3.4. Phreatic eruptions

Several large volcanic failure events have not resulted in emission of juvenile material, but instead lead to a phreatic eruption. Such phreatic events were defined by Siebert et al. (1987) as Bandai-type eruptions after the name of the Bandai-san volcano in northeast Japan, which produced large phreatic explosions associated with a major debris avalanche in 1888 (Yamamoto et al., 1999). At Bandai-san, the volcanic failure was triggered by an earthquake and there is no direct evidence of magma involvement in this

catastrophic event. As no juvenile products were erupted, the straightfor-284 ward conclusion is that no magma was present at shallow level. However, 285 pressurized fluids had been stored at a shallow depth, and a heat source is 286 necessary to explain the vigorous hydrothermal system. Our results provide 287 an alternative framework to interpret such phreatic events, in which magma 288 might be trapped in reservoirs beneath the edifice. We show that for shallow 289 reservoirs, even if magma was present, a large edifice collapse could poten-290 tially abort the incipient eruption. 291

²⁹² 4. Effect of edifice destabilization on the long-term eruptive history

The three main modifications documented with regard to the erupted magma after a major flank collapse are: an increase in eruption rate (Beget and Kienle, 1992; Siebert et al., 1995; Boulesteix et al., 2012), a change in erupted magma composition towards less evolved and denser magmas (Manconi et al., 2009; Longpré et al., 2010; Boulesteix et al., 2012), and a change in magma storage pressure (Rutherford and Devine, 2008).

As shown in section 2, an edifice flank collapse always causes a pressure 290 decrease within underlying storage zones. Where the shallow reservoir is 300 still connected to a deeper source of magma, this reservoir depressurisation 301 should induce a rapid replenishment as observed after a reservoir withdrawal 302 due to an eruptive event (Lu et al., 2010). The deeper source is expected to 303 contain more primitive magmas, and the replenishment should thus increase 304 the amount of less differentiated magmas within the shallow storage zone. A 305 reduced edifice size also allows the eruption of denser products which would 306 otherwise have stalled at shallow depth (Pinel and Jaupart, 2000). 307

The evolution of the threshold pressure required for dyke initiation, which 308 corresponds to the maximum pressure within the reservoir, should have an 309 impact over a longer time scale. At Mount St Helens, the pressure evolution 310 of the storage zone can be seen through petrological studies of rocks span-311 ning the last thousand years (Gardner et al., 1995; Rutherford and Devine, 312 2008). The observed pressure range is between 130 MPa and 300 MPa, with 313 several episodes of storage pressure reduction occurring over periods of a few 314 years. The most recent episode of storage pressure decrease corresponds to 315 the renewed activity in 2004-2006, with a pressure decrease in the order of 316 50 MPa compared to the magma erupted in 1980. Such episodes of pressure 317 decrease have been interpreted as being due to a rise of the storage zone 318 (Gardner et al., 1995). However, it has been shown that each episode of 319 pressure decrease follows directly on from a large edifice destabilization, such 320 as the one which occurred in 1980 (Hopson and Melson, 1980; Hausback and 321 Swanson, 1990). Pinel and Jaupart (2003) and Pinel et al. (2010) propose 322 that this pressure variation could be explained by a magma pressure decrease 323 within a fixed storage zone induced by the edifice partial destruction rather 324 than by upward migration of the storage location. At Mount St Helens, the 325 petrological data are thus consistent with a decrease of the threshold pres-326 sure required for dyke initiation following flank failure. Where the shallow 327 reservoir is fed by a source of constant pressure at depth, such a decrease 328 should result in an increase of the eruption rate (Pinel et al., 2010), in good 329 agreement with the observations. 330

331 5. Discussion

We investigate the influence of the reservoir shape by considering only 332 vertically-elongated ellipsoids. Calculations with horizontally-elongated reser-333 voirs (oblate shape) could have been performed, but the edifice collapse 334 impact is only significant for shallow reservoirs, and it has been shown 335 previously that shallow, oblate reservoirs strongly favour caldera formation 336 (Roche, 2000; Gever et al., 2006). Caldera collapse formation is a complex 337 and specific phenomenon, already studied elsewhere (Pinel, 2011), and be-338 youd the scope of this paper in relation to major flank collapse. Thus we 339 choose to ignore oblate-shaped chambers here. 340

In this study we assume that rocks encasing the reservoir behave elasti-341 cally. Volcano flank collapses are often sudden events. For instance, detach-342 ment of the northern flank of Mount St Helens, USA, in May 1980, occurred 343 in a few seconds, as testified by eve-witnesses. Besides, we show that an un-344 loading event significantly affects the stress field only at depths of less than 345 three times the radius of the removed load (figure 1). At the time scale of 346 an eruptive cycle, geodetic measurements recorded during replenishment or 347 eruptive events (Sturkell et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2010; Lu and Dzurisin, 2010) 348 prove that the elastic assumption is valid, at least for shallow reservoirs. 349 This geophysical observation justifies our elastic assumption when looking 350 at the impact of a major flank collapse on the subsequent eruptive event for 351 continental volcanoes, for which the lateral extension of the edifice remains 352 small compared to the elastic crustal thickness. Estimations of the Maxwell 353 relaxation time for upper crustal rocks in volcanic areas are around 30-80 354 ky (Jellinek et al., 2004), and the usual duration for cone-building episodes 355

is less than 100 ky for continental volcanoes (Davidson and DeSilva, 2000). 356 Based on this consideration, our elastic model can still be used to discuss 357 the influence of a major flank collapse on the long-term eruptive history of a 358 given continental volcanic system. However, the elastic assumption becomes 359 less valid when looking at the effect of destabilization on large oceanic volca-360 noes. The largest debris avalanche deposits (reaching 5000 km³) are observed 361 around large ocean-island volcanoes (Mc Guire, 1996). The lateral extension 362 of the removed load is then close to, or even larger than, the elastic crustal 363 thickness. For instance, the El Golfo landslide, which affected El Hierro is-364 land in the Canary Islands, had an inferred lateral extension of more than 365 10 km, for an elastic crustal thickness in this area of around 20 km, based on 366 seismic and gravity data (Watts et al., 1997). To investigate the impact of 367 these large-scale flank collapses on the magmatic plumbing system of oceanic 368 volcanoes, it would be necessary to take the viscous response of the mantle 369 into consideration, too, as proposed by Sigmundsson et al. (2012) in their 370 study of the influence of long-term ice retreat on magma storage zones. 371

The model developed in this paper deals with a magma storage zone be-372 neath the volcanic edifice such that it cannot account for decompression of 373 the magma emplaced at shallow depth within the volcanic edifice. This effect 374 should be taken into account to fully describe what occurred during the May 375 1980 eruption of Mount St Helens, where the volcano collapse was followed 376 by a 1 km³ Plinian ash eruption (Bradley and Myers, 2000). However the ef-377 fect of magma compressibility within the chamber can also be included when 378 buoyancy forces induced by magma density variations remain small relative 379 to magma pressure changes (see Pinel and Jaupart (2005) for a complete dis-380

cussion). This condition is verified for magma with a few percent of volatiles
stored at depths greater than a few kilometers.

383 6. Conclusion

Numerical simulations using the elasticity equations help to constrain the potential impact of a major volcano flank collapse on the ongoing eruption, as well as the longer term eruptive history, of continental volcanoes. Development of models taking into account the viscous response of the mantle would be necessary in order to model more precisely the potential consequences of the larger flank collapses affecting oceanic volcanoes.

390 7. Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Editor Malcolm J. Rutherford and two anonymous reviewers for providing helpful comments. We also thank Francesca van Wyk de Vries for English corrections.

394 References

Albino, F., Pinel, V., Sigmundsson, F., 2010. Influence of surface load variations on eruption likelihood: Application to two Icelandic subglacial
volcanoes, Grimsvötn and Katla. Geophys. J. Int. 181, 1510–1524, doi:
10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04603.x.

- Beget, J. E., Kienle, J., 1992. Cyclic formation of debris avalanches at Mount
 St Augustine volcano. Nature 356, 701–704.
- Boulesteix, T., Hildenbrand, A., Gillot P.-Y., Soler, V., 2012. Eruptive response of oceanic islands to giant landslides: New insights from the geomorphologic evolution of the Teide-Pico Viejo volcanic complex (Tenerife,
 Canary). Geomorphology, 138, 61–73.
- ⁴⁰⁵ Borselli, L., Capra, L., Sarocchi, D., De la Cruz-Reyna, S., 2011. Flank col⁴⁰⁶ lapse scenarios at volcan de Colima, Mexico: A relative instability analysis.
 ⁴⁰⁷ J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 208, 51–65.
- Bradley, S. , Myers, B., 2000, Mount St. Helensfrom the 1980 Eruption to
 2000, U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 036-00.
- ⁴¹⁰ Costa, A. , Melnik, O., Sparks, R. S. J., Voight, B., 2007, Control of
 ⁴¹¹ magma flow in dykes on cyclic lava dome extrusion, G. Res. Lett., 34,
 ⁴¹² doi:10.1029/2006GL027466.
- ⁴¹³ Davidson, J., DeSilva, S., 2000. Composite volcanoes. In : Sigurdsson H (eds)
 ⁴¹⁴ Encyclopedia of volcanoes, Academic Press, London.

- Famin, V., Michon, L., 2010. Volcanic destabilization by magma injections
 in a detachment. Geology 38, 219–222, doi:10.1130/G30717.1.
- Gardner, J. E., Rutherford, M., Carey, S., Sigurdsson, H., 1995. Experimental constraints on pre-eruptive water contents and changing magma storage
 prior to explosive eruptions of Mount St Helens volcano. Bull. Volcanol.
 57, 1–17.
- Geyer, A., Folch, A., Marti, J., 2006. Relationship between caldera collapse
 and magma chamber withdrawal: an experimental approach. J. Volcanol.
 Geotherm. Res. 157, 375–386.
- Hausback, B. P., Swanson, D. A., 1990. Record of prehistoric debris
 avalanches on the north flank of Mount St. Helens volcano, Washington.
 Geoscience Canada 17, 142–145.
- Hildenbrand, A., Gillot, P., Le Roy, I., 2004. Volcano-tectonic and geochemical evolution of an oceanic intra-plate volcano: Tahiti-Nui (french Polynesia). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 217, 349–365.
- Hooper, A., Ofeigsson, B., Sigmundsson, F., Lund, B., Einarsson, P., Geirsson, H., Sturkell, E., 2011. Increased crustal capture of magma at volcanoes
 with retreating ice cap. Nature Geoscience.
- Hopson, C. A., Melson, W. G., 1980. Compositional trends and eruptive
 cycles at Mount St. Helens. Geoscience Canada 17, 131–141.
- ⁴³⁵ Hora, J. M., Singer, B. S., Wörner, G., 2007. Volcano evolution and eruptive
 ⁴³⁶ flux on the thick crust of the Andean Central Volcanic Zone: ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar
 ⁴³⁷ constraints from Volcán Parinacota, Chile. GSA Bulletin 119, 343–362.

- ⁴³⁸ Iverson, R. M., 1995. Can magma-injection and groundwater forces cause
 ⁴³⁹ massive landslides on Hawaiian volcanoes. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 66,
 ⁴⁴⁰ 295–308.
- Jellinek, A. M., Manga, M., Saar, M. O., 2004. Did melting glaciers cause
 volcanic eruptions in eastern California? probing the mechanics of dike
 formation. J. Geophys. Res. 109, b09206, doi:10.1029/2004JB002978.
- Lister, J. R., 1990. Buoyancy-driven fluid fracture: the effects of material
 toughness and low-viscosity precursors. J. Fluid Mech. 210, 263–280.
- Longpré, M.-A., Troll, V. R., Walter, T. R., Hansteen, T. H., 2010. Volcanic and geochemical evolution of the Teno massif, Tenerife, Canary Islands: Some repercussions of giant landslides on ocean island magmatism.
 Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 10, q12017,doi:10.1029/2009GC002892.
- Lu, Z., Dzurisin, D., 2010. Ground surface deformation patterns, magma supply, and magma storage at Okmok volcano, Alaska, from InSAR analysis:1.
 Co-eruptive deflation, July-August 2008. J. Geophys. Res. 115, (B00B03)
 doi:10.1029/2009JB006970.
- Lu, Z., Dzurisin, D., Biggs, J., Wick Jr., C., McNutt, S., 2010. Ground surface deformation patterns, magma supply, and magma storage at Okmok
 volcano, Alaska, from InSAR analysis:1. Intercruption deformation, 19972008. J. Geophys. Res. 115, (B00B02) doi:10.1029/2009JB006969.
- Manconi, A., Longpré, M.-A., Walter, T. R., Troll, V. R., Hansteen, T. H.,
 2009. The effects of flank collapses on volcano plumbing systems. Geology
 37, 1,099–1,102.

- Mattioli, G., T. H. Dixon, F. F. Farina, E. S. Howell, P. E. Jansma, and A. L.
 Smith, 1998. GPS measurement of surface deformation around Soufriere
 Hills volcano, Montserrat from October 1995 to July 1996, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 25, 34173420.
- Mc Guire, W., 1996. Volcano instability: A review of contemporary themes.
 Geological Society of London Special Publication 110, 1–23.
- Monteiller, V., J.-L., G., Virieux, J., Okubo, P., 2005. An efficient algorithm for double-difference tomography and location in heterogeneous media, with an application to the Kilauea volcano. J. Geophys. Res. 110, doi:10.1029/2004JB003466.
- ⁴⁷¹ Paul, A., Gratier, J. P., Boudon, J., 1987. A numerical model for simulating
 ⁴⁷² deformation of Mount St. Helens volcano. J. Geophys. Res. 92, 10,299–
 ⁴⁷³ 10,312.
- Peltier, A., Famin, V., Bachélery, P., Cayol, V., Fukushima, Y., Staudacher,
 T., 2008. Cyclic magma storages and transfers at Piton de la Fournaise
 volcano (La Réunion hotspot) inferred from deformation and geochemical
 data. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 270, 180–188.
- ⁴⁷⁸ Pinel, V., 2011. Influence of preexisting volcanic edifice geometry on caldera
 ⁴⁷⁹ formation. Geophysical Research Letters 38, doi:10.1029/2011GL047900.
- Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., 2000. The effect of edifice load on magma ascent
 beneath a volcano. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 358, 1,515–1,532.
- Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., 2003. Magma chamber behavior beneath a volcanic
 edifice. J. Geophys. Res. 108, (B2) 2072, doi:10.1029/2002JB001751.

- Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., 2005. Some consequences of volcanic edifice destruction for eruption conditions. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 145, 68–80,
 doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.01.012.
- Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., Albino, F., 2010. On the relationship between cycles
 of eruptive activity and volcanic edifice growth. J. Volcanol. Geotherm.
 Res. 194, 150–164, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.05.006.
- Presley, T. K., Sinton, J. M., Pringle, M., 1997. Postshield volcanism and
 catastrophic mass wasting of the Waianae Volcano, Oahu, Hawaii. Bull.
 Volcanol. 58, 597–616.
- ⁴⁹³ Prôno, E., Battaglia, J., Monteiller, V., Got, J.-L., Ferrazini, V., 2009. P⁴⁹⁴ wave velocity structure of Piton de la Fournaise volcano deduced from
 ⁴⁹⁵ seismic data recorded between 1996 and 1999. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.
 ⁴⁹⁶ 184, 49–62.
- ⁴⁹⁷ Roche, O., 2000. Les mécanismes de formation des calderas: étude
 ⁴⁹⁸ expérimentale et modélisation. Ph.D. thesis, Université Blaise Pascal.
- Roman, D. C., J. Neuberg, and R. R. Luckett (2006), Assessing the likelihood of volcanic eruption through analysis of volcanotectonic earthquake fault-plane solutions, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 248, 244 252,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.05.029.
- Rutherford, M. J., Devine, J. D., 2008. Magmatic conditions and processes
 in the storage zone of the 2004-2006 Mount St Helens dacite. In : Sherrod,
 D. R., Scott, W. E. and Stauffer, P. H. (eds) A volcano rekindled: The

- renewed eruption of Mount St. Helens, 2004-2006, U.S. Geological Survey
 Professional Paper 1750.
- ⁵⁰⁸ Siebert, L., 1992. Threats from debris avalanches. Nature 356, 658–659.
- Siebert, L., Beget, J. E., Glicken, H., 1995. The 1883 and late-prehistoric
 eruptions of Augustine volcano, Alaska. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 66,
 367–395.
- Siebert, L., Glicken, H., Ui, T., 1987. Volcanic hazards from Bezymiannyand Bandai-type eruptions. Bull. Volcanol. 49, 435–459.
- Sigmundsson, F., Albino, F., Schmidt, P., Lund, B., Pinel, V., Hooper, A.,
 Pagli, C., 2012. Multiple effects of surface load changes and associated
 stress change on magmatic systems. To be published in *McGuire, W. J. &*Maslin, M. A. (eds) Climate Forcing of Geological and Geomorphological
 Hazards, Wiley-Blackwell.
- Sigmundsson, F., Pinel, V., Lund, B., Albino, F., Pagli, C., Geirsson, H.,
 Sturkell, E., 2010. Climate effects on volcanism: Influence on magmatic
 systems of loading and unloading from ice mass variations with examples from Iceland. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 368, 2,519–2,534, doi:
 10.1098/rsta.2010.0042.
- Sinton, J., Gronvold, K., Saemundsson, 2005. Postglacial eruptive history of
 the western volcanic zone, Iceland. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 6, q12009.
 (doi:10.1029/2005GC001021).
- Sturkell, E., Einarsson, P., Sigmundsson, F., Geirsson, H., Olafsson, H., Pedersen, R., de Zeeuw-van Dalfsen, E., Linde, A. T., Sacks, S. I., Stefansson,

- R., 2006. Volcano geodesy and magma dynamics in Iceland. J. Volcanol.
 Geotherm. Res. 150, 14-34.
- Tait, S., Jaupart, C., Vergniolle, S., 1989. Pressure, gaz content and eruption
 periodicity of a shallow, crystallising magma chamber. Earth Planet. Sci.
 Lett. 92, 107–123.
- Voight, B., Elsworth, D., 1997. Failure of volcano slopes. Géotechnique 47,
 1–31.
- Watts, A. B., Peirce, C., Collier, J. abd Dalwood, R., Canales, J. P., Henstock, T. J., 1997. A seismic study of lithospheric flexure in the vicinity of
 Tenerife, Canary Islands. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 146, 431–447.
- Yamamoto, T., Nakamura, Y., Glicken, H., 1999. Pyroclastic density current
 from the 1888 phreatic eruption of Bandai volcano, NE Japan. J. Volcanol.
 Geotherm. Res. 90, 191–207.

Table 1: Comparison between results obtained with a truncated edifice (2D axisymmetric calculation, figure 9 B) and with a sector collapse (3D calculation, figure 9 C). Mass is redistributed at the volcano base: all around the volcano for the axisymmetric case, and in a wedge-shaped sector for the asymmetric flank collapse. Calculations are performed for a spherical reservoir with a 3 km radius, and a top at 1 km or 3 km depth, for three different initial edifice radii: 1, 3 and 6 km. The same experiments are represented by crosses in figure 7.

Figure 1: Stress reduction under the centre of a conical load (2 km radius, 1 km height, density of 2800 kg/m³) which is removed from the surface. Calculation is for an elastic half-space with Poisson's ratio equal to 0.25. The dotted line is for the vertical component of the stress tensor, σ_{zz} , the dashed line is for the horizontal components, $\sigma_{rr} = \sigma_{\theta\theta}$, and the solid line is for the pressure reduction, $P = (1/3)(\sigma_{rr} + \sigma_{\theta\theta} + \sigma_{zz})$.

Figure 2: Pressure decrease within and around a magmatic reservoir, under the centre of a conical load (2 km radius, 1 km height, density of 2800 kg/m³) which is removed from the surface. The chamber resides in an otherwise elastic homogeneous half-space with Young's modulus E = 30 GPa and Poisson's ratio $\nu = 0.25$. In the absence of a magma chamber (black dashed curve), the pressure profile obtained is equivalent to the one given by equation 3 (solid line in figure 1). Other lines are for pressure profiles where there is a magma reservoir, with varying values of the magma bulk modulus (blue line, incompressible magma; purple line, K = 20 GPa; red line, K = 10 GPa; orange line, K = 1 GPa; yellow line, K=0 GPa). a) The chamber is a sphere (radius 1 km, depth to chamber top 1 km). b) The chamber is a prolate ellipsoid (half-height 1 km, half-width 0.25 km, depth to chamber top 1 km). Figure 3: Pressure reduction within the magma chamber $(\Delta P(K))$ induced by the removal of a surface conical load (radius 2km, height 1km, density 2800 kg/m³) as a function of the bulk modulus, K, of the magma. Crustal Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio are equal to 30 GPa and 0.25, respectively. The pressure change is normalized by the pressure change in incompressible magma (ΔP_{∞}) . The shaded area shows the range of values characteristic of dry magmas (K between 1 and 20 GPa; Tait et al. (1989)). The solid curve is for the spherical reservoir and the dashed curve for the prolate one. Figure 4: a) Volume of major collapse versus edifice volume. Failure volumes are taken from Table 1 in Mc Guire (1996), except for Parinacota volcano (Hora et al., 2007). Edifice volumes before collapse are estimated using the present topography of the volcano. When no collapse scar is visible in the topography, corresponding to old events, the volume before collapse is taken to be equal to the present volume; when a large scar is visible, the volume before collapse is obtained by adding the present volume to the failure volume. The total current volume of each volcano, at present time, has been calculated by the numerical integration of SRTM (3" arc) elevation. For volume calculation, we assume that edifice extension stops when the slope becomes small ($< 10^{\circ}$), and we subtract the mean elevation of the basement to deduce volcano height. The ratio between the volcano collapse volume and the total edifice volume is between 10 % and 30 % (dashed lines). For all collapse models, we use the mean value of 20 % (solid line) for this ratio. b) Runout distances of debris avalanches versus volcano radii. Runout distances are taken from Table 1 in Mc Guire (1996). Most volcanoes produce avalanches which travel to distances of around 6 times the radius of the edifice (lower dashed line). However, in certain conditions, such as Colima volcano, the deposits can travel up to 12 times the radius of the edifice (upper dashed line). A value of 10 is used in the 3D asymmetric modelling (solid line).

Figure 5: Pressure reduction within the magma reservoir induced by the removal of the upper 20% of a conical edifice with a slope of 30 degrees. Results are presented as a function of the reservoir and edifice radius. Calculations are performed for a spherical reservoir with a top at 1km depth, and filled with incompressible magma. Crustal Poisson's ratio is equal to 0.25.

Figure 6: a) Evolution of magma pressure, P, and threshold pressure for failure, P_r , induced by volcanic collapse. (b) Evolution of the erupted volume of magma following edifice destabilization. For a given reservoir geometry, the erupted volume is proportional to the pressure difference, $\Delta P_e = P - P_{cl}$, where P is the magma pressure when the eruption starts and P_{cl} is the threshold pressure value under which dykes close at the chamber wall, ending the eruptive event. Before edifice destabilization, the system is about to erupt, such that this difference is given by $\Delta P_e(i) = P_r(i) - P_{cl}(i)$, with P_r the threshold pressure for dyke initiation at the chamber wall. After the major flank collapse, magma pressure within the chamber decreases by an amount ΔP to the value P(f), and the pressure difference becomes $\Delta P_e(f) =$ $P(f) - P_{cl}(f)$. Three different cases can occur: case 1, when $\Delta P_e(f)$ is greater than $\Delta P_e(i)$, where the volume of magma erupted is larger than it would have been with no edifice collapse; case 2, when $\Delta P_e(f)$ is less than $\Delta P_e(i)$, such that the volume of magma erupted is smaller than it would have been with no edifice collapse and, case 3, when $\Delta P_e(f)$ becomes negative, which means that the incipient eruption is aborted and there is no magma erupted.

Figure 7: Evolution of the erupted volume of magma following the removal of the upper 20 % of a conical edifice with a slope of 30 degrees. Results are presented as a function of the reservoir and edifice radius. Calculations are performed for a spherical reservoir filled with incompressible magma. Crustal Poisson's ratio is equal to 0.25. Three different values for depth to the top of the magma reservoir are considered: a) 0.5 km depth, b) 1 km depth, c) 3 km. The dashed lines approximately define the limits between the various cases defined in figure 6. Crosses are for calculations also performed in 3D with an asymmetric flank collapse.

Figure 8: Evolution of the erupted volume of magma following the removal of the upper 20 % of a conical edifice with a slope of 30 degrees. Results are presented as a function of the reservoir vertical semi-axis and edifice radius. Calculations are performed for a prolate reservoir with a top at 1 km depth, filled with incompressible magma. Crustal Poisson's ratio is equal to 0.25. After the edifice partial collapse, the volume of magma erupted is always smaller than it would have been in absence of edifice collapse (case 2 of figure 6).

Figure 9: Edifice geometries considered. A) The initial edifice shape before the sector collapse. B) The truncated final edifice shape when the upper 20 % of the original edifice has been removed. C) The horseshoe-shaped crater of the final edifice when the 20 % of the original edifice has been removed by a sector collapse. The edifice represented has a radius of 1 km and a slope of 30 degrees. The scale bar shows edifice height in meters.

Maagema chamber Edifice radius Click ക്രൂപ്പോ ablak Table.pdf		Erupted Vol/ Erupted Vol without collapse		Case
		Symmetric collapse	Asymmetric collapse	
1	1	1	1	1
1	3	0.59	0.61	2
1	6	0	0	3
3	1	1	1	1
3	3	0.92	0.92	2
3	6	0.58	0.63	2



















Chamber top at 0.5 km depth Chamber top at 1 km depth

Chamber top at 3 km depth





Figure9 Click here to download Figure: Figure9.pdf

