



HAL
open science

Consequences of volcano sector collapse on magmatic storage zones: insights from numerical modeling

Virginie Pinel, Fabien Albino

► **To cite this version:**

Virginie Pinel, Fabien Albino. Consequences of volcano sector collapse on magmatic storage zones: insights from numerical modeling. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 2013, 252, pp.29-37. 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.11.009 . ird-00782222

HAL Id: ird-00782222

<https://ird.hal.science/ird-00782222>

Submitted on 29 Jan 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Consequences of volcano sector collapse on magmatic storage zones: insights from numerical modeling

V. Pinel^a, F. Albino^b

^a*ISTerre, Université de Savoie, IRD, CNRS, F73376 Le Bourget du Lac, France*

^b*Nordic Volcanological Center, Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland*

Abstract

Major volcano flank collapses strongly affect the underlying magmatic plumbing system. Here, we consider the magma storage zone as a liquid pocket embedded in an elastic medium, and we perform numerical simulations in two-dimensional axisymmetric geometry as well as in three dimensions in order to evaluate the consequences of a major collapse event. We quantify the pressure decrease induced within and around a magma reservoir by a volcano flank collapse. This pressure reduction is expected to favour replenishment with less evolved magma from deeper sources. We also estimate the impact of the magma pressure decrease, together with the stress field variations around the reservoir, on the eruptive event associated with the edifice failure. We show that, for a given magma reservoir geometry, the collapse of a large strato-volcano tends to reduce the volume of the simultaneous eruption; destabilization of large edifices may even suppress magma emission, resulting in phreatic eruptions instead. This effect is greater for shallow reservoirs, and is more pronounced for spherical reservoirs than for

Email address: Virginie.Pinel@univ-savoie.fr (V. Pinel)

vertically-elongated ones. It is reduced for compressible magmas containing a large amount of volatiles. Over a longer time scale, the modification of pressure conditions for dyke initiation at the chamber wall may also explain an increase in eruption rate as well as an apparent change of magma storage location.

Keywords: Edifice flank collapse, numerical modeling

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Large flank collapses have been recognized as common phenomena in
3 the long-lived evolution of volcanic edifices. A large number of studies fo-
4 cus on the causes of, and/or triggers for, these destabilization events. They
5 show that the origin of the destabilization can be related to exogenous pro-
6 cesses such as weathering, but in most cases volcanic activity itself is involved
7 (Mc Guire, 1996). In particular, the ability of magmatic intrusions to favour
8 large flank collapse either during vertical dyke emplacement or during sill
9 formation has been observed in the field (Famin and Michon, 2010), and in-
10 vestigated through modeling (Paul et al., 1987; Iverson, 1995). Siebert (1992)
11 emphasized the potential hazards represented by sector collapses, which fully
12 justify studies investigating volcano stability (Voight and Elsworth, 1997;
13 Borselli et al., 2011). From a risk assessment perspective, the direct impact
14 of a sudden and drastic sector collapse is also investigated through studies
15 or modelling related to the volume and extension of the associated debris
16 avalanche deposits (Borselli et al., 2011).

17 Another field of study encompasses describing and quantifying the conse-
18 quences of such an event on the magmatic plumbing system evolution. The

19 long-term history of volcanic edifices reveals that partial destruction of an
20 edifice is usually followed by a change in eruption rate and/or magma com-
21 position (Presley et al., 1997; Hildenbrand et al., 2004; Hora et al., 2007;
22 Longpré et al., 2010; Boulesteix et al., 2012). For oceanic volcanoes, this
23 observation has usually been related to an increase in decompression melting
24 subsequent to collapse (Presley et al., 1997; Hildenbrand et al., 2004), al-
25 though Manconi et al. (2009) also evoked the depressurisation of a magmatic
26 storage zone. For continental volcanoes, Pinel and Jaupart (2005), using an
27 analytical elastic model for the two-dimensional plane strain approximation,
28 quantified the pressure decrease induced within a magmatic reservoir by the
29 partial destruction of an edifice. They also detailed the influence of such an
30 event on the volume of magma erupted during the failure event.

31 Meanwhile, other surface load variations, occurring over a larger time
32 scale, have been proven to have a significant impact on eruptive behaviour. In
33 particular, a temporal correlation is observed between ice retreat induced by
34 climate warming and volume of magma erupted, with an increase of eruption
35 rates during postglacial periods (Jellinek et al., 2004; Sinton et al., 2005). The
36 effect of ice retreat on both magma melting and storage has been investigated
37 (see Sigmundsson et al. (2010) for a review). More recently, new modeling
38 has shown that magma propagation within the upper crust is also affected
39 by ice unloading, with an increased likelihood of magma storage within the
40 crust during transport towards the surface. This is in good agreement with
41 some geodetic observations performed around Vatnajökull ice cap in Iceland
42 (Hooper et al., 2011).

43 In this study, we calculate the pressure reduction induced by a sudden

44 flank collapse event within and around a magma storage zone located beneath
45 a volcanic edifice. We only consider one-shot catastrophic flank collapses,
46 rather than the effects of large, progressive landslides. We then quantify
47 how the flank collapse affects the volume of erupted magma during the as-
48 sociated eruption, resulting from the storage zone withdrawal. Results are
49 derived from numerical simulations incorporating the equation of elasticity,
50 performed with the commercial software COMSOL both in axisymmetric ge-
51 ometry and in three dimensions. We also discuss the potential impact of
52 large flank collapses on the long-term eruptive history, based on petrological
53 observations.

54 **2. Pressure decrease induced by a volcano flank collapse**

55 Broadly speaking, a major sector collapse is equivalent to a surface un-
56 loading event. In reality, the edifice portion which fails is not removed from
57 the Earth's surface, but is redistributed over a larger area. As previously
58 shown by Pinel and Jaupart (2005), using analytical solutions, and by Al-
59 bino et al. (2010), using numerical models in axisymmetric geometry, an
60 unloading event always induces a pressure decrease within the underlying
61 crust. This pressure reduction is of the same order of magnitude as the load
62 removed from the surface.

63 *2.1. A conical load removed over an elastic half-space*

64 If we consider the crust to be an elastic, homogeneous medium charac-
65 terized by its Young's modulus, E , and Poisson's ratio, ν , the stress changes
66 induced at depth by a conical load can be derived by integration of the point
67 load solution. At the axis, the vertical stress due to a cone of radius, R_e , and

68 maximum height, H_e , as a function of depth below the surface, z , is given
 69 by:

$$\sigma_{zz} = P_e \left[1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}} \right], \quad (1)$$

70 with $P_e = \rho_c g H_e$, where ρ_c is the load density. The horizontal components
 71 are equal and given by Pinel and Jaupart (2000):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{rr} = \sigma_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{P_e}{2} \left[(1 + 2\nu) - 2(1 + \nu) \frac{z}{R_e} \ln \left(\frac{R_e + \sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}}{z} \right) + \frac{z}{\sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}} \right] & \text{for } z > 0 \\ \sigma_{rr} = \sigma_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{P_e}{2} (1 + 2\nu) & \text{for } z = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

72 It follows that the pressure, P , defined as one third on the stress tensor
 73 trace, induced by a conical load, is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{2P_e}{3} (1 + \nu) \left[1 - \frac{z}{R_e} \ln \left(\frac{R_e + \sqrt{R_e^2 + z^2}}{z} \right) \right] & \text{for } z > 0 \\ P &= \frac{2P_e}{3} (1 + \nu) & \text{for } z = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

74 Stress and pressure reduction induced by the removal of conical load are
 75 shown in figure 1. The stress component most affected by the load is, as
 76 expected, the vertical one σ_{zz} . The amplitude of the perturbation is greatest
 77 at the surface, and is directly related to the height of the load removed. The
 78 pressure reduction decreases with depth and becomes negligible at depths
 79 greater than three times the radius of the load.

80 2.2. A conical load removed from above a magmatic reservoir

81 Most tomographic studies performed on volcanoes (Monteiller et al., 2005;
 82 Prôno et al., 2009) reveal that the crust is far from being homogeneous around
 83 a magmatic system. In particular, shallow magma storage zones have been
 84 detected in many locations by either petrologic, seismic or geodetic studies
 85 (Gardner et al., 1995; Sturkell et al., 2006; Peltier et al., 2008). The pressure

86 reduction induced by an unloading event within these magma pockets will
87 depend both on the crustal deformation and on the equation of state of
88 the melt embedded in the crust. Here we consider an ellipsoidal magmatic
89 reservoir filled with fluid, embedded in an homogeneous elastic crust. Initially
90 the liquid has the same density as the surrounding crust and is characterized
91 by its bulk modulus, K . We only deal with the perturbation induced by
92 a conical load removed from the Earth's surface, on which the initial stress
93 field has no influence.

94 Within the magma reservoir the pressure change, ΔP , is related to the
95 reservoir volume change, ΔV , through the bulk modulus definition:

$$\Delta P = -K \frac{\Delta V}{V}, \quad (4)$$

96 with V being the initial volume of the reservoir.

97 The change in reservoir volume is also a function of the chamber wall dis-
98 placement, which depends on both the conical load and the magma pressure
99 change. This volume change is calculated numerically, using the equations
100 of elasticity with the commercial software COMSOL. The domain of calcu-
101 lation is a 100*100 km square box with a mesh of about 100 000 triangular
102 units that is refined around the volcanic edifice and magma reservoir. No
103 displacement perpendicular to the boundary is allowed at the basal and lat-
104 eral boundaries; the upper boundary is considered as being a free surface.
105 The load is modeled with a normal stress applied at the upper surface, and
106 a normal stress equal to the magma overpressure is applied at the reservoir
107 walls. Numerical solutions have been validated using well-known analytical
108 solutions as detailed in Albino et al. (2010). Pressure reduction within and
109 around the magma reservoir induced by a conical load of 2 km radius, 1 km

110 height, and density 2800 kg/m^3 , is shown in figure 2 for two different chamber
111 geometries: a spherical chamber, and a vertically-elongated chamber (pro-
112 late shape). Calculations are performed for a chamber top at 1 km depth
113 and with a maximum chamber radius of 1 km. The pressure variation within
114 the crust departs strongly from the homogeneous case (figure 1) in the vicin-
115 ity of the reservoir, this difference being more pronounced for the spherical
116 reservoir than for the prolate one. An increase in pressure is even observed
117 (negative values of the pressure reduction) at the chamber margins, the effect
118 being most extreme at the chamber top. This is due to the deformation of
119 the reservoir wall induced by the unloading event and partially counterbal-
120 anced by pressure variations within the magma reservoir. Within the magma
121 reservoir, pressure always decreases as a consequence of the unloading event,
122 the effect being, once again, larger in the case of the spherical reservoir than
123 in the case of the prolate one. The amplitude of the magma pressure reduc-
124 tion increases with the value of the bulk modulus. This can be explained by
125 the fact that for incompressible magmas (larger value of K) no reservoir vol-
126 ume change occurs, thus all the volume reduction induced by the unloading
127 event has to be compensated by a pressure reduction within the chamber.
128 The effect of compressibility is shown in figure 3. In a compressible magma,
129 buoyancy forces appear due to magma density variation; however since the
130 model used here is valid in most of natural cases, as discussed by Pinel and
131 Jaupart (2005), these forces have not been included here.

132 Figure 5 shows the pressure reduction within a spherical reservoir with
133 a top at 1 km depth, induced by the removal of the upper 20 % of the
134 edifice, which corresponds to a mean value based on field observations (figure

135 4a). This pressure reduction is more marked when considering larger edifices
136 and smaller magma reservoirs. Calculations performed also show that the
137 amplitude of the pressure reduction decreases for deeper magma reservoirs
138 and is less marked in the case of a prolate reservoir than in a spherical one.

139 **3. Effect of volcano flank collapse on an ongoing eruption**

140 As described above, partial destruction of an edifice always induces a
141 pressure decrease in the underlying storage zone. Simultaneously, this drastic
142 change in surface load strongly modifies the stress field at the reservoir walls,
143 and thus presumably dyke initiation and closure in this zone. While long-
144 term conduit systems develop at the surface of silicic strato-volcanoes, this
145 shallow level conduits are connected to deeper reservoirs via dykes as shown
146 by deformation data (Mattioli et al., 1998), seismicity (Roman et al., 2006),
147 and magma flow studies (Costa et al., 2007). Thus it is important to consider
148 dyke opening and closure at the reservoir walls when dealing with magma
149 transport to the surface.

150 *3.1. Principle*

151 For any given state of stress, one may define a threshold pressure, P_r ,
152 required for dyke initiation at the chamber wall. Here, we consider that
153 once this pressure threshold is reached within the magma reservoir, a dyke
154 initiates and magma leaves the storage zone to reach the surface and feed
155 an eruption, such that pressure within the magma chamber cannot exceed
156 this threshold value. Following Albino et al. (2010), we consider that tensile
157 rupture of the reservoir wall occurs when the deviatoric part of the hoop stress
158 exceeds the tensile strength, T_s , of host rocks. This criterion is consistent

159 with the rupture criterion used in dyke propagation studies (Lister, 1990).
160 Once a dyke has initiated, magma pressure within the reservoir decreases
161 until it reaches a second threshold value, P_{cl} , at which point the dyke closes
162 (Pinel et al., 2010). It follows that the volume of erupted magma is directly
163 proportional to the pressure difference: $\Delta P_e = P_r - P_{cl}$.

164 We consider an initial state, corresponding to the situation just before the
165 edifice partial destruction, with a pressurized storage zone. For this initial
166 state, we can define the two threshold values, $P_r(i)$ and $P_{cl}(i)$ (see figure
167 6). As magma intrusions often acts as the triggers for edifice destabilization
168 (Mc Guire, 1996), we make the assumption that the system is about to
169 erupt, such that the magma pressure, $P(i)$, is equal to the threshold value
170 required for dyke initiation, $P_r(i)$. A major collapse of the edifice then occurs,
171 and our final state is a truncated edifice. The unloading event results in a
172 pressure reduction (ΔP) within the magma reservoir, such that the final
173 magma pressure within the reservoir is $P(f) < P(i)$. In the final state, the
174 two threshold pressures, here denoted $P_r(f)$ and $P_{cl}(f)$ are different from the
175 ones in the initial state (see figure 6). Based on the evolution of the threshold
176 pressures, which can either increase or decrease, three different scenarios can
177 result. Where the pressure difference, $P - P_{cl}$, increases with the edifice
178 partial destruction (case 1 of figure 6, where $P(f) - P_{cl}(f) > P(i) - P_{cl}(i)$), the
179 edifice collapse is followed by an eruption with a volume of erupted magma
180 larger that it would have been in the absence of edifice destruction. Where
181 the pressure difference, $P - P_{cl}$, decreases with the edifice partial destruction
182 (case 2 of figure 6, where $P(f) - P_{cl}(f) < P(i) - P_{cl}(i)$), the erupted volume
183 associated with the edifice collapse is smaller that it would have been in

184 absence of edifice destruction. In the event of the magma pressure within
185 the reservoir dropping below the threshold pressure for dyke closure (case 3
186 of figure 6, where $P(f) < P_{cl}(f)$), the incipient eruption is aborted and no
187 magma is erupted at the surface as a consequence of the edifice collapse.

188 Factors determining which scenario occurs are the initial edifice size and
189 geometry, the amount of edifice destruction, the size, shape and depth of the
190 magma reservoir, and magma gas content.

191 *3.2. Numerical results for axisymmetric models*

192 We performed numerical simulations for edifices of different initial sizes,
193 with various reservoir depths and sizes, and two different reservoir shapes:
194 a spherical one and a vertically-elongated one (prolate shape). We consid-
195 ered the effect of partial destruction, corresponding to the removal of the
196 upper 20 % of a strato-volcano with a conical shape and a slope of 30 de-
197 grees (figure 9 B). A slope of 30 degrees is an upper limit for the upper part
198 of strato-volcanoes based on a compilation of Digital Elevation Models, and
199 corresponds to the maximum frequency of major slope failure events on Qua-
200 ternary volcanoes (Voight and Elsworth, 1997); collapse of 20 % of the initial
201 volcano can be considered as a mean value based on a compilation of field
202 observations (figure 4a). In all simulations we consider an incompressible
203 magma; effects of compressibility and gas content are discussed at the end
204 of this section, and in section 5.

205 For a spherical reservoir located at 1 km depth beneath the volcanic ed-
206 ifice, each of the three scenarios previously described (figure 6) can occur
207 (see figure 7 b). When the collapse affects small edifices, the erupted vol-
208 ume is larger than, but still close to, that expected in the absence of edifice

209 destruction. As the edifice size increases, so the volume of erupted magma
210 after the edifice collapse tends to decrease. This volume reduces to zero when
211 large strato-volcanoes are partially destroyed by flank collapse, resulting in
212 the abortion of any incipient eruption. For a shallower magma reservoir, a
213 smaller edifice size is required to reach the point of aborted eruption, (see
214 figure 7 a), whereas all effects of edifice collapse on erupted magma volume
215 are reduced with a deeper chamber (see figure 7 c).

216 Effects of an edifice collapse event on the subsequent eruption also depend
217 on the magma reservoir shape. Figure 8 shows that, for a prolate reservoir,
218 the influence of the collapse is smaller, and a larger edifice size is required
219 in order to decrease the amount of erupted magma, than for a spherical
220 reservoir at the same depth. Above a prolate reservoir with a top at one
221 kilometer depth, magma eruption is only aborted when the edifice radius is
222 greater than 6 km.

223 For storage zones located at a few kilometers depth beneath the volcanic
224 edifice, it is possible to include compressibility effects (see section 5), which
225 can be important when volatiles are present. The inclusion of compressibility
226 effects mainly acts to reduce the magma pressure decrease within the storage
227 zone following the unloading event, as shown in figure 3. It follows that
228 the volume of erupted magma will thus be larger than in the case of an
229 incompressible magma. Larger edifice size is required to counteract this, and
230 reduce the amount of erupted magma.

231 *3.3. 3D effects: Influence of the shape of the load removed*

232 To be more realistic, we also carry out 3D models in order to simulate
233 asymmetric flank collapses and mimic the resulting horseshoe- shaped craters

234 of the final edifice geometry that are observed at many strato-volcanoes, such
235 as Mount St Helens (Cascades, USA), Bezymianni and Shiveluch (Kamtchatka,
236 Russia) or Galunggung (Indonesia). The volume of the landslide remains
237 fixed at 20 % of the initial volcanic edifice, but the collapse now occurs only
238 at one side of the volcano (figure 9 C). The unloading associated with the ed-
239 ifice collapse is thus asymmetric, with potential consequences for failure con-
240 ditions at the reservoir wall. The removed part of the edifice is re-distributed
241 as a thin deposit layer around the volcano. This layer has a constant thick-
242 ness from the base of the edifice to a distance of ten times the edifice radius,
243 and is only emplaced in a sector of $\pm 30^\circ$ from the collapse flank. The runout
244 and sector angle are based on field observations, as shown in figure 4b.

245 For comparison, elastic parameters are the same as in the previous ax-
246 isymmetric models. We run models for the same reservoir geometry: a sphere
247 with a 3 km radius, with a top situated at two different depths (1 and 3 km).
248 Three different values for the initial edifice radius (1, 3 and 6 km) are con-
249 sidered. Table 1 gives the comparison between symmetric and asymmetric
250 collapses. Here the symmetric case is recalculated so that the removed part
251 of the edifice is re-distributed as a deposit layer of constant thickness from
252 the edifice to a distance equal to 5 times the edifice radius. This distance
253 is chosen in order to obtain the same order of magnitude for the deposit
254 thickness as for the asymmetric case.

255 Values of the ratio of erupted volume after collapse to erupted volume
256 without collapse are almost the same for both collapse geometries. The
257 erupted volume after collapse only remains the same as that without any
258 edifice destruction for small edifices (case 1, for an edifice of 1 km radius).

259 However, this erupted volume decreases when the initial edifice size increases
260 (case 2, for an edifice of 3 km radius and a chamber top at 1 km depth, or
261 for an edifice of 6 km radius and a chamber top at 3 km depth). For large
262 edifices and shallow storage zones, no magma is erupted, since eruption is
263 aborted by the collapse event (case 3 for an edifice of 6 km radius and a
264 chamber depth of 1 km).

265 The closure pressure tends to decrease for asymmetric failure compared
266 to symmetric failure. This effect produces a small increase in erupted vol-
267 ume compared to the symmetrical model for case 2 (Table 1). Calculated
268 differences are minimal between the two failure geometries, with a difference
269 in total erupted volume of only a few percent. In the same way, our results
270 for erupted volume do not change significantly when taking into account
271 the mass load redistribution due to runout deposits at the periphery of the
272 volcano.

273 From these calculations, it appears that the results are not significantly
274 affected by the collapse geometry; thus the less time-consuming axisymmetric
275 models, can be used to perform parametric studies.

276 *3.4. Phreatic eruptions*

277 Several large volcanic failure events have not resulted in emission of juve-
278 nile material, but instead lead to a phreatic eruption. Such phreatic events
279 were defined by Siebert et al. (1987) as Bandai-type eruptions after the
280 name of the Bandai-san volcano in northeast Japan, which produced large
281 phreatic explosions associated with a major debris avalanche in 1888 (Ya-
282 mamoto et al., 1999). At Bandai-san, the volcanic failure was triggered by
283 an earthquake and there is no direct evidence of magma involvement in this

284 catastrophic event. As no juvenile products were erupted, the straightfor-
285 ward conclusion is that no magma was present at shallow level. However,
286 pressurized fluids had been stored at a shallow depth, and a heat source is
287 necessary to explain the vigorous hydrothermal system. Our results provide
288 an alternative framework to interpret such phreatic events, in which magma
289 might be trapped in reservoirs beneath the edifice. We show that for shallow
290 reservoirs, even if magma was present, a large edifice collapse could poten-
291 tially abort the incipient eruption.

292 **4. Effect of edifice destabilization on the long-term eruptive history**

293 The three main modifications documented with regard to the erupted
294 magma after a major flank collapse are: an increase in eruption rate (Beget
295 and Kienle, 1992; Siebert et al., 1995; Boulesteix et al., 2012), a change in
296 erupted magma composition towards less evolved and denser magmas (Man-
297 conic et al., 2009; Longpré et al., 2010; Boulesteix et al., 2012), and a change
298 in magma storage pressure (Rutherford and Devine, 2008).

299 As shown in section 2, an edifice flank collapse always causes a pressure
300 decrease within underlying storage zones. Where the shallow reservoir is
301 still connected to a deeper source of magma, this reservoir depressurisation
302 should induce a rapid replenishment as observed after a reservoir withdrawal
303 due to an eruptive event (Lu et al., 2010). The deeper source is expected to
304 contain more primitive magmas, and the replenishment should thus increase
305 the amount of less differentiated magmas within the shallow storage zone. A
306 reduced edifice size also allows the eruption of denser products which would
307 otherwise have stalled at shallow depth (Pinel and Jaupart, 2000).

308 The evolution of the threshold pressure required for dyke initiation, which
309 corresponds to the maximum pressure within the reservoir, should have an
310 impact over a longer time scale. At Mount St Helens, the pressure evolution
311 of the storage zone can be seen through petrological studies of rocks span-
312 ning the last thousand years (Gardner et al., 1995; Rutherford and Devine,
313 2008). The observed pressure range is between 130 MPa and 300 MPa, with
314 several episodes of storage pressure reduction occurring over periods of a few
315 years. The most recent episode of storage pressure decrease corresponds to
316 the renewed activity in 2004-2006, with a pressure decrease in the order of
317 50 MPa compared to the magma erupted in 1980. Such episodes of pressure
318 decrease have been interpreted as being due to a rise of the storage zone
319 (Gardner et al., 1995). However, it has been shown that each episode of
320 pressure decrease follows directly on from a large edifice destabilization, such
321 as the one which occurred in 1980 (Hopson and Melson, 1980; Hausback and
322 Swanson, 1990). Pinel and Jaupart (2003) and Pinel et al. (2010) propose
323 that this pressure variation could be explained by a magma pressure decrease
324 within a fixed storage zone induced by the edifice partial destruction rather
325 than by upward migration of the storage location. At Mount St Helens, the
326 petrological data are thus consistent with a decrease of the threshold pres-
327 sure required for dyke initiation following flank failure. Where the shallow
328 reservoir is fed by a source of constant pressure at depth, such a decrease
329 should result in an increase of the eruption rate (Pinel et al., 2010), in good
330 agreement with the observations.

331 5. Discussion

332 We investigate the influence of the reservoir shape by considering only
333 vertically-elongated ellipsoids. Calculations with horizontally-elongated reser-
334 voirs (oblate shape) could have been performed, but the edifice collapse
335 impact is only significant for shallow reservoirs, and it has been shown
336 previously that shallow, oblate reservoirs strongly favour caldera formation
337 (Roche, 2000; Geyer et al., 2006). Caldera collapse formation is a complex
338 and specific phenomenon, already studied elsewhere (Pinel, 2011), and be-
339 yond the scope of this paper in relation to major flank collapse. Thus we
340 choose to ignore oblate-shaped chambers here.

341 In this study we assume that rocks encasing the reservoir behave elasti-
342 cally. Volcano flank collapses are often sudden events. For instance, detach-
343 ment of the northern flank of Mount St Helens, USA, in May 1980, occurred
344 in a few seconds, as testified by eye-witnesses. Besides, we show that an un-
345 loading event significantly affects the stress field only at depths of less than
346 three times the radius of the removed load (figure 1). At the time scale of
347 an eruptive cycle, geodetic measurements recorded during replenishment or
348 eruptive events (Sturkell et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2010; Lu and Dzurisin, 2010)
349 prove that the elastic assumption is valid, at least for shallow reservoirs.
350 This geophysical observation justifies our elastic assumption when looking
351 at the impact of a major flank collapse on the subsequent eruptive event for
352 continental volcanoes, for which the lateral extension of the edifice remains
353 small compared to the elastic crustal thickness. Estimations of the Maxwell
354 relaxation time for upper crustal rocks in volcanic areas are around 30-80
355 ky (Jellinek et al., 2004), and the usual duration for cone-building episodes

356 is less than 100 ky for continental volcanoes (Davidson and DeSilva, 2000).
357 Based on this consideration, our elastic model can still be used to discuss
358 the influence of a major flank collapse on the long-term eruptive history of a
359 given continental volcanic system. However, the elastic assumption becomes
360 less valid when looking at the effect of destabilization on large oceanic volca-
361 noes. The largest debris avalanche deposits (reaching 5000 km³) are observed
362 around large ocean-island volcanoes (Mc Guire, 1996). The lateral extension
363 of the removed load is then close to, or even larger than, the elastic crustal
364 thickness. For instance, the El Golfo landslide, which affected El Hierro is-
365 land in the Canary Islands, had an inferred lateral extension of more than
366 10 km, for an elastic crustal thickness in this area of around 20 km, based on
367 seismic and gravity data (Watts et al., 1997). To investigate the impact of
368 these large-scale flank collapses on the magmatic plumbing system of oceanic
369 volcanoes, it would be necessary to take the viscous response of the mantle
370 into consideration, too, as proposed by Sigmundsson et al. (2012) in their
371 study of the influence of long-term ice retreat on magma storage zones.

372 The model developed in this paper deals with a magma storage zone be-
373 neath the volcanic edifice such that it cannot account for decompression of
374 the magma emplaced at shallow depth within the volcanic edifice. This effect
375 should be taken into account to fully describe what occurred during the May
376 1980 eruption of Mount St Helens, where the volcano collapse was followed
377 by a 1 km³ Plinian ash eruption (Bradley and Myers, 2000). However the ef-
378 fect of magma compressibility within the chamber can also be included when
379 buoyancy forces induced by magma density variations remain small relative
380 to magma pressure changes (see Pinel and Jaupart (2005) for a complete dis-

381 cussion). This condition is verified for magma with a few percent of volatiles
382 stored at depths greater than a few kilometers.

383 **6. Conclusion**

384 Numerical simulations using the elasticity equations help to constrain the
385 potential impact of a major volcano flank collapse on the ongoing eruption,
386 as well as the longer term eruptive history, of continental volcanoes. Develop-
387 ment of models taking into account the viscous response of the mantle would
388 be necessary in order to model more precisely the potential consequences of
389 the larger flank collapses affecting oceanic volcanoes.

390 **7. Acknowledgments**

391 The authors thank the Editor Malcolm J. Rutherford and two anonymous
392 reviewers for providing helpful comments. We also thank Francesca van Wyk
393 de Vries for English corrections.

394 **References**

- 395 Albino, F., Pinel, V., Sigmundsson, F., 2010. Influence of surface load vari-
396 ations on eruption likelihood: Application to two Icelandic subglacial
397 volcanoes, Grimsvötn and Katla. *Geophys. J. Int.* 181, 1510–1524, doi:
398 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04603.x.
- 399 Beget, J. E., Kienle, J., 1992. Cyclic formation of debris avalanches at Mount
400 St Augustine volcano. *Nature* 356, 701–704.
- 401 Boulesteix, T., Hildenbrand, A., Gillot P.-Y., Soler, V., 2012. Eruptive re-
402 sponse of oceanic islands to giant landslides: New insights from the geo-
403 morphologic evolution of the Teide-Pico Viejo volcanic complex (Tenerife,
404 Canary). *Geomorphology*, 138, 61–73.
- 405 Borselli, L., Capra, L., Sarocchi, D., De la Cruz-Reyna, S., 2011. Flank col-
406 lapse scenarios at volcan de Colima, Mexico: A relative instability analysis.
407 *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 208, 51–65.
- 408 Bradley, S. , Myers, B., 2000, Mount St. Helens from the 1980 Eruption to
409 2000, U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 036-00.
- 410 Costa, A. , Melnik, O., Sparks, R. S. J., Voight, B., 2007, Control of
411 magma flow in dykes on cyclic lava dome extrusion, *G. Res. Lett.*, 34,
412 doi:10.1029/2006GL027466.
- 413 Davidson, J., DeSilva, S., 2000. Composite volcanoes. In : Sigurdsson H (eds)
414 *Encyclopedia of volcanoes*, Academic Press, London.

- 415 Famin, V., Michon, L., 2010. Volcanic destabilization by magma injections
416 in a detachment. *Geology* 38, 219–222, doi:10.1130/G30717.1.
- 417 Gardner, J. E., Rutherford, M., Carey, S., Sigurdsson, H., 1995. Experimen-
418 tal constraints on pre-eruptive water contents and changing magma storage
419 prior to explosive eruptions of Mount St Helens volcano. *Bull. Volcanol.*
420 57, 1–17.
- 421 Geyer, A., Folch, A., Marti, J., 2006. Relationship between caldera collapse
422 and magma chamber withdrawal: an experimental approach. *J. Volcanol.*
423 *Geotherm. Res.* 157, 375–386.
- 424 Hausback, B. P., Swanson, D. A., 1990. Record of prehistoric debris
425 avalanches on the north flank of Mount St. Helens volcano, Washington.
426 *Geoscience Canada* 17, 142–145.
- 427 Hildenbrand, A., Gillot, P., Le Roy, I., 2004. Volcano-tectonic and geochem-
428 ical evolution of an oceanic intra-plate volcano: Tahiti-Nui (french Poly-
429 nesia). *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 217, 349–365.
- 430 Hooper, A., Ofeigsson, B., Sigmundsson, F., Lund, B., Einarsson, P., Geirs-
431 son, H., Sturkell, E., 2011. Increased crustal capture of magma at volcanoes
432 with retreating ice cap. *Nature Geoscience*.
- 433 Hopson, C. A., Melson, W. G., 1980. Compositional trends and eruptive
434 cycles at Mount St. Helens. *Geoscience Canada* 17, 131–141.
- 435 Hora, J. M., Singer, B. S., Wörner, G., 2007. Volcano evolution and eruptive
436 flux on the thick crust of the Andean Central Volcanic Zone: $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$
437 constraints from Volcán Parinacota, Chile. *GSA Bulletin* 119, 343–362.

- 438 Iverson, R. M., 1995. Can magma-injection and groundwater forces cause
439 massive landslides on Hawaiian volcanoes. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 66,
440 295–308.
- 441 Jellinek, A. M., Manga, M., Saar, M. O., 2004. Did melting glaciers cause
442 volcanic eruptions in eastern California? probing the mechanics of dike
443 formation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, b09206, doi:10.1029/2004JB002978.
- 444 Lister, J. R., 1990. Buoyancy-driven fluid fracture: the effects of material
445 toughness and low-viscosity precursors. *J. Fluid Mech.* 210, 263–280.
- 446 Longpré, M.-A., Troll, V. R., Walter, T. R., Hansteen, T. H., 2010. Vol-
447 canic and geochemical evolution of the Teno massif, Tenerife, Canary Is-
448 lands: Some repercussions of giant landslides on ocean island magmatism.
449 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 10, q12017,doi:10.1029/2009GC002892.
- 450 Lu, Z., Dzurisin, D., 2010. Ground surface deformation patterns, magma sup-
451 ply, and magma storage at Okmok volcano, Alaska, from InSAR analysis:1.
452 Co-eruptive deflation, July-August 2008. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, (B00B03)
453 doi:10.1029/2009JB006970.
- 454 Lu, Z., Dzurisin, D., Biggs, J., Wick Jr., C., McNutt, S., 2010. Ground sur-
455 face deformation patterns, magma supply, and magma storage at Okmok
456 volcano, Alaska, from InSAR analysis:1. Intereruption deformation, 1997-
457 2008. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, (B00B02) doi:10.1029/2009JB006969.
- 458 Manconi, A., Longpré, M.-A., Walter, T. R., Troll, V. R., Hansteen, T. H.,
459 2009. The effects of flank collapses on volcano plumbing systems. *Geology*
460 37, 1,099–1,102.

- 461 Mattioli, G., T. H. Dixon, F. F. Farina, E. S. Howell, P. E. Jansma, and A. L.
462 Smith, 1998. GPS measurement of surface deformation around Soufriere
463 Hills volcano, Montserrat from October 1995 to July 1996, *Geophys. Res.*
464 *Lett.*, 25, 34173420.
- 465 Mc Guire, W., 1996. Volcano instability: A review of contemporary themes.
466 Geological Society of London Special Publication 110, 1–23.
- 467 Monteiller, V., J.-L., G., Virieux, J., Okubo, P., 2005. An efficient algo-
468 rithm for double-difference tomography and location in heterogeneous me-
469 dia, with an application to the Kilauea volcano. *J. Geophys. Res.* 110,
470 doi:10.1029/2004JB003466.
- 471 Paul, A., Gratier, J. P., Boudon, J., 1987. A numerical model for simulating
472 deformation of Mount St. Helens volcano. *J. Geophys. Res.* 92, 10,299–
473 10,312.
- 474 Peltier, A., Famin, V., Bachélery, P., Cayol, V., Fukushima, Y., Staudacher,
475 T., 2008. Cyclic magma storages and transfers at Piton de la Fournaise
476 volcano (La Réunion hotspot) inferred from deformation and geochemical
477 data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 270, 180–188.
- 478 Pinel, V., 2011. Influence of preexisting volcanic edifice geometry on caldera
479 formation. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38, doi:10.1029/2011GL047900.
- 480 Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., 2000. The effect of edifice load on magma ascent
481 beneath a volcano. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* 358, 1,515–1,532.
- 482 Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., 2003. Magma chamber behavior beneath a volcanic
483 edifice. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, (B2) 2072, doi:10.1029/2002JB001751.

- 484 Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., 2005. Some consequences of volcanic edifice de-
485 struction for eruption conditions. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 145, 68–80,
486 doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.01.012.
- 487 Pinel, V., Jaupart, C., Albino, F., 2010. On the relationship between cycles
488 of eruptive activity and volcanic edifice growth. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm.*
489 *Res.* 194, 150–164, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.05.006.
- 490 Presley, T. K., Sinton, J. M., Pringle, M., 1997. Postshield volcanism and
491 catastrophic mass wasting of the Waianae Volcano, Oahu, Hawaii. *Bull.*
492 *Volcanol.* 58, 597–616.
- 493 Prôno, E., Battaglia, J., Monteiller, V., Got, J.-L., Ferrazini, V., 2009. P-
494 wave velocity structure of Piton de la Fournaise volcano deduced from
495 seismic data recorded between 1996 and 1999. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*
496 184, 49–62.
- 497 Roche, O., 2000. Les mécanismes de formation des calderas: étude
498 expérimentale et modélisation. Ph.D. thesis, Université Blaise Pascal.
- 499 Roman, D. C., J. Neuberg, and R. R. Lockett (2006), Assessing the like-
500 lihood of volcanic eruption through analysis of volcanotectonic earth-
501 quake fault-plane solutions, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 248, 244–252,
502 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.05.029.
- 503 Rutherford, M. J., Devine, J. D., 2008. Magmatic conditions and processes
504 in the storage zone of the 2004-2006 Mount St Helens dacite. In : Sherrod,
505 D. R., Scott, W. E. and Stauffer, P. H. (eds) *A volcano rekindled: The*

- 506 renewed eruption of Mount St. Helens, 2004-2006, U.S. Geological Survey
507 Professional Paper 1750.
- 508 Siebert, L., 1992. Threats from debris avalanches. *Nature* 356, 658–659.
- 509 Siebert, L., Beget, J. E., Glicken, H., 1995. The 1883 and late-prehistoric
510 eruptions of Augustine volcano, Alaska. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 66,
511 367–395.
- 512 Siebert, L., Glicken, H., Ui, T., 1987. Volcanic hazards from Bezymianny-
513 and Bandai-type eruptions. *Bull. Volcanol.* 49, 435–459.
- 514 Sigmundsson, F., Albino, F., Schmidt, P., Lund, B., Pinel, V., Hooper, A.,
515 Pagli, C., 2012. Multiple effects of surface load changes and associated
516 stress change on magmatic systems. To be published in *McGuire, W. J. &*
517 *Maslin, M. A. (eds) Climate Forcing of Geological and Geomorphological*
518 *Hazards*, Wiley-Blackwell.
- 519 Sigmundsson, F., Pinel, V., Lund, B., Albino, F., Pagli, C., Geirsson, H.,
520 Sturkell, E., 2010. Climate effects on volcanism: Influence on magmatic
521 systems of loading and unloading from ice mass variations with exam-
522 ples from Iceland. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* 368, 2,519–2,534, doi:
523 10.1098/rsta.2010.0042.
- 524 Sinton, J., Gronvold, K., Saemundsson, 2005. Postglacial eruptive history of
525 the western volcanic zone, Iceland. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 6, q12009.
526 (doi:10.1029/2005GC001021).
- 527 Sturkell, E., Einarsson, P., Sigmundsson, F., Geirsson, H., Olafsson, H., Ped-
528 ersen, R., de Zeeuw-van Dalssen, E., Linde, A. T., Sacks, S. I., Stefansson,

- 529 R., 2006. Volcano geodesy and magma dynamics in Iceland. *J. Volcanol.*
530 *Geotherm. Res.* 150, 14-34.
- 531 Tait, S., Jaupart, C., Vergnolle, S., 1989. Pressure, gaz content and eruption
532 periodicity of a shallow, crystallising magma chamber. *Earth Planet. Sci.*
533 *Lett.* 92, 107–123.
- 534 Voight, B., Elsworth, D., 1997. Failure of volcano slopes. *Géotechnique* 47,
535 1–31.
- 536 Watts, A. B., Peirce, C., Collier, J. abd Dalwood, R., Canales, J. P., Hen-
537 stock, T. J., 1997. A seismic study of lithospheric flexure in the vicinity of
538 Tenerife, Canary Islands. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 146, 431–447.
- 539 Yamamoto, T., Nakamura, Y., Glicken, H., 1999. Pyroclastic density current
540 from the 1888 phreatic eruption of Bandai volcano, NE Japan. *J. Volcanol.*
541 *Geotherm. Res.* 90, 191–207.

Table 1: Comparison between results obtained with a truncated edifice (2D axisymmetric calculation, figure 9 B) and with a sector collapse (3D calculation, figure 9 C). Mass is redistributed at the volcano base: all around the volcano for the axisymmetric case, and in a wedge-shaped sector for the asymmetric flank collapse. Calculations are performed for a spherical reservoir with a 3 km radius, and a top at 1 km or 3 km depth, for three different initial edifice radii: 1, 3 and 6 km. The same experiments are represented by crosses in figure 7.

Figure 1: Stress reduction under the centre of a conical load (2 km radius, 1 km height, density of 2800 kg/m³) which is removed from the surface. Calculation is for an elastic half-space with Poisson’s ratio equal to 0.25. The dotted line is for the vertical component of the stress tensor, σ_{zz} , the dashed line is for the horizontal components, $\sigma_{rr} = \sigma_{\theta\theta}$, and the solid line is for the pressure reduction, $P = (1/3)(\sigma_{rr} + \sigma_{\theta\theta} + \sigma_{zz})$.

Figure 2: Pressure decrease within and around a magmatic reservoir, under the centre of a conical load (2 km radius, 1 km height, density of 2800 kg/m³) which is removed from the surface. The chamber resides in an otherwise elastic homogeneous half-space with Young’s modulus $E = 30$ GPa and Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.25$. In the absence of a magma chamber (black dashed curve), the pressure profile obtained is equivalent to the one given by equation 3 (solid line in figure 1). Other lines are for pressure profiles where there is a magma reservoir, with varying values of the magma bulk modulus (blue line, incompressible magma; purple line, $K = 20$ GPa; red line, $K = 10$ GPa; orange line, $K = 1$ GPa; yellow line, $K=0$ GPa). a) The chamber is a sphere (radius 1 km, depth to chamber top 1 km). b) The chamber is a prolate ellipsoid (half-height 1 km, half-width 0.25 km, depth to chamber top 1 km).

Figure 3: Pressure reduction within the magma chamber ($\Delta P(K)$) induced by the removal of a surface conical load (radius 2km, height 1km, density 2800 kg/m³) as a function of the bulk modulus, K, of the magma. Crustal Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio are equal to 30 GPa and 0.25, respectively. The pressure change is normalized by the pressure change in incompressible magma (ΔP_∞). The shaded area shows the range of values characteristic of dry magmas (K between 1 and 20 GPa; Tait et al. (1989)). The solid curve is for the spherical reservoir and the dashed curve for the prolate one.

Figure 4: a) Volume of major collapse versus edifice volume. Failure volumes are taken from Table 1 in Mc Guire (1996), except for Parinacota volcano (Hora et al., 2007). Edifice volumes before collapse are estimated using the present topography of the volcano. When no collapse scar is visible in the topography, corresponding to old events, the volume before collapse is taken to be equal to the present volume; when a large scar is visible, the volume before collapse is obtained by adding the present volume to the failure volume. The total current volume of each volcano, at present time, has been calculated by the numerical integration of SRTM (3" arc) elevation. For volume calculation, we assume that edifice extension stops when the slope becomes small ($< 10^\circ$), and we subtract the mean elevation of the basement to deduce volcano height. The ratio between the volcano collapse volume and the total edifice volume is between 10 % and 30 % (dashed lines). For all collapse models, we use the mean value of 20 % (solid line) for this ratio. b) Runout distances of debris avalanches versus volcano radii. Runout distances are taken from Table 1 in Mc Guire (1996). Most volcanoes produce avalanches which travel to distances of around 6 times the radius of the edifice (lower dashed line). However, in certain conditions, such as Colima volcano, the deposits can travel up to 12 times the radius of the edifice (upper dashed line). A value of 10 is used in the 3D asymmetric modelling (solid line).

Figure 5: Pressure reduction within the magma reservoir induced by the removal of the upper 20% of a conical edifice with a slope of 30 degrees. Results are presented as a function of the reservoir and edifice radius. Calculations are performed for a spherical reservoir with a top at 1km depth, and filled with incompressible magma. Crustal Poisson's ratio is equal to 0.25.

Figure 6: a) Evolution of magma pressure, P , and threshold pressure for failure, P_r , induced by volcanic collapse. (b) Evolution of the erupted volume of magma following edifice destabilization. For a given reservoir geometry, the erupted volume is proportional to the pressure difference, $\Delta P_e = P - P_{cl}$, where P is the magma pressure when the eruption starts and P_{cl} is the threshold pressure value under which dykes close at the chamber wall, ending the eruptive event. Before edifice destabilization, the system is about to erupt, such that this difference is given by $\Delta P_e(i) = P_r(i) - P_{cl}(i)$, with P_r the threshold pressure for dyke initiation at the chamber wall. After the major flank collapse, magma pressure within the chamber decreases by an amount ΔP to the value $P(f)$, and the pressure difference becomes $\Delta P_e(f) = P(f) - P_{cl}(f)$. Three different cases can occur: case 1, when $\Delta P_e(f)$ is greater than $\Delta P_e(i)$, where the volume of magma erupted is larger than it would have been with no edifice collapse; case 2, when $\Delta P_e(f)$ is less than $\Delta P_e(i)$, such that the volume of magma erupted is smaller than it would have been with no edifice collapse and, case 3, when $\Delta P_e(f)$ becomes negative, which means that the incipient eruption is aborted and there is no magma erupted.

Figure 7: Evolution of the erupted volume of magma following the removal of the upper 20 % of a conical edifice with a slope of 30 degrees. Results are presented as a function of the reservoir and edifice radius. Calculations are performed for a spherical reservoir filled with incompressible magma. Crustal Poisson's ratio is equal to 0.25. Three different values for depth to the top of the magma reservoir are considered: a) 0.5 km depth, b) 1 km depth, c) 3 km. The dashed lines approximately define the limits between the various cases defined in figure 6. Crosses are for calculations also performed in 3D with an asymmetric flank collapse.

Figure 8: Evolution of the erupted volume of magma following the removal of the upper 20 % of a conical edifice with a slope of 30 degrees. Results are presented as a function of the reservoir vertical semi-axis and edifice radius. Calculations are performed for a prolate reservoir with a top at 1 km depth, filled with incompressible magma. Crustal Poisson's ratio is equal to 0.25. After the edifice partial collapse, the volume of magma erupted is always smaller than it would have been in absence of edifice collapse (case 2 of figure 6).

Figure 9: Edifice geometries considered. A) The initial edifice shape before the sector collapse. B) The truncated final edifice shape when the upper 20 % of the original edifice has been removed. C) The horseshoe-shaped crater of the final edifice when the 20 % of the original edifice has been removed by a sector collapse. The edifice represented has a radius of 1 km and a slope of 30 degrees. The scale bar shows edifice height in meters.

Magma chamber depth (km)	Edifice radius (km)	Erupted Vol/ Erupted Vol without collapse		Case
		<i>Symmetric collapse</i>	<i>Asymmetric collapse</i>	
1	1	1	1	1
1	3	0.59	0.61	2
1	6	0	0	3
3	1	1	1	1
3	3	0.92	0.92	2
3	6	0.58	0.63	2

Table 1
[Click here to download Table1 Table.pdf](#)

Figure1
[Click here to download Figure: Figure1.pdf](#)




Figure2

[Click here to download Figure: Figure2.pdf](#)






Figure3
[Click here to download Figure: Figure3.pdf](#)







Figure5

[Click here to download Figure: Figure5.pdf](#)




Figure6

[Click here to download Figure: Figure6.pdf](#)






Figure7

[Click here to download Figure: Figure7.pdf](#)



Chamber top at 0.5 km depth

Chamber top at 1 km depth

Chamber top at 3 km depth




Figure8

[Click here to download Figure: Figure8.pdf](#)




Figure9

[Click here to download Figure: Figure9.pdf](#)

