

# **Population genetic structure of blue-spotted maskray Neotrygon kuhlii and two other Indo-West Pacific stingray species (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae), inferred from size-polymorphic intron markers**

Philippe Borsa, Irma S. Arlyza, Martin Laporte, Patrick Berrebi

# **To cite this version:**

Philippe Borsa, Irma S. Arlyza, Martin Laporte, Patrick Berrebi. Population genetic structure of bluespotted maskray Neotrygon kuhlii and two other Indo-West Pacific stingray species (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae), inferred from size-polymorphic intron markers. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 2012, 438, pp.32-40. 10.1016/j.jembe.2012.09.010 fird-00747306

# **HAL Id: ird-00747306 <https://ird.hal.science/ird-00747306v1>**

Submitted on 31 Oct 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

To be cited as:

Borsa P., Arlyza I.S., Laporte M., Berrebi P. (2012) Population genetic structure of blue-spotted maskray *Neotrygon kuhlii* and two other Indo-West Pacific stingray species (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae), inferred from size-polymorphic intron markers. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 438, 32-40. [doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2012.09.010]

# **Population genetic structure of blue-spotted maskray Neotrygon kuhlii and two other Indo-West Pacific stingray species (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae), inferred from size-polymorphic intron markers**

Philippe Borsa<sup>a,\*</sup>, Irma S. Arlyza<sup>b</sup>, Martin Laporte<sup>c,d</sup>, Patrick Berrebi<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut de recherche pour le développement (IRD), UR 227 « Biocomplexité des écosystèmes récifaux », Montpellier, France

<sup>b</sup> Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia (LIPI), Pusat Penelitian Oseanografi (P2O), Ancol, Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>c</sup> Institut des sciences de l'évolution à Montpellier (ISE-M), UMR 5554 UM2-CNRS-IRD, Université Montpellier 2, CC065, Place E. Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France

<sup>d</sup> Centre de recherche sur les bassins versants - écosystèmes aquatiques, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Trois-Rivières, Canada

\* Corresponding author, present address: Indonesian Biodiversity Research Center, Universitas Udayana, Jl Sesetan Gang Markisa 6, Denpasar, Indonesia E-mail: philippe.borsa@ird.fr (P. Borsa)

## ABSTRACT

Exon-primed, intron crossing DNA markers (EPICs) were screened for Mendelian-like allele size polymorphisms in three stingray species (*Himantura gerrardi*, *Neotrygon kuhlii* and *Taeniura lymna*) from the central Indo-West Pacific, where they are commercially exploited. Four to 7 size-polymorphic intron loci were selected in a species, and were subsequently tested as genetic markers of stock structure. Sharp genetic differentiation was observed between populations within each species across the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago (Weir and Cockerham's *^θ*-values reaching 0.153-0.557 over a few thousand km). A trend of increasing genetic differentiation with increasing geographic distance was apparent in *N. kuhlii*, in which populations distant by 3,000 km were differentiated by an estimated *^θ* ~ 0.375. This value was an order of magnitude higher than usually reported in coastal benthic teleost fishes and indicates strong sub-population structure. This is likely, at least partly, a consequence of the sedentary benthic habits of *N. kuhlii* at all life stages. Because replenishment of overexploited populations of *N. kuhlii* and two other stingray species from the central Indo-West Pacific is unlikely at ecological timescales, management should be planned at the local geographic scale.

Keywords: *Himantura gerrardi*; *Taeniura lymna*; population genetic structure; fishery; conservation; Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago

#### **1. Introduction**

One of the problems hampering the proper management of commercially exploitable marine species is the trade-off between the effort necessary for the acquisition of scientifically sound information and the increasing pace at which these resources rarefy. This is particularly true for developing nations in the central Indo-West Pacific region, where marine species richness is highest (Briggs, 2005; Bellwood and Meyer, 2009), human pressure is strong (Sadovy, 2005), management is poor (Pauly, 1994), and science is weaker than in other regions in the Indo-Pacific (Haustein et al., 2011; Meijaard, 2011). The low fecundity and slow maturation of sharks and rays (elasmobranchs), in particular, make them highly vulnerable to overfishing. There is increasing concern over their exploitation in Indonesia, the most populated country of the central Indo-West Pacific (Blaber et al., 2009; White and Kyne, 2010). However, little effort for management and conservation has been made thus far (Blaber et al., 2009; White and Kyne, 2010). If unsustainable exploitation is allowed to continue unmonitored and unregulated, it will cause the depletion of stocks, erode genetic diversity, and eventually lead to the extinction of many shark and ray species in this region.

Among the pre-requisites for sound fishery management is the identification of stocks, a goal that can be reached by assessing the geographic structure of populations using genetic markers (Shaklee and Bentzen, 1998; Begg et al., 1999; Ward, 2000). Assessing geographic structure in sharks has been hampered due to low variability of allozyme markers and in mitochondrial DNA (Heist et al., 2003). Recent studies of population genetic structure in elasmobranchs have relied on the hypervariable mitochondrial control region sequences and on microsatellite polymorphisms (Chevolot et al., 2008; Schluessel et al., 2010; Le Port and Lavery, 2012; Portnoy and Heist, 2012). The degree of genetic population subdivision in rays and skates still remains under-investigated (Schluessel et al., 2010) although there is an urgent need for such information for commercially targeted species in the central Indo-West Pacific.

The initial aim of the present study was to select size-polymorphic nuclear markers in several stingray species (Elasmobranchia: Dasyatidae). Exon-primed, PCR-amplified introns (EPIC) were chosen because they can provide such markers of population genetic structure with minimal investment. For instance, a single pair of universal EPIC primers simultaneously allows the amplification of up to several introns in a gene family (Chow and Takeyama, 1998; Hassan et al., 2002; Atarhouch et al., 2003). Compared to microsatellites, another advantage of EPIC markers is that null alleles are less likely, since EPIC primers are anchored in conserved regions. Last, an undesirable property of microsatellites is allele-size homoplasy (Garza and Freimer, 1996; Ellegren, 2004), which is unlikely to occur with EPIC markers when mutations that affect allele size are caused by indels (Creer, 2007). We screened for size polymorphism a number of primer pairs that are known to amplify EPICs in teleost fishes. The rationale for expecting crossamplification with stingrays comes from the fact that exons of a number of EPIC genes are conserved across orders in Metazoans (e.g. Palumbi et al. 1991; Chenuil et al., 2010). Three stingray species among the most common at fish landing sites in the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago in the central Indo-West Pacific (White and Dharmadi, 2007) were chosen as test species: the sharpnose stingray, *Himantura gerrardi* (Gray, 1851), the blue-spotted maskray, *Neotrygon kuhlii* (Müller and Henle, 1841) and the ribbontail stingray, *Taeniura lymna* (Forsskål, 1775). Whether the selected intron markers are suitable for assessing population genetic structure was further investigated in *N. kuhlii*, on the basis of an adequate geographic sampling that extended from the Indian Ocean Java coast to the Molucca Sea. Prior to the present survey, cytochromeoxidase I gene sequences from a barcoding survey of Indo-Australian chondrichthyans have shown strong genetic differences within *H. gerrardi* and *N. kuhlii* (Ward et al., 2008), but no firm evidence that both actually harbour cryptic species within the Indo-Malay archipelago. The present survey may help address this issue because nuclear markers allow the detection of reproductive isolation when cryptic species co-occur sympatrically.

# **2. Materials and methods**

#### *2.1. Sampling*

Three stingray species, *Himantura gerrardi*, *Neotrygon kuhlii* and *Taeniura lymna* were sampled from 3-6 landing sites across the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago (Fig. 1). Sample sizes per species per sampling site ranged from 2 to 40. A piece of flesh and skin (0.05-0.5 cm²) was dissected at the extremity of the disk using surgical scissors, and immediately preserved in ethanol. Total genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy® Tissue Kit (Qiagen GmbH; Hilden, Germany), or using Chelex 100 resin beads (Bio-Rad, Hercules CA) according to Walsh et al. (1991).

#### *2.2. PCR conditions and selection of markers*

A preliminary series of tests consisted of amplifying by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) the DNA of 2-6 individuals from each of 3 regional samples of each stingray species using 20 EPIC primer pairs available in our laboratory (Supplementary material, Table S1). Those primer pairs that revealed sizepolymorphic loci were then selected. PCRs were carried out in a total volume of 10 μL, containing 1 μL 10X *Taq* buffer (Promega Corporation, Madison WI), 2.5mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2mM of each dNTP (Invitrogen, San Diego CA), 0.5 mM of each primer (MWG-Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany), the forward primer being fluorescently labelled with either 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM), indodicarbocyanine (Cy5), or

tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA), 0.3 U Taq polymerase and 1 μL template DNA (at about 150 mg/ml). PCRs were run in a Mastercycler thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), where thermo-cycling conditions consisted of initial heating at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of heating at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 54°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min 20 s, before 10 min final extension at 72°C. The PCR products were mixed with 1 vol. loading buffer, denatured for 5 min at 95 °C, loaded into vertical 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gels, and subjected to electrophoresis for 1 hr at 60 W. Fluorescent DNA bands were detected in an FMBIO® II gel scanner (Hitachi Instruments, San José CA).

For each primer pair, a gel exhibited 2 to  $>8$  distinct horizontal series of fluorescent bands in a species. Each series of bands was examined for eventual band-size variation and was interpreted as codominant size-polymorphism at a Mendelian locus when the following conditions were met: (1) an individual exhibited either one or two bands; (2) the most commonly encountered bands in two-banded individuals were also observed in at least one single-banded individual; (3) the proportions of single-banded and double-banded individuals in a given sample were reasonably close to those expected for homozygotes and heterozygotes for co-dominant alleles at a Mendelian locus in a panmictic population. Photographs of gels where Mendelian-like intron-length polymorphisms were scored have been presented previously (Borsa et al., 2004; Berrebi et al., 2005; Rohfritsch and Borsa, 2005; Hoareau et al., 2007) and the scan image of a gel illustrating Mendelian-like size polymorphism in *T. lymna* is presented in Fig. 2. The presumptive loci selected after this first step were then scored for all individuals.

Scoring of individual genotypes was done by eye directly from the gel scan. Sizing of alleles was done with the help of the FMBIO® II gel scan analysis software (Hitachi Instruments) using as a reference a fluorescent DNA ladder (Internal Lane Standard 600; 60-600 bp; Promega Corporation) loaded at regular intervals in the gel.

#### *2.3. Genetic data analysis*

Estimators of genetic differentiation between populations (*Fst*) were Weir and Cockerham's (1984) multilocus *^θ* and Nei and Chesser's (1983) *^Gst*, the proportion of genetic diversity that resides among subpopulations. Unlike Weir and Cockerham's (1984) *θ* which was estimated from genotype frequencies, *Gst* was estimated from allele frequencies, in order to compare the present results with cases from the literature where only allele frequency data are available. Both *θ* and *Gst* were estimated using GENETIX v 4.02 (Belkhir et al., 2000). The estimated values of *θ* were compared to pseudo-distributions generated by random permutations of individuals under GENETIX 4.02 as a test of the null hypothesis  $\theta = 0$ . Wright's *Fis* was estimated using Weir and Cockerham's (1984) multiallelic  $\gamma$  *f* estimator. The null hypothesis  $f = 0$ (panmixia) was tested using a similar permutation test under GENETIX 4.02. The probability of occurrence of a parameter value larger than or equal to the observed value was estimated as  $P = (n+1)/(N+1)$ , where *n* is the number of pseudo-values larger (or lower for negative *^f*) than or equal to the observed value, and *N* is the number of random permutations (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995).

The correlation coefficient between genotypes at different loci, *R* (Garnier-Géré and Dillmann, 1992), was used as a measure of linkage disequilibrium. Random permutations of genotypes at a locus (procedure PERMUTATIONS of GENETIX 4.02) were used to generate a pseudo-distribution of *R* under the null hypothesis of linkage equilibrium. The correlation coefficient, *R*, and its associated probability under the null hypothesis, *P*, were estimated for each locus pair by GENETIX 4.02. Statistical significance of the estimated values was assessed after correcting for multiple tests (Rice, 1989).

Geographic distance between sampling locations was calculated as the shortest ship distance, using the PATH tool implemented in Google Earth [\(http://www.google.co.uk/intl/en\\_uk/earth/\)](http://www.google.co.uk/intl/en_uk/earth/). The habitat is bidimensional and the correlation of genetic distance with geographic distance was analyzed according to the two-dimensional model of isolation by distance (IBD) (Rousset, 1997).

The number of populations present in the total sample of *N. kuhlii* was determined and individuals were assigned to these populations using the model-based program STRUCTURE 2.3.1 (Falush et al., 2007). The Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm implemented in STRUCTURE was run under the admixture model with correlated allele frequencies for 100,000 steps after 50,000 initial burn-in steps, without any a priori information on an individual's sampling location, to estimate individual admixture proportions and assign individuals to populations. Five independent runs were performed for each number of populations (*K*) ranging a priori from 2 to 6 to determine the number of populations a posteriori by visually comparing the output diagrams.

IBD and Bayesian structure analyses were not carried out in the two other stingray species, *H. gerrardi*  and *T. lymna*, because of an insufficient geographic coverage and an insufficient number of samples.

# **3. Results**

Six primer pairs (*Act-2*, *AldoB5*, *Cam-3*, *CK*, *Gpd2*, and *RP*) (Table 1) each allowed the scoring of at least one presumptive polymorphic locus in at least one of three stingray species surveyed for intron-size polymorphism (Tables 2-4; multilocus genotypes in Supplementary material, Tables S2-S4). The *CK* primer pair allowed the scoring of a single polymorphic locus in each of the three species. Fig. 2 illustrates Mendelian-like size-polymorphism at locus *CK* in *Taeniura lymna*, where all three phenotypes expected for a co-dominant gene at a single locus with two size-alleles are present. All primer pairs of Table 1 have been previously selected to amplify size-polymorphic introns in teleost fishes (Chow and Hazama, 1998; Chow and Takeyama, 1998; Hassan et al., 2002; Atarhouch et al., 2003; Berrebi et al., 2005). Up to 5 polymorphic loci were scored with a single primer pair (e.g. *Cam-3*; Table 2), which can be due to either amplification of the paralogous intron in several genes of the family (e.g. Friedberg and Rhoads, 2002; Creer, 2007), or perhaps non-specific amplification within a single gene (i.e. several introns of the same gene might have been amplified). For all the other primer pairs tested (Supplementary material, Table S1), either the DNA band patterns were not variable (thus exhibiting sample monomorphism), or they were variable but we failed to interpret them in terms of size polymorphism at Mendelian loci. In a few cases, the amplification was too weak for scoring, the intensity of DNA bands was highly variable across individuals, or a substantial proportion of individuals could not be scored either because of bands that were too weak or because of overlapping bands presumably from other loci.

The suitability of the selected markers to the analysis of population genetic structure was warranted by the general conformity of the genotype frequencies to Hardy-Weinberg expectations, as Weir and Cockerham's (1984) *^f*-estimates were generally not significantly different from 0 (Tables 2-4). There was no evidence of linkage disequilibrium between loci except between *Cam-3 slow* and *CK* in *N. kuhlii* from the Banda Sea (*R*=0.306; *N*=43; *P*<0.001). Detailed results of linkage-disequilibrium tests are presented in Supplementary material, Table S5.

The estimate of genetic differentiation between sub-populations was strong in all three species (Table 5), particularly in *Neotrygon kuhlii* where a trend of increasing genetic differentiation with increasing geographic distance was apparent (Fig. 3) and populations separated by a distance of 3,000 km were genetically differentiated by an estimated Weir and Cockerham's (1984)  $\gamma \theta \sim 0.375$  (Fig. 3).

The most likely outcome from Bayesian structure analysis, which was run for *K*=2-6, was with *K*=3. The case where *K*=2 provided less information than *K*=3, and the structure diagrams obtained when *K*>3 were increasingly noisy as *K* increased, yet not more informative than with *K*=3 (see Supplementary material, Table S6 for a comparison of the different structure diagrams). Thus, three genetically distinct populations were identified in *N. kuhlii* by Bayesian structure analysis (Fig. 1A; Fig. 4) and confirmed the strong partition inferred from the overall *Fst* estimate ( $\hat{\theta}$  = 0.336): one that includes the two samples from the Indian Ocean Java coast; a second that includes the samples from the Bali Strait and the Flores Sea; and a third that was sampled in the Banda Sea only. According to Bayesian structure analysis, the sample from Tomini Bay was close to both the Indian Ocean population and to the Bali Strait / Flores Sea population, although the latter relationship was weaker. Because of the small size of the Tomini Bay sample, its assignment to the Indian Ocean (or Bali Strait / Flores Sea) population should be considered as tentative only. Overall, different oceanic basins seemed to harbour genetically differentiated *N. kuhlii* populations.

One of the *H. gerrardi* samples was of unknown origin. We observed that genetic differentiation between the two geographically close samples from the Sunda Strait and the Java Sea was much lower than genetic differentiation between the two most distant samples (Indian Ocean *vs.* West Papua) with an intermediate value for intermediate distances (e.g. Java Sea *vs.* Indian Ocean) (Table 5B). Assuming a general trend of increasing genetic differentiation with increasing geographic distance in this species, as in *N. kuhlii*, it is possible to infer the approximate geographic origin of the 'Unknown' sample as geographically intermediate between the Indian Ocean and West Papua, and likely closer to the Indian Ocean than to the other sampled locations (Table 5B).

# **4. Discussion**

Elasmobranchs suffer indiscriminate exploitation in the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago (Blaber et al., 2009; White and Kyne, 2010). Given the *K*-selected life-history characteristics of elasmobranchs, the sustainability of the stocks and even the survival of some of the most vulnerable species are becoming more and more a matter of concern (White and Kyne, 2010). Hence, there is an urgent need to analyze stock structure and provide fisheries and environmental managers with information on population genetic structure, which is relevant to designing management policies.

#### *4.1. Suitability of intron markers to assess population genetic structure in stingrays*

Screening size polymorphisms using PCR primers known to amplify potentially polymorphic introns in teleost fishes proved an efficient strategy to select a reasonable number of Mendelian-like markers in several stingray species. Here, we showed that these size–polymorphic introns can be employed to assess population geographic structure, which in turn could have useful applications, including the delineation of stocks and the recognition of distinct genetic units of conservation interest.

#### *4.2. Investigating mechanisms of genetic differentiation*

The levels of population genetic differentiation in *Himantura gerrardi*, *Neotrygon kuhlii* and *Taeniura lymna* in the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago (present study), were comparable to the only figure published thus far for another stingray species, *Dasyatis brevicaudata*. In this species, a significant level of genetic differentiation (*^Fst* = 0.160; mitochondrial DNA control region) has been reported between populations sampled in southwestern Australia and New-Zealand (separated by ca. 5,100 km). However, in the same study, the *^Fst*value between populations from southwestern Australia and southeastern Australia, separated by  $\geq$ 2,800 km

continuous shelf habitat, was only 0.010, whereas the *^Fst* -value across the Tasman Sea (ca. 2,200 km deep sea) was 0.030 (Le Port and Lavery, 2012). In other coastal benthic elasmobranchs, genetic differentiation estimates at nuclear loci (using Wright's *Fst* or equivalents) ranged from 0.002 to 0.065 over thousands of km (Fig. 5). These average *^Fst*-values may mask locally sharp heterogeneities, as in the Milk shark, where populations from Bali and the northern Great Barrier Reef separated by 3,510 km differed by *^Fst*=0.268 (Ovenden et al., 2011).

Comparing the levels of genetic differentiation available from the few nuclear-genetic surveys of coastal benthic elasmobranchs, with those from the literature on teleost fishes, four outliers were visible and they included two Dasyatidae from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago (*H. gerrardi* and *N. kuhlii*; present study) (Fig. 5; more details in Supplementary material, Table S7). The two other outliers were two teleost fishes sampled across the Indo-West Pacific, the narrow-barred Spanish mackerel *Scomberomorus commerson* (Fauvelot and Borsa, 2011) and the fourfinger threadfin *Eleutheronema tetradactylum* (Horne et al., 2011). Since all the other *^Fst* values for coastal elasmobranchs were positioned within the cluster characterizing most coastal teleosts, there is no evidence from these data, that coastal elasmobranchs have higher geographic composition than teleosts sharing similar habitats. However, the four outliers were sampled in, roughly, the same central Indo-West Pacific region, suggesting a possible common history of past geographic isolation in this region, which would have thus translated into a similarly high level of genetic differentiation between populations. The Coral Triangle is a well known area of major biogeographic breaks for marine fauna (Rocha et al., 2007; Carpenter et al., 2011). The phylogeographic patterns uncovered within the Coral Triangle for a proportion of marine animal species with wide Indo-West Pacific distribution point to Plio-Pleistocene vicariance (Barber et al., 2006; Carpenter et al., 2011). The vicariance hypothesis may be invoked to explain the high level of genetic differentiation among stingray populations in the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago. However, a more exhaustive and finer-grained geographic sampling is required to test this hypothesis in the three stingray species investigated in the present paper.

Alternatively, the general pattern of increasing genetic differentiation with geographic distance in *N. kuhlii* may be caused, at least in part, by IBD, as a result of genetic drift at neutral loci locally balanced by low levels of gene flow. The estimates of genetic differentiation (*Fst*) in benthic teleosts for which IBD patterns were observed were ~0.008 (*Hypoplectrus puella*; Puebla et al., 2009), ~0.020 (*Solea solea*; Borsa et al., 1997b), ~ 0.030 (*Platichthys flesus*; Borsa et al., 1997a), ~0.034 (*Psetta maxima*; Blanquer et al., 1992), ~ 0.091 (*Myripristis berndti*; Muths et al., 2011), ~0.100 (*Acanthurus triostegus*; Planes and Fauvelot, 2002), and ~0.259 (*Eleutheronema tetradactylum*; Horne et al., 2011), for a 3,000 km geographic distance, to be compared with *N.*   $kublii$  ( $\sim$  0.375). Marine teleosts, including species that are sedentary as adults, are often broadcast spawners and have a pelagic larval stage, two traits which are assumed to enhance gene flow between local populations. Stingrays are viviparous (Hamlett and Hysell, 1998) and only the active movement of juveniles or adults potentially translates into gene flow, thus partly explaining a possible higher level of genetic differentiation in *N. kuhlii* than generally observed in coastal benthic teleosts where an IBD pattern has been observed. This is further supported by tagging experiments in *N. kuhlii*, which indicate this as a sedentary species (Pierce et al., 2011).

Also, deep oceanic waters likely constitute a barrier for the movements of stingray species from shallow benthic habitats, like *D. brevicaudata* (Le Port and Lavery, 2012) and possibly *N. kuhlii* and the two other stingray species surveyed. The sharp delineation of three *N. kuhlii* populations in the central part of the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago, as apparent even from the limited sampling of the present study, may be partly explained by the effect of deep-sea barriers restricting the migration of individuals in some regions (e.g., between the Flores Sea and the Banda Sea). However, it is still possible that geographically tighter sampling would reveal smoother transitions between populations. Thus, the present phylogeographic

structure of *N. kuhlii* in the Indo-Malay archipelago may be caused by the superimposition of genetic differences resulting from past geographic isolation, IBD, and possibly the present effect of deep-sea barriers. Strong population subdivision could also be enhanced by philopatry, as shown in other elasmobranchs (Keeney et al., 2003, 2005; Feldheim et al., 2004; Duncan et al., 2006) and, incidentally, as suspected in both the narrow-barred Spanish mackerel and the fourfinger threadfin (Fauvelot and Borsa, 2011; Horne et al., 2011).

#### *4.3. 'Cryptic species' hypothesis*

To be able to assess whether the genetic clusters obtained in this study for *N. kuhlii* represent distinct evolutionary significant units and therefore constitute incipient species or even, perhaps, cryptic species as envisaged by Ward et al. (2008), would require a geographically denser and broader analysis of population genetic structure, and an extension of the current mitochondrial dataset. There is no evidence, from the available data thus far, that reproductively isolated entities (in short, cryptic species) are present in the three regions sampled in the Indo-Malay archipelago, i.e., the Indian Ocean coast of Java; the Bali Strait / Flores Sea area, and the Banda Sea. Actually, from the present evidence, one cannot discard the hypothesis that *N. kuhlii* populations are strongly differentiated because of low but geographically continuous gene flow. Neither was there an indication from the limited sample set analysed in this study, that *H. gerrardi* harbours cryptic species.

#### *4.4. Implications for management*

Whatever the evolutionary processes that have led to the strong geographic structure observed in *N. kuhlii* and two other Dasyatidae surveyed from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago, our findings indicate that under local overfishing the global genetic diversity of the species is likely to erode, putative locally adapted genetic traits may be lost, and the replenishment of depleted populations is expected to be minimal at the ecological timescale. Consequently, if the absence of management persists, localized extinctions or nearextinctions are predicted to occur in stingray populations throughout the intensively-exploited Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago. It is arguable that in highly mobile elasmobranch species such as pelagic sharks and mobulid rays, conservation efforts have to be conducted at the regional scale (e.g., Ovenden et al., 2009). Because of sharp geographic composition synonymous to limited genetic exchange between local populations, *N. kuhlii* and other stingray species from the central Indo-West Pacific also need to be managed locally.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to five reviewers for their insightful comments on a former version of the manuscript. We thank M. Zaini and K. Jamil for participating in the collection of samples, and A. Kusler for copyediting a previous version of this manuscript. I.S.A. benefitted from a 6-months fellowship under the BEST program of Agence inter-organismes de recherche pour le développement (AIRD), France, for working with P. Borsa and P. Berrebi at ISE-M. Conceived and designed the experiments: P. Borsa, ML, P. Berrebi. Performed the experiments: P. Borsa, ISA, ML. Analyzed the data: P. Borsa, P. Berrebi. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: P. Borsa, ISA, P. Berrebi. Wrote the paper: P. Borsa. Funded by AIRD,

IRD, ISE-M and LIPI-P2O; the funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **References**

- Atarhouch, T., Rami, M., Cattaneo-Berrebi, G., Ibanez, C., Augros, S., Boissin, E., Dakkak, A., Berrebi, P., 2003. Primers for EPIC amplification of intron sequences for fish and other vertebrate population genetic studies. Biotechniques 35, 676-682.
- Barber, P.H., Erdmann, M.V., Palumbi, S.R., 2006. Comparative phylogeography of three codistributed stomatopods: origins and timing of regional lineage diversification in the Coral Triangle. Evolution 60, 1825-1839.
- Begg, G.A., Friedland, K.D., Pearce, J.B., 1999. Stock identification and its role in stock assessment and fisheries management: an overview. Fisheries Res. 43, 1–8.
- Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N., Bonhomme, F., 2000. GENETIX version 4.02, logiciel sous WindowsTM pour la génétique des populations. CNRS UMR 5000, Université Montpellier 2, Montpellier [\(http://www.genetix.univ](http://www.genetix.univ-montp2.fr/genetix/)[montp2.fr/genetix/\)](http://www.genetix.univ-montp2.fr/genetix/).
- Bellwood, D.R., Meyer, C.P., 2009. Searching for heat in a marine biodiversity hotspot. J. Biogeogr. 36, 569–576.
- Berrebi, P., Boissin, E., Fang, F., Cattaneo-Berrebi, G., 2005. Intron polymorphism (EPIC-PCR) reveals phylogeographic structure of *Zacco platypus* in China: a possible target for aquaculture development. Heredity 94, 589-598.
- Blaber, S.J.M., Dichmont, C.M., White, W., Buckworth, R., Sadiyah, L., Iskandar, B., Nurhakim, S., Pillans, R., Andamari, R., Dharmadi, Fahmi, 2009. Elasmobranchs in southern Indonesian fisheries: the fisheries, the status of the stocks and management options. Rev. Fish Biol. Fisheries 19, 367-391.
- Blanquer, A., Alayse, J.-P., Berrada-Rkhami, O., Berrebi, P., 1992. Allozyme variation in turbot (*Psetta maxima*) and brill (*Scophthalmus rhombus*) (Osteichthyes, Pleuronectoformes, Scophthalmidae) throughout their range in Europe. J. Fish Biol. 41, 725-736.
- Borsa, P., 2003. Genetic structure of round scad mackerel *Decapterus macrosoma* (Carangidae) in the Indo-Malay archipelago. Mar. Biol. 142, 575-581.
- Borsa, P., Blanquer, A., Berrebi, P., 1997a. Genetic structure of the flounders *Platichthys flesus* and *P. stellatus* at different geographic scales. Mar. Biol. 129, 233-246.
- Borsa, P., Collet, A., Durand, J.-D., 2004. Nuclear-DNA markers confirm the presence of two anchovy species in the Mediterranean. C. R. Biol. 327, 1113–1123.
- Borsa, P., Naciri, M., Bahri, L., Chikhi, L., García de León, F.J., Kotoulas, G., Bonhomme, F., 1997b. Zoogéographie infraspécifique de la mer Méditerranée. Analyse des données génétiques populationnelles sur seize espèces atlanto-méditerranéennes (poissons et invertébrés). Vie Milieu 47, 295-305.
- Briggs, J.C., 2005. The marine East Indies: diversity and speciation. J. Biogeogr. 32, 1517-1522.
- Carpenter, K.E., Barber, P.H., Crandall, E.D., Ablan-Lagman, M.C.A., Ambariyanto, Mahardika, G.N., Manjaji-Matsumoto, B.M., Juinio-Meñez, M.A., Santos, M.D., Starger, C.J., Toha, A.H.A., 2011. Comparative phylogeography of the Coral Triangle and implications for marine management. J. Mar. Biol. 2011, 396982, 14 p.
- Chenuil, A., Hoareau, T.B., Egea, E., Penant, G., Rocher, C., Aurelle, D., Mokhtar-Jamai , K., Bishop, J.D.D., Boissin, E., Diaz, A., Krakau, M., Luttikhuizen, P.C., Patti, F.P., Blavet, N., Mousset, S., 2010. An efficient method to find potentially universal population genetic markers, applied to metazoans. BMC Evol. Biol. 10, 276.
- Chevolot, M., Ellis, J.R., Rijnsdorp, A.D., Stam, W.T., Olsen, J.L., 2008. Temporal changes in allele frequencies but stable genetic diversity over the past 40 years in the Irish Sea population of thornback ray, *Raja clavata*. Heredity 101, 120–126.
- Chevolot, M., Hoarau, G., Rijnsdorp, A.D., Stam, W.T., Olsen, J.L., 2006. Phylogeography and population structure of thornback rays (*Raja clavata* L., Rajidae). Mol. Ecol. 15, 3693–3705.
- Chow, S., Hazama, K., 1998. Universal primers for S7 ribosomal protein gene introns in fish. Mol. Ecol. 7, 1255-1256.
- Chow, S., Takeyama, H., 1998. Intron length variation observed in the creatine kinase and ribosomal protein genes of the swordfish *Xiphias gladius*. Fisheries Sci. 64, 397-402.
- [Creer, S.,](http://apps.webofknowledge.com/DaisyOneClickSearch.do?product=WOS&search_mode=DaisyOneClickSearch&colName=WOS&SID=Y18FEoaBKFMMi@imOGD&author_name=Creer,%20S&dais_id=5410379) 2007. Choosing and using introns in molecular phylogenetics. Evol. Bioinform. 3, 99-108.
- Dudgeon, C.L., Broderick, D., Ovenden, J.R., 2009. IUCN classification zones concord with, but underestimate, the population genetic structure of the zebra shark *Stegostoma fasciatum* in the Indo-West Pacific. Mol. Ecol. 18, 248–261.
- Duncan, K.M., Martin, A.P., Bowen, B.W., De Couet, H.G., 2006. Global phylogeography of the scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*). Mol. Ecol. 15, 2239–2251.
- Ellegren, H., 2004. Microsatellites: simple sequences with complex evolution. Nature Rev. Genet. 5, 435-445.
- Falush, D., Stephens, M., Pritchard, J., 2007. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 7, 574–578.
- Fauvelot, C., Borsa, P., 2011. Patterns of genetic isolation in narrow-barred Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus commerson*) across the Indo-West Pacific. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 104, 886-902.
- Feldheim, K.A., Gruber, S.H., Ashley, M.V., 2004. Reconstruction of parental microsatellite genotypes reveals female polyandry and philopatry in the lemon shark, *Negaprion brevirostris*. Evolution 58, 2332–2342.
- Forsskål, P., 1775. Descriptiones animalium, avium, amphibiorum, piscium, insectorum, vermium; quae in itinere orientali observavit Petrus Forskål (post mortem auctoris edidit Carsten Niebuhr). Adjuncta est materia medica kahirina atque tabula maris Rubri geographica. Mölleri, Hauniae, xxxiv+164 pp.
- Francisco, S.M., Faria, C., Lengkeek, W., Vieira, M.N., Velasco, E.M., Almada, V.C., 2011. Phylogeography of the shanny *Lipophrys pholis* (Pisces: Blenniidae) in the NE Atlantic records signs of major expansion event older than the last glaciations. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 403, 14-20.
- [Friedberg,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=Friedberg%20F%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=12549824) F., [Rhoads,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=Rhoads%20AR%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=12549824) A.R., 2002. Multiple calmodulin genes in fish[. Mol.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12549824) Biol. Rep. 29, 377-382.
- Gaither, M.R., Bowen, B.W., Bordenave, T.-R., Rocha, L.A., Newman, S.J., Gomez J.A., van Herwerden L., Craig, M.T., 2011. Phylogeography of the reef fish *Cephalopholis argus* [\(Epinephelidae\) indicates Pleistocene isolation across the indo-pacific](http://apps.webofknowledge.com/full_record.do?product=WOS&search_mode=GeneralSearch&qid=3&SID=N2pKoA9632MBmKEMhD9&page=1&doc=3)  [barrier with contemporary overlap in the coral triangle. BMC Evol. Biol. 11, 189.](http://apps.webofknowledge.com/full_record.do?product=WOS&search_mode=GeneralSearch&qid=3&SID=N2pKoA9632MBmKEMhD9&page=1&doc=3)
- Garnier-Géré, P., Dillmann, C., 1992. A computer program for testing pairwise linkage disequilibria in subdivided populaChowtions. J. Hered. 83, 239.
- Garza, J.C., Freimer, N.B., 1996. Homoplasy for size at microsatellite loci in humans and chimpanzees. Genome Res. 6, 211-217.
- González-Wangüemert, M., Cánovas, F., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Marcos, C., Alexandrino, P., 2010. Connectivity patterns inferred from the genetic structure of white seabream (*Diplodus sargus* L.). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 383, 23–31.
- Gray, J.E., 1851. List of the specimens of fish in the collection of the British Museum. Part I. -Chondropterygii. E. Newman, London,  $x + 160$  pp., 2 pls.
- Hamlett, W.C., Hysell, M.K., 1998. Uterine specializations in elasmobranchs. J. Exp. Zool. 282, 438-459.
- Hassan, M., Lemaire, C., Fauvelot, C., Bonhomme, F., 2002. Seventeen new exon-primed intron-crossing polymerase chain reaction amplifiable introns in fish. Mol. Ecol. Notes 2, 334-340.
- Haustein, S., Tunger, D., Heinrichs G., Baelz, G., 2011. Reasons for and developments in international scientific collaboration: does an Asia–Pacific research area exist from a bibliometric point of view? Scientometrics 86, 727–746.
- Heist, E.J., Jenkot, J.L., Keeney, D.B., Lane, R.L., Moyer, G.R., Reading, B.J., Smith, N.L., 2003. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in nurse shark (*Ginglymostoma cirratum*). Mol. Ecol. Notes 3, 59–61.
- Hemmer-Hansen, J., Nielsen, E.E.G., Grønkjær, P., Loeschcke, V., 2007. Evolutionary mechanisms shaping the genetic population structure of marine fishes; lessons from the European flounder (*Platichthys flesus* L.). Mol. Ecol. 16, 3104–3118
- Hoarau, G., Rijnsdorp, A.D., van der Veer, H.W., Stam, W.T., Olsen, J.L., 2002. Population structure of plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) in northern Europe: microsatellites revealed large-scale spatial and temporal homogeneity. Mol. Ecol. 11, 1165–1176.
- Hoareau, T.B., Bosc, P., Valade P., Berrebi, P., 2007. Gene flow and genetic structure of *Sicyopterus lagocephalus* in the south-western Indian Ocean, assessed by intron-length polymorphism. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 349, 223–234.
- Horne, J.B., Momigliano, P., Welch, D.J., Newman, S.J., van Herwerden, L. 2011. Limited ecological population connectivity suggests low demands on self-recruitment in a tropical inshore marine fish (*Eleutheronema tetradactylum*: Polynemidae). Mol. Ecol. 20, 2291–2306.
- Jones D.B., Jerry D.R., McCormick, M.I., Bay, L.K., 2010. The population genetic structure of a common tropical damselfish on the Great Barrier Reef and eastern Papua New Guinea. Coral Reefs 29:455–467.
- Keeney, D.B., Heupel, M., Hueter ,R.E., Heist, E.J., 2003. Genetic heterogeneity among blacktip shark, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, continental nurseries along the US Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Mar. Biol. 143, 1039–1046.
- Keeney, D.B., Heupel, M.R., Hueter, R.E., Heist, E.J. 2005. Microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA analyses of the genetic structure of blacktip shark (*Carcharhinus limbatus*) nurseries in the northwestern Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea. Mol. Ecol. 14, 1911–1923.
- Kotoulas, G., Bonhomme, F., Borsa, P., 1995. Genetic structure of the common sole, *Solea vulgaris*, at different geographic scales. Mar. Biol. 122, 361-375.
- Le Port, A., Lavery, S., 2012. Population structure and phylogeography of the short-tailed stingray, *Dasyatis brevicaudata* (Hutton 1875), in the Southern Hemisphere. J. Hered. 103, 174-185.
- Magsino, R.M., Juinio-Meñez, M.A., 2008. The influence of contrasting life history traits and oceanic processes on genetic structuring of rabbitfish populations *Siganus argenteus* and *Siganus fuscescens* along the eastern Philippine coasts. Mar. Biol. 154, 519-532.
- Matschiner, M., Hanel, R., Salzburger, W., 2009. Gene flow by larval dispersal in the Antarctic notothenioid fish *Gobionotothen gibberifrons*. Mol. Ecol. 18, 2574–2587.
- Meijaard, E., 2011. Indonesia has its share of scientists, so where's the science? Jakarta Globe, Jakarta [\(http://www.thejakartaglobe.com/opinion/indonesia-has-its-share-of-scientists-so-wheres-the-science/430931;](http://www.thejakartaglobe.com/opinion/indonesia-has-its-share-of-scientists-so-wheres-the-science/430931) 23 March 2011).
- McCusker, M., Bentzen, P., 2010. Historical influences dominate the population genetic structure of a sedentary marine fish, Atlantic wolfish (*Anarhichas lupus*), across the North Atlantic Ocean. Mol. Ecol. 19, 4228–4241.
- Mobley, K.B., Small, C.M., Jue, N.K., Jones, A.G., 2010. Population structure of the dusky pipefish (*Syngnathus floridae*) from the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico, as revealed by mitochondrial DNA and microsatellite analyses. J. Biogeogr. 37, 1363–1377.
- Müller, J., Henle, J., 1841. Systematische Beschreibung der Plagiostomen. Veit und Comp., Berlin, xxii+200 pp., 60 pls.
- Muths, D., Tessier, E., Gouws, G., Craig, M., Mwale, M., Mwaluma, J., Mwandya, A., Bourjea, J., 2011. Restricted dispersal of the reef fish *Myripristis berndti* at the scale of the SW Indian Ocean. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 443, 167–180.
- Nei, M., Chesser, R.K., 1983. Estimation of fixation indices and gene diversities. Ann. Hum. Genet. 47, 253–259.
- Ovenden, J.R., Kashiwagi, T., Broderick, D., Giles, J., Salini, J., 2009. The extent of population genetic subdivision differs among four co-distributed shark species in the Indo-Australian archipelago. BMC Evol. Biol. 9, 40.
- Ovenden, J.R., Morgan, J.A.T., Street, R., Tobin, A., Simpfendorfer, C., Macbeth, W., Welch, D., 2011. Negligible evidence for regional genetic population structure for two shark species *Rhizoprionodon acutus* (Rüppell, 1837) and *Sphyrna lewini* (Griffith & Smith, 1834) with contrasting biology. Mar. Biol. 158, 1497-1509.
- Palumbi, S., Martin, A., Romano, S., McMillan, W.O., Stice, L., Grabowski, G., 1991. The simple fool's guide to PCR, v. 2.0. University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, 47 pp.
- Pauly, D., 1994. On the sex of fish and the gender of scientists: a collection of essays in fisheries science. Chapman and Hall, London, 250 pp.
- Pierce, S.J., Scott-Holland, T.B., Bennett, M.B., 2011[. Community composition of elasmobranch fishes utilizing intertidal sand flats](http://apps.webofknowledge.com/full_record.do?product=WOS&search_mode=GeneralSearch&qid=6&SID=U22linJGD7KbJk3pgk8&page=1&doc=2)  [in Moreton Bay, Queensland, Australia. Pac. Sci. 6](http://apps.webofknowledge.com/full_record.do?product=WOS&search_mode=GeneralSearch&qid=6&SID=U22linJGD7KbJk3pgk8&page=1&doc=2)5, 235-247.
- Planes, S., Fauvelot, C., 2002. Isolation by distance and vicariance drive genetic structure of a coral reef fish in the Pacific Ocean. Evolution 56, 378–399.
- Plank, S.M., Lowe, C.G., Feldheim, K.A., Wilson, R.R., Brusslan, J.A., 2010. Population genetic structure of the round stingray *Urobatis halleri* (Elasmobranchii: Rajiformes) in southern California and the Gulf of California. J. Fish Biol. 77, 329–340.
- Portnoy, D.S., Heist, E.J., 2012. Molecular markers: progress and prospects for understanding reproductive ecology in elasmobranchs. J. Fish Biol. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03206.x)
- Puebla, O., Bermingham, E., Guichard, F., 2009. Estimating dispersal from genetic isolation by distance in a coral reef fish (*Hypoplectrus puella*). Ecology 90, 3087-3098.
- Purcell, J.F.H., Cowen, R.K., Hughes, C.R., Williams, D.A., 2009. Population structure in a common Caribbean coral-reef fish: implications for larval dispersal and early life-history traits. J. Fish Biol. 74, 403–417.
- Rice, W.R., 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43, 223–225.
- Rocha, L.A., Craig, M.T., Bowen, B.W., 2007. Phylogeography and the conservation of coral reef fishes. Coral Reefs 26, 501–512.
- Rohfritsch, A., Borsa, P., 2005. Genetic structure of Indian scad mackerel *Decapterus russelli*: Pleistocene vicariance and secondary contact in the central Indo-West Pacific seas. Heredity 95, 315-322.
- Roldán, M.I., García-Marín, J.L., Utter, F.M., Pla, C., 1998. Population genetic structure of European hake, *Merluccius merluccius*. Heredity 81, 327-334.
- Rolland, J.L., Bonhomme, F., Lagardère, F., Hassan, M., Guinand, B., 2007. Population structure of the common sole (*Solea solea*) in the Northeastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea: revisiting the divide with EPIC markers. Mar. Biol. 151, 327–341.
- Rousset, F., 1997. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from *F*-statistics under isolation by distance. Genetics 145, 1219-1228.
- Ryan, W.B.F., Carbotte, S.M., Coplan, J.O., O'Hara, S., Melkonian, A., Arko, R., Weissel, R.A., Ferrini, V., Goodwillie, A., Nitsche, F., Bonczkowski, J., Zemsky, R., 2009. Global multi-resolution topography synthesis. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 10, Q03014.
- Sadovy, Y., 2005[. Trouble on the reef: the imperative for managing vulnerable and valuable fisheries. Fish Fisheries 6, 1](http://apps.webofknowledge.com/full_record.do?product=WOS&search_mode=GeneralSearch&qid=1&SID=N2neHihhLCmhgGpHdOH&page=1&doc=10)67-185.
- Schluessel, V., Broderick, D., Collin, S.P., Ovenden, J.R., 2010. Evidence for extensive population structure in the white-spotted eagle ray within the Indo-Pacific inferred from mitochondrial gene sequences. J. Zool. 281, 46–55.
- Shaklee, J., Bentzen, P., 1998. Genetic identification of stocks of marine fish and shellfish. Bull. Mar. Sci. 62, 589–621.
- Sokal, R.R., Rohlf, F.J., 1995. Biometry. The principles and practice of statistics in biological research, 3<sup>rd</sup> edn. Freeman and Co, New York, 776 p.
- Timm, J., Planes, S., Kochzius, M., 2012. High similarity of genetic population structure in the false clown anemonefish (*Amphiprion ocellaris*) found in microsatellite and mitochondrial control region analysis. Conserv. Genet. 13, 693-706.
- Walsh, P.S., Metzger, D.A., Higuchi, R., 1991. Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. Biotechniques 10, 506–513.
- Ward, R.D., 2000. Genetics in fisheries management. Hydrobiologia 420, 191–201.
- Ward, R.D., Holmes, B.H., White, W.T., Last, P.R., 2008. DNA barcoding Australian chondrichthyans: results and potential uses in conservation. Mar. Freshw. Res. 59, 57–71.
- Weir, B.S., Cockerham, C.C., 1984. Estimating *F*-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution 38, 822–831.
- White, W.T., Dharmadi, 2007. Species and size compositions and reproductive biology of rays (Chondrichthyes, Batoidea) caught in target and non-target fisheries in eastern Indonesia. J. Fish Biol. 70, 1809–1837.
- White, W.T., Kyne, P.M. 2010. The status of chondrichthyan conservation in the Indo-Australasian region. J. Fish Biol. 76, 2090– 2117.

PCR primers used to amplify size-polymorphic introns in three Indo-West Pacific stingray species. *Intron no.*: assumed intron number in teleost fishes.



 $a S = C$  or G, with approximately equal probabilities

*Himantura gerrardi*. Allelic frequencies at 7 presumptive intron loci sampled from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago. Alleles were designated from the approximate size of the PCR-amplified fragment (in base pairs). Five different, presumptive intron loci, here designed as *Cam-3 a-e*, were scored using the *Cam-3*  primer pair (Table 1). Estimates of genetic differentiation over all samples and loci: *^θ* = 0.123 (permutation test, 1000 random permutations: *P*<0.01) and *^Gst* = 0.159. *Hyphen*: no data.

Locus,	Sample						
allele	Sunda Strait	Java Sea Indian Ocean		West Papua	Unknown		
$Act-2$							
238	0.57	0.58	0.70		0.56		
225	0.43	0.43	0.20		0.44		
210	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.10		$\overline{0}$		
$\left( N\right)$	(7)	(21)	(5)		(8)		
λf	$-0.091$	$-0.063$	0.238		$-0.750$		
Cam-3 a							
206	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.17		0.39		
203	0.07	0.37	$\boldsymbol{0}$		$\boldsymbol{0}$		
200	0.93	0.63	0.83		0.61		
(N)	(7)	(19)	(3)		(9)		
	$\theta$	$-0.333$	$\overline{0}$		$-0.600$		
$Cam-3 b$							
178	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\theta$	0.17		
175	0.71	0.58	0.90	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.61		
173	0.29	0.39	0.10	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.06\,$		
171	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.03	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0.17		
(N)	(7)	(18)	(5)	(1)	(9)		
$\gamma$	$-0.333$	$-0.624*$	$\boldsymbol{0}$		$-0.116$		
$Cam-3 c$							
161	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.13	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\theta$	0.06		
158	0.43	0.32	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.44		
155	0.57	0.55	0.90	$\mathbf{1}$	0.44		
152	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.10	$\overline{0}$	$0.06\,$		
(N)	(7)	(19)	(5)	(2)	(8)		
$\gamma$	$-0.714$	$-0.251$	$\boldsymbol{0}$		$-0.380$		
$Cam-3 d$							
140	0.71	0.80	0.17		0.17		
138	0.29	0.20	0.83		0.67		
136	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$		0.17		
(N)	(7)	(10)	(3)		(9)		
^f	0.368	$-0.200$	$\overline{0}$		$-0.053$		
$Cam-3 e$							
127	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.17		
126	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.03	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$		
125	$\mathbf{1}$	0.97	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0.83		
(N)	(7)	(19)	(5)	(2)	(7)		
Ϋ́		$\theta$			0.636		
CK							
175	0.64	0.63	0.67	$\mathbf{1}$	0.56		
173	0.36	0.37	0.33	$\overline{0}$	0.44		
(N) $\sim$ f	(7)	(19)	(3)	(2)	(9)		
	$-0.500$	$0.565*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$-0.297$		

 $*$   $P < 0.05$ 

*Neotrygon kuhlii*. Allelic frequencies at 4 presumptive intron loci in 6 samples from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago. Alleles were designated from the approximate size of the PCR amplified fragment (in base pairs). Two different, presumptive intron loci, here designed as *Cam-3 slow* and *fast* according to the motility of the expressed DNA bands, were scored using the *Cam-3* primer pair (Table 1). Estimates of genetic differentiation over all samples and loci: *^θ* = 0.336 (permutation test, 1000 random permutations:  $P \le 0.001$ ) and  $\hat{C}st = 0.316$ .

Locus,	Sample							
allele	Indian Ocean <sup>a</sup>	Indian Ocean <sup>b</sup> Bali Strait		Flores Sea	Tomini Bay	Banda Sea		
Cam-3 slow								
430	$\theta$	$\theta$	$\theta$	$\overline{0}$	$\theta$	0.05		
400	0.96	0.94	0.93	0.82	1	0.91		
385	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	0.11	$\theta$	$\theta$		
370	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.08	$\theta$	0.04		
(N)	(38)	(9)	(20)	(19)	(3)	(38)		
$\gamma$	$-0.028$	$\theta$	$-0.056$	$-0.135$	$\overline{a}$	0.245		
Cam-3 fast								
300	0.18	0.33	0.68	0.94	$\theta$	0.88		
282	0.74	0.39	0.33	0.06	$\mathbf{1}$	0.12		
264	0.08	0.28	$\theta$	$\theta$	$\theta$	$\theta$		
(N)	(38)	(9)	(20)	(18)	(3)	(39)		
$\gamma$	$-0.240*$	0.297	$\theta$	$-0.030$	٠	$-0.118$		
CK								
368	0.46	$\theta$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.03	$\overline{0}$	$\theta$		
366	0.01	$\theta$	0.43	$\theta$	$\Omega$	0.04		
363	0.28	0.67	0.25	0.22	$\theta$	0.16		
361	0.24	0.33	0.33	0.72	1	0.74		
359	$\theta$	$\overline{0}$	$\theta$	0.03	$\Omega$	0.06		
(N)	(37)	(9)	(20)	(16)	(4)	(34)		
$\gamma$	0.144	0.543	$-0.126$	$-0.268$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	0.121		
Gpd2								
173	$\theta$	$\theta$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.15	$\theta$	$\boldsymbol{0}$		
169	$\theta$	$\theta$	$\theta$	0.03	$\overline{0}$	$\theta$		
167	0.82	0.83	0.89	0.82	$\mathbf{1}$	0.23		
164	0.18	0.17	0.11	$\theta$	$\overline{0}$	0.77		
(N)	(38)	(9)	(19)	(17)	(4)	(33)		
∧£	$-0.037$	$-0.143$	$-0.091$	$-0.150$		$-0.106$		

<sup>a</sup> Binuangen sample (Fig. 1A); <sup>b</sup> Malang sample (Fig. 1A)

 $*$  *P* < 0.05

*Taeniura lymna*. Allelic frequencies at 5 presumptive intron loci in 3 samples from the Indo-Malay archipelago. Alleles were designated from the approximate size of the PCR amplified fragment (in base pairs). Three different, presumptive intron loci differing by the mobility of the expressed DNA bands, were scored using the *AldoB-5* primer pair (Table 1). Estimates of genetic differentiation over all samples and loci:  $^{\circ}\theta$  = 0.095 (permutation test, 1000 random permutations: *P*<0.01) and  $^{\wedge}Gst = 0.074$ .

Locus,	Sample					
allele	Java Sea	Flores Sea	Molucca Sea			
AldoB5 slow						
284	0.21	0.46	0.39			
280	0.79	0.54	0.61			
(N)	(7)	(12)	(14)			
$\gamma$	0.625	0.203	0.285			
AldoB5 fast						
123	0.57	0.08	0.17			
119	0.43	0.92	0.83			
(N)	(7)	(12)	(12)			
$\gamma$	$-0.091$	$-0.048$	$-0.158$			
AldoB5 faster						
087	0.29	0.13	0.14			
085	0.71	0.88	0.86			
(N)	(7)	(12)	(14)			
$\int_{CK}$	$-0.333$	0.645	$-0.130$			
138	0.29	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\theta$			
133	0.71	1	1			
(N)	(7)	(12)	(12)			
$\gamma$	0.368					
RP						
313	$\theta$	0.08	$\theta$			
296	0.21	0.17	$\boldsymbol{0}$			
290	0.79	0.75	1			
(N)	(7)	(12)	(12)			
$\sim_f$	0.625	0.214	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$			

Population-pairwise multilocus  $\hat{\theta}$  (Weir and Cockerham, 1984) (above diagonal) in three stingray species from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago, and ship distance between locations (in km, below diagonal, italics). \*, *P*<0.05; \*\*, *P*<0.01; \*\*\*, *P*<0.001 (permutation test; GENETIX 4.02; 1000 permutations); *na*, not applicable. **A.** *Neotrygon kuhlii*. **B.** *Himantura gerrardi* and *Taeniura lymna*.



<sup>a</sup>Binuangen, Apr. 2010; <sup>b</sup> Malang, Oct. 2010



<sup>a</sup> two samples were pooled (Fig. 1)



**Fig. 1.** Map of the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago with sampling sites for three stingray species. Sample sizes indicated near symbols. Background topographic map from GeoMapApp (Ryan et al., 2009) [\(http://www.geomapapp.org\)](http://www.geomapapp.org/). **A.** *Neotrygon kuhlii* (circles): grey tones (light grey, dark grey, black) illustrate the populations to which individuals were predominantly assigned according to Bayesian structure analysis (STRUCTURE: Falush et al., 2007; *K*=3). Indian Ocean, from West to East: Binuangen (Apr. 2010), Malang (Oct. 2010); Bali Strait: Banyuwangi (Oct. 2010); Flores Sea: Labuan Bajo (Oct. 2010); Tomini Bay: Poso (Jan. 2011); Banda Sea: Kendari (Oct. 2010). **B.** *Himantura gerrardi* (squares) and *Taeniura lymna* (triangles). Sunda Strait: Labuan (Oct. 2010); Indian Ocean, from West to East: Pelabuhan Ratu (Apr. 2010), Sadeng (Oct. 2010); Java Sea, from West to East: Pulau Pari (Nov. 2008) and Batang (Nov. 2007-Jan. 2008); Flores Sea: Labuan Bajo (Oct. 2010); Molucca Sea: Lembeh Strait (Nov. 2010); West Papua: Port Numbay (Nov. 2010). Not represented: an *H. gerrardi* sample of unknown origin landed in Batang (Jan. 2008).



**Fig. 2.** Mendelian-like allele-size polymorphism detected from an electrophoresis gel of CY5-labelled, PCR-amplified introns at the *CK* locus in ribbontail stingray (*Taeniura lymna*). The gel was scanned under laser light (FMBIO® II Fluorescence Imaging System, Hitachi Instruments, San José CA, U.S.A.) to detect fluorescent DNA bands. Two size-alleles were scored, with size indicated on the left margin of the image. Lanes 1, 4: heterozygotes 138/133; lanes 2, 3, 5, 6: homozygotes 133; lane 7: homozygote 138; lane 8: PCR control with no DNA template.



**Fig. 3.** Population genetic structure in *Neotrygon kuhlii* in the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago. Pairwise multiple-locus estimates of genetic differentiation (ordinates) plotted against the logarithm of ship distance (*SD*; abscissa). The regression equation was ^*θ*/(1-*^θ)* = 0.118 ln(*SD*) – 0.309 [*R*² = 0.058; Mantel's test (Belkhir et al., 2000): *P*=0.13]. Pairwise multiplelocus *θ* were estimated from genotypic data (Supplementary material, Table S3) according to Weir and Cockerham (1984).



**Fig. 4.** *Neotrygon kuhlii*. Output of Bayesian structure analysis (STRUCTURE: Falush et al., 2007) with *K*=3 populations, on individual genotypes at 4 presumptive intron loci for 6 samples from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago (Supplementary material, Table S3). Individuals are represented by vertical bars; the different grey tones correspond to different genetic clusters and their proportion in an individual (ordinate) indicates its degree of assignment to the corresponding cluster. <sup>a</sup> Binuangen sample (Fig. 1); <sup>b</sup> Malang sample (Fig. 1).



Fig. 5. Plot of genetic-differentiation estimates (overall *^Fst* value) against geographic distance in coastal elasmobranchs and teleosts. Data from nuclear-marker based phylogeographic surveys of 8 coastal elasmobranch species (open circles) (Chevolot et al., 2006; Dudgeon et al., 2009; Plank et al., 2010; Ovenden et al., 2011; present study) and 27 coastal teleost fish species (black diamonds) (Blanquer et al., 1992; Kotoulas et al., 1995; Borsa et al., 1997a; Roldán et al., 1998; Hoarau et al., 2002; Planes and Fauvelot, 2002; Borsa, 2003; Rohfritsch and Borsa, 2005; Hemmer-Hansen et al., 2007; Rolland et al., 2007; Magsino and Juinio-Meñez, 2008; Matschiner et al., 2009; Puebla et al., 2009; Purcell et al., 2009; González-Wangüemert et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2010; McCusker and Bentzen, 2010; Mobley et al., 2010; Fauvelot and Borsa, 2011; Francisco et al., 2011; Gaither et al., 2011; Horne et al., 2011; Muths et al., 2011; Timm et al., 2012). Other details in Supplementary material, Table S7.

*Supplementary material to "Population genetic structure of the blue-spotted maskray Neotrygon kuhlii and two other Indo-West Pacific stingray species (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae), inferred from size-polymorphic intron markers" by Philippe Borsa , Irma S. Arlyza, Martin Laporte, and Patrick Berrebi* 

#### **Table S1**

Introns tested for size polymorphism in *Himantura gerrardi*, *Neotrygon kuhlii*, and *Taeniura lymna*. *Intron no.*: assumed intron number in teleost fishes. Mendelian-like allele-size polymorphisms were detected using primer pairs (*Act-2-F*, *Act-2-R*), (*Cam-3-F*, *Cam-3-R*) and (*CK6-5'*, *CK7-3'*) in *H.*  gerrardi, (Cam-3-F, Cam-3-R), (CK6-5', CK7-3') and (Gpd2F, Gpd3R) in N. kublii, and (Aldo3F, Aldo2R), (CK6-5', CK7-3') and (RPEX1F, *RPEX2R* ) in *Taeniura lymna*. For the other primer pairs tested, either the DNA band patterns were not variable (thus exhibiting sample monomorphism), or they were variable but we failed to interpret them in terms of size polymorphism at Mendelian loci. In a few cases, the amplification was too weak for scoring, or the intensity of DNA bands was highly variable across individuals, or a substantial proportion of individuals could not be scored either because of bands that were too weak or because of overlapping bands presumably from other loci. Since a number of factors, e.g. unsuitable annealing temperature, quality of the primers, quality of the DNA extracts, etc. may lead to unsatisfactory results of this kind, we consider that more tests are needed before definitely discarding the primer pairs that failed to produce Mendelian-like polymorphisms in our study.



*References*

Atarhouch, T., Rami, M., Cattaneo-Berrebi, G., Ibanez, C., Augros, S., Boissin, E., Dakkak, A., Berrebi, P., 2003. Biotechniques 35, 676-682. Chow, S., 1998. Fisheries Sci. 64, 999-1000.

Chow, S., Hazama, K., 1998. Mol. Ecol. 7, 1255-1256.

Chow, S., Takeyama, H., 1998. Fisheries Sci. 64, 397-402.

Chow, S., Takeyama, H., 2000. J. Fish Biol. 56, 1087–1098.

Hassan, M., Lemaire, C., Fauvelot, C., Bonhomme, F., 2002. Mol. Ecol. Notes 2, 334-340.

Palumbi, S., Martin, A., Romano, S., McMillan, W.O., Stice, L., Grabowski, G., 1991. The simple fool's guide to PCR, v. 2.0. University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, 47 pp.

**Table S2**

Individual genotypes in 5 Himantura gerrardi samples from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago, at 7 presumptive		
intron loci. Alleles at a locus are designated by their approximate size (in bp).		



Individual genotypes in 6 *Neotrygon kuhlii* samples from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago, at 4 presumptive intron loci. Alleles at a locus are designated by their approximate size (in bp).

Sample,	Locus				Sample,	Locus			
individual no.	Cam-3 fast	Cam-3 slow	CK	Gpd2	individual no.	Cam-3 fast	Cam-3 slow	CK	Gpd2
Indian Ocean <sup>a</sup>					MB18	300/282	400/370	363/361	167
BNG1 BNG <sub>2</sub>	282 282/264	400 400	368 368/361	167 167	MB <sub>19</sub> MB20	300/282 282	400 400	361 366/361	167
BNG4	282/264	400	363/361	167	Flores Sea				
BNG5	282/264	400	368/363	167	LB1	300	400	361	173/167
BNG7	282/264	400	363	167	LB <sub>2</sub>	300	400	361	169/167
BNG8	282	400	363	167	LB3	300	400/370	363/361	
BNG9	282	400/370	368	167	LB4	300	400	361/359	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
BNG10	282	400	368/363	167 167	LB5	300	400	361 361	167
BNG11 BNG12	282 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	400/370	361 368	$\omega$	LB6 LB7	300 300	400/370 400	363/361	173/167 167
BNG13	282	400	368/361	167	LB <sub>8</sub>	300/282	400	368/361	167
BNG14	282	400	363	167	LB9	300	400	363/361	167
BNG15	282	400	363	167	LB10	300	400/370	363/361	167
BNG16	300/282	400	368/363	164	LB11	300	400	361	173/167
BNG17	300/282	400	368	167/164	LB12	300 300	400/385	361	167
BNG18 BNG19	300/282 282	400 400	368 361	167/164 167	LB13 LB14	300	400/385 400/385	363/361 363/361	173/167 167
BNG <sub>20</sub>	282/264	400	368/361	167/164	LB15	300/282	400	361	173/167
BNG21	282	400	368/363	167	LB <sub>16</sub>	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	400/385	363/361	167
BNG22	282	400	368	167	LB17	300	400		167
BNG <sub>23</sub>	300/282	400	368/361	167	LB18	300	400		167
BNG24	282	400	368/363	167	LB <sub>19</sub>	300	400		167
BNG <sub>25</sub> BNG <sub>26</sub>	282 300/282	400 400	368 368	167 167/164	Tomini Bay LPPS1		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	361	167
BNG27	282	400	368/366	167/164	LPPS2	282	400	361	167
BNG28	282	400	363	167	LPPS3	282	400	361	167
BNG <sub>29</sub>	300/282	400	368/361	167	LPPS4	282	400	361	167
BNG30	300/282	400	363	167/164	Banda Sea				
BNG31	300/282	400	368/361	167	KDI4		430/400		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
BNG32 BNG33	300/282 282/264	400 400	368/361 368/361	167/164 167	KDI5 KDI6	300/282 300	400 400	361 363/361	164 164
BNG34	300/282	400	368/363	167	KDI7	300/282	400	361	164
BNG35	282	400	368/366	167/164	KDI8	300	400/370	366	167/164
BNG36	282	400		167	KDI9	300	400/370	366/361	167/164
BNG37	300/282	400	368/361	167/164	KDI10	300	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$		$\overline{\phantom{m}}$
BNG38	282	400	368/363	167/164	KDI11	300	400	361	167/164
BNG39 BNG40	300/282 300/282	400/370 400	363/361 363/361	167/164 167/164	KDI12 KDI13	300 300	400 400	363/361 363/361	164 164
BNG41	282	400	363/361	167	KDI14	300	400	363/361	164
BNG42	300/282	400	368	167	KDI15	300	400		
Indian Ocean b					KDI16	300/282	400	361	167/164
SB <sub>1</sub>	282	400	363	167	KDI17	300	400	361	164
SB <sub>2</sub>	300	400	363	167	KDI <sub>18</sub>	300	400	361	164
SB <sub>3</sub> SB4	282/264 282	400 400	361 363	167 167	KDI19 KDI20	300 300	400 430/400	361 361/359	164 164
SB <sub>5</sub>	282/264	400	363	167	KDI21	300	430	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
SB <sub>6</sub>	282	400	361	167	KDI22	300	400	361	164
SB7	282/264	400	363/361	167/164	KDI23	300/282	400	361	167/164
SB <sub>8</sub>	300/282	400	363/361	167/164	KDI24	300/282	400		
SB <sub>9</sub> Bali Strait	264	400/370	363	167/164	KDI25 KDI26	300/282 300	400 400	361 361	167/164
MB1	300	400	366/361	167	KDI27	300/282	400/370	361	164
MB <sub>2</sub>	300/282	400/370	366	167/164	KDI28	300	400	361	164
MB3	300/282	400	363/361	167	KDI29	300	400	363/361	164
MB4	300/282	400	366	167	KDI30	300	400	359	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
MB <sub>5</sub>	282	400	366/363	167	KDI31	300	400	363/361	167/164
MB <sub>6</sub>	300	400	366	167	KDI32	300	400	363/361	167/164
MB7 MB8	300 300/282	400 400	366/361 366/363	167 167/164	KDI33 KDI34	300 300	400 400	361 361	164 164
MB <sub>9</sub>	300/282	400	366/361	167	KDI35	300	400	363/361	164
MB10	300	400/370	366/363	167	KDI36	300	400	361	167/164
MB11	300/282	400	366/363	167	KDI37	300/282	400	363/361	167/164
MB12	300	400	363/361	167/164	KDI38	300	400	363/359	164
MB13	300	400	366	167	KDI39	300	400	361	167/164
MB14 MB15	300 300	400 400	366/361 363/361	167 167	KDI40 KDI41	300 300	400 400	361 363/361	167/164 164
MB16	300	400	363/361	167/164	KDI42	300	400	361	167
MB17	300/282	400	363/361	167	KDI43	300/282			167/164

<sup>a</sup> Binuangen sample (Fig. 1A); <sup>b</sup> Malang sample (Fig. 1A)

Individual genotypes in three *Taeniura lymna* samples from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago, at 5 presumptive intron loci. Alleles at a locus are designated by their approximate size (in bp).



**A**

Locus-pairwise linkage disequilibrium values (*R*: Belkhir et al., 2000) in samples of three stingray species from the Indo-Malay-Papuan archipelago. Probability of encountering a value equal or higher than the observed value under the null hypothesis (*P*) is given (in brackets) when *P* ≤ 0.050. Only one *P*-value remained significant after correction for multiple tests (Rice, 1989). **A.** *Himantura gerrardi*. Sample "Unknown" exhibited significant *D* values (before correction for multiple tests) in 7/21 pairwise comparisons, which we interpret as linkage disequilibrium in the sample, due to admixture of individuals from different locations. **B.** *Neotrygon kuhlii.* One *R* value remained significant after correction for multiple tests: sample 'Banda Sea' at locus pair (*Cam-3 slow*, *CK*). **C.** *Taeniura lymna*. 3/3 *D*-values significant (before correction for multiple tests) in sample 'Molucca Sea': this may be ascribed to admixture.



*References*:

Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N., Bonhomme, F., 2000. [http://www.genetix.univ-montp2.fr/genetix/.](http://www.genetix.univ-montp2.fr/genetix/)

Rice, W.R., 1989. Evolution 43, 223–225.

*Neotrygon kuhlii*. Output of Bayesian structure analysis (STRUCTURE: Falush et al., 2007) run with *K*=2-6 populations, on individual genotypes at 4 presumptive intron loci for 6 samples from the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago (Supplementary material, Table S3). Individuals are represented by vertical bars; the different grey colours correspond to different genetic clusters and their proportion in an individual (ordinate) indicates its degree of assignment to the corresponding cluster. Visual observation of the graphs indicates that maximum segregation of the total sample into different clusters was reached with *K*=3. *Prob.* Posterior probability of assignment of a given individual to a cluster. Individuals grouped by sample. Samples separated by vertical traits.



<sup>a</sup> Binuangen sample (Fig. 1A); <sup>b</sup> Malang sample (Fig. 1A)

*Reference*:

Falush, D., Stephens, M., Pritchard, J., 2007. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 7, 574–578.

Regional-scale population differentiation estimates at nuclear loci in coastal elasmobranchs and teleost fishes. R, number of marker loci. Distance calculated as ship distance (i.e. the shortest maritime path) using the PA menu of Google Earth [\(http://www.google.co.uk/intl/en\\_uk/earth/\).](http://www.google.co.uk/intl/en_uk/earth/)



<sup>a</sup> Hawaii, Marquesas and Clipperton samples excluded; <sup>b</sup> Marquesas sample excluded

*References to Table S7* Blanquer, A., Alayse, J.-P., Berrada-Rkhami, O., Berrebi, P., 1992. J. Fish Biol. 41, 725-736. Borsa, P., 2003. Mar. Biol. 142, 575-581. Borsa, P., Blanquer, A., Berrebi, P., 1997. Mar. Biol. 129, 233-246. Chevolot, M., Hoarau, G., Rijnsdorp, A.D., Stam, W.T., Olsen, J.L., 2006. Mol. Ecol. 15, 3693–3705. Dudgeon, C.L., Broderick, D., Ovenden, J.R., 2009. Mol. Ecol. 18, 248–261. Fauvelot, C., Borsa, P., 2011. Biol. J. Linn. Soc.y 104, 886-902. Francisco, S.M., Faria, C., Lengkeek, W., Vieira, M.N., Velasco, E.M., Almada, V.C., 2011. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 403, 14-20. Gaither, M.R., Toonen, R.J., Robertson, D.R., Planes, S., Bowen, B.W., 2010. J. Biogeogr. 37, 133-147. González-Wangüemert, M., Cánovas, F., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Marcos, C., Alexandrino, P., 2010. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 383, 23–31. Hemmer-Hansen, J., Nielsen, E.E.G., Grønkjær, P., Loeschcke, V., 2007. Mol. Ecol. 16, 3104–3118 Hoarau, G., Rijnsdorp, A.D., van der Veer, H.W., Stam, W.T., Olsen, J.L., 2002. Mol. Ecol. 11, 1165–1176. Horne, J.B., Momigliano, P., Welch, D.J., Newman, S.J., van Herwerden, L. 2011. Mol. Ecol. 20, 2291–2306. Jones D.B., Jerry D.R., McCormick, M.I., Bay, L.K., 2010. Coral Reefs 29, 455-467. Kotoulas, G., Bonhomme, F., Borsa, P., 1995. Mar. Biol. 122, 361-375. Magsino, R.M., Juinio-Meñez, M.A., 2008. Mar. Biol. 154, 519-532. Matschiner, M., Hanel, R., Salzburger, W., 2009. Mol. Ecol. 18, 2574–2587. McCusker, M., Bentzen, P., 2010. Mol. Ecol. 19, 4228–4241. Mobley, K.B., Small, C.M., Jue, N.K., Jones, A.G., 2010. J. Biogeogr. 37, 1363–1377. Muths, D., Tessier, E., Gouws, G., Craig, M., Mwale, M., Mwaluma, J., Mwandya, A., Bourjea, J., 2011. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 443, 167–180. Ovenden, J.R., Morgan, J.A.T., Street, R., Tobin, A., Simpfendorfer, C., Macbeth, W., Welch, D., 2011. Mar. Biol. 158, 1497-1509. Planes, S., Fauvelot, C., 2002. Evolution 56, 378–399. Plank, S.M., Lowe, C.G., Feldheim, K.A., Wilson, R.R., Brusslan, J.A., 2010. J. Fish Biol. 77, 329–340. Puebla, O., Bermingham, E., Guichard, F., 2009. Ecology 90, 3087-3098. Purcell, J.F.H., Cowen, R.K., Hughes, C.R., Williams, D.A., 2009. J. Fish Biol. 74, 403–417. Rohfritsch, A., Borsa, P., 2005. Heredity 95, 315-322. Roldán, M.I., García-Marín, J.L., Utter, F.M., Pla, C., 1998. Heredity 81, 327-334. Rolland, J.L., Bonhomme, F., Lagardère, F., Hassan, M., Guinand, B., 2007. Mar. Biol. 151, 327–341. Timm, J., Planes, S., Kochzius, M., 2012. Conserv. Genet. 13, 693-706.