

# L-Band radiative properties of vine vegetation at the MELBEX III SMOS Cal/Val Site

M. Schwank, J.-P. Wigneron, E. Lopez-Baeza, I. Völksch, C. Matzler, Yann H.

Kerr

# ► To cite this version:

M. Schwank, J.-P. Wigneron, E. Lopez-Baeza, I. Völksch, C. Matzler, et al.. L-Band radiative properties of vine vegetation at the MELBEX III SMOS Cal/Val Site. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2012, 50 (5), pp.1587-1601. 10.1109/TGRS.2012.2184126 . ird-00701178

# HAL Id: ird-00701178 https://ird.hal.science/ird-00701178

Submitted on 24 May 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing** 



## L-Band Radiative Properties of Vine Vegetation at the SMOS Cal/Val Site MELBEX III

Journal:	Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Regular paper
Keywords:	Radiometry, Vegetation, Calibration, Soil



# L-Band Radiative Properties of Vine Vegetation at the SMOS Cal/Val Site MELBEX III

*Mike* Schwank<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Pierre Wigneron<sup>2</sup>, Ernesto Lopez-Baeza<sup>3</sup>, Ingo Völksch<sup>4</sup>, Christian Mätzler<sup>5</sup>, Yann Kerr<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

Radiative properties at 1.4 GHz of vine vegetation were investigated by measuring brightness temperatures with the L-band radiometer ELBARA II operated on a tower at the MELBEX III field site in Spain. A reflecting foil was placed under the vines in their winter and summer states to measure brightness temperatures at horizontal and vertical polarization, which provide prevailingly information on vegetation transmissivities. The latter were retrieved from dual-polarized brightness temperatures measured at observation angles between 30° and 60° using a <sup>27</sup> 12 multiple scattering radiative transfer model. The analysis revealed practical parameter values that could be used to account for the impact of vine vegetation. The values are representative for 32 14 the Mediterranean SMOS anchor station, and therefore valuable for the corresponding calibration and validation activities. Likewise, quantifying the uncertainties of the brightness temperatures measured was also important, especially as several equivalent ELBARA II instruments are 39 17 currently operative in ongoing SMOS-related field campaigns.

# 1. Introduction

The terrestrial surface layer is an important boundary that controls energy and mass fluxes 48 20 between the earth's surface and the atmosphere. Techniques for monitoring the surface <sup>50</sup> 21 moisture are therefore of particular interest. Microwave radiometry at L band (1 – 2 GHz) is a passive remote sensing technique applicable for soil moisture retrieval at large scales [1-4]. On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany, Section 5.1 "Geoecology and Geomorphology", mike.schwank@gfz-potsdam.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> INRA, EPHYSE, Villenave d'Ornon, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> University of Valencia, Department of Earth Physics and Thermodynamics, 46100 Valencia, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> WSL Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Institute of Applied Physics, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

### **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

Page 2 of 31

2<sup>nd</sup> November 2009 the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) satellite [5, 6] was launched as the European Space Agency's (ESA) second Earth Explorer Opportunity mission. The satellite carries the Microwave Imaging Radiometer using Aperture Synthesis (MIRAS) [7] on board to provide L-band brightness temperatures  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  at horizontal (p = H) and vertical (p = V) polarization at multiple observation angles  $\alpha$ , with a spatial resolution of approximately 40  $\times$ 40 km<sup>2</sup> and near-global coverage. One of the primary goals of the SMOS mission is to produce global soil moisture maps with an accuracy better than 4 vol-% and a revisit time of less than <sub>18</sub> 30 three days [8]. The reliability of these land surface retrievals depends largely on the performance 20 31 of the microwave emission models used to retrieve soil surface moisture from the multi-angular  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  measurements. Performing ground-based radiometer campaigns throughout the operative 25 33 phase of the SMOS mission is therefore essential to validate and further improve the inversion algorithms based on radiative transfer modeling. 

The Valencia Anchor Station (VAS) in Spain was selected as the Mediterranean validation site 32 36 for the SMOS-based retrievals of soil surface moisture and radiative properties of vegetation. These retrievals are routinely derived from  $T_{\rm B}^{\rho,\alpha}$ , with an inversion scheme based on the  $\tau$ - $\omega$ model that is the zero-order non-coherent solution of the radiative transfer equations [9]. It has been demonstrated that this model is adequate to reproduce  $T_{B}^{\rho,\alpha}$  at L band (vacuum wavelength 42 40 of  $\approx$  21 cm) emitted from vegetated sites [10-14], which makes it suitable for use in a multi-parameter inversion algorithm at feasible computational costs [13, 15, 16]. These findings, together with continuous refinements of the parameterization based on field experiments and simulations (e.g. [17-26]), led to the L-band Microwave Emission of the Biosphere (L-MEB) inversion scheme [27], which is the current level-2 processor used to simultaneously derive soil moisture and vegetation opacity from multi-angular SMOS observations  $T_B^{\rho,\alpha}$ . However, some of 54 45 the model parameters involved affect  $T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  rather similarly, which leads to some ambiguity in retrieving these parameters from L-MEB inversion using SMOS data. To overcome this problem, 59 47 one option is to perform ground-based L-band radiometer campaigns under well-controlled

8

## ManuscriptSubmitted

4 5

6 7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

16 17

19 20

21 22

23 24

26 27

28 29

31

33 34

35 36

38 39

40

49 conditions, which yield  $T_{\rm B}^{\,\,\rho,\alpha}$  that are no longer simultaneously affected by some of these 50 parameters.

Such a setup was used at the Mediterranean Ecosystem L-Band characterisation EXperiment 51 III (MELBEX III) field site at the Finca El Renegado, Caudete de las Fuentes (Valencia) Spain, 52 53 which is fairly homogeneously covered with vineyards. Vineyards constitute approximately 75 % 54 of the land use at the VAS which includes the MELBEX III site. While measuring the multi-<sup>15</sup> 55 angular  $T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  with the tower-based ETH L-BAnd RAdiometer II (ELBARA II), a reflecting foil was placed underneath the vines to ensure that the sensitivity of the measurements to the emission <sub>18</sub> 56 57 of the soil below was very low. The  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  derived from these foil experiments were, therefore, predominantly affected by the vegetation, which meant it was possible to characterize the 58 25 **59** radiative properties of the vines in different development states. Such information is important for 60 the ongoing SMOS calibration and validation activities at the VAS because: i) vegetation 30 61 parameters retrieved with L-MEB from the  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  provided by the overflying MIRAS radiometer on <sup>32</sup> 62 board the SMOS satellite can be validated with the "ground truth" vegetation parameters derived 63 from the ground-based measurements during foil experiments; ii) the "ground truth" vegetation 37 64 parameters determined for the MELBEX III field site can be used in L-MEB to improve (or 65 investigate) the accuracy of SMOS soil moisture retrievals for the VAS.

41 42 66 A further motivation for this study was to describe the MELBEX III site (Sections 2.1 and 2.2), 43 44 67 and to investigate the long term performance of the ELBARA II radiometer deployed (Section 45 46 68 2.3). Since the MELBEX III site is an important anchor station for the SMOS mission, and since 47 48 49 69 further identical ELBARA II instruments are currently deployed in other SMOS relevant 50 <sup>51</sup> 70 campaigns, the analysis of a first long time series of tower-based  $T_{\rm B}^{\,\,\rho,\alpha}$  measurements is relevant 52 53 <sub>54</sub> 71 because: i) the calibration strategy applied to produce the ground-based  $T_B^{\rho,\alpha}$  must be analyzed 55 <sup>56</sup> 72 and refined according to the findings; ii) uncertainties  $\Delta T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  of the calibrated  $T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  must be 57 58 <sub>59</sub> 73 guantified so that they can be used for the SMOS validation. A detailed description of the foil 60 74 experiments carried out to retrieve vegetation radiative properties was presented in Section 2.4.

In Section 3.1 the uncertainties  $\Delta T_B^{p,\alpha}$  of  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  were estimated so that they could be taken into account in future SMOS calibration and validation activities based on ELBARA II. These uncertainties constrain the errors in the vegetation radiative properties derived from the measurements during the foil experiments (Section 3.2). Section 4 describes the derivation of the vegetation radiative properties from the multi-angular  $T_{\rm B}^{\,p,\,\alpha}$  and the air temperatures  $T_{\rm air}$ measured during foil experiments on the basis of a multiple scattering radiative transfer model. 13 80 The resulting retrievals of vegetation transmissivities and optical depths for distinctly different <sub>18</sub> 82 developmental states of the vines are presented in Section 5, and a summary and conclusions 20 83 are given in Section 6.

# 2. The MELBEX III experiment

Here the MELBEX III field site for the study is described and a sketch of the auxiliary "ground 29 86 truth" information available for the site is given. Although these data were not used in this analysis, they were included to provide a reference for the MELBEX III campaign, since this campaign plays a cardinal role in the ongoing SMOS calibration and validation activities. For the 36 89 same reason the remote sensing system used is described rather extensively in Section 2.3, as is the setup of the foil experiments (Section 2.4) performed to derive L-band vegetation radiative properties.

#### 44 92 2.1. General set-up

46 93 The VAS site is located about 80 km west of the city of Valencia (Spain) on the Utiel-Requena Plateau at 813 m a.s.l.. It was selected by the SMOS science team for the calibration and validation of SMOS data for the Mediterranean area as the landscape is relatively homogeneous <sup>53</sup> 96 over about 50  $\times$  50 km<sup>2</sup>, which is large enough to include at least one SMOS pixel. The <sub>56</sub> 97 predominant land-use types are vineyards (75%) and other Mediterranean ecosystem species **98** such as shrubs, olive and almond trees, and pine forests. The topography is generally flat (slope angle < 2%), with some slightly undulating regions (8% - 15%). The surface air temperature

4 5

6 7

9

11

14

16

19

21

page 5 of 31

### **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

1 100 ranges from -15 °C in winter to 45 °C in summer, with an annual mean temperature of 14 °C. The <sup>3</sup> 101 mean annual precipitation is about 450 mm, with peaks in spring and autumn.

102 The passive L-band measurements used in this study were performed at the MELBEX III site, 8 103 which is part of the VAS. The data measured allow soil surface moisture and vegetation <sup>10</sup>104 parameters to be upscaled for the entire VAS area for comparison with L-MEB retrievals based <sup>12</sup> 13<sup>105</sup> on SMOS data. In particular, dedicated short-term experiments were performed in which soil 15106 emission was largely shielded by means of a reflecting foil placed underneath the vine vegetation <sup>17</sup>107 (referred to as "foil experiments") to measure  $T_{\rm B}^{\rho,\alpha}$ , which mainly carries information on 20108 vegetation radiative properties.

<sup>22</sup>109 23 Figure 1a shows the ELBARA II radiometer mounted on the tower during a foil experiment, <sup>24</sup> 25<sup>110</sup> with a corresponding sketch in a bird's eye view in panel b). The distances between the vines 26 27111 within a row were 2.00 - 2.10 m, and between rows 2.90 - 3.00 m. The MELBEX III vineyard 28 <sup>29</sup>112 studied belongs to the typical Spanish "tempranillo" variety and is representative of the entire 31 32<sup>1</sup>13 VAS area. Panel c) is a photo of the site during the foil experiment in winter and panel d) in 33 34114 summer when the vegetation was fully developed. In the winter state, the vines are heavily 35 <sup>36</sup> 37</sub>115 trimmed to keep just the stocks with main branches fixed to wires along the rows. Typically, the 38 39116 first leaves start appearing at the beginning of May, and grape harvesting begins in the last week 40 <sup>41</sup>117 42 of September. Several field campaigns were performed to measure the Leaf Area Index (LAI) of <sup>43</sup> 44</sub>118 the developed vines and to determine the effective column densities of the Volumetric Water 45 46119 **C**ontents (VWC) of different vegetation elements (stocks, grapes, stems, leaves). These values 47 <sup>48</sup>120 49 are given and used in Section 5.2 to derive estimates of effective vegetation radiative properties, <sup>50</sup> 51121 which were compared with corresponding remotely sensed values. 52

53122 Since the installation of the L-band radiometer ELBARA II [28] in September 2009 at the 54 <sup>55</sup> 56</sub>123 MELBEX III site, brightness temperatures  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  at polarization p = H, V and incidence angles 30° 57 58124  $\leq \alpha \leq 70^{\circ}$  have been measured automatically in steps of 5° every 30 minutes. In contrast, at  $\alpha$  = 59 <sup>60</sup>125 45°,  $T_{B}^{\rho,\alpha}$  are recorded every 10 minutes. Simultaneously with each  $T_{B}^{\rho,\alpha}$  measurement, air temperature  $T_{air}$  is recorded right next to the radiometer to provide the only physical temperature 126

ManuscriptSubmitted

127 used in our analysis. Furthermore, every day around midnight sky radiances  $T_{sky}$  are measured 128 at the nadir angle  $\alpha_{sky}$  = 150° to recalibrate the ELBARA II **A**ctive **C**old noise **S**ource (ACS) used 129 for internal calibration.



**Figure 1:** a) Picture of the MELBEX III site during a foil experiment with the L-band radiometer ELBARA II mounted on the tower. b) Bird's eye view of the configuration used in the foil experiments. Bold dots indicate the locations of the in-situ soil measurements, crosses the individual vines, and dashed ellipses the footprints observed at the different elevation angles  $\alpha$ . c) and d) Photos of the sites prepared to investigate the winter state of the vegetation and its fully developed summer state on the basis of the  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured.

# **2.2. Auxiliary data**

46<sup>47</sup>131

The following auxiliary "ground truth" information is available for the MELBEX III site and

- $_{50}^{-1}$ 132 relevant for the ongoing SMOS calibration and validation activities at the VAS area.
- i) Time-series of precipitation measured every 15 minutes are available from the Jucar River
- <sup>54</sup>134 Basin Authority rain gauge approximately 2 km from the MELBEX III site. Complementary
- <sup>56</sup> <sub>57</sub>135 meteorological data with a temporal resolution of 10 minutes are available from the VAS
- <sup>59136</sup> meteorological station situated at the Finca Cañada Honda, Bodegas Iranzo, about 4 km from
  - 137 the MELBEX III site.

26

28

30 31

34

36

39

41

46

48

### Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing

1 138 ii) Soil moisture within the topmost 6 cm is measured in-situ every 10 minutes within the 2 <sup>3</sup> 139 ELBARA II footprints using capacitive ThetaProbes (Delta-T Devices Ltd., type ML2x, nominal 4 5 140 accuracy ±1%). To acquire surface soil moisture representative of the ELBARA II footprints, 6 7 8 141 probes were installed on bare soil between vine rows and underneath the vines, close to the 9 <sup>10</sup>142 stumps (black dots in Figure 1b). Site-specific calibration was used to derive volumetric moisture 11 <sup>12</sup> 13**143** from raw sensor data.

15144 iii) Next to the tower base, a small network of additional moisture and temperature probes was 16 <sup>17</sup>145 installed (ThetaProbes; a Profile Probe, Delta-T Devices Ltd., type PR2) to monitor soil moisture 19 20**146** at 4 depths down to 80 cm, and LI-COR sensors to measure soil temperatures at the depths 5, 21 <sup>22</sup>147 10, 20, 30, 50 and 80 cm below the ground. 23

<sup>24</sup> 25</sub>148 iv) A compact DAVIS Vantage Pro meteorological station is attached to the ELBARA II tower 27149 2 m above ground to monitor air temperature, atmospheric humidity, pressure, wind speed and <sup>29</sup>150 wind direction every 10 minutes.

<sup>32</sup> 33</sub>151 2.3. Remote sensing system

<sup>35</sup>152 The L-band radiometer ELBARA II [28] deployed at the MELBEX III site is the successor of the <sup>37</sup> 38</sub>153 ETH L-BAnd RAdiometer for soil-moisture research (ELBARA) [29], designed and built by the 40154 Institute of Applied Physics, University of Berne, Switzerland. Since further ground-based <sup>42</sup>155 43 radiometer campaigns during the SMOS commissioning phase and during the operative phase of <sup>44</sup> 45156 the mission appeared to be needed, three identical ELBARA II radiometer systems were 47157 requested by ESA and currently operative in SMOS relevant field campaigns.

<sup>49</sup>50158 ELBARA II was designed to be sensitive within the protected part 1400-1427 MHz of the 51 52159 microwave L band (1000–2000 MHz). Since the receiver bandwidth  $B \approx 22$  MHz (at -3 dB) was 53 <sup>54</sup>160 narrow, the received noise power emitted from a site at the physical temperature  $T \approx 300$  K may 56 be as low as  $P = k \cdot T \cdot B \approx 10^{-13}$  W. Low-noise amplifiers and a series of passive components with 57161 58 <sup>59</sup>162 the net gain of 69 dB were implemented in the ELBARA II Microwave Assembly (MA). This was 163 required to amplify the low input power to a level that matches the operational range of the power

### **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

1 164 detector used, so that voltages U could be generated at the output of the Power Detector <sup>3</sup> 165 Assembly (PDA) as linear responses to the input noise power. Furthermore, a sophisticated 166 thermal system was developed to ensure the thermal stabilization of the electronics was accurate 8 167 as this is crucial for long-term applications under widely varying environmental conditions. <sup>10</sup>168 Another challenge for the ELBARA II design was the internal calibration to derive  $T_B^{\rho,\alpha}$  from 12 13**169** instrumental raw data. This was solved by periodically switching between different reference 14 <sup>15</sup>170 16 noise sources fed to the radiometer MA, while recording the responses U at the output of the <sup>17</sup> 18**171** PDA. A **R**esistive noise **S**ource (RS) stabilized at the temperature  $T_{RS} > T_B^{p,\alpha}$  was used for the 19 20172 hot calibration source, yielding the reference response  $U_{RS}$  at the PDA. The implementation of an 21 <sup>22</sup> 23</sub>173 Active Cold Source (ACS) to generate a cold reference noise temperature  $T_{ACS} < T_B^{p,\alpha}$  with the 24 25174 associated PDA response U<sub>ACS</sub> was another of the innovations implemented in ELBARA II. The 26 <sup>27</sup>175 28 noise temperature  $T^{P}_{RM, in}$  at the radiometer input ports for H- and V-polarization were derived <sup>29</sup> 30176 from the associated responses  $U^{\rho}$  using the linear interpolation between the reference responses 31 32177 33 of the RS and the ACS with known noise temperatures of  $T_{ACS} \approx 37.8$  K and  $T_{RS} \approx 313$  K (see <sup>34</sup> 35</sub>178 subsection a) below).

$$T_{\rm RM, in}^{p} = \frac{T_{\rm RS} - T_{\rm ACS}}{U_{\rm RS} - U_{\rm ACS}} \left( U^{p} - U_{\rm ACS} \right) + T_{\rm ACS} \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha} = \frac{T_{\rm RM, in}^{p} - (1 - t_{\rm FC})T_{\rm FC}}{t_{\rm FC}}$$
(1)

<sup>42</sup>180 However, the experimentally relevant  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  entering the antenna aperture was slightly smaller 44 45181 than  $T^{P}_{RM, in}$  due to the noise added by the lossy Feed-Cable (FC) with transmissivity  $t_{FC} < 1$ . This <sup>47</sup>182 48 was taken into account in the second equation in (1) that corrects  $\mathcal{T}^{\rho}_{RM, in}$  for the noise added by <sup>49</sup> 50183 the FC at the temperature  $T_{FC}$ . To avoid error-prone extrapolation in deriving  $T_{RM,in}^{p}$  from (1), the noise levels of the internal calibration sources were designed to fulfill  $T_{RS} > T_{RM, in}^{o} > T_{ACS}$ , which 52184 <sup>54</sup>185 significantly increased the absolute accuracy of  $T^{P}_{RM in}$ .

<sup>56</sup> 57186 The technical details for the ELBARA II system are given in [28], but the specific system 58 59187 performances, which are important from the experimental point of view, are described in the 60

### page 8 of 31

2

4 5

6 7

9

46

51

53

55

Page 9 of 31

2

4 5

7 8

9 10

12

17

49

### **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

1 188 following subsections, drawing on experience in the field during the MELBEX III campaign. They <sup>3</sup> 189 are important for the harmonized operation of the different ELBARA II systems currently in use.

### 6 190 a) Noise reference sources

191 A 50  $\Omega$  RS stabilized at the instrument set-point temperature  $T_0$  = 40 °C was used to provide the reference noise temperature  $T_{RS} = T_0$  measured for the RS. For the time period from 19<sup>th</sup> 11192 <sup>13</sup>193 14 February 2010 to 1<sup>st</sup> February 2011, the mean RS temperature was  $T_{RS}$  = 40 °C = 313.15 K, with 15 16**194** a standard deviation of  $\sigma T_{RS}$  < 0.08 K, and the mean system response was  $U_{RS}$  = 1.1089 V, with <sup>18</sup>195 19 a standard deviation of  $\sigma U_{RS}$  < 0.0002 V.

<sup>20</sup> 21196 Since long-term experience with the novel ACS was lacking, diurnal recalibration at around 22 23197 24 midnight was needed using sky measurements where  $T_{sky} < T_{ACS}$  and measurements on the RS <sup>25</sup>26198 where  $T_{RS} > T_{ACS}$  (Section 3.1.4 in [28]). The ACS reference noise  $T_{ACS}$  was determined 27 28**199** analogous to (1) (see Section 3.1.4 in [28]) with sky radiance  $T_{sky}$  computed with [30] for the 29 <sup>30</sup>200 31 elevation 750 m a.s.l. of the MELBEX III site and  $T_{air}$  measured. The mean  $T_{ACS}$  determined for <sup>32</sup> 33201 the experimental time period was  $T_{ACS}$  = 37.83 K, with a standard deviation of  $\sigma T_{ACS}$  = 0.63 K. 34 35202 The associated mean system response was  $U_{ACS} = 0.4711$  V, with a standard deviation of 36 <sup>37</sup> 38</sub>203  $\sigma U_{ACS}$  < 0.0001 V. These observations indicate that the thermal stabilization of ELBARA II was 39 40204 excellent when it was operated under environmental conditions with air temperatures ranging 41 <sup>42</sup>205 from -3 °C <  $T_{air}$  < 35 °C. They also imply that the ACS is stabil in the long term, which allows 44 45**20**6 significantly longer cycles to be applied for the recalibration of the ACS with sky measurements. 46

### 47 48</sub>207 b) Treatment of radio frequency interferences

50**208** Radio Frequency Interference (RFI) can occur even within the protected 1400–1427 MHz 51 52 53 53 band, which is the sensitive frequency range of ELBARA II. Hence, RFI was reduced by narrow-<sup>54</sup> 55210 band filtering at the radiometer input (before amplification). Two strategies were used to detect 56 57211 RFI: (i) Narrow-band continuous RFI was detected by splitting the protected band into a Lower 58 <sup>59</sup>60212 Side Band (LSB) and an Upper Side Band (USB). The Frequency-Domain (FD) criterion  $FD \equiv |T_{B, USB}^{p} - T_{B, LSB}^{p}|$ , with a threshold FD = 0.4 K, was used to detect narrow-band RFI. (ii) Bursts 213 ManuscriptSubmitted

1 214 of RFI were detected by analyzing the time series of noise power measured at the rate of <sup>3</sup> 215 800 Hz. It was expected that the inherent Gaussian statistics associated with undisturbed 216 thermal noise would be altered by RFI bursts, so that these bursts could be detected by checking 8 217 kurtosis k [31, 32], which is k = 3 for a perfect Gaussian distribution. Consequently, the Time-<sup>10</sup>218 **D**omain (*TD*) criterion  $TD \equiv |k-3|$  was defined to identify RFI bursts. The corresponding threshold 11 12 13219 value was determined on the basis of the responses  $U_{\rm RS}$  measured at the PDA output, when the 14 <sup>15</sup> 16</sub>220 internal RS was switched to the receiver path. As expected, the kurtosis of these undisturbed 17 18221 measurements was  $k_{RS}$  = 3, with a standard deviation  $\sigma k_{RS}$  < 0.1. This value is considered as the 19 <sup>20</sup>21222 instrumental limitation, which led us to set the threshold to  $TD = 3 \cdot \sigma k_{RS} \approx 0.3$  for the antenna 22 <sup>23</sup>223 measurements. 24

26224 c) Antenna and radiometer mount

2

4 5

6 7

9

25

27

30

32

34 35

37

39

42

44

47

49

52

54

57

59

<sup>28</sup>225 The ELBARA II system is mounted on a tower 15.3 m above the ground (Figure 1a). The 31226 system is equipped with an elevation tracker that allows the antenna to be oriented automatically <sup>33</sup>227 for  $30^{\circ} \le \alpha \le 330^{\circ}$  with  $\alpha = 180^{\circ}$  as the zenith direction (Section 2.4. in [28]). After the system <sub>36</sub>228 was installed on the tower, the elevation tracker was calibrated with a digital level to achieve 38229 reproducible  $\alpha$  with an absolute accuracy better than  $\pm 1^\circ$ . In the MELBEX III campaign, 40 41230 measurements were taken at 30°  $\leq \alpha \leq$  70° with steps of 5°, whereas only  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured for 30° <sup>43</sup>231  $\leq \alpha \leq 60^{\circ}$  were used to explore the vegetation radiative properties based on the foil experiments. <sup>45</sup> 46232 At the intermediate angle  $\alpha$  = 45° the center of the antenna beam waist is approximately 1.7 m 48233 above the base of the ELBARA II scaffold, leading to the height h = 17 m, which was used to <sup>50</sup> 51234 compute the size (Figure 1b) and the fractional amounts  $\mu^{\alpha}$  (Section 2.4) of the footprints for the 53235 different  $\alpha$ . The latter required knowing the antenna relative sensitivity  $D(\Theta)$  with respect to the <sup>55</sup> 56</sub>236 antenna main direction, for which  $D(\Theta = 0^{\circ}) = 1$ . While the system was being constructed,  $D(\Theta)$ 58237 was derived experimentally by measuring the sun disk moving through the field of view of the <sup>60</sup>238 antenna (Section 3.2.1 in [28]). For  $\Theta \leq 15^{\circ}$ , these data were approximated with the following 239 Gaussian bell curve ( $\Theta$  in °):

page 10 of 31

### ManuscriptSubmitted

5

8

11

13 14

16 17

19

21

24

26

29

31

36

41

46

51

59 60

 $D(\Theta) = \exp(-0.01781 \cdot \Theta^2)$ 1 240

241 In agreement with the rotational symmetry of the Pickett-horn [33] antenna in the ELBARA II 6 7 242 system,  $D(\Theta)$  depends exclusively on the polar angle  $\Theta$ . This implies that (2) holds for both <sup>9</sup> 10</sub>243 polarizations received with the two orthogonal  $\lambda$ /4-structures implemented in the antenna feed 12244 (see Section 2.3 in [28]).

### <sup>15</sup>245 2.4. Foil experiments

18246 The role of the MELBEX III site as the Mediterranean SMOS ground-truth site required 20247 dedicated short-term experiments to separate the radiances originating from the vegetation from <sup>22</sup> 23</sub>248 those emitted by the soil below. To ensure that measured  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  predominantly carried information 25249 on vegetation transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  and optical depths  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$ , soil emission was shielded by placing <sup>27</sup> 28</sub>250 a reflecting foil below the vegetation (Figure 1). Hence, approximately 600 m<sup>2</sup> of metalized foil<sup>6</sup> 30251 was spread out in the trapezoidal shape depicted in Figure 1b to prevent any soil emission from <sup>32</sup>252 33 this area.

<sup>34</sup> 35**253** The dashed ellipses in Figure 1b indicate the projection of the  $\pm 9^{\circ}$  beam angles onto the <sup>37</sup>254 38 footprint plane from which the fractional amounts  $\mu^{\alpha} > 0.93$  of the total radiance should originate <sup>39</sup> 40**255** for  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $35^{\circ}$ ,  $40^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$ ,  $50^{\circ}$ ,  $55^{\circ}$ ,  $60^{\circ}$  for a homogeneous footprint. However, due to the <sup>42</sup>256 trapezoidal shape of the foil, values  $\mu^{\alpha}$  for given  $\alpha$  and the height h = 17 m of the beam waist 44 45**257** were computed numerically for this specific setup, taking into consideration the normalized antenna sensitivity  $D_{N}(\Theta^{\alpha,h,\delta})$  derived from (2) and the angle  $\delta$  representing a possible <sup>47</sup>258 48 <sup>49</sup> 50<sup>259</sup> misalignment of the antenna in azimuth direction:

$$\mu^{\alpha} = \iint_{\text{foil area}} D_{N} \left( \Theta^{\alpha,h,\delta} \left( x, y \right) \right) \cdot d\Omega^{h,\delta} \left( x, y, dx, dy \right)$$
(3)

page 11 of 31

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  The composite foil is made up of a 12- $\mu$ m-thick aluminum film inbetween 12  $\mu$ m of polyester and 75  $\mu$ m of polyethylene. The thickness of the electrically conductive and paramagnetic aluminum is significantly larger than the skin depth at L band, implying that perfect reflectivity (and hence perfect shielding of the soil emission) can be assumed for the area covered with the foil.

### Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing

Omitting the algebraic details along with the coordinate transformations and vector geometry.  $\Theta^{\alpha,h,\delta}(x,y)$  represents the angle between the antenna main direction at  $\alpha, h, \delta$  and the view direction of a point in the xy-footprint plane. Seen from the perspective of the radiometer, the solid angle  $d\Omega^{n,\delta}(x,y,dx,dy)$  covers an infinitesimal area  $dx \cdot dy$ , located at a position (x, y) in the footprint plane. The surface integral in (3) was evaluated numerically, yielding the angular dependency of  $\mu^{\alpha}$  shown in Figure 2. The error bars indicate results from evaluations performed <sub>16</sub>267 for  $\delta = \pm 3^{\circ}$ , which is large enough to include the possible misalignment of the radiometer in azimuth direction.

<sup>20</sup>269 All configurations considered in the simulations reveal  $\mu^{\alpha} > 0.93$ , with a maximum of  $\mu^{\alpha} =$ 0.997 at  $\alpha$  = 35°. For shallower observations,  $\mu^{\alpha}$  decreases and misalignments  $\delta$  become more <sup>25</sup>271 relevant, as expected. However, the impact of radiance originating from areas outside the foil-covered part of the footprint on  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  was expected to be very small due to the generally high <sup>30</sup>273 values  $\mu^{\alpha}$  (compare Section 4.1), which implies that uncertainties in the emission of these areas would not significantly affect the retrieved vegetation radiative properties described in Section <sup>35</sup>275 5.1.





5

3. Brightness temperatures 1 277

An error analysis of measured  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  to quantify the absolute uncertainties  $\Delta T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$ , was 4 278 important for two reasons: i) to calibrate and validate SMOS data on the basis of ELBARA II measurements; ii) to constrain errors in the vegetation transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  and optical depth  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$ (Section 5) derived from the  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  measured during the foil experiments (see Section 3.2).

### 3.1. Uncertainties associated with the brightness temperatures measured

As described in Section 2.3, the equations (1) were used to derive  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  from instrumental raw data. To achieve conservative estimates for the corresponding uncertainties  $\Delta T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  and to take into account the fact that some of the error-prone parameters involved are not correlated with any of the other parameters (e.g.  $T_{FC}$ ), arithmetic error propagation  $\Delta T_{B}^{p,\alpha} = \sum \Delta X \cdot \left| \partial T_{B}^{p,\alpha} / \partial X \right|_{LY}$ was applied in conjunction with (1). The summation was performed over the individual parameters involved in (1), and summarized with the symbol {*X*} = {*T*<sub>RS</sub>, *T*<sub>ACS</sub>, *U*<sub>RS</sub>, *U*<sub>ACS</sub>, *U*<sup>*p*,*α*</sup>,  $T_{\text{FC}}$ ,  $t_{\text{fc}}$ , with associated uncertainties { $\Delta X$ } = { $\Delta T_{\text{RS}}$ ,  $\Delta T_{\text{ACS}}$ ,  $\Delta U_{\text{RS}}$ ,  $\Delta U_{\text{ACS}}$ ,  $\Delta U^{p,\alpha}$ ,  $\Delta T_{\text{FC}}$ }. The values of {X} and { $\Delta X$ } used in the error propagation analysis were derived from the approximately 150-10<sup>3</sup> ELBARA II measurements performed between 19<sup>th</sup> February 2010 and 1<sup>st</sup> February 2011 (Section 2). shows the values {X} and { $\Delta X$ } and summarizes their derivations (these are explained in more detail below).

The statistical uncertainties  $\Delta U = \Delta U_{\rm RS}$ ,  $\Delta U_{\rm ACS}$ , and  $\Delta U^{p,\alpha}$  of the PDA responses (voltages) U = $U_{\rm RS}$ ,  $U_{\rm ACS}$ , and  $U^{p,\alpha}$  were computed from the standard deviations  $\sigma U$  of these measurements associated with corresponding noise-power levels  $T_{\rm RM in} = T_{\rm RS}$ ,  $T_{\rm ACS}$ , and  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$ , performed with the shortest possible integration time 2.5 ms of ELBARA II. Equation (12) in [28] was used with the system parameters (radiometer gain  $G_{RM}$  = 1.86 mV K<sup>-1</sup>, radiometer residual noise  $T_{RM, 0}$  = 153 K, time-bandwidth product  $B\tau$  = 15868 Hz s, PDA noise  $\sigma U_{PDA}$  = 0.649 mV) determined experimentally during the construction of ELBARA II (Table 2 in [28]). Finally, the three values  $\Delta U$  used with the arithmetic error propagation were computed as  $\Delta U = \sigma U \cdot (f_{LP} \cdot \tau_{rec})^{-1/2}$  with  $f_{LP} =$ 301 page 13 of 31 ManuscriptSubmitted

400 Hz as the cut-off frequency of the PDA, and the integration time  $\tau_{rec}$  = 3 s applied to our 1 302 <sup>3</sup>. 303 measurements (corresponding to 1200 independent measurements with 2.5 ms integration time <sub>6</sub> 304 each).

8 305 The mean physical temperature  $T_{FC}$  of the Feed Cable (FC) was approximated with  $T_{air}$ <sup>10</sup> 11</sub>306 measured next to the FC simultaneously with the  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$ . The assumed uncertainty,  $\Delta T_{FC}$  = 5 K, 13307 accounts for the temperature variations along the FC connecting the receiver with the antenna <sup>15</sup>308 16 ports, which are not of course, taken into account in the simple model (1) used to correct for FC 17 18<sup>309</sup> noise. The value of the FC transmission  $t_{FC}$  = 0.977 results from its specified loss  $L_{FC}$  = 0.1 dB 20310 (Section 2.2.1 in [28]). As this value can be considered constant, at least for the period between <sup>22</sup> 23</sub>311 two sky calibrations, the uncertainty  $\Delta t_{FC} = 0$  is assumed.

Table 1:	Values and comments on the parameters ${X} =$
	$\{T_{RS}, T_{ACS}, U_{RS}, U_{ACS}, U^{p, \alpha}, T_{FC}, t_{fc}\}$ with
	uncertainties { $\Delta X$ } = { $\Delta T_{RS}$ , $\Delta T_{ACS}$ , $\Delta U_{RS}$ , $\Delta U_{ACS}$ ,
	$\Delta U^{p,\alpha}, \Delta T_{FC}$ used to compute $\Delta T_B^{p,\alpha}$ shown in
	Figure 3

32		r igure 5		
33	{X}	{ <b>ΔX</b> }	Comments	
34 35 36	<i>T</i> <sub>RS</sub> = 313 Κ	$\Delta T_{\rm RS} = \sigma T_{\rm RS} = 71 \text{ mK}$	Mean internal physical temperature, measured with associated standard deviation (Section 2.3a)	2
37 38 39	<i>U</i> <sub>RS</sub> = 1.11 V	Δ <i>U</i> <sub>RS</sub> = σ <i>U</i> <sub>RS</sub> = 199 μV	Mean PDA response (voltage) for the RS with associated statistical uncertainty $\sigma U_{RS}$ (Section 2.3a)	Q
40 41 42 43	7 <sub>ACS</sub> = 37.8 К	$\Delta T_{ACS} = \sigma T_{ACS} = 631 \text{ mK}$	Mean ACS temperature, derived from sky calibrations with associated standard deviation (Section 2.3a)	
44 45 46 47	U <sub>ACS</sub> = 0.471 V	$\Delta U_{ACS} = \sigma U_{ACS} = 83 \mu V$	Mean PDA response (voltage) for the ACS with associated standard deviation $\sigma U_{ACS}$ (Section 2.3a)y	
47 48 49 50 51	U <sup>ρ,α</sup> = 0.75 V - 1.21 V	$\Delta U^{p,\alpha} = \sigma U^{p,\alpha}$	Mean PDA response (voltage) for antenna measurements with associated statistical uncertainty $\sigma U^{\rho,\alpha}$ (Section 2.3a)	-
52 53 54	7 <sub>FC</sub> = 14.5°C	Δ <i>T</i> <sub>FC</sub> = 5 K	Mean $T_{air}$ measured with estimated temperature difference at along the FC	-
55 56 57313	t <sub>FC</sub> = 0.977	$\Delta t_{\rm FC} = 0$	Specified FC transmissivity	-

57 58

2

4 5

7

9

12

14

19

21

- <sup>59</sup>314 The absolute uncertainties  $\Delta T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  computed with arithmetic error propagation applied to (1)
  - also depend on the values of  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured. Hence,  $\Delta T_B^{p,\alpha}$  (Figure 3) are estimated for the 315

28

29

30

31

34

35

36

37

32**322** 33 0.2

0.1

0.0

Figure 3:

0

50

100

150

200

*T*<sub>B</sub><sup>, ρ, α</sup> [K]

Uncertainties  $\Delta T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  of  $T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  measured with

the undisturbed vineyard are indicated.

ELBARA II. The noise temperatures, T<sub>ASC</sub> and

 $T_{RS}$ , of internal reference sources, and ranges of

 $T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  measured during the foil experiments and on

## **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

range 0 K  $\leq T_B^{p,\alpha} \leq$  370 K to represent all the situations at horizontal and vertical polarization 1 316 2 3 317 observed during the foil experiments, as well as during the measurements performed on the 4 5 undisturbed vineyard. Considering the corresponding ranges of  $T_{\rm B}^{\,\rho,\alpha}$  (large arrows in Figure 3), 318 6 7 8 the range of uncertainty expected for  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured with the reflecting foil below the vine 319 9 10 11320 vegetation was 0.69 K <  $\Delta T_B^{p,\alpha}$  < 0.94 K, and 0.57 K <  $\Delta T_B^{p,\alpha}$  < 0.74 K for the measurements on 12 <sup>13</sup>321 the undisturbed vineyard. 14 15 16 1.1 17 18 1.0 vineyard 19 0.9 20 0.8 21 37.8 0.7  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ 22  $\Delta T_{\rm B}^{\,\,\rho,\alpha}$ 0.6 23 0.5 24 25 0.4 foil-experiments 26 0.3

 $T_{\rm RS}$ 

250

313 K

350

Ō

300

# 43<sub>44</sub>324 **3.2.** Brightness temperatures measured during the foil experiments

45 <sup>46</sup>325 Since ELBARA II was commissioned in September 2009, it has provided calibrated  $T_{\rm B}^{\,\rho,\alpha}$  for 47 48 <sub>49</sub>326 p = H, V and  $30^{\circ} \le \alpha \le 70^{\circ}$  that are currently being analyzed within the framework of the ongoing 50 51327 SMOS calibration and validation activities. While the reflecting foil has been laid out, sequences 52 <sup>53</sup>54328 of  $T_{B}^{p,\alpha}$  were measured for  $30^{\circ} \le \alpha \le 60^{\circ}$  to derive transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  and optical depths  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$ 55 with different vegetation states (Section 5). Comparable measurements of  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  at the vinevard 56329 57 58, 59 59 330 with similar vegetation states and without any foil below the vines were used to comprise the 60 331 combined emissions of vegetation and soil (Section 4.1, equation (7)). Figure 4 shows the data 332 sequences Tws\_f, Tws\_nf and Tss\_f, Tss\_nf, where "ws" refers to the winter state of the page 15 of 31 ManuscriptSubmitted vineyard and "ss" indicates the **s**ummer **s**tate. The index "f" indicates the periods when the **f**oil was under the vines and "nf" refers to the periods when **n**o **f**oil was under the vines with the same developmental states. The bottom rows in Figure 4 show air temperatures  $T_{air}$  measured next to the radiometer at the same time as the  $T_B^{\rho,\alpha}$ .



**Figure 4:** Time series of  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured for p = H, V and  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$  (bold black), 35° 40°, 45°, 50°,55° (gray), 60° (black). Arrows indicate trends of angular dependencies of  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$ . Gray shaded boxes indicate RFI distorted  $T_B^{V,\alpha}$ . The data used to derive the radiative properties of the vegetation's winter state and its fully developed state are constrained to time periods between  $11^{00}$  and  $13^{00}$  indicated in a) and b), respectively.  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured with the foil on the soil are shown in the left columns of a) and b). The right columns show  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  of the vineyard without the foil below the vines with the same vegetation states. The bottom rows show  $T_{air}$  measured on the tower at the same time as  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$ .

<sup>41</sup><sub>42</sub>338 During the period Tws f, distinct RFI was observed exclusively at V-polarization every day between 19<sup>00</sup> and 7<sup>30</sup> (indicated by the gray shaded boxes). The data from the four two-day <sup>46</sup>340 periods Tws f (26. – 27. February 2010), Tws nf (29. – 30. January 2011) and Tss f (7. – 8. <sup>48</sup> 49</sub>341 September 2010), Tss\_nf (10. – 11. September 2010) were further constrained to the hours 11<sup>00</sup> - 13<sup>00</sup> for analysis because this measure improves the comparability between  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  and  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$ <sup>53</sup>543 retrieved for different vegetation states. Moreover, the RFI-distorted periods had to be excluded from the analysis. <sup>58</sup>345 The  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  shown in Figure 4 differ significantly for all time periods Tws f, Tws nf and Tss f,

*T*ss\_nf. Qualitatively, the angular dependence of the  $T_{B,}^{p,\alpha}$  measured for the winter state of the

347 vineyard with no foil (Tws\_nf) corresponds to the emission expected for a specular (Fresnel) page 16 of 31 ManuscriptSubmitted

### **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

surface ( $T_{\rm B}^{\rm V,\alpha} > T_{\rm B}^{\rm H,\alpha}$  and decreasing  $T_{\rm B}^{\rm H,\alpha}$  with increasing  $\alpha$ , while  $T_{\rm B}^{\rm V,\alpha}$  increases with  $\alpha$ ). In 1 348 2 <sup>3</sup> 349 contrast, the sensitivity of  $T_{B}^{\rho,\alpha}$  with respect to  $\alpha$  is generally less pronounced during the undisturbed vegetation summer state (Tss nf) and some of the  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  even respond in the opposite way. The  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured for the vegetation winter state with the foil (Tws\_f) were rather 351 small (35 K <  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  < 100 K) and no clear trend with  $\alpha$  can be identified. Again, with the summer 11352 <sup>13</sup> 14</sub>353 vegetation state and the foil (Tss f), the behavior was significantly different. Here the  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$ increased with  $\alpha$  at both polarizations, and the sensitivity with respect to  $\alpha$  distinctly increased in <sup>18</sup><sub>19</sub>355 comparison with the period Tws f during the vegetation winter state with the foil. In summary, the 20 21**356** state of the vegetation became primarily apparent in the angular dependencies of the  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$ measured, as well as in the overall magnitudes.

# 4. Modeling approach

The following sub-sections describe the models used to quantify transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  and optical depths  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  of the vegetation at different developmental stages for H- and V- polarization on the basis of the  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  and  $T_{air}$  shown in Figure 4.

## 2 4.1. Microwave radiative transfer

The thermal L-band emissions  $T_B{}^{p,\alpha}$  of the vineyards during the periods with no foil (periods Tws\_nf and Tss\_nf in Figure 4) are modeled with the very simple radiative transfer approach (4). It uses the merged reflectivity  $R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$  of the vine vegetation and soil and the effective temperature  $T_{vine}$  of the vineyard, and assumes that the temperature is the same all over the site:

$$T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha} = \left(1 - R_{\rm vine}^{p,\alpha}\right) T_{\rm vine} + R_{\rm vine}^{p,\alpha} T_{\rm sky} \tag{4}$$

<sup>55368</sup> Modeling  $T_{B}^{\rho,\alpha}$  of areas with the highly reflective foil underneath the vines requires a more <sup>57</sup><sub>58</sub>369 sophisticated approach. With increasing ground reflection, multiple reflections across the <sup>59</sup><sub>60</sub>370 vegetation become increasingly relevant, and the zero-order scattering model [34], also called 371 the  $\tau - \omega$  model [9, 35, 36], is therefore not an appropriate choice. To account for multiple page 17 of 31 ManuscriptSubmitted 372 reflections, we use the multiple-scattering approach (5) described in [37, Section 4.2.5.1] to 373 model  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  emitted from areas with the reflecting foil. In (5),  $T_G$  and  $T_V$  are the effective 374 temperatures of the ground and the vegetation, and  $R_G^{p,\alpha}$  is the ground reflectivity:

$$T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha} = T_{\rm G}a_{\rm G} + T_{\rm V}a_{\rm V} + T_{\rm sky} \left(1 - a_{\rm G} - a_{\rm V}\right) \qquad \text{with} \\ a_{\rm G} = t_{\rm V} \frac{1 - R_{\rm G}^{p,\alpha}}{1 - R_{\rm G}^{p,\alpha} r_{\rm V}} \\ a_{\rm V} = (1 - r_{\rm V} - t_{\rm V}) \frac{1 + R_{\rm G}^{p,\alpha} (t_{\rm V} - r_{\rm V})}{1 - R_{\rm G}^{p,\alpha} r_{\rm V}}$$
(5)

The equations (4.13) and (4.14) in [37] define the reflectivity  $r_V$  and the transmissivity  $t_V$  of the scattering vegetation layer. For moderate scattering, these can be approximated with:

$$r_{\rm V} = r_{\infty} \left( 1 - \Gamma^{p,\alpha^2} \right) \text{ and } t_{\rm V} = \Gamma^{p,\alpha} \left( 1 - r_{\infty}^2 \right) \text{ with } r_{\infty} \cong \frac{\omega^{p,\alpha}}{2}$$
 (6)

Where the reflectivity  $r_{\infty}$  of the vegetation at infinite thickness is given by equation (4.16) in [37]. Considering that scattering in the backward hemisphere is much smaller than absorption, (4.17) [37] can be approximated with a corresponding first-order Taylor expansion. Using this approximation in (4.16) and considering the definitions (4.2) relates  $r_{\infty}$  to the effective scattering albedo  $\omega^{p,\alpha}$ , as it is expressed by the third relation in (6).

The denominators  $(1 - R_G^{p,\alpha} \cdot r_V)$  in (5) express the infinite reflections within the vegetation 41384 <sup>43</sup>385 layer, which obviously become dominant if the ground is perfectly reflecting ( $R_{G}^{p,\alpha} = 1$ ) because 46386 of the metalized foil. Furthermore, it can easily be shown that this multiple-scattering emission <sup>48</sup>387 49 model becomes equivalent to the zero-order  $\tau$  -  $\omega$  model for  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$ , which then represents nonscattering vegetation. Although the assumption  $\omega^{\rho,\alpha} = 0$  is often made for low growing vegetation 51388 <sup>53</sup>389 54 types, this is not necessarily adequate for vineyards since grapevines have a significant amount <sub>56</sub>390 of woody matter with dimensions comparable with the L-band wavelengths ( $\approx$  21 cm). This is why <sup>58</sup>391 we considered the range  $0 \le \omega^{p,\alpha} \le 0.1$  in the analysis presented in Section 5.

The sky radiance  $T_{sky}$  used in (4) and (5) was computed with [30] for the elevation 750 m a.s.l.

393 of the MELBEX III site, the  $T_{air}$  measured, and the direction of the downwelling  $T_{sky}$  received by page 18 of 31 ManuscriptSubmitted

14

17

19

22 <sup>23</sup> 24403

25 26

28

31 32 33406

34

### **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

the radiometer after its forward reflection at the ground. For -3  $^{\circ}C < T_{air} < 40 ~^{\circ}C$  and 1 394 2 <sup>3</sup> 395  $30^{\circ} \le \alpha \le 60^{\circ}$ , the associated sky brightness temperatures are in the range of 4.45 K  $\le T_{sky} \le$ 4 5 396 5.54 K. Furthermore,  $T_{air}$  measured was used for all the effective temperatures involved in (4) 6 7 8 397 and (5), and the resulting errors are analyzed. 9

<sup>10</sup> 11</sub>398 During the periods Tws\_f and Tss\_f, the  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  measured comprise contributions of radiances 13399  $T_{B,f}^{p,\alpha}$  and  $T_{B,vine}^{p,\alpha}$  originating from the area with the reflecting foil, and from the adjacent 15 16</sub>400 undisturbed vineyard, respectively. The radiances,  $T_{B,f}^{p,\alpha}$  and  $T_{B,vine}^{p,\alpha}$ , emitted from within the 18401 antenna's field of view were weighted with the fractional amounts  $\mu^{\alpha}$  and (1 -  $\mu^{\alpha}$ ), respectively, <sup>20</sup>21402 shown in Figure 2:

$$T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha} = \mu^{\alpha} T_{\rm B,f}^{p,\alpha} + \left(1 - \mu^{\alpha}\right) T_{\rm B,vine}^{p,\alpha}$$
(7)

Where, (4) is used to represent  $T_{B,vine}^{p,\alpha}$ , and (5) and (6) is used to express  $T_{B,f}^{p,\alpha}$  with  $R_G^{p,\alpha} = 1$ 27404 <sup>29</sup>30405 to represent the perfect reflection of the metallized foil.

## 4.2. Vegetation transmissivities and uncertainties

<sup>35</sup> 36</sub>407 Vegetation transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  were derived for the vegetation winter state (ws) and the 37 38408 summer state (ss) from the  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$  shown in Figure 4. The weighting approach (7), with the 39 40 41</sub>409 radiative transfer models (4), (5), and (6) is solved numerically for  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  with inserted  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$ 42 43410 measured during the periods Tws f and Tss\_f. The effective physical temperatures,  $T_{\text{vine}}$  of the 44 <sup>45</sup>411 vineyard and  $T_V$  of the vegetation were both approximated with air temperatures  $T_{air}$  measured at 47 48**412** the radiometer. Of course, the assumption,  $T_{\text{vine}} = T_{\text{V}} = T_{\text{air}}$  introduces certain errors that are 49 <sup>50</sup>413 <sub>51</sub> estimated as  $\Delta T_{\text{vine}} = \Delta T_{\text{V}} = 5 \text{ K}$  to represent upper limits. The uncertainties  $\{\Delta Y\} = \{\Delta \mu^{\alpha}, \Delta T_{\text{B}}{}^{\rho, \alpha}, \Delta T_{\text{B}}{}^{\rho, \alpha}\}$ 52  $\Delta T_{V}, \ \Delta T_{\text{vinyard}}, \ \Delta R^{p,\alpha}_{\text{vine}}, \ \Delta T_{\text{sky}}, \ \Delta \omega^{p,\alpha} \} \text{ of the parameters } \{Y\} = \{\mu^{\alpha}, \ T_{B}^{p,\alpha}, \ T_{V}, \ T_{\text{vine}}, \ R^{p,\alpha}_{\text{vine}}, \ T_{\text{sky}}, \ \omega^{p,\alpha} \}$ 53414 54 <sup>55</sup>415 involved in (7) (with (4), (5), and (6) substituted) were used with arithmetic error propagation to 56 57 achieve conservative estimates of absolute uncertainties  $\Delta \Gamma^{p,\alpha} = \sum \Delta Y \cdot \left| \partial \Gamma^{p,\alpha} / \partial Y \right|_{(Y)}$  of the 58416 59 60 417 transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  (summation was performed over the parameters).

### ManuscriptSubmitted



3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18 19

20

21

22

23 24419 25

Figure 5: Mean values of reflectivities  $R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$  of the vineyard for the winter and summer states derived from measurements performed during the periods Tws nf und Tss nf (Figure 4).

The reflectivities of the vineyard outside the foil-covered area used in (4) were estimated as 26420 27 <sup>28</sup>421 the mean values  $R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$  derived from the time-series of vineyard reflectivities deduced from 29 30 31422 measurements during the time periods Tws inf and Tss inf shown in the right columns in Figure 4 32 <sup>33</sup>423 a) and b). The resulting  $R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$  for the winter- (solid symbols) and the summer state (empty 34 35 <sub>36</sub>424 symbols) at H-(up triangles) and V-polarization (down triangles) are depicted in . The angular 37 38425 dependency of  $R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$  observed for the winter state behaved similarly to what could be expected 39 40 for a Fresnelian reflector, i.e. increasing  $R^{H,\alpha}_{vine}$  with increasing  $\alpha$ , and  $R^{V,\alpha}_{vine}$  reaches a <sub>41</sub>426 42 43427 minimum when  $\alpha$  approaches the Brewster angle. The  $R^{p,\alpha}$  vine of the vineyard during summer is 44 45 <sub>46</sub>428 clearly less sensitive to  $\alpha$ , which is rather typical for a diffuse reflector. Hence, the  $R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$ 47 <sup>48</sup>429 demonstrate the marked effect of the vegetation state on the angular dependency of the L-band 49 50 <sub>51</sub>430 signatures even more distinctly than the measured  $T_{\rm B}^{\rho,\alpha}$  presented in Section 3.2. The error bars 52 53431 in represent standard deviations  $\sigma R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$  deduced for the time series of the vinevard reflectivities 54 <sup>55</sup><sub>56</sub>432 measured for the periods Tws nf and Tss nf (right columns in Figure 4 a) and b)), and were 57 58433 used to estimate the uncertainties  $\Delta R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$  of  $R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$ . 59

<sup>60</sup>435 As an upper limit for the uncertainty of the model-based sky radiance  $T_{sky}$ , we assumed  $\Delta T_{sky}$ 

= 1 K. For  $\mu^{\alpha}$ , the values shown in Figure 2 were used together with the uncertainties  $\Delta \mu^{\alpha}$ 436 page 20 of 31 ManuscriptSubmitted

### Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing

computed for a misalignment  $\delta = \pm 3^{\circ}$  between the radiometer plane of incidence and the 1 437 symmetry axes of the trapezoidal foil. The uncertainties  $\Delta T_B^{p,\alpha}$  of the measured  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  used to derive  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  were taken into account by the relation  $\Delta T_B^{p,\alpha}(T_B^{p,\alpha})$  shown in Figure 3. The sensitivity 6 4 3 9 of the  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  to the effective single-scattering albedo  $\omega^{p,\alpha}$  was analyzed by considering the two values  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$  and  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.1$ , which are believed to include the existing range of uncertainty. <sup>13</sup> 1442 Brief comments on the origin of the parameters values  $\{Y\}$  and the associated uncertainties  $\{\Delta Y\}$ are given in Table 2. 

<sup>18</sup>444

Values and comments on the parameters Table 2:  $\begin{array}{l} \{ \mathbf{Y} \} \equiv \{ \mu^{\alpha}, \ T_{B}{}^{p,\alpha}, \ T_{V}, \ T_{\text{vine}}, \ R^{p,\alpha}{}_{\text{vine}}, \ T_{sky}, \ \omega^{p,\alpha} \} \\ \text{with uncertainties } \{ \Delta \mathbf{Y} \} \equiv \{ \Delta \mu^{\alpha}, \ \Delta T_{B}{}^{p,\alpha}, \ \Delta T_{V}, \end{array}$  $\Delta T_{\text{vine}}, \Delta R^{p,\alpha}_{\text{vine}}, \Delta T_{\text{sky}}, \Delta \omega^{p,\alpha}$  used to derive  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  and  $\Delta \Gamma^{p,\alpha}$ .

{Y}	{∆ <i>Y</i> }	Comments
$\mu^{lpha}$	$\Delta\mu^{lpha}$	Computed values shown in Figure 2
$T_{B}^{\rho,\alpha}$	$\Delta T_{B}{}^{p,\alpha}$	Measured values (Figure 4) with uncertainties computed (Figure 3)
$T_{V} = T_{air}$	Δ <i>T</i> <sub>V</sub> = 5 K	Measured values (Figure 4) with assumed uncertainty
$T_{\rm vine}$ = $T_{\rm air}$	$\Delta T_{\text{vine}}$ = 5 K	Measured values (Figure 4) with assumed uncertainty
$R^{p, \alpha}_{vine}$	$\Delta R^{p,\alpha}_{vine} = \sigma R^{p,\alpha}_{vine}$	Values measured during <i>T</i> ss_nf and <i>T</i> ws_nf () with standard deviations indicated
T <sub>sky</sub>	$\Delta T_{sky}$ = 1 K	Computed with [30] and uncertainty assumed
$\omega^{p,\alpha} =$	$\Delta \omega^{p,\alpha}$	Feasible range for the
0 and 0.1	= 0	MELBEX III vineyard

# 4.3. Vegetation optical depth

Vegetation optical depths  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  along the view in the direction of the observation angle  $\alpha$  and

the optical depths  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  in the nadir direction, can be deduced from the corresponding

transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  using Beer's law if isotropy of vegetation absorption can be assumed:

48</sub>446

$$\tau^{p,\alpha} = -\ln(\Gamma^{p,\alpha}) \qquad \qquad \tau_0^{p,\alpha} = \tau^{p,\alpha} \cdot \cos\alpha$$
(8)

Page 22 of 31

1 450 However, we have to take into account the fact that vegetation often shows bi-axial anisotropy <sup>3</sup> 451 [10, 13, 14, 38]<sup>7</sup>. In the L-MEB model [27], vegetation anisotropy is accounted for by using the 452 following empirical approach:

9 453 
$$\tau_0^p(\alpha) = \tau_{\text{NAD}} \left[ tt^p \cdot \sin^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \alpha \right]$$
(9)

The parameters  $\tau_{NAD}$  and  $tt^{\rho}$  ( $\rho = H, V$ ) were determined simultaneously in L-MEB retrievals by applying an optimization approach to multi-angular  $T_{\rm B}^{p,\alpha}$ -data measured, e.g., with SMOS. In Section 5.2,  $\tau_{NAD}$  and  $tt^{\rho}$  are quantified according to ground-based measurements that can be compared with the corresponding L-MEB retrievals from SMOS measurements over the VAS site. These "ground truth" values of the parameters  $\tau_{NAD}$ ,  $tt^{H}$ ,  $tt^{V}$  involved in  $\tau_{0}^{p}(\alpha)$  given by (9) are determined by minimizing the objective function OF (summation is over the observation angles  $\alpha$ measured):

$$OF = \sum_{\alpha} \left[ \left( \tau_0^{\mathrm{H}}(\alpha) - \tau_0^{\mathrm{H},\alpha} \right)^2 + \left( \tau_0^{\mathrm{V}}(\alpha) - \tau_0^{\mathrm{V},\alpha} \right)^2 \right]$$
(10)

# 5. Results and Discussion

## 5.1. Vegetation transmissivities and optical depth

<sup>41</sup>464 Transmissivities  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  and uncertainties  $\Delta\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  of the vineyard vegetation in the winter state and the fully developed summer state were derived from  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$  and  $T_{air}$  measured during the two-hour 44465 <sup>46</sup>466 periods (11<sup>00</sup> - 13<sup>00</sup>) indicated in Figure 4. The radiative transfer approaches given in Section 4 <sub>49</sub>467 solved for  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  were used with the parameter values {Y} and { $\Delta$ Y} explained in Table 2. The <sup>51</sup>468 resulting  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  for 30°  $\leq \alpha \leq$  60°, at horizontal (p = H, up triangles) and vertical (p = V, down <sup>53</sup> 54**469** triangles) polarization are depicted in Figure 6a for the assumptions  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$  (large symbols) and  $\omega^{p,\alpha}$  = 0.1 (small symbols). The error bars to the right of the large symbols (large caps) are the

2

4 5

6 7 8

10 11 12454

13

16 17456

18 <sup>19</sup> 20</sub>457

21 22458

23 <sup>24</sup> 25</sub>459

26 27460

35462 36 37

<sup>38</sup> 39</sub>463

40

42 43

45

47 48

50

52

55

14 15**455** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Predominant orientation of vegetation components (branches, stems, leafs) can lead to different propagation of horizontal and vertical field modes.

### Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing

mean uncertainties  $\Delta\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  of single  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  (for  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$ ) estimated from the uncertainties { $\Delta Y$ } using 1 471 arithmetic error propagation (Section 4.2). The error bars to the left (smaller caps) of the large 6 473 symbols represent the standard deviations  $\sigma\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  of the single  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  (for  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$ ) derived from  $T_B^{p,\alpha}$ and  $T_{air}$  measured for a given  $\alpha$ . Panel b of Figure 6 shows optical depth  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  (again for the assumptions  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$  and  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.1$ ) diagonally through the vegetation computed from  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  using <sup>13</sup>476 (8). The error bars to the right of the large symbols (large caps) are the mean uncertainties  $\Delta \tau^{p,\alpha} = \Delta \Gamma^{p,\alpha} / \Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  of the single  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  (for  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$ ), while the error bars to the left of the large <sup>18</sup>478 symbols (smaller caps) are standard deviations  $\sigma \tau^{p,\alpha}$  of the  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  (for  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$ ) derived for specific 



Figure 6: a) Transmissivities  $\Gamma^{\rho,\alpha}$  at horizontal (up triangles) and vertical (down triangles) polarization as functions of the observation angle  $\alpha$  retrieved for the winter state and the summer state of the vegetation as functions of the observation angle  $\alpha$ , and for horizontal (up triangles) and vertical (down triangles) polarization.  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  are derived assuming  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$  (large symbols) and  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.1$  (small symbols). The error bars to the right of the large symbols (large caps) are the mean uncertainties  $\Delta\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$ of single  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$ . The error bars to the left of the large symbols (smaller caps) are the standard deviations  $\sigma\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  of the  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  measured for a given  $\alpha$ . **b**) Vegetation optical depth  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  diagonally through the vegetation with errors bars corresponding to those in panel a).

<sup>50</sup>.481 The angular dependency of the transmissivity  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  of the vegetation in the summer state 

(empty triangles) shows a clear decreasing trend with increasing  $\alpha$  at H- and V-polarization. In 

<sup>55</sup>483 the winter state,  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  is generally larger than in the summer state, and the angular dependence is

less pronounced with a small decreasing trend for increasing  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha \ge 35^{\circ}$ . Furthermore,  $\Gamma^{H,\alpha}$  is 

<sup>60</sup>485 persistently larger than  $\Gamma^{V,\alpha}$  for both vegetation states. The standard deviations  $\sigma\Gamma^{\rho,\alpha}$  (error bars

to the left of the large symbols) of the  $\approx$  160 samples  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  considered are smaller than the mean page 23 of 31 ManuscriptSubmitted 1 487 uncertainties  $\Delta \Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  (error bars to the right of the large symbols) estimated for the individual  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$ 488 with  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$ . This indicates that the assumptions made for the parameter uncertainties { $\Delta Y$ } 6 489 used to compute  $\Delta \Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  by arithmetic error propagation (Section 4.2) are conservative enough to 490 interpret  $\Delta \Gamma^{\rho,\alpha}$  as upper boundaries of the uncertainties. To address concerns about the 10 somewhat critical assumption  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0$  made in L-MEB retrievals applied to vineyards at VAS, the 11491 12 <sup>13</sup><sub>14</sub>492 sensitivity of the  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  shown in Figure 6a with respect to the single scattering albedo  $\omega^{p,\alpha}$  was 15 16493 analyzed. To this end,  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  were derived from the multiple-scattering emission model described in 17 <sup>18</sup> 19</sub>494 Section 4 assuming  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.1$  (small triangles in Figure 6a), which is possible with specific types 20 21**495** of low growing vegetation [38]. This accounts for vegetation volume scattering and results in an 22 <sup>23</sup>2496 overall decrease in the estimated  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  (small symbols) in comparison with the  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  (large symbols) 25 26497 derived for the non-scattering vegetation ( $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.0$ ). Of course, all of the findings also apply to 27 <sup>28</sup>29498 optical depth  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  shown in Figure 6b) if the definition (8) relating  $\Gamma^{p,\alpha}$  to  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  is considered.

#### 32499 5.2. Comparison of vegetation parameters

2 3

4 5

7 8 9

30 31

33

36

38

41

43

46

48

51

53

<sup>34</sup> 35</sub>500 As outlined in Section 4.3 the effects of vegetation anisotropy on the angular and polarization 37501 dependence of  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  were considered in the L-MEB retrievals by using (8) and the empirical <sup>39</sup>40<sup>502</sup> approach (9) comprising the parameters  $\tau_{NAD}$  and  $tt^{H}$ ,  $tt^{V}$ . These values were quantified on the 42503 basis of the  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$ , shown in Figure 6b, by first applying (8) to correct the  $\tau^{p,\alpha}$  for the elongation of 44 45</sub>504 the path through the vegetation layer. The resulting  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$ , shown in Figure 7 (the symbols are 47505 explained in Figure 6), were then used to minimize the objective function (10), yielding the values <sup>49</sup>506  $\tau_{NAD}$ , and  $tt^{H}$ ,  $tt^{V}$ . These values can be considered as "ground-truth", and are therefore valuable 52507 for the SMOS calibration and validation activities taking place at the VAS site.

<sup>54</sup>508 The  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  shown in Figure 7 reveal a decent decreasing trend with increasing  $\alpha$  for the 56 vegetation winter state. In contrast, a slightly increasing trend of  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  is evident for the summer 57509 58 <sup>59</sup>510 state. This is qualitatively different from the angular dependency of  $\tau^{\rho,\alpha}$  (Figure 6b), which still 511 includes the effect of the increasing path length through the vegetation with increasing  $\alpha$ . The

Page 25 of 31

### Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing

opposite angular trends of  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  observed for the two vegetation states indicate changing 1 512

vegetation anisotropies in the course of vegetation development.

6 514 The bold solid and dashed lines in Figure 7 represent the empirical model  $\tau_0^{p}(\alpha)$  given by (9), fitted to the  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  for the non-scattering vegetation ( $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.0$ ). The corresponding fine lines show  $\tau_0^{p}(\alpha)$  fitted to  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  for  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.1$ . The best-fit values of the parameters  $\tau_{NAD}$ ,  $tt^{H}$ ,  $tt^{V}$  to represent <sup>13</sup>517 the angular dependency of  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  for the vegetation states considered with  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.0$  and  $\omega^{p,\alpha} =$ 0.1, respectively, are shown in Table 3. As can be seen,  $\tau_0$  retrieved for  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.0$  and 0.1 of the <sup>18</sup>519 vegetation in its fully developed summer state is increased by approximately 60% compared with  $\tau_0$  of the vegetation in the winter state. As a consequence of the still observable angular <sup>23</sup>.521 dependence of  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  (Figure 7), the values  $tt^{\rho}$  deviate from zero. Values  $tt^{\rho} < 1$  represent increasing  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  with increasing  $\alpha$  in accordance with the trend observed for the vegetation winter <sup>28</sup>523 29 state. The values  $tt^{p} > 1$  represent the opposite trend of  $\tau_{0}^{p,\alpha}$ , observed for the fully developed summer state of the vegetation. Furthermore,  $\tau_{NAD}$  retrieved with the assumption  $\omega^{p,\alpha} = 0.1$  are <sup>33</sup>525 slightly increased compared with  $\tau_{NAD}$  retrieved without considering volume scattering ( $\omega^{p,\alpha}$  = 0.0).





Values of best fit-parameters  $\tau_{NAD}$ ,  $tt^{H}$ , Table 3:  $tt^{V}$  derived with (10) to reproduce the  $\tau_0^{p,\alpha}$  shown in Figure 7.

2

13

16

18

23

28

30

33

35

38

40

Vegetation state:	$\omega^{p,\alpha}$	$ au_{\sf NAD}$	<i>tt</i> <sup>⊢</sup>	tt <sup>∨</sup>
Winter	0.0	0.101	0.116	0.804
Summer	0.0	0.160	1.093	1.401
Winter	0.1	0.112	0.116	0.805
Summer	0.1	0.177	1.108	1.423

The retrieved "ground-truth" values of  $\tau_{NAD}$  (Table 3) obtained for the MELBEX III vineyard 12530 <sup>14</sup>531 agree well with preliminary L-MEB retrievals applied to SMOS observation over the VAS area. 17532 During the winter state, the effective optical depth of the vine stocks  $\tau_{NAD}$  STOCK can be estimated <sup>19</sup>533 20 as  $\tau_{NAD STOCK} = b \cdot VWC_{STOCK}$ , where b is a vegetation parameter and  $VWC_{STOCK}$  is the 21 22**534** vegetation water content of the vine stocks. In the literature, the values of b are in the range 0.10 <sup>24</sup>535 <sup>25</sup> - 0.12 [11, 13, 39]. Values of VWC<sub>STOCK</sub> were estimated by considering the stem density of 1/6 <sup>26</sup> 27</sub>536 stocks m<sup>-2</sup>, the moisture content of 0.5 m<sup>3</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>, and the average weight of vine stocks varying between 4 kg and 6 kg. With these assumptions, the VWC<sub>STOCK</sub> is between 0.33 kg m<sup>-2</sup> and 29537 <sup>31</sup>538 0.5 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, and the resulting range of the effective optical depth of stocks is  $0.033 \le \tau_{\text{NAD STOCK}} \le$ 34539 0.06. These values are approximately half the optical depth  $\tau_{NAD}$  retrieved for the vegetation <sup>36</sup>540 winter state based on the L-band measurements. If the range 0.101  $\leq \tau_{NAD} \leq$  0.112 given in Table 3 is treated as the actual optical depth, the corresponding "ground truth" value of the *b*-parameter 39541 <sup>41</sup>542 42 for the vineyard in its winter state would be in the range of  $0.20 \le b \le 0.34$ .

43 44**543** During the summer state, the water content of the fully developed vegetation was estimated to 45 46544 be 1.63 kg m<sup>-2</sup>  $\leq$  *VWC*  $\leq$  1.8 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, to account for the different elements of the vine vegetation: 47 <sup>48</sup> 49**5**45 stocks (0.33 kg m<sup>-2</sup> - 0.5 kg m<sup>-2</sup>), grapes ( $\approx 0.8$  kg m<sup>-2</sup>), stems ( $\approx 0.15$  kg m<sup>-2</sup>) and leaves 50 <sup>51</sup>546 <sub>52</sub> ( $\approx 0.35$  kg m<sup>-2</sup>). If  $0.10 \le b \le 0.12$ , the estimated range of optical depth  $\tau_{NAD} = b \cdot VWC$  for the 53 54**547** vegetation summer state is 0.163  $\leq \tau_{NAD} \leq$  0.216. These values agree well with the corresponding 55 <sup>56</sup>548 "ground-truth" values of  $\tau_{NAD}$  for the fully developed vegetation state given in Table 3. 57 58 59**549** Another approach to estimate  $\tau_{NAD}$  of the fully developed vine vegetation follows from an 60 approximate relation used in the L-MEB model:  $\tau_{NAD} \approx b' \cdot LAI$ , where b' is a vegetation 550

Page 27 of 31

24

29

31

36 37

40

### **Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing**

1 551 parameter (the default value used in L-MEB is b' = 0.06) and LAI is the Leaf Area Index. Two 2 <sup>3</sup> 552 experiments carried out at the MELBEX III vineyard in 2009 and 2010 using destructive and non-4 5 destructive measurements (indirect optical estimations) converged to LAI yielded 2 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>  $\leq$  LAI 553 6 7 <sup>8</sup> 554  $\leq$  2.5 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> for several representative vines. Based on these estimates, the vegetation optical 9 <sup>10</sup> 11555 depth of the fully developed vegetation is in the range  $0.120 \le \tau_{NAD} \le 0.150$ . However, the 12 <sup>13</sup>556 empirical relation  $\tau_{NAD} \approx b' \cdot LAI$  used was developed for crop fields, and thus does not include 14 <sup>15</sup> 16**557** any contributions from woody vine stocks. Nevertheless, the estimated range agrees well with 17 18558 the measurement based  $\tau_{NAD}$  shown in Table 3, and also with the range  $0.130 \le \tau_{NAD} \le 0.156$ 19 <sup>20</sup>21559 estimated from the vegetation water content excluding vine stocks. 22 23**56**0 Considering the "ground-truth" values of the  $tt^{\rho}$  parameters (Table 3),  $tt^{\vee}$  exceeds  $tt^{H}$  for both

<sup>25</sup>561 26 vegetation states. These values indicate significant anisotropy of the vegetation, especially <sup>27</sup> 28562 during the winter state (isotropy would correspond to  $tt^{\vee} = tt^{H} = 1$ ). It is likely that these anisotropic 30563 effects can be related to the preferential orientation of the vine stocks in the vertical direction [13] <sup>32</sup>564 as the other vegetation elements (stems, grapes, leaves) having no evident preferential <sup>34</sup> 35**565** orientation.

### <sup>38</sup>566 39 6. Summary and Conclusions

<sup>41</sup>567 42 This study is the first to use data measured with one of the three identical ELBARA II <sup>43</sup>568 radiometer systems required by ESA and developed by Gamma Remote Sensing (Gümligen, 45 46569 Switzerland, http://www.gamma-rs.ch/) within the framework of the ESTEC contract 47 <sup>48</sup>570 49 21013/07/NL/FF "L-band Radiometer Systems to be deployed for SMOS Cal/Val Purposes". The <sup>50</sup> 51</sub>571 corresponding multi-angular brightness temperatures were measured at the MELBEX III field 52 53572 site, which is situated in a vineyard. This land-use type is typical of about 75% of the VAS area, 54 <sup>55</sup>573 which is the Mediterranean validation and calibration site for SMOS retrievals. The study 57 <sub>58</sub>574 presented general aspects of ELBARA II for field applications, as well as the retrieval of radiative 59 60575 properties of vines based on ground-based L-band measurements in direct support of the 576 ongoing SMOS calibration and validation activities at the VAS.

page 27 of 31

ManuscriptSubmitted

### Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing

1 577 One major outcome of our study was the quantification of the absolute accuracy of ELBARA II 2 3 578 measurements, which was shown to be better than ±1 K for a wide range of the scene brightness 4 5 <sub>6</sub> 579 temperatures measured. The short-term measurements (foil experiments), for which radiative 7 8 580 contributions of the soil were largely eliminated, revealed radiative properties of the vines at 9 <sup>10</sup>581 different development states that compared well with estimates based on vegetation parameters 11 12 13582 observed directly in the vineyard. For the trimmed vines during the winter state, the retrieved b-14  $^{15}_{16}$ 583 parameter was in the range of  $0.20 \le b \le 0.34$ . This is at least twice as much as the range  $0.10 \le b \le 0.34$ . 17  $b \le 0.12$  often found in the literature for low growing vegetation. This discrepancy has to do with 18584 19 <sup>20</sup>585 21 the fact that vines in their winter state consist mainly of woody components, which is not the case <sup>22</sup> 23</sub>586 for most of low growing vegetation types investigated so far. Hence, we recommend using the 24 25587 higher values of the *b*-parameter found in this study for comparisons with SMOS retrievals over 26 <sup>27</sup>588 28 the VAS area. The multiple scattering radiative transfer model applied in our analysis also 29 <sub>30</sub>589 improves the physical base of the L-MEB retrieval scheme. In the case of very moist soils below 31 32590 scattering vegetation, this model potentially improves SMOS level-2 retrievals, while including the 33 <sup>34</sup>591 same set of parameters as the zero-order non-coherent solution of the radiative transfer 36 37592 equations currently implemented in L-MEB.

### <sup>40</sup>593 **Acknowledgments**

38 39

44

46

51

53

55 56

58

60

<sup>42</sup><sub>43</sub>594 The authors would like to thank ESA for the loan of one of the three ELBARA II radiometers 45595 for deployment at the MELBEX III site (ESTEC ELBARA Loan Agreement 21013/07/NL/FF), and 47596 48 the Spanish Ministry for Science and Innovation (Spanish Research Programme on Space) for <sup>49</sup> 50</sub>597 supporting the projects Product Validation, Data Exploitation and Expert Center for the SMOS 52598 Mission and UVEG Part (MIDAS-5/UVEG) and Modeling of the Valencia Anchor Station <sup>54</sup>599 Reference Area, SMOS Validation Core Area (SMOS Val@VAS). We are also grateful to 57600 Bodegas de Utiel, Finca El Renegado and Bodegas Iranzo, Finca Cañada Honda, in Caudete de 59601 las Fuentes for accommodating the MELBEX III experiment and for hosting the Valencia Anchor 602 Stations (VAS) in their properties. The authors also acknowledge GAMMA Remote Sensing

### Page 29 of 31

<sup>22</sup>612

## Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing

1 603 Research and Consulting AG, Gümligen, Switzerland, for their assistance with setting up and <sup>3</sup> 604 maintaining the ELBARA II system. Furthermore, we thank Mr. O. Muggli from Alcan Packaging <sub>6</sub> 605 Services, Ldt., Neuhausen, Switzerland, for sponsoring the metalized foil, which was essential for 8 606 this study. We also wish to express our thanks to Sandra Asensi, Amparo Coll and Alejandro Buil <sup>10</sup>607 from the Climatology from Satellites Group and to Carmen Antolin, Cristina Millan, Fernando 13608 Requena and Elena Torre from the Center for Desertification Research, for their help with installing the foil under sometimes very tough conditions. Furthermore, we thank the TOSCA יש al des E ידיד. <sup>17</sup>610 18 program of CNES (Centre National des Etudes Spatiales) for the financial support, and Silvia 20**611** Dingwall for editing the manuscript. 

### 2 613 References 3

1

- <sup>4</sup> 614 T. Schmugge, "Applications of passive microwave observations of surface soil moisture," Journal [1] <sup>5</sup> 615 of Hydrology, vol. 212–213, p. 188–197, 1998.
- 6 <sub>7</sub> 616 [2] T. J. Jackson, et al., "Soil moisture mapping at regional scales using microwave radiometry: the Southern Great Plains Hydrology Experiment," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote 8 617 9 618 Sensing, vol. 37, pp. 2136-2150, 1999.
- 10619 E. G. Njoku, et al., "Soil moisture retrieval from AMSR-E," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., [3] <sup>11</sup>620 vol. 41, pp. 215-229, 2003.
- <sup>12</sup>621 T. Schmugge, "Remote sensing of soil moisture," in Encyclopedia of Hydrological Forecasting, M. [4] 14622 G. Anderson and T. Burt, Eds., ed Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 1985, pp. 101-124.
- "SMOS Earth Explorers," Available from: <u>http://www.esa.int/esaLP/LPsmos.html</u>, 2000-2007. 15623 [5]
- 16624 Y. Kerr, et al., "Soil moisture retrieval from space: The soil moisture and ocean salinity (SMOS) [6] <sup>17</sup>625 mission," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, vol. 39, pp. 1729-1735, 2001.
- <sup>18</sup>626 19 20<sup>627</sup> M. Martin-Neira and J. M. Goutoule, "MIRAS-A two-dimensional aperture-synthesis radiometer [7] for soil-moisture and ocean-salinity observations," ESA Bull., vol. 92, pp. 95-104, 1997.
- 21628 [8] Y. H. Kerr, et al., "Soil moisture retrieval from space: The Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity 22629 (SMOS) mission," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., vol. 39, pp. 1729-1735, August 2001.
- 23630 [9] C. Mätzler, Thermal Microwave Radiation-Applications for Remote Sensing vol. 52: IEE Electromagnetic Waves Series No. 52, London, UK, 2006.
- <sup>24</sup>631 <sup>25</sup>632 <sub>26</sub>633 <sub>27</sub>633 [10] B. K. Hornbuckle, et al., "Vegetation canopy anisotropy at 1.4 GHz," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, vol. 41, pp. 2211 - 2223, Oct. 2003.
- 28634 T. Jackson and T. Schmugge, "Vegetation Effects on the Microwave Emission of Soils," Remote [11] 29635 Sens. Environ., vol. 36, pp. 203-212, 1991.
- <sup>30</sup>636 Y. Kerr and E. G. Njoku, "A semiempirical model for interpreting microwave emission from [12] <sup>31</sup>637 semiarid land surfaces as seen from space," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, vol. 28, pp. 384 -33<sup>2</sup>638 393, May 1990.
- 34639 J.-P. Wigneron, et al., "A simple algorithm to retrieve soil moisture and vegetation biomass using [13] 35640 passive microwave measurements over crop fields," Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 51, p. 36641 331-341, 1995.
- <sup>37</sup>642 <sup>38</sup>643 <sup>39</sup>643 [14] M. Schwank, et al., "L-Band Radiometer Measurements of Soil Water under Growing Clover Grass," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, vol. 43, pp. 2225-2237, October 2005.
- 40644 A. A. Van de Griend and M. Owe, "Determination of microwave vegetation optical depth and [15] 41645 single scattering albedo from large scale soil moisture and Nimbus/SMMR satellite observations," 42646 International Journal of Remote Sensing, vol. 14, p. 1875–1886, 1993.
- <sup>43</sup>647 [16] J.-P. Wigneron, et al., "Two-D microwave interferometer retrieval capabilities of over land surfaces (SMOS Mission)," Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 73, p. 270-282, 2000.
- P. de Rosnay, et al., "SMOSREX: A long term field campaign experiment for soil moisture and [17] 47650 land surface processes remote sensing," Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 102, p. 377-389, 48651 2006.
- <sup>49</sup>652 [18] A. Della Vecchia, et al., "A Parametric Study About Soil Emission and Vegetation Effects for <sup>50</sup>653 <sup>51</sup>654 <sub>52</sub>654 Forests at L-band," in Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2006. IGARSS 2006. IEEE International Conference on, 2006, pp. 440-443.
- 53655 [19] M. J. Escorihuela, et al., "Effective soil moisture sampling depth of L-band radiometry: A case 54656 study," Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 114, pp. 995–1001, 2010.
- 55657 [20] P. Ferrazzoli, et al., "Simulating L-band emission of forests in view of future satellite applications," <sup>56</sup>658 *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 40, pp. 2700-2708, 2002.
- <sup>57</sup>659 J. P. Grant, et al., "Observations and Modeling of a Pine Forest Floor at L-Band," IEEE [21] <sub>59</sub>660 Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 47, pp. 2024-2036, 2009.
- 60661 [22] K. Saleh, et al., "Estimates of Surface Soil Moisture under Grass Covers using L-band 662 Radiometry," Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 109, pp. 42-53, 2007.
  - 663 [23] K. Schneeberger, et al., "Topsoil structure influencing soil water retrieval by microwave 664 radiometry," Vadose Zone Journal, vol. 3, pp. 1169-1179, 2004.

page 30 of 31

ManuscriptSubmitted

1 665	[24]	M. Schwank, et al., "Testing a New Model for the L-band Radiation of Moist Leaf Litter," IEEE
2 666		Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, vol. 46, pp. 1982-1994, 2008.
<sup>3</sup> 667 <sup>4</sup> 668 <sup>5</sup> 660	[25]	J. Shi, <i>et al.</i> , "A Parameterized Surface Reflectivity Model and Estimation of Bare-Surface Soil Moisture with L-Band Radiometer," <i>IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing</i> , vol. 40, pp. 2674-2686, 2002
6 009	[2(]	
7 0/0	[26]	I. VOIKSCH, et al., "L-Band Reflectivity of a Furrowed Soil Surface," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote
8 6/1	[07]	Sensing, vol. 49, 2011.
<sup>9</sup> 672 <sup>10</sup> 673 <sup>11</sup> 674	[27]	JP. Wigneron, <i>et al.</i> , "L-band Microwave Emission of the Biosphere (L-MEB) Model: Description and calibration against experimental data sets over crop fields," <i>Remote Sensing of</i>
12074	<b>10</b> 01	<i>Environment</i> , vol. 10/, pp. 639–655, 2007.
13675 14676	[28]	M. Schwank, <i>et al.</i> , "ELBARA II, An L-Band Radiometer System for Soil Moisture Research," Sensors MDPL vol 10 pp 584-612 2010
15677	[29]	C. Mätzler, <i>et al.</i> "ELBARA the ETH L-band radiometer for soil-moisture research." <i>IGARSS '03</i>
16678	[=>]	IEEE International Proceedings vol 5 nn 3058-3060 2003
$^{17}_{18}679$	[30]	T. Pellarin, <i>et al.</i> , "Two-year global simulation of L-band brightness temperatures over land.," <i>IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sensing</i> vol 41 pp 2135-2139 2003
20681	[31]	S Misra <i>et al.</i> "CoSMOS: Performance of kurtosis algorithm for radio frequency interference
21682	[31]	detection and mitigation " IGARSS conference proceedings 2007
22683	[32]	C S Ruf <i>et al.</i> "RFI detection and mitigation for microwave radiometry with an agile digital
<sup>23</sup> 684	[52]	detector," <i>IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing</i> , vol. 44, pp. 694-706, 2006.
<sup>24</sup> <sub>25</sub> 685	[33]	H. M. Pickett, et al., "Characterization of a Dual-Mode Horn for Submillimeter Wavelengths,"
26686		IEEE transactions on Microwave and Techniques, vol. 32, pp. 936-937, August 1984.
27687	[34]	K. P. Kirdiashev, et al., "Microwave radiation of the earth's surface in the presence of vegetation
28688		cover," <i>Radioteknica</i> , vol. 24, pp. 256-264, 1979.
<sup>29</sup> 689	[35]	T. Mo, et al., "A model for microwave emission from vegetation-covered fields," Journal of
<sup>30</sup> 690	r 1	<i>Geophysical Research</i> , vol. 87, p. 11, 229–11, 237, 1982.
<sup>31</sup> 22691	[36]	F T Ulaby, et al. Microwave remote sensing, active and passive. Vol I. Microwave remote sensing
32692	[00]	fundamentals and radiometry (1981) Vol II Radar remote sensing and surface scattering and
34693		emission theory (1982) Vol III From theory to applications' (1986): Artech House Inc
35694		Noorwood MA
36695	[37]	C Mätzler et al Thermal Microwave Radiation: Applications for Remote Sensing ser: London
<sup>37</sup> 696	[]	UK · IET 2006
38000	[38]	L-P Wigneron <i>et al</i> "Characterizing the dependence of vegetation model parameters on crop
39007 ⊿∩698	[30]	structure incidence angle and polarization at L-band " <i>IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing</i> , vol
41699		42 nn 416 - 425 Feb 2004
42700	[30]	A A V d Griend and L-P Wigneron "The b-Factor as a Function of Frequency and Canony
43701	[37]	Type at H polarization " IEEE Trans. Geosgi, Remote Sensing vol. 42, pp. 786-704, 2004
44702		1 ypc at 11 potatization, 1222 11 ans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, vol. 42, pp. 780-794, 2004.
45102		
46' 00		
47 48		