

# The role of predation by harp seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus) in the collapse and non-recovery of northern Gulf of St. Lawrence cod (Gadus morhua)

Emmanuel Chassot, Daniel E. Duplisea, Mike O. Hammill, Amanda

Caskenette, Nicolas Bousquet, Yvan Lambert, Garry H. Stenson

## ▶ To cite this version:

Emmanuel Chassot, Daniel E. Duplisea, Mike O. Hammill, Amanda Caskenette, Nicolas Bousquet, et al.. The role of predation by harp seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus) in the collapse and non-recovery of northern Gulf of St. Lawrence cod (Gadus morhua). Marine Ecology Progress Series, 2009, 379, pp.279-297. 10.3354/meps07897. ird-00549074

## HAL Id: ird-00549074 https://ird.hal.science/ird-00549074v1

Submitted on 21 Dec 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## The role of predation by harp seals (*Pagophilus* groenlandicus) in the collapse and non-recovery of northern Gulf of St. Lawrence cod (*Gadus morhua*)

Emmanuel Chassot<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Daniel Duplisea<sup>1</sup>, Mike Hammill<sup>1</sup>, Amanda Caskenette<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Bousquet<sup>3</sup>, Yvan Lambert<sup>1</sup>, and Garry Stenson<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institut Maurice-Lamontagne, 850 route de la Mer, Mont-Joli, QC, G5H3Z4, CANADA
 <sup>2</sup>IRD, CRH, Avenue Jean Monnet, BP 171, 34 203 Sète Cedex, FRANCE (present address)
 <sup>3</sup>Département de Mathématiques et Statistiques, Université Laval, Pavillon Alexandre-Vachon, QC, G1K 7P4, CANADA

<sup>4</sup>Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Centre, PO Box 5667, St. John's, NF, A1C5X1, CANADA

Suggested running title: Harp seal predation on cod

Correspondence: Emmanuel Chassot

ABSTRACT: A statistical catch-at-age model was developed to assess the effects of predation 1 by the northwest Atlantic harp seal population on northern Gulf of St. Lawrence cod by 2 estimating the relative importance of different sources of mortality that affected the stock 3 during a period of collapse and non-recovery. Cod recruitment at age 1 is modeled via a non-4 linear stock-recruitment relationship based on total egg production and accounts for changes in 5 female length-at-maturity and cod condition. Natural mortality other than seal predation also 6 depends on cod condition used as an integrative index of changes in environmental conditions. 7 The linkage between seals and cod is modeled through a multi-age functional response that was 8 derived from the reconstruction of the seal diet using morphometric relationships and stomach 9 contents of more than 200 seals collected between 1998 and 2001. The model was fitted 10 following a maximum likelihood estimation approach to a scientific survey abundance index 11 (1984-2006). Model results show that the collapse of the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence cod 12 stock was mainly due to the combination of high fishing mortality rates and poor environmental 13 conditions in the early to mid-1990s contributing to the current state of recruitment overfishing. 14 The increase in harp seal abundance during 1984-2006 was reflected by an increase in predation 15 mortality for the young cod age-groups targeted by seals. Although current levels of predation 16 mortality affect cod spawning biomass, the lack of recovery of the NGSL cod stock seems 17 mainly due to the situation of very poor recruitment. 18

<sup>19</sup> Keywords : cod, harp seal, functional response, model, predation, recovery

## INTRODUCTION

21 During the early 1990s, there was an almost simultaneous collapse among most of the Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua L.) fisheries in eastern Canada, leading to severe restrictions or moratoria 22 on commercial fishing (Myers et al. 1997, Rice et al. 2003). The northern Gulf of St. Lawrence 23 (NGSL) cod stock (NAFO divisions 3Pn4RS; Fig. 1) was historically the second largest cod 24 population in the Western Atlantic with a spawning stock biomass (SSB) of 380,000 t in the 25 early 1980s, supporting a fishery of more than 100,000 t in 1983 (MPO 2007). By the late 26 1980s, the population and fishery declined to such an extent that a moratorium was imposed 27 in 1994, leaving a SSB of only about 10,000 t. Subsequently, the population increased quite 28 rapidly and in 1997, a small fishery reopened, although the stock has remained at about 50%29 of its minimum conservation biomass limit  $(B_{lim})$  of about 80-90,000 t. 30

There has been considerable debate concerning the causes for the decline in cod abundance 31 and subsequent lack of recovery (Hutchings 1996, Shelton & Healey 1999, Shelton et al. 2006). 32 Overfishing has been identified as the main factor that contributed to the collapse due to several 33 interrelated common factors including underestimated discarding and misreporting (Myers et 34 al. 1996, Myers et al. 1997, Savenkoff et al. 2004). For the NGSL cod stock, it has been 35 suggested that changes in environmental conditions in the early 1990s also played a role in the 36 fishery collapse through a decline in condition, which consequently resulted in reduced growth 37 and recruitment (Lambert & Dutil 1997a, Dutil et al. 1999, Dutil & Lambert 2000). Poor 38 condition is also suspected to have led to an increase in natural mortality through starvation 39 and enhanced vulnerability to predation (Dutil et al. 1999). The current poor productivity 40 of the stock associated with ongoing fishing activity has been proposed as a major factor 41 explaining the lack of recovery for NGSL cod (Dutil et al. 2003, Shelton et al. 2006). 42

<sup>43</sup> During the last 3 decades, there has been a marked increase in abundance of many pinniped <sup>44</sup> populations in the northwest Atlantic (Hammill et al. 1998, Hammill & Stenson 2005). Higher <sup>45</sup> natural mortality resulting from increased predation has also been put forward as a plausible <sup>46</sup> hypothesis explaining the collapse and failure of northwest Atlantic groundfish populations

to recover (Bundy 2001, DFO 2003, Rice et al. 2003). In particular, harp seals (Pagophilus 47 groenlandicus), the most abundant pinniped in the northwest Atlantic, have increased from 48 less than 2 million in the early 1970s to almost 6 million today (Hammill & Stenson 2005). 49 Harp seals summer in the Arctic, but migrate south along the Labrador coast in late autumn 50 to overwinter off northeastern Newfoundland and in the NGSL (Fig. 2). The majority of 51 the seals overwinter off the southeastern Labrador-northeastern Newfoundland coast (NAFO 52 Divisions 2J3KL), while approximately 25-33% of the harp seals move into the NGSL (Stenson 53 et al. 2002, 2003). Harp seals are generalist predators characterized by a diverse diet of fish 54 and invertebrates (e.g. Lawson et al. 1998, Hammill & Stenson 2000). Stomach samples 55 collected during the winter and spring in the NGSL indicate that their diet is highly variable 56 both seasonally and geographically with average of approximately 5% made up by cod, mainly 57 in the size range of 15-25 cm, although in some samples this proportion may be as high as 45%58 (Hammill & Stenson 2004, Stenson & Hammill 2004). 59

The correspondence between the decline of NGSL cod and the large increase in harp seal 60 abundance (Fig. 3) has led to a hypothesis that harp seal predation may play a significant role 61 in the cod lack of recovery (McLaren et al. 2001, DFO 2003). Seal predation on cod has been 62 examined using bioenergetic models that derive cod annual consumption estimates by taking 63 into account seasonal changes in feeding and variability in seal abundance, distribution and 64 diet composition (Stenson et al 1997, Hammill & Stenson 2000, Stenson & Hammill 2004). 65 However, because of the variable nature of the diets, these models have assumed an average 66 proportion of cod in the diet, in spite of the marked changes in cod biomass that have been 67 documented over the last 3 decades (MPO 2007). Assumptions about how predation changes in 68 response to variations in prey abundance have been shown to be critical in predicting impacts 69 of predators on commercially important prey (Yodzis 1994, Mackinson et al. 2003). However, 70 individual and population level phenomena among predators and prey alike, such as search 71 image, difficulty in finding prey, aggregation, and dispersion of predator and prey, can lead 72 to significant departures from the linear assumptions about the relationship between prey 73 abundance and the proportion of prey in the diet (Koen-Alonso 2006). In addition, harp seals 74 can display strong preference for specific prey, although they have been shown to be neutrally 75

<sup>76</sup> selective toward Atlantic cod (Lawson et al. 1998, Lindstrøm et al. 1998, Wathne et al. 2000).
<sup>77</sup> Modeling non-linear processes of the functional response of harp seals to cod appears therefore
<sup>78</sup> to be a key to modeling predation over a wide range of prey abundance such as observed in
<sup>79</sup> NGSL cod.

As described above, hypotheses related to fishing, seal predation, and environment have been 80 proposed for the decline of NGSL cod but few studies have attempted to simultaneously address 81 these hypotheses to determine which processes were at play at different times and how syner-82 gistic forcing could have led to the cod collapse. Here, a predator-prey model was constructed 83 for NGSL cod, with mortality partitioned into components caused by harp seal predation and 84 by other processes including an explicit influence of an integrated environmental forcer. The 85 impact of seals on cod was modelled through a non-linear multi-age functional response similar 86 to a multi-species functional response (Smout & Lindstrøm 2007). Cod condition was used as 87 an index for the cumulative and lagged effects of environmental conditions related to factors 88 such as food availability and temperature experienced by cod (Lambert & Dutil 1997a-b, Rātz 89 & Lloret 2003). Changes in cod condition were assumed to affect natural mortality (Dutil 90 & Lambert 2000) and fecundity, i.e. potential recruitment strength (Marteinsdottir & Begg, 91 2002). The main objective of the analysis was to determine the relative impact of fishing, seal 92 predation, and environment on the decline of NGSL cod by integrating these processes into a 93 single consistent modeling framework. Such a framework is essential to both understand what 94 happened to the stock and serve as a springboard for determining future states in the context 95 of cod recovery and providing advice on exploitation of NGSL harp seal and cod populations. 96

## 97 COD POPULATION MODEL

<sup>98</sup> Cod population dynamics were modelled through a separable statistical catch-at-age analysis <sup>99</sup> (Fournier & Archibald 1982) without process error, where mortality was derived from three <sup>100</sup> sources; the fishery, harp seal predation, and natural mortality due to causes other than harp <sup>101</sup> seal predation (other predation, disease, virus, etc.). The Seal IMpact on Cod ABundance <sup>102</sup> (SIMCAB) model considers 13 age classes of cod from 1 to 13 and 100% mortality occurs at

end of the 13th age class for all cohorts. Following Pope's approximation (1972), mortality 103 processes were modelled as successive steps, i.e. removals of cod by harp seal predation were 104 taken instantaneously at the beginning of the year, fishing removals were taken instantaneously 105 in the middle of the year, and residual mortality occurred between the 2 portions of the 106 year (Table 2, D9-D11). The NGSL cod fishery changed considerably in the last decades, 107 particularly after the 1994 moratorium when the winter mobile fishery dominated by otter 108 trawlers was closed (Fréchet et al. 2003a). To account for changes in fishing pattern, 2 109 periods of distinct fishery selectivity (partial recruitment) were considered: 1984-1993 and 110 1994-2006. For consistency with knowledge available on NGSL cod dynamics and because 111 of the convergence property of the virtual population analysis (VPA), the initial population 112 age-structure was based on cod numbers estimated in 1984 from VPA (MPO 2007) and the 113 model was run for the period 1984-2006. The parameters and variables used and the process 114 and observation equations are given in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. 115

#### 116 A condition-dependent reproduction function

Recruitment, i.e. fish numbers at age 1, was modelled through a Beverton and Holt (1957) 117 stock-recruitment relationship based on an index of the reproductive potential of cod that 118 was developed from an estimate of total egg production of the stock (TEP) (Table 2, D3). 119 TEP accounted for yearly changes in cod numbers-at-age, sex ratio-at-age, the proportion of 120 mature females-at-age, fecundity-at-age through length-at-age and condition factor of the fish. 121 Previous studies have shown that length and condition factor have significant effects on the 122 fecundity of cod in the NGSL (Lambert & Dutil 2000, Lambert et al. 2000). This dependence 123 of fecundity on length and condition factor was used to develop a generalized linear model to 124 determine the variation in the fecundity between 1984 and 2006 (Lambert 2008). 125

Sex ratio and maturity ogive data were derived from winter surveys conducted with the MV "Gadus Atlantic" (1984-1994) and spring samples available from the Groundfish Sentinel Fisheries Program (http://www.osl.gc.ca/pse/en/). Fulton's condition factor (Fulton 1902) based on length and weight data was also obtained from the winter surveys (1984-1994), the Sentinel Fisheries Program (1995-2007), and supplementary research surveys conducted during
the pre-spawning period in 1994, 1995, 1997, and 1998 (Dutil et al. 2003, Fréchet et al. 2007).

#### <sup>132</sup> A multi-age functional response of harp seals to cod

To account for effects of prey abundance on predation, assuming no predator interference in the predation process, a multi-age functional response (FR), i.e. the number of cod consumed per seal per year, was considered (Table 2, D4). The FR of seal to cod is comprised of a maximum consumption rate for cod  $(J_{max})$ , attack rates-at-age  $(\zeta_a)$ , and age-structured cod population. The shape parameter m of the FR determines the FR type, i.e. m = 1 corresponds to a hyperbolic type 2 relationship and m > 1 corresponds to a sigmoid shaped type 3 response (Koen-Alonso 2006).

The attack rates ( $\zeta_a$ ) were expressed relative to baseline attack rates ( $\zeta_a^0$ ) through a scaling factor ( $\varrho$ ) that was estimated in the fitting process (see section 'Fitting the model'). Baseline attack rates were derived from cod biomass requirements for the NGSL harp seal population, cod abundance based on the matrix of numbers-at-age derived from virtual population analysis (MPO 2007), that was extended to ages 1-2 based on preliminary runs of the model without predation, and proportion and age-structure of cod in the seal diet in 1998-2001.

First, harp seal energetic requirements to maintain the seal population were estimated based 146 on the daily gross energy intake of an individual harp seal derived from the allometric Kleiber 147 equation that scales animal's metabolic rate to the 3/4 power of the animal's mass, and the 148 age-structure of the seal population (Hammill & Stenson 2004, Stenson & Hammill 2004). 25% 149 of the northwest harp seal population was assumed to move into the Gulf of St. Lawrence each 150 year to spend on average 150 days per year in its northern part (Stenson et al. 2002, 2003). 151 The biomass of cod consumed in 1998-2001 was then estimated based on the average energy 152 of cod in winter, set equal to  $4.96 \text{ kJ g}^{-1}$  (Lambert & Dutil 1997a), and on the annual average 153 proportion of cod in seals diet during November-March 1998-2001 (Table 3). The relative 154 energetic contribution of cod to seal's diet was based on recovered otoliths and accounted for 155

harp seal population residency in the areas 4Ra-c, 4Rd-3Pn, and 4S of the NGSL (Fig. 2; 156 Hammill & Stenson 2004). The annual number of cod consumed was then estimated based on 157 the mean mass of cod consumed by harp seals and reallocated between cod age-groups based on 158 information available from the analysis of seal stomach contents (Lawson et al 1998; Hammill 159 & Stenson 2004). Lengths of cod ingested were estimated from otoliths found in seal stomachs 160 and published fish length - otolith length regression equations (Hammill & Stenson 2004). Fish 161 lengths were based on 671 otoliths found in 140 seal stomachs collected during November to 162 March, 1989-2001 in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Chassot et al. 2007). Harp seal size ranged 163 from 102-166 cm. Otoliths collected from stomach contents were assumed to represent the size 164 distribution of cod eaten. Proportions were estimated by fitting a mixture model of normal 165 distributions to the prey size-frequency histogram by applying a constraint on the variance of 166 cod size derived from DFO summer survey (Chassot et al. 2007). 167

The maximum consumption rate  $J_{max}$  was calculated based on the energy requirements of 168 the NGSL harp seal population in 1998-2001 and a maximum value of cod proportion in seal 169 stomachs derived from diet information available. Following the same approach as described 170 above, fish biomass required to maintain the NGSL seal population was first calculated. Based 171 on a maximum proportion of cod of 18.3% derived from the maximum proportions of cod in 172 seals diet observed since 1980 and the relative residency time in each area of the NGSL, the 173 potential maximum number of cod eaten per seal per year  $J_{max}$  was calculated. The baseline 174 attack rates-at-age were finally calculated from the number of cod-at-age consumed per seal 175 and cod numbers-at-age derived from the VPA in 1998-2001 (Table 2, D4). Since the baseline 176 attack rates were based on a number of cod eaten calculated from the mean weight of cod in 177 the reference period 1998-2001, the mass ratio  $\left(\frac{\overline{W_t}}{\overline{W_0}}\right)$ , an index of cod weight, was included 178 to account for yearly fluctuations in the mean mass of cod when calculating the number of cod 179 predated by seals (Table 2, D5). 180

<sup>181</sup> In order to illustrate the multi-age functional feeding response to changes in cod availability, <sup>182</sup> a large range of levels of cod abundance were simulated for 2 distinct age-structures of the <sup>183</sup> cod population. For each age-structure, cod-at-age consumed per seal per year were calculated 184 based on the model fitting results.

#### <sup>185</sup> Removals by natural mortality other than harp seal predation

The rate of natural mortality excluding harp seal predation, i.e. residual mortality was mod-186 elled as an age-dependent function, in the form of a decreasing exponential as a function of 187 age with a condition-dependent asymptote (Table 2, D6). The asymptote was modelled as a 188 decreasing linear function of cod condition based on results of laboratory experiments relating 189 natural mortality to cod condition (Dutil & Lambert 2000, Chassot et al. 2007). The condition 190 factor which is an indicator of the energy content of cod was used here as an integrative index 191 of environmental conditions (Lambert & Dutil 1997b). Alternative values for the asymptote 192 of the residual mortality curve, i.e. a constant value of  $0.4 \text{ y}^{-1}$  and a time-dependent natural 193 mortality derived from stock assessment models (MPO 2007), were considered to assess the 194 impact on the results through the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike 1974). 195

#### <sup>196</sup> Effects of seal predation vs. fishing on the reproductive potential

Because seal predation and fishing are mortality sources for different cod age groups, a unit of 197 mortality from each does not have the same impact on the population. Similarly to a multi-198 fleet biomass-per-recruit analysis, effects of changes in harp seal predation vs. fishing mortality 199 were investigated by calculating the spawning stock biomass per recruit (SSB/R) for different 200 levels of mortality. Such an analysis focuses on the long-term survival of a recruit and does 201 not consider the linkage between the reproductive potential and recruitment at age 1. Based 202 on the mortality rates estimated and averaged over the period 2002-2006 and assuming all else 203 constant, we examined the relative importance of seal predation mortality and fishing mor-204 tality on the long-term stock reproductive potential under two scenarios: a high productivity 205 environment and a low productivity environment. Considering a range of fishing mortality 206 multipliers from 0 to 2, cod SSB/R was calculated at equilibrium for distinct levels condition 207 because condition was assumed to affect the asymptote  $\vartheta$  of the residual natural mortality in 208

the model. 3 levels of seal predation mortality were considered corresponding to 0.5, 1, and 1.5 times the average harp seal predation mortality estimated in 2002-2006. 2 scenarios of conditions represented different environmental conditions for the cod population, with values of  $\vartheta = 0.26$  and  $\vartheta = 0.35$  corresponding to a high and a low productivity regime scenario, respectively.

#### 214

## FITTING THE MODEL

#### 215 Abundance and catch data

Stratified random bottom-trawl surveys have been conducted in the NGSL annually, in summer
months, since 1984. A consistent time-series of numbers-at-age, accounting for the changes in
research vessels, was used as abundance indices for cod for the period 1984-2006 (Bourdages
et al. 2003, 2007, Chassot et al. 2007).

The catch-at-age matrix of cod (in numbers) was obtained from the last updated stock assessment carried out in February 2007 (MPO 2007). All quantities caught and landed for both commercial and recreational fisheries, excluding discards, were included in the data. In the present analysis, reported landings were considered as the "true" catch despite potential discarding and misreporting in the fishery (Fréchet et al. 2003b, Savenkoff et al. 2004).

#### 225 Statistical estimation approach

Maximum likelihood methods were used to estimate SIMCAB parameters. The likelihood function of the model was derived from assumptions on the statistical distribution of the 4 datasets acquired from independent sampling processes: total abundance index in number, proportion-at-age for the abundance indices, total catch in number, and proportion of catchat-age (Fournier & Archibald 1982, Quinn & Deriso 1999) (Table 5).

A stochastic observation equation accounting for correlated errors among ages was considered 231 to link the abundance indices to the population model (Myers & Cadigan 1995) (Table 5, S1). 232 For some years, some abundance indices-at-age for very young (age 1) or old cod (ages 11-13) 233 were not observed during the surveys. For these years, total survey indices were considered as 234 right-censored data, providing less information to the likelihood component than real observa-235 tions (Lawless 2003). This is true for the sum over ages of the logarithms of the survey indices 236 since these indices are extrapolated to the scale of the NGSL and are positive numbers greater 237 than 1. The observed likelihood of total surveys indices was then composed of a product of 238 densities and survival functions, where a survival function is defined as 1 minus the distribu-239 tion function (Table 6, L1). The survival factor in the likelihood represents the integration. 240 over all its possible values, of the probability that a random total survey index is higher than 241 an underreported observation. It is a common way of including partial data information in a 242 statistical analysis, but rarely used in fisheries analysis (for a notable exception see Hammond 243 and Trenkel 2005). 244

Proportion-at-age for the abundance indices and catch data were assumed to follow dirichlet distributions to account for correlations among age groups (Fournier & Archibald 1982) (Table 5, S2 and S3). Total catch observed were considered mainly dependent on the fishing year and assumed measured with lognormal observation errors (Table 5, S4). The assumption of log-normal error distributions for survey and catch data was checked through the residuals.

The maximum likelihood estimates were found by minimizing the negative sum of the log of 250 the 4 likelihood components (i.e. objective function) given in Table 6. Minimizations were 251 performed with the Nelder-Mead simplex non-linear optimization algorithm implemented in 252 the R package (R Development Core Team 2008). The parameter space  $\theta$  to estimate included 253 37 parameters from 644 observations, an observation being a survey index or catch number 254 for a given age group in a given year: 2 for the TEP-recruitment relationship ( $R_{max}$  and 255 r), 2 for the FR (m and  $\rho$ ), 2 for the residual mortality curve ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ), 1 for the survey 256 catchability (q), 6 for the selectivity of survey and fishing gears  $(\gamma_s, \delta_s, \gamma_c^1, \delta_c^1, \gamma_c^2, \delta_c^2)$ , 23 for 257 the fishing mortality rates  $(F_t)$ , and 1 for the standard deviation of the observation error in 258

the total survey data ( $\psi$ ) (see Table 1 for definition). The maximum likelihood estimate of the observation error variance in the total catch data ( $\sigma_c^2$ ) was:

$$\sigma_c^2 = 2\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \left(\log\frac{C_t^*}{C_t}\right)^2} - 1\right) \tag{1}$$

<sup>261</sup> Where the notations used are given in table 4.

Confidence statements about parameters were inferred using parametric bootstrap methods accounting for bias (Efron & Tibshirani 1998). 100 bootstrap replicate samples with the same dimensions as the datasets described above were generated by drawing from the probability distributions characterised by the parameters estimated at the maximum likelihood. Replicate samples were then submitted to the estimation procedure to obtain bootstrap replicates of the estimator and calculate percentiles of the distribution.

#### 268 Sensitivity analysis

To complete the bootstrap analysis that only considered uncertainty in the catch and survey 269 data, a sensitivity analysis was performed to account for uncertainty in some input parameters 270 of the predation model and harp seal population in the NGSL (Hammill & Stenson 2004, 271 Stenson & Hammill 2004). In a first step, the sensitivity of the results was examined by 272 independently increasing parameter values by 10% from a baseline value (values from the 273 standard run), re-fitting the model to observations for each sensitivity run, and assessing the 274 change between the new and baseline results through a measure of relative sensitivity (Stenson 275 & Hammill 2004, Mohn & Bowen 1996). The sensitivity to 5 parameters was investigated: 276 the proportion of the northwest Atlantic population of harp seals moving into the NGSL, the 277 average proportion of cod in seal's diet in 1998-2001, the maximum proportion of cod in seal's 278 diet, the average energy of cod, and the Kleiber multiplier used as a constant multiplicative 279 parameter in the Kleiber equation (Table 7). In order to summarize the model results and 280 because predation by harp seals was the main focus of the analysis, only results for mean cod 281

biomass removed by seals and mean predation mortality rate for ages 1-4 during 1984-2006 were presented. In a second step, the impacts of setting the value of the functional response type m to 1, i.e. a hyperbolic type 2 relationship, were examined.

285

## RESULTS

#### <sup>286</sup> Age-structure of cod in seal's diet

The size frequency histogram showed that 95% of cod found in harp seal stomachs were less than 38 cm (Fig. 4). The mixture model fitted well the cod size-frequency data as indicated by the significance level for the goodness-of-fit test (p > 0.05), based on the chi-square approximation to the likelihood ratio statistic (Du 2002). The model showed that cod consumed were young cod, with ages 1-4 representing 26.5%, 48.5%, 18%, and 7% of the cod in the diet, respectively (Fig. 4).

#### <sup>293</sup> Cod population dynamics

The model fitted the survey and catch data well and the minimum value for the objective 294 function was 6,149.6, corresponding to an AIC value of 12,373 (Figs. 5-6). The variances of 295 the observation errors in the survey and catch data were low, the values of  $\psi$  and  $\sigma_c$  used as 296 proxies of coefficients of variation in the case of lognormal likelihoods being 5.0% and 2.7%. 297 respectively. Consequently, confidence intervals around parameters estimated by bootstrap 298 analysis were small (Table 1). Considering a constant asymptote of  $0.4 \text{ y}^{-1}$  and a variable 299 asymptote for the residual mortality curve led to higher values of AIC (12,583 and 12,696 300 respectively) than for a condition-dependent asymptote, indicating a better fit of the model 301 when residual mortality was related to cod condition. 302

SIMCAB estimates indicated a strong decline in cod abundance for all age-groups from 1984
to 1993, consistent with the collapse of the northern Gulf cod stock in the mid-1990s (Fig. 5).

Abundance indices for ages 4-8 then remained quite stable from the mid-1990s to the early 305 2000s, the stock showing no clear sign of recovery despite the moratoria on fishing implemented 306 in 1994-1996 and 2003 (Fig. 5). By contrast, abundance indices for cod aged 10-13 displayed 307 increasing trends from the mid-1990s to the 2000s; these age-groups representing a very small 308 proportion of the stock abundance but about 10% of the SSB on average for the period 1984-309 2006 (Fig. 5). The declines in abundance were accompanied by a decrease in SSB from more 310 than 330,000 t in the early 1980s to a minimum of less than 30,000 t in 1994 and showed a 311 small increase thereafter. SSB estimates were thus below the conservation biomass limit of 312 80-90.000 t and indicated a clear case of recruitment overfishing for the stock. 313

The number of cod caught showed a strong decrease from the 1980s to the mid-1990s for ages 5-10 that represent the large majority of the biomass harvested (Fig. 6). SIMCAB predictions appeared bumpy in the 1980s with catches underestimated in 1986 and 1988 for all age-groups (Fig. 6). This mismatch between catch observed and predicted seems mostly due to the exceptional and somewhat surprising abundance indices for ages 4-10 in 1987 (Fig. 5).

#### <sup>319</sup> Mortality components in different age-groups

Total mortality divided into age-groups showed that sources and magnitude of mortality varied in time and age (Fig. 7). Young cod aged 1-2 experienced high natural mortality, varying between about 1.9 y<sup>-1</sup> in the mid-1980s to more than 2.4 y<sup>-1</sup> in the mid-1990s (Fig. 7a). Predation mortality by harp seals only represented a low proportion of mortality for ages 1-2 throughout the period 1984-2006 but increased from about 6% in the 1980s to more than 12% in the mid-2000s.

In addition to seal predation and residual natural mortality, cod aged 3-6 were subject to fishing mortality, mainly in the 1980s (Fig. 7b). The decrease in fishing mortality from the mid-1990s coincided with the change in fishing pattern, i.e. the commercial fishery after 1994 was only conducted by fixed gears (longlines, gill nets, and hand lines) targeting larger cod. Residual natural mortality showed an increase from the 1980s to the mid-1990s associated with lower condition during this period; the rates in the most recent years were similar to the levels
estimated in the mid-1980s. Seal predation mortality for ages 3-6 remained quite stable during
1984-2006 with its proportion in total mortality increasing to more than 20% in the 2000s due
to the decrease in fishing and residual natural mortality.

Cod aged 7-10 not preved upon by harp seals showed high total mortality, particularly in the 335 mid-1980s and early 1990s with rates higher than 1.0  $y^{-1}$  (Fig. 7c). The high interannual 336 variability in mortality rates was mainly due to the strong variations in fishing mortality that 337 represented more than 65% of the total mortality in some years (Fig. 7c). The low fishing 338 mortality values estimated in 1986 and 1988 could be due to the high abundance indices 339 observed in 1987 (Fig. 5). As for ages 3-6, residual natural mortality was the highest in the 340 mid-1990s, corresponding to a low-condition period for cod. Although fishing mortality rates 341 showed a decreasing trend in the 2000s, the values remained high for some years relative to 342 the low abundance of the stock, particularly in 2005. 343

#### 344 Harp seal predation

Predation mortality rates for cod aged 1-4 showed a steady increase alongside the increase 345 in harp seal abundance from 0.1  $y^{-1}$  in 1984 to more than 0.3  $y^{-1}$  in 2000 (Fig. 8). The 346 rates then decreased to remain quite stable around  $0.25 \text{ y}^{-1}$  in the most recent years. Cod 347 biomass removed annually by seal predation was estimated to be around 10,000 t from 1985 348 to 1995. Removals then showed an increasing trend with a peak above 30,000 t in 2000 and 349 a temporally averaged median of 16,000 t in the 2000s. The high interannual variability in 350 biomass removed was mainly due to the variations in abundance of cod aged 1-2 that represent 351 the major age-groups preved upon by harp seals. 352

The exponent of the multi-age functional response fitted to the data was estimated to be 2.13 ( $\pm$  0.015), indicating a sigmoid shaped type 3 response characteristic of sharp changes in the relative importance of the cod age-groups consumed as the result of a relatively small change in their availability in the environment. The changes in biomass removed by seal predation were

then related to both changes in seal population energy requirements and changes in relative 357 cod abundance between age-groups. For instance, the predicted multi-age functional response 358 showed that cod age 2 would be the main target of harp seals when considering different levels 350 of cod abundance described by the 1984 population age-structure (Fig. 9). Considering an 360 age-structure similar to low abundance year of 1995, characterized by a high proportion of 361 cod aged 1 in the population, would lead to a very different response of seals with age-1 cod 362 becoming the major prey and the other age-groups quickly reaching their respective maximum 363 in seal consumption (Fig. 9). 364

#### 365 Sensitivity analysis

The sensitivity analysis showed that the results of the model concerning harp seal predation 366 were robust to the assumptions made about the average energy of cod and the values of 367 contribution of cod to seal's diet (Table 7). Increasing the contribution of cod to seal's diet 368 in 1998-2001 did not affect the total biomass removed but led a relatively small increase in 369 predation mortality rates by decreasing the cod population. Although the increase in maximum 370 cod consumption led to an increase in the maximum rate of cod consumption  $J_{max}$ , it poorly 371 affected harp seal predation. Predation appeared quite sensitive to a change in the size of 372 the seal population and the Kleiber multiplier of the bioenergetic model (Table 7). Changes 373 in input parameters could be counter-intuitive due to the complexity and non-linearity of 374 the model; hence, the biomass removed by predation during 1984-2006 was decreased by an 375 increase in average energy of cod while it led to an increase in the predation mortality rates. 376 The relative sensitivity of predation rates could have a different sign than the sensitivity of 377 biomass removed since predation rates depend both on the cod biomass removed and cod 378 numbers-at-age. 379

Constraining the predation model to follow a hyperbolic type 2 relationship strongly affected the results of the model and resulted in a lower quality of fit than for the standard run (AIC = 12,697). Setting the shape parameter of the functional feeding response to 1 led to reduced harp seal predation and increased cod numbers-at-age and spawning stock biomass.

#### <sup>384</sup> Effects of seal predation vs. fishing on the reproductive potential

The SSB/R curves showed that the reproductive potential of the NGSL cod was affected dif-385 ferently by changes in seal predation and fishing mortality (Fig. 10). The distinct productivity 386 regimes represented by 2 levels of residual mortality modified the values of SSB/R, with low 387 productivity leading to lower values of SSB. In both cases of productivity regimes, the nearly 388 horizontal curves indicated that changes in SSB/R would be poorly modified by changes in fish-380 ing mortality while variations in seal predation mortality would have a higher effect on SSB/R 390 (Fig. 10). This result was mainly due to the low fishing mortality rate of 0.15  $y^{-1}$  estimated 391 during 2002-2006. Considering the harp seal predation mortality estimated in 2002-2006 and a 392 low residual mortality (i.e. a high productivity regime), the SSB/R was estimated to be equal 393 to 129 g (Fig. 10 - intermediate dashed line curve). In this case and for a fishing mortality 394 fixed at the level of 2000-2006, a decrease in the predation mortality rate from the mean value 395 of 0.26  $y^{-1}$  in 2000-2006 to a level around 0.13  $y^{-1}$  observed in the mid-1980s would allow 396 almost doubling the SSB/R (Fig. 10 - upper dashed line curve). Changes in fishing mortality 397 assuming constant predation mortality would be less beneficial to the SSB, a decrease from 398 the fishing mortality rate of 0.15  $y^{-1}$  in 2002-2006 to a low rate of 0.03  $y^{-1}$  increasing the 399 SSB from 129 g to 171 g per recruit. Considering a low productivity regime strongly decreased 400 the SSB/R that was estimated to be 73 g in 2000-2006, due to the higher residual mortality 401 decreasing the probability of young cod to reach maturity (Fig. 10 - intermediate solid line 402 curve). In this case, the SSB/R for a predation mortality of 0.13  $y^{-1}$  and constant fishing 403 mortality would only be 131 g (Fig. 10 - upper solid line curve). For the 2002-2006 predation 404 mortality, a decrease in fishing mortality would slowly increase the SSB/R to a maximum of 405 97 g in the situation of a moratorium. 406

407

### DISCUSSION

The SIMCAB statistical catch-at-age model was developed to assess the effects of predation by the northwest Atlantic harp seal population on NGSL cod by estimating the relative im-

portance of different sources of mortality that affected the stock during a period of collapse 410 and non-recovery. SIMCAB is a consistent modeling framework which combines the effects of 411 fishing, predation mortality and environment (through the condition index) on cod population 412 dynamics. SIMCAB showed that the increase in harp seal abundance during 1984-2006 re-413 sulted in an increase in predation mortality for cod age-groups targeted by seals. Despite the 414 increasing importance of harp seal predation in cod mortality, the collapse of the NGSL cod 415 stock appears mainly due to the combination of high fishing mortality rates and poor environ-416 mental conditions that affected the productivity of the population in the early to mid-1990s 417 and led to the current state of recruitment overfishing. SIMCAB results also show, however. 418 that current levels of predation mortality could increase stock rebuilding time by decreasing the 419 probability of fish to reach maturity. Although cod condition has improved in recent years, the 420 current lack of recovery of the NGSL cod seems due mainly to the very low spawner biomass. 421 driven both by the fishery inherently targeting larger fish and increased harp seal predation. 422 Within an ecosystem perspective, management scenarios based on a decline in seal population 423 to promote stock rebuilding should however consider environmental conditions, prev availabil-424 ity for seals and other cod predators of the NGSL that all affect cod productivity and might 425 give rise to unexpected outcomes with a decline in seal abundance. 426

#### <sup>427</sup> Multispecies modeling and functional response

Separating the sources of mortality affecting animal populations is a major issue in ecology 428 and has a long history in fisheries science (Andersen & Ursin 1977). This is particularly 429 important in the context of multispecies management as predator control has been proposed 430 for some time as a beneficial tool for fisheries (Flaaten 1988, FRCC, 1999). Multispecies 431 models are useful tools to address the issues raised about the effects of marine mammals on 432 fisheries (Yodzis 1998). In particular, predator-prey models have been used to evaluate the 433 effects of fur seal (Arctocephalus pusillus pusillus) predation on hakes (Merluccius capensis and 434 Merluccius paradoxus) in the Benguela system (Punt & Butterworth 1995) and more recently 435 the effects of northwest Atlantic grey seal (Halichoerus grypus) predation on cod population 436 dynamics and causes of collapse and non recovery on the eastern Scotian shelf (ESS) have been 437

explored (Mohn & Bowen 1996, Fu et al. 2001, Trzcinski et al. 2006). In the Benguela system, 438 modeling the biological interaction between cape fur seals and the cape hakes suggested that 439 an initial reduction in seal numbers would be beneficial, but the resulting decrease in seal 440 consumption would also result in increased predation by a less commercially important hake 441 species M. capensis on the more commercially important hake M. paradoxus, resulting in little 442 net benefit (Punt and Butterworth 1995). Among northwest Atlantic grey seals, the first 443 modeling approach included predation mortality explicitly in cohort analysis and showed that 444 grey seals had little effect on the collapse of cod on the ESS (Mohn & Bowen 1996). By 445 contrast, more recent analyses suggested that increasing predation mortality since the 1990s 446 could affect the survival of immature cod and contribute to the failure of the ESS and southern 447 Gulf of St. Lawrence cod stocks to recover (Fu et al. 2001, Chouinard et al. 2005, Trzcinski 448 et al. 2006). 449

The SIMCAB model is an age-structured population dynamics model structurally similar to 450 the models used for grey seals on the ESS but it differs in several important points. A major 451 difference with the models from Mohn & Bowen (1996) and Fu et al. (2001) but addressed 452 by Trzcinski et al. (2006) concerns the linkage between cod abundance and seal consumption 453 through the functional feeding response. The form of the FR is a key issue in multispecies 454 modeling, especially at low abundance, because it defines how predators impact their prey as 455 a function of prey abundance (Yodzis 1994, Mackinson et al. 2003). Most multispecies models 456 such as the Multi-Species Virtual Population Analysis (MSVPA; Magnússon 1995) are based 457 on hyperbolic type 2 functional relationships between prey abundance and predation rates 458 (for a review see Pláganyi 2007). These models assume constant ration formulations where 459 per-capita consumption is set equal to the predator's required daily ration, consistent with 460 the interpretation that feeding selectivities are independent of prey abundance. A sigmoidal 461 functional response is however likely more appropriate when modeling generalist predators 462 (Magnússon & Pálsson, 1991) and recent analyses based on field data tend to support a type 463 3 relationship (Middlemas et al. 2006, Kempf et al. in press). In Ecopath with Ecosim 464 models (Walters et al. 1997), the functional form of interactions is based on the 'foraging 465 arena' concept that allows exploring alternative forms of functional response but biological 466

<sup>467</sup> and ecological justifications about this concept remain controversial (Pláganyi 2007).

In the present analysis, cod age-groups were considered distinct prey, consistently with the 468 opportunistic behaviour of harp seals (Hammill & Stenson 2000) and the size-based structure 460 of marine food webs that regulates predation processes (e.g. Shin & Cury 2004). The multi-age 470 FR fitted to the data was a sigmoid shaped type 3 response, suggesting that the response of 471 seals to changes in cod abundance might be complex and highly non-linear, due to predator 472 preferences and potential for prey switching as a function of the relative abundance of prey 473 types in the environment. A sigmoidal shape for the FR would be consistent with the available 474 knowledge on the spatio-temporal and vertical distribution of cod that differs between cod 475 age-groups, juvenile cod being generally found in shallower waters and closer to the shore 476 than adult cod (e.g. Castonguay et al. 1999). Based on survey conducted on board the MV 477 "Gadus Atlantica" in winter from 1978 to 1994, young cod age-groups have been shown to 478 occupy different depths and areas in the NGSL (DFO unpublished data), which could favor 479 the emergence of a type 3 FR. Cod migration and distribution patterns might also change in 480 time in relation to changes in environmental conditions affecting their habitat (Castonguay et 481 al. 1999). 482

The sensitivity analysis showed that a type 2 FR would be less consistent with both the data 483 and outputs from other predation models (Hammill & Stenson 2004, Duplisea & Hammill 484 2006). Although cod predation removals were of the same order of magnitude as those found 485 with models based on linear assumptions about seal consumption (Hammill & Stenson 2004. 486 Duplisea & Hammill 2006), the use of a FR led to different conclusions by accounting for 487 changes in cod age-groups abundance in time. Our results are consistent with Middlemas et 488 al. (2006) who provided empirical support for a type 3 FR of seals to Atlantic salmon in an 489 estuarine system in Scotland; however, in a multispecies or multi-age context, the interpretation 490 of the FR type is not straightforward as the changes in alternative prev abundance influence 491 the response of the predator to the availability of any prey (Smout & Lindstrøm 2007). This 492 will be important for harp seals who have been shown to show strong preference for pelagic 493 species such as capelin (Mallotus villosus) but are neutrally selective towards cod (Lawson et 494

al. 1998). Attempts to estimate statistical parameters of a FR have revealed the difficulty 495 of relating microscale observations of stomach contents to the effective feeding responses of 496 marine species at the macroscale (Pláganyi 2007). This is further complicated in the case of 497 harp seal because biomass estimates of important alternative prey such as capelin, sandlance 498 (Ammodytes sp), Arctic cod (Boreogadus saida) and krill (Euphausidae sp) are not available 499 for the NGSL. New data collection, experiments, and analyses such as conducted by Kempf 500 et al (in press) may help clarify harp seal sigmoidal feeding behaviour since modeling such 501 non-linear processes is key to explore the potential impact of management scenarios based on 502 a decline in seal population. 503

#### 504 Cod population dynamics

Despite major differences with the current method used to assess the cod stock status (e.g. 505 no commercial data included in the analysis), SIMCAB estimates of cod abundance, fishing 506 mortality, and SSB accorded with the last assessment of the northern Gulf cod (MPO 2007). 507 Results were consistent with the collapse of the stock in the late 1980s and the current lack of 508 recovery (MPO 2007). In the present analysis, cod abundance in the initial year 1984 was based 509 on the numbers-at-age provided by VPA results, considering that the convergence property of 510 this method would give reliable estimates of abundance in the past (Jones 1961). Setting 511 the initial cod numbers helped in the estimation of the parameters linking cod abundance to 512 survey abundance indices, i.e. catchability and selectivity. Although a statistically 'optimal' 513 solution was obtained through the fitting process, the information provided to the model was 514 insufficient to distinguish between the level of residual mortality and magnitude of recruitment 515 at age 1, i.e. there could be a correlation between the maximum recruitment  $(R_{max})$  and the 516 residual mortality parameters ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ). Such a correlation could affect the absolute values of 517 residual mortality at age 1 but would not modify the model results for the other cod age-groups 518 for which information is provided through survey data. 519

A novel aspect of our cod population model is a stock-recruitment relationship based on egg production, which for cod is considered a better measure of the true reproductive potential of the stock than spawning biomass (Marshall et al. 2006). The high interannual variations in abundance indices for cod aged 1-2 included both natural survival variability of cod larvae and juveniles, and sampling noise associated with the research vessel gear that does not well select very small cod (< 15 cm) predated by seals. In the context of trophic interactions where small fish form the bulk of the diet of many predators, collecting data on fish larvae and juveniles abundance appears as a key issue to explain the factors driving prerecruit survival and recruitment.

In addition, total egg production in our model was derived from a statistical model that related 529 egg production to length-at-maturity and condition of mature females (Lambert 2008). Hence, 530 recruitment in the model accounted for changes in growth of cod that could be density and/or 531 temperature dependent (Swain et al. 2003), as well as changes in environment that could affect 532 egg productivity through cod condition. Natural mortality other than harp seal predation was 533 assumed age- and condition-dependent based on a comparative analysis between laboratory 534 feeding experiments and wild cod collected in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in the 1990s (Lambert 535 & Dutil 1997a). The residual natural mortality term for old ages was consistent with temporal 536 patterns estimated with virtual population analysis (Grégoire & Fréchet 2005). Accounting 537 for effects of condition on residual mortality led to a better fit of the model and suggested that 538 the decline in the condition and energy reserves of cod during the 1990s may have lowered the 539 productivity of the stock and contributed to its collapse (Lambert & Dutil 1997a). 540

In contrast to the VPA, SIMCAB allows the separation of seal predation mortality from fishing 541 mortality and other mortality through time and accounts for environmental influences on 542 mortality and cod fecundity. Modeling the mechanistic processes involved in predation is a 543 valuable alternative to the classic assumption of constant natural mortality rates to include 544 some ecosystem components in stock assessment methods (e.g. Lindstrøm et al. 2002) and 545 progressively move toward ecosystem-based fishery management (Pikitch et al. 2004). This 546 seems particularly critical for conducting stock projections to evaluate the expected effects of 547 fishery management rules (e.g. Hollowed et al. 2000) in a context where predation is suspected 548 to delay the NGSL cod stock recovery. 549

#### 550 Cod recovery within an ecosystem perspective

The impact of changes in predation and fishing mortality on the SSB/R was assessed for 551 different values of residual natural mortality assumed here to represent different environmental 552 conditions. Diagnostics about a decline in seal predation were then shown to be dependent 553 on the productivity of the stock related to the environment (Dutil et al. 2003). Residual 554 mortality affecting young cod was shown to be more important than harp seal predation but 555 not explicitly modelled in the present analysis. Predation mortality has been shown to be the 556 dominant source of mortality for small cod ( $\leq 35$  cm) in the 1990s and 2000s, representing 557 about 95% of total mortality (Savenkoff et al. 2006). In the NGSL, small cod have been shown 558 to be preved upon by large cod through cannibalism, other large demersals (e.g. white hake 559 Urophycis tenuis), grey seals and boreal cetaceans including mysticetes such as minke whale 560 (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) and odontocetes such as white-beaked dolphins (Lagenorhynchus 561 albirostris) (Morissette et al. 2006, Savenkoff et al. 2004, 2006, 2007). While cannibalism 562 decreased in the last decades due to the decline in adult stock biomass, it still represented an 563 important component of mortality for small cod in the early 2000s (Savenkoff et al. 2007). A 564 high proportion of the total mortality of cod aged 3-10 was also not explained by harp seal 565 predation or fishing and attributed to residual mortality. This residual mortality includes both 566 a predation mortality component due to large cod predators such as grey seals and another 567 mortality component that could involve starvation, disease, and parasites (Savenkoff et al. 568 2004, 2006). In SIMCAB, mortality linked to these latter factors was related to cod condition 569 through the asymptote of residual mortality, assuming that fish in bad condition would have 570 little energy reserves to survive over the winter months or critical stages of their life cycle 571 (Lambert and Dutil 1997, Dutil et al. 1999). 572

Based on the large database of cod stomachs collected by Fisheries and Oceans Canada during numerous research and commercial fishing-vessel surveys since the mid-1980s, modelling explicitly cannibalism within SIMCAB could help separating the components of residual mortality for small cod. Following the one-way interaction modelled between harp seal and cod, predation by other species than harp seals such as grey seals could also be included in the model if suitable data are available. The use of ecosystem models such as mass-balanced and end-to-end models (Travers et al. 2007) in complement to SIMCAB would allow to represent the full complexity of the food web, to identify the major factors affecting cod mortality, to include bottom-up effects of prey availability on production and mortality, and eventually to compare simulation outputs for assessing the robustness of the results.

Long-term projections based on the SSB/R analysis did not consider issues of recruitment in 583 a context where the low reproductive potential of the stock associated with a low productivity 584 are major factors explaining its lack of recovery (Dutil et al. 2003, Shelton et al. 2006). 585 Projections performed with SIMCAB to estimate recovery time should also account for the 586 effects of condition on recruitment (Lambert et al. 2000, Marteinsdottir & Begg 2002) and 587 growth (Dutil et al. 1999) that would also modify the response of the cod population to 588 the implementation of management rules based on a decline in seal population. Considering 589 alternative projection scenarios based on different harvest control rules for the commercial cod 590 fishery and different environmental regimes is important to determine the utility of managing 591 seals to increase the recovery rate of the NGSL cod population. 592

593

## CONCLUSION

The main objectives of this study were to examine the relative impacts of fishing, environmental 594 conditions and seal predation on the decline and recovery of the NGSL cod population within 595 a consistent modeling framework. Within this framework harp seals could play an important 596 role in the recovery of NGSL cod. This framework lends itself to adding additional components 597 which might result in different conclusions. For example, harp seals are generalist predators 598 and their impact will be affected by the availability of alternative prey. Elsewhere it has been 599 shown that incorporating even only a few other prey alternatives may result in different and 600 unexpected outcomes (Punt & Butterworth 1995, Morissette et al. 2006, Matthiopoulos et al. 601 2007). Therefore, although harp seals may have an important impact on recovery of NGSL cod 602 population, efforts to manage seals with this objective in mind may not achieve the expected 603

outcomes because of other components within the NGSL marine ecosystem.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to P Carter, S Turgeon, D McKinnon, W Penney, B Stockwood, D Wakeham, and H Bourdages for providing data on seal diet and cod abundance indices. K Trzcinski and B Mohn made useful suggestions on the modeling approach, M-N Bourassa and JF Gosselin helped in map drawing. The work benefited from discussions with A Fréchet, P Schwab, J Gautier, and M Koen-Alonso. Comments by 3 anonymous referees greatly helped to improve and to clarify the manuscript. This work was financed by DFO's species at risk funding program (SARCEP).

### 612 LITERATURE CITED

Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans Auto Contr
19:716–723

Andersen KP, Ursin E (1977) A multispecies extension to the Beverton and Holt theory of
fishing, with accounts of phosphorus circulation and primary production. Meddelelser Fra
Danmarks Fiskeri- of Havundersøgelser, NS Vol 7:319–435

<sup>618</sup> Beverton RJH, Holt SJ (1957) On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Fisheries inves<sup>619</sup> tigations series 2. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food. London, UK

Bourdages H, Archambault D, Morin B, Fréchet A, Savard L, Grégoire F, Bérubé M (2003)
Preliminary results from the groundfish and shrimp multidisciplinary survey from August 2003
in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence. DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2003/078

Bourdages H, Savard L, Archambault D, Valois S (2007) Résultats de l'expérience de la pêche
comparative d'août 2004 et 2005 dans le nord du golfe Saint-Laurent, entre le NGCC Alfred
Needler et le NGCC Teleost. Rapp tech can sci halieut aquat 26xx : ix + 57p

- <sup>626</sup> Bundy A (2001) Fishing on ecosystems: the interplay of fishing and predation in Newfoundland-
- 627 Labrador. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 58:1153–1157

- Castonguay M, Rollet C, Fréchet A, Gagnon P, Gilbert D, Brêthes JC (1999) Distribution
  changes of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) in the northern Gulf of St Lawrence in relation to
  an oceanic cooling. ICES J Mar Sci 56: 333–344
- 631 Chassot E, Caskenette A, Duplisea DE, Hammill MO, Bourdages H, Lambert Y, Stenson GB
- (2007) A model of predation by harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*) on the northern Gulf of St.
- <sup>633</sup> Lawrence stock of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2007/066

<sup>634</sup> Chouinard GA, Swain DP, Hammill MO, Poirier GA (2005) Covariation between grey seal
<sup>635</sup> (*Halichoerus grypus*) abundance and natural mortality of cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the southern
<sup>636</sup> Gulf of St. Lawrence. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 62:1991–2000

- <sup>637</sup> DFO (2003) Proceedings of the zonal assessment meeting Atlantic cod. DFO Can Sci Advis
  <sup>638</sup> Sec Proceed Ser 2003/021
- <sup>639</sup> Duplisea DE, Hammill MO (2006) An approach to modelling the influence of harp seal (*Phoca groenlandica*) predation on decline and recovery of the Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence cod
  <sup>641</sup> (*Gadus morhua*). ICES CM 2006/L: 02
- Dutil J-D, Castonguay M, Gilbert D, Gascon D (1999) Growth, condition, and environmental
  relationships in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence and
  implications for management strategies in the Northwest Atlantic. Can J Fish Aquat Sci
  56:1818–1831
- Dutil J-D, Y Lambert (2000) Natural mortality from poor condition in Atlantic cod (Gadus *morhua*). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 57:826–836
- Dutil J-D, Gauthier J, Lambert Y, Fréchet A, Chabot D (2003) Cod stocks rebuilding and fish
  bioenergetics: low productivity hypothesis. DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2003/060
- <sup>650</sup> Efron B, Tibshirani RJ (1998). An introduction to the Bootstrap Chapman & Hall
- <sup>651</sup> Flaaten O (1988) The economics of multispecies harvesting. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany

Fournier D, Archibald CP (1982) A general theory for analyzing catch at age data. Can J Fish
 Aquat Sci 39:1195–1207

<sup>654</sup> FRCC (1999) Conservation requirements for the Gulf of St. Lawrence groundfish stocks and <sup>655</sup> cod stocks in divisions 2GH and 3Ps and science priorities. Letter to Minister, April 1999

- Fréchet A, Butler R, Hussey E, Kennedy J, Rose G (2003b) Quality at landing in relation to
  gears used in the 3Pn, 4R cod fishery, 2000-2002. DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2003/066
- Fréchet A, Gauthier J, Schwab P, Bourdages H, Chabot D, Collier F, Grégoire F, Lambert
  Y, Moreault G, Pageau L, Spingle J (2003a) The status of cod in the Northern Gulf of St.
  Lawrence (3Pn, 4RS) in 2002. DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2003/065
- Fréchet A, Gauthier J, Schwab P, Bourdages H, Tournois, C, Spingle J, Way M, Collier F
  (2007) The status of cod in the Northern Gulf of St.Lawrence (3Pn, 4RS) in 2006. DFO Can
  Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2007/068
- <sup>664</sup> Fu C, Mohn R, Fanning LP (2001) Why the Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock off eastern
  <sup>665</sup> Nova Scotia has not recovered. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 58:1613–1623
- <sup>666</sup> Fulton T (1902) Rate of growth of seas fishes. Sci Invest Fish Div Scot Rept 20
- Grégoire F, Fréchet A (2005) Calculation of northern Gulf of St. Lawrence cod (*Gadus morhua*)
  natural mortality for the 1990 to 2004 period. DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2005/019
- Hammill MO, Stenson GB, Myers RA, Stobo WT (1998) Pup production and population
  trends of the grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Can J Fish Aquat
  Sci 55:423–430
- <sup>672</sup> Hammill MO, Stenson GB (2000) Estimated prey consumption by harp seals (Phoca groen-
- 673 landica), hooded seals (Cystophora cristata), grey seals (Halichoerus grypus) and harbour seals
- 674 (Phoca vitulina) in Atlantic Canada. J Northw Atl Fish Sci 26:1–23

Hammill MO, Stenson GB (2004) Estimated consumption of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*)
by harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*), in NAFO Zone 4RS. DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc
2004/093

- Hammill MO, Lesage V, Carter P (2005) What do harp seals eat? Comparing diet composition
  from different compartments of the digestive tract with diets estimated from stable isotope
  ratios. Can J Zool 83:1365–1372
- Hammill MO, Stenson GB (2005) Abundance of Northwest Atlantic harp seals (1960-2005).
  DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc 2005/090
- Hammond TR, Trenkel VM (2005) Censored catch data in fisheries stock assessment, ICES J
  Mar Sci, 62:1118–1130
- Hollowed AB, Ianelli JN, Livingston PA (2000) Including predation mortality in stock assessments: a case study for Gulf of Alaska walleye Pollock. ICES J. Mar. Sci 57:279–293
- Hutchings JA (1996) Spatial and temporal variation in the density of northern cod and a
  review of hypotheses for the stock's collapse. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 53:943–962
- Jones R (1961) The assessment of the long-term effects of changes in gear selectivity and fishing
   effort. Mar Res Scot 2: 1–19
- Kempf A, Floeter J, Temming A (in press) Predator-prey overlap induced Holling type III
   functional response: an example from the North Sea fish assemblage. Mar Ecol Prog Ser
- <sup>693</sup> Koen-Alonso M (2006) A process-oriented approach to the multispecies functional response.
- <sup>694</sup> In Rooney N, McCann KS, Noaks DLG (eds): From energetics to ecosystems: the dynamics <sup>695</sup> and structure of ecological systems, Chapter 1, p 1-36
- Lambert, Y. 2008. Why should we closely monitor fecundity in marine fish populations? J
  Northw Atl Fish Sci 41:93–106

Lambert Y, Dutil J-D (1997a) Condition and energy reserves of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*)
during the collapse of the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence stock. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 54:2388–
2400

Lambert Y, Dutil J-D (1997b) Can simple condition indices be used to monitor and quantify
seasonal changes in the energy reserves of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*)? Can J Fish Aquat
Sci 54:104–112

Lambert Y, Dutil J-D (2000) Energetic consequences of reproduction in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in relation to spawning level of somatic energy reserves. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 57:815–825

Lambert Y, Dutil J-D, Ouellet P (2000) Nutritional condition and reproductive success in wild
fish populations. In: Norberg B, Kjesbu OS, Taranger GL, Andersson E, Stefansson SO (eds)
Proc 6th Int Symp Rep Phys Fish. Inst Mar Res & Univ Bergen, Bergen, Norway, p 77-84

Lawless JF (2003) Statistical Models and Methods for Lifetime Data, 2nd edition. John Wiley
and Sons, Hoboken

Lawson JW, Anderson JT, Dalley EL, Stenson GB (1998) Selective foraging by harp seals *Phoca groenlandica* in nearshore and offshore waters of Newfoundland, 1993 and 1994. Mar
Ecol Prog Ser 163:1–10

Lindstrøm U, Harbitz A, Haug T, Nilssen KT (1998) Do harp seals *Phoca groenlandica* exhibit
particular prey preferences? ICES J Mar Sci 55:941–953

<sup>717</sup> Lindstrøm U, Haug T, Røttingen I (2002) Predation on herring, *Clupea harengus*, by minke
<sup>718</sup> whales, *Balaenoptera acutorostrata*, in the Barents Sea ICES J Mar Sci 59:58–70

Mackinson S, Blanchard JL, Pinnegar JK, Scott R (2003) Consequences of alternative functional responses in models exploring whale-fishery interactions. Mar Mam Sci 19:661–681

721 Magnússon KG, Pálsson OK (1991) Predator-prey interactions of cod and capelin in Icelandic

- <sup>722</sup> waters. ICES Mar Sci Symp 193:153–170
- Marshall CT, Needle CL, Thorsen A, Kjesbu OS, Yaragina NA (2006) Systematic bias in
  estimates of reproductive potential of an Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock: implications for
  stock-recruit theory and management. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 63:980–994
- Marteinsdottir G, Begg GA (2002) Essential relationships incorporating the influence of age,
  size and condition on variables required for estimation of reproductive potential in Atlantic
  cod *Gadus morhua*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 235:235–256
- Matthiopoulos J, Graham K, Smout S, Asseburg C, Redpath S, Thirgood S, Hudson P, Harwood J (2007) Sensitivity to assumptions in models of generalist predation on a cyclic prey.
  Ecology 88:2576–2586
- McLaren I, Brault S, Harwood J, Vardy D (2001) Report of the eminent panel on seal management. Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa, Canada, 143pp
- Middlemas SJ, Barton TR, Armstrong JD, Thompson PM (2006) Function and aggregative
  response of harbour seals to changes in salmonid abundance. Proc R Soc London Ser B
  273:193–198
- Mohn R, Bowen WD (1996) Grey seal predation on the eastern Scotian Shelf: modelling the
  impact on Atlantic cod. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 53:2722–2738
- Morissette L, Hammill MO, Savenkoff C (2006) The trophic role of marine mammals in the
  northern Gulf of St. Lawrence Mar Mam Sci 22:74–103
- MPO (2007) Évaluation du stock de morue du nord du golfe du Saint-Laurent (3Pn, 4RS) en
  2006. Secr can de consult sci du ministère des Pêches et des Océans (MPO), Avis sci 2007/003
- Myers RA, Barrowman NJ, Hoenig JM, Qu Z (1996) The collapse of cod in Eastern Canada:
  the evidence from tagging data. ICES J Mar Sci 53:629–640

- Myers RA, Hutchings JA, Barrowman NJ (1997) Why do fish stocks collapse? The example
  of cod in Atlantic Canada. Ecol Applic 7:91–106
- Myers RA, Cadigan NG (1995) Statistical analysis of catch-at-age data with correlated errors.
  Can J Fish Aquat Sci 52:1265–1273
- <sup>749</sup> Pikitch E, Santora C, Babcock E, Bakun A, Bonfil R, Conover D, Dayton P, Doukakis P,
- Fluharty D, Heneman B, Houde E, Link J, Livingston P, Mangel M, McAllister M, Pope J,
  Sainsbury K (2004) Ecosystem-based fishery management. Science 305:346–347
- Pope JG (1972) An investigation of the accuracy of Virtual Population Analysis using cohort
   analysis. ICNAF Res Bull 9:65–74
- Punt AE, Butterwoth DS (1995) The effects of future consumption by the Cape fur seal on
  catches and catch rates of the Cape hakes. 4. Modelling the biological interaction between
  Cape fur seals Arctocephalus pusillus pusillus and the Cape hakes Merluccius capensis and M.
  paradoxus S Afr J Mar Sci 16:255–285
- <sup>758</sup> Quinn TJ II, Deriso RB (1999) Quantitative Fishery Dynamics. Oxford, New York
- Rätz H-J, Lloret J (2003) Variation in fish condition between Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*)
  stocks, the effect on their productivity and management implications. Fish Res 60:369–380
- <sup>761</sup> R Development Core Team (2008) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing
   <sup>762</sup> R Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna, Austria ISBN 3-900051-07-0
- Rice JC, Shelton PA, Rivard D, Chouinard GA, Fréchet A (2003) Recovering Canadian Atlantic
  cod stocks: the shape of things to come? ICES CM 2003/U: 06
- Savenkoff C, Castonguay M, Chabot D, Fréchet A, Hammill MO, Morissette L (2006) Main
  prey and predators and estimates of mortality of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the northern
  Gulf of St. Lawrence during the mid-1980s, mid-1990s, and early 2000s. Can Tech Rep Fish
  Aquat Sci 2666

- Savenkoff C, Castonguay M, Chabot D, Hammill MO, Bourdages H, Morissette L (2007)
  Changes in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence ecosystem estimated by inverse modelling:
  evidence of a fishery-induced regime shift? Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 73:711–724
- Savenkoff C, Castonguay M, Vézina AF, Despatie S-P, Chabot D, Morissette L, Hammill MO
  (2004) Inverse modelling of trophic flows through an entire ecosystem: the northern Gulf of
  St. Lawrence in the mid-1980s. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 61:2194–2214
- Shelton PA, Healey, BP (1999) Should depensation be dismissed as a possible explanation
  for the lack of recovery of the northern cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock? Can J Fish Aquat Sci
  56:1521–1524
- Shelton PA, Sinclair AF, Chouinard GA, Mohn R, Duplisea DE (2006) Fishing under low
  productivity conditions is further delaying recovery of Northwest Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*).
  Can J Fish Aquat Sci 63:235–238
- Shin Y-J, Cury P (2004) Using an individual-based model of fish assemblages to study the
  response of size spectra to changes in fishing. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 61:414–431
- Smout S, Lindstrøm U (2007) Multispecies functional response of the minke whale Balaenoptera
   *acutorostrata* based on small-scale foraging studies. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 341:277–291
- Stenson GB, Hammill MO, Kingsley MCS, Sjare B, Warren WG, Myers RA (2002) Is there evidence of increased pup production in northwest Atlantic harp seals, *Pagophilus groenlandicus*?
  ICES J Mar Sci 59:81–92
- Stenson GB, Hammill MO (2004) Quantifying uncertainty in estimates of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) consumption by harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*). DFO Can Sci Advis Sec Res Doc
  2004/089
- Stenson GB, Rivest L-P, Hammill MO, Gosselin J-F, Sjare B (2003) Estimating pup production
  of harp seals, *Pagophilus groenlandicus*, in the Northwest Atlantic. Mar Mam Sci 19:141–160

- <sup>793</sup> Swain DP, Sinclair AF, Castonguay M, Chouinard GA, Drinkwater KF, Fanning LP, Clark
  <sup>794</sup> DS (2003) Density-versus temperature-dependent growth of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in
  <sup>795</sup> the Gulf of St. Lawrence and on the Scotian Shelf. Fish Res 59:327–341
- <sup>796</sup> Travers M, Shin Y, Jennings S, Cury P (2007) Towards end-to-end models for investigating
- <sup>797</sup> the effects of climate and fishing in marine ecosystems. Prog Oceanogr 75:751–770
- Trzcinski MK, Mohn R, Bowen WD (2006) Continued decline of an Atlantic cod population:
  how important is gray seal predation? Ecol Applic 16:2276–2292
- Walters CJ, Christensen V, Pauly D (1997) Structuring dynamic models of exploited ecosystems from trophic mass-balance assessments. Rev Fish Biol Fish 7:139-172
- Wathne JA, Haug T, Lydersen C (2000) Prey preference and niche overlap of ringed seals *Phoca*
- $_{\tt 803}$  hispida and harp seals P. groenlandica in the Barents Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 194:233–239
- Yodzis P (1994) Predator-prey theory and management of multispecies fisheries. Ecol Applic
  4:51–58
- Yodzis P (1998) Local trophodynamics and interaction of marine mammals and fisheries in the
   Benguela ecosystem. J Anim Ecol 67:635–658

## 808 List of Figures

809	1	Location of the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence (NAFO divisions 4RS) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	35
810	2	Distribution area of northwest Atlantic harp seals ( <i>Pagophilus groenlandicus</i> )	36
811	3	Harp seal abundance (black dots) ( $\pm$ standard deviation) derived from the model of Hammill	
812		and Stenson (2004) and cod spawning stock biomass (white diamonds) derived from virtual	
813		population analysis (MPO 2007) in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence during 1984-2006	37
814	4	Size-frequency histogram of cod ingested derived from otoliths collected in seal stomachs with	
815		fitted mixture distribution representing cod of age 1-4	38
816	5	Survey numbers-at-age observed (cross) and predicted by the SIMCAB model (solid line).	
817		Scales were selected for readability along $y$ -axes	39
818	6	Catch-at-age observed (cross) and predicted by the SIMCAB model (solid line). Scales were	
819		selected for readability along $y$ -axes	40
820	7	Cumulative annual mortality rates for cod aged (a) 1-2, (b) 3-6, and (c) 7-10. Dark grey: seal	
821		predation mortality; light grey: natural mortality other than seal predation; white: fishing	
822		mortality. Scales were selected for readability along $y$ -axes	41
823	8	Annual predation mortality rate for cod aged 1-4 with 90% bootstrap confidence intervals $\ .$ .	42
824	9	Predicted multi-age functional response of harp seal to cod for 2 distinct age-structures of	
825		the population. Solid line corresponds to the age-structure of the year 1984; dashed line	
826		corresponds to the age-structure of the year 1995 characterized by a high abundance of cod	
827		aged 1. Grey area represents 90% bootstrap confidence intervals. Scales were selected for	
828		readability along $y$ -axes $\ldots$	43
829	10	Spawning stock biomass per recruit (SSB/R) as a function of fishing mortality for 3 different	
830		levels of harp seal predation and 2 distinct productivity regimes (see text for details) $\ldots$	44



Figure 1: Location of the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence (NAFO divisions 4RS)



Figure 2: Distribution area of northwest Atlantic harp seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus)



Figure 3: Harp seal abundance (black dots) ( $\pm$  standard deviation) derived from the model of Hammill and Stenson (2004) and cod spawning stock biomass (white diamonds) derived from virtual population analysis (MPO 2007) in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence during 1984-2006



Figure 4: Size-frequency histogram of cod ingested derived from otoliths collected in seal stomachs with fitted mixture distribution representing cod of age 1-4





Figure 6: Catch-at-age observed (cross) and predicted by the SIMCAB model (solid line). Scales were selected for readability along y-axes



Figure 7: Cumulative annual mortality rates for cod aged (a) 1-2, (b) 3-6, and (c) 7-10. Dark grey: seal predation mortality; light grey: natural mortality other than seal predation; white: fishing mortality. Scales were selected for readability along y-axes



Figure 8: Annual predation mortality rate for cod aged 1-4 with 90% bootstrap confidence intervals



Figure 9: Predicted multi-age functional response of harp seal to cod for 2 distinct age-structures of the population. Solid line corresponds to the age-structure of the year 1984; dashed line corresponds to the age-structure of the year 1995 characterized by a high abundance of cod aged 1. Grey area represents 90% bootstrap confidence intervals. Scales were selected for readability along y-axes



Figure 10: Spawning stock biomass per recruit (SSB/R) as a function of fishing mortality for 3 different levels of harp seal predation and 2 distinct productivity regimes (see text for details)

Table 1: Parameters and variables used in SIMCAB. NS: not

 $<sup>\</sup>operatorname{shown}$ 

Notation	Definition	Origin	Value	Equation
В	Cod biomass (t)	Calculated	NS	D1
N	Cod numbers (number)	Calculated	NS	D1-D5,D9,D11-D12
$w_a$	Cod weight-at-age (t)	Fixed	NS	D1-D2
SSB	Spawning stock biomass (t)	Calculated	NS	D2
TEP	Total egg production (number)	Calculated	NS	D3,D8
ξ	Sex ratio	Fixed	NS	D3
$\phi$	Proportion of maturing females	Fixed	NS	D1,D3
f	Fecundity (number of eggs $cod^{-1}$ )	Fixed	NS	D3
A	Last age-group	Fixed	13	D3
$\lambda$	Functional response of harp seals to cod (number $\operatorname{seal}^{-1} \operatorname{year}^{-1}$ )	Calculated	Fig. 9	D4,D5
$\zeta$	Attack rate (number seal <sup><math>-1</math></sup> year <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	Calculated	NS	D4
$\zeta^{ref}$	Reference attack rate (number seal <sup><math>-1</math></sup> year <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	Calculated	NS	D4
Q	Scaling factor of the attack rates	Estimated	$0.021 \ (0.003)$	D4
$J_{max}$	Maximum consumption rate (number seal <sup><math>-1</math></sup> year <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	Calculated	996	D4
m	Shape parameter defining the functional response type	Estimated	$2.13 \ (0.015)$	D4
ρ	Number of feeding days spent by seals in Gulf each year	Fixed	150	D5

Notation	Definition	Origin	Value	Equation
$\overline{W_t}$	Cod mean weight for ages targeted by seals (t)	Calculated	NS	D5
$\overline{W}_0$	Cod mean weight for ages targeted by seals in 1998-2001 $(t)$	Calculated	288e-6	D5
S	Seal numbers (number)	Fixed	Fig. 3	D5
M	Residual natural mortality rate $(y^{-1})$	Calculated	Fig. 7	D6
$\alpha$	Intercept of the $M$ curve $(y^{-1})$	Estimated	$5.03\ (0.013)$	D6
$\beta$	Slope of the $M$ curve $(y^{-1} a^{-1})$	Estimated	$0.90 \ (0.002)$	D6
θ	Asymptote of the $M$ curve $(y^{-1})$	Calculated	NS	D6
F	Fishing mortality rate $(y^{-1})$	Estimated	Fig. 7	D7
$\varsigma_{a, c}$	Partial recruitment	Calculated	NS	D7
$\gamma_c^1$	Shape parameter of the partial recruitment (1984-1993)	Estimated	$1.95 \ (0.007)$	D7
$\delta_c^1$	Age at which $50\%$ of the individuals are vulnerable to fishing gear (1984-1993)	Estimated	$5.39\ (0.006)$	D7
$\gamma_c^2$	Shape parameter of the partial recruitment (1994-2006)	Estimated	$1.64 \ (0.017)$	D7
$\delta_c^2$	Age at which 50% of the individuals are vulnerable to fishing gear (1994-2006)	Estimated	$6.46 \ (0.016)$	D7
R	Recruitment (number)	Calculated	NS	D8
$R_{max}$	Maximum number of recruits produced (number)	Estimated	1.9e9~(6.9e6)	D8
r	TEP needed to produce recruitment equal to $R_{max}/2$ (number of eggs)	Estimated	5.3e11 (1.3e11)	D8
N'	Cod numbers in the middle of the year (number)	Calculated	NS	D9-D10
Р	Cod numbers predated by the seals (number)	Calculated	NS	D9

Table 1: Parameters and variables used in SIMCAB (continued)

Notation	Definition	Origin	Value	Equation
C	Fishery catch (number)	Calculated	Fig. 6	D10-D11,D14-D15
Ι	Abundance index (number)	Calculated	Fig. 5	D12
$\varsigma_{a, s}$	Survey selectivity-at-age	Calculated	NS	D12
q	Survey catchability	Estimated	$1.38 \ (0.076)$	D12
$\gamma_s$	Shape parameter of the survey selectivity	Estimated	2.99(0.028)	D12
$\delta_s$	Age at which $50\%$ of the individuals are vulnerable to the survey gear	Estimated	2.72 (0.007)	D12
$p_{a,t,s}$	Proportion of number-at-age in the survey	Calculated	NS	D13
$p_{a,t,c}$	Proportion of catch-at-age	Calculated	NS	D15

Table 1: Parameters and variables used in SIMCAB (continued)

	State moments
(D1)	$B_t = \sum^A w_a  N_{a,t}$
(D2)	$SSB_t = \sum_{A}^{A} w_a N_{a,t} \phi_{a,t}$
(D3)	$TEP_{t} = \sum_{a=1}^{a=1} N_{a,t} \xi_{a,t} \phi_{a,t} f_{a,t}$

Table 2: Deterministic process and observation equations in the SIMCAB model. a and t index age and time respectively

## Mortality components

(D4) 
$$\lambda_{a,t} = \frac{J_{max} \zeta_a N_{a,t}^m}{J_{max} + \sum_i \zeta_i N_{i,t}^m}$$
 with  $\zeta_a = \varrho \zeta_a^0$ 

$$(D5) \qquad P_{a,t} = \frac{\lambda_{a,t} N_{a,t}}{\left(\frac{\overline{W}_t}{\overline{W}_0}\right) S_t \frac{\rho}{365}}$$

$$(D6) \qquad M_{a,t} = \vartheta_t + \alpha \exp\left(\frac{-a}{\beta}\right)$$

$$(D7) \qquad F_{a,t} = \varsigma_{a,c}^k F_t \quad \text{with} \quad \varsigma_{a,c}^k = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\gamma_c^k (a - \delta_c^k)\right)}$$

### **Process functions**

(D8) 
$$R_t = \frac{R_{max} TEP_{t-1}}{r + TEP_{t-1}}$$

(D9) 
$$N'_{a,t} = (N_{a,t} - P_{a,t}) \exp(-M_{a,t}/2)$$

(D10) 
$$C_{a,t} = N'_{a,t} (1 - \exp(-F_{a,t}))$$

(D11) 
$$N_{a+1,t+1} = (N'_{a,t} - C_{a,t}) \exp(-M_{a,t}/2)$$

### **Observation functions**

(D12) 
$$I_t = \sum_{a=1}^{A} q \varsigma_{a,s} N_{a,t}$$
 with  $\varsigma_{a,s} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\gamma_s (a - \delta_s))}$   
(D13)  $p_{a,t,s} = \frac{I_{a,t}}{\sum_{a=1}^{A} I_{a,t}}$   
(D14)  $C_t = \sum_{a=1}^{A} C_{a,t}$   
(D15)  $p_{a,t,c} = \frac{C_{a,t}}{\sum_{a=1}^{A} C_{a,t}}$  48

Table 3: Proportion of Atlantic cod in harp seal diet weighted by their relative residency in each area during the period November-March. The year 1998 refers to the period November 1997-March 1998 and similarly for the other years

Year	Sample size			Proportion
	4Ra-c	4Rd- $3$ Pn	4S	
1998	27	21	-	0.0506
1999	28	22	24	0.0538
2000	25	39	29	0.0382
2001	30	16	-	0.0377

Notation	Definition	Equation
$I_{a,t}^*$	Observed abundance index	L1
$J^*_{a,t}$	log-observed abundance index	S1
$T_1$	Number of uncensored data, with $T_1 = \text{Card}(\bar{I})$	L1
$\Phi$	Cumulative standard normal distribution	L1
$\psi$	Standard deviation of the observation error in the survey data	L1
$n_{t,s}^*$	Observed total number of fish taken by the survey vessels	L2
$p_{a,t,s}^{*}$	Observed proportion of number-at-age, with $p_{a,t,s}^* = \frac{I_{a,t}^*}{\sum_{a,t}^{A} I_{a,t}^*}$	L2
	$\overline{a=1}$	
$p_{a,t,s}$	Predicted proportion of number-at-age	L2
Т	Number of years	L2-L4
Г	Gamma distribution	L2-L3
$p_{a,t,c}^{*}$	Observed proportion of catch-at-age	L3
$p_{a,t,c}$	Predicted proportion of catch-at-age	L3
$n_{t,c}^{*}$	Total catch sampled to establish age-proportions	L3
$C_t^*$	Observed total catch	L4
$C_t$	Predicted total catch	L4
$\sigma_c$	Standard deviation of the observation error in the catch data	L4

Table 4: Parameters and variables used in the stochastic equations and likelihood components. a, t, s, and c index age, year, survey, and catch respectively

Table 5: Definitions used to extend the deterministic model in Table 2 to a stochastic model. iid: independent and identically distributed;  $\sim$ : distributed as;  $\mathcal{N}$ : normal distribution; *Dir*: Dirichlet distribution

$$(S1) \quad J_t^* = \sum_{a=1}^A J_{a,t}^* \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{a=1}^A \log I_{a,t}(\theta), \psi^2\right) \qquad \psi^2 = A\sigma^2 + A^2\tau^2$$
where  $J_{a,t}^* = \log(I_{a,t}^*) = \log(I_{a,t}) + \epsilon_{a,t} + \eta_t$ 

$$\epsilon_{a,t} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
 $\eta_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2)$ 

$$(S2) \quad p_{a,t,s}^* \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Dir\left(p_{1,t,s}(\theta), \dots, p_{A,t,s}(\theta), n_{t,s}^*\right)$$

$$(S3) \quad p_{a,t,c}^* \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Dir\left(p_{1,t,c}(\theta), \dots, p_{A,t,c}(\theta), n_{t,c}^*\right)$$

$$(S4) \quad \log C_t^* \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(\log C_t(\theta) - \frac{\sigma_c^2}{2}, \sigma_c^2\right)$$

Table 6: Likelihood components of the SIMCAB model. The generic notation  $\theta$  represents the set of parameters to estimate

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\text{Equation}} & \text{Likelihood} \\ (\text{L1}) & L(\{I_{a,t}^{*}\}|\theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{T_{1}}{2}}\psi^{T_{1}}}\exp\left\{-\sum_{t\in I}\frac{\left(\sum_{a=1}^{A}\log\frac{I_{a,t}^{*}}{I_{a,t}(\theta)}\right)^{2}}{2\psi^{2}}\right\}}_{\text{real observations}} \underbrace{\prod_{t\in I}\left\{1-\Phi\left(\sum_{a=1}^{A}\log\frac{I_{a,t}^{*}}{I_{a,t}(\theta)}\right)\right\}}_{\text{censored observations}} \\ (\text{L2}) & L(\{p_{a,t,s}^{*}\}|\theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{T}\Gamma\left(n_{t,s}^{*}+1\right)\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{A}\left(p_{a,t,s}^{*}\right)^{n_{t,s}^{*}}p_{a,t,s}(\theta)}{\prod_{a=1}^{A}\Gamma\left(n_{t,s}^{*}p_{a,t,s}\right)^{n_{t,s}^{*}}p_{a,t,s}(\theta)+1\right)} \\ (\text{L3}) & L(\{p_{a,t,c}^{*}\}|\theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{T}\Gamma\left(n_{t,c}^{*}+1\right)\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{A}\left(p_{a,t,c}^{*}\right)^{n_{t,c}^{*}}p_{a,t,c}(\theta)}{\prod_{a=1}^{A}\Gamma\left(n_{t,c}^{*}p_{a,t,c}(\theta)+1\right)} \\ (\text{L4}) & L(\{C_{t}^{*}\}|\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{T}{2}}\sigma_{c}^{T}}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{c}^{2}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\log\frac{C_{t}^{*}}{C_{t}(\theta)}-\frac{\sigma_{c}^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right\} \end{split}$$

Table 7: Relative sensitivity (%) of biomass removed (BR) by seals and predation mortality rate for cod aged 1-4  $(M_p(1-4))$  to a 10% increase in input parameters

Parameter	Base value	BR	$M_p(1-4)$
% in the NGSL	25	67	129
% cod contribution to diet 1998-2001	4.53	1	25
% cod maximum contribution to diet	18.3	-6	-2
Average energy of cod (kJ $g^{-1}$ )	4.96	-13	40
Kleiber multiplier (kJ)	293	67	129