

## Carbon balance of a three crop succession over two cropland sites in South West France

Pierre Béziat, Eric Ceschia, Gérard Dedieu

### ▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Béziat, Eric Ceschia, Gérard Dedieu. Carbon balance of a three crop succession over two cropland sites in South West France. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 2009, 149, pp.1628-1645. 10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.05.004 . ird-00406726

## HAL Id: ird-00406726 https://ird.hal.science/ird-00406726

Submitted on 23 Jul 2009

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



1 2

3

5

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Agricultural and Forest Meteorology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/agrformet

## Carbon balance of a three crop succession over two cropland sites in South West France

### Béziat Pierre, Ceschia Eric\*, Dedieu Gérard

Centre d'Etudes Spatiales de la BIOsphère (CESBIO), 18 Avenue Edouard Belin bpi 2801, 31401 Toulouse Cedex 9, France

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 October 2008 Received in revised form 6 May 2009 Accepted 7 May 2009

Keywords: Crop Carbon balance Net ecosystem exchange Eddy covariance Management Uncertainties

### ABSTRACT

Long term flux measurements of different crop species are necessary to improve our understanding of management and climate effects on carbon flux variability as well as cropland potential in terrestrial carbon sequestration. The main objectives of this study were to analyse the seasonal dynamics of  $CO_2$  fluxes and to establish the effects of climate and cropland management on the annual carbon balance.

 $CO_2$  fluxes were measured by means of the eddy correlation (EC) method over two cropland sites, Auradé and Lamasquère, in South West France for a succession of three crops: rapeseed, winter wheat and sunflower at Auradé, and triticale, maize and winter wheat at Lamasquère. The net ecosyst exchange (NEE) was partitioned into gross ecosystem production (GEP) and ecosystem respiration ( $\frac{R_E}{R_E}$ ) and was integrated over the year to compute net ecosystem production (NEP). Different methodologies tested for NEP computation are discussed and a methodology for estimating NEP uncertainty is presented.

NEP values ranged between  $-369 \pm 33$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for winter wheat at Lamasquère in 2007 and 28 ± 18 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for sunflower at Auradé in 2007. These values were in good agreement with NEP values reported in the literature, except for maize which exhibited a low development compared to the literature. NEP was strongly influenced by the length of the net carbon assimilation period and by interannual climate variability. The warm 2007 winter stimulated early growth of winter wheat, causing large differences in GEP, *R*<sub>E</sub> and NEE dynamics for winter wheat when compared to 2006. Management had a strong impact on CO<sub>2</sub> flux dynamics and on NEP. Ploughing interrupted net assimilation during voluntary re-growth periods, but it had a negligible short term effect when it occurred on bare soil. Re-growth events after harvest appeared to limit carbon loss: at Lamasquère in 2005 re-growth contributed to store up to 50 g C m<sup>-2</sup>. Differences in NEE response to climatic variables (VPD, light quality) and vegetation index were addressed and discussed.

Net biome production (NBP) was calculated yearly based on NEP and considering carbon input through organic fertilizer and carbon output through harvest. For the three crops, the mean NBP at Auradé indicated a nearly carbon balanced ecosystem, whereas Lamasquère lost about 100 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>; therefore, the ecosystem behaved as a carbon source despite the fact that carbon was imported through organic fertilizer. Carbon exportation through harvest was the main cause of this difference between the two sites, and it was explained by the farm production type. Lamasquère is a cattle breeding farm, exporting most of the aboveground biomass for cattle bedding and feeding, whereas Auradé is a cereal production farm, exporting only seeds.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier B.V.

14

6 7

### 1. Introduction

10 11 12

13

14

Understanding and quantifying carbon sources and sinks is a major challenge for the scientific community. The main goal is to assess the carbon balance, to see what practices result in lower emissions and to recommend their use. In terrestrial ecosystems, the massive conversion of forest to cropland has caused an important loss of soil carbon, mainly through soil respiration

0168-1923/\$ – see front matter  $\circledcirc$  2009 Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.05.004

(Robert and Saugier, 2003). Currently, croplands represent about 15 one third of Europe's land surface (Smith et al., 2005). Over the last 16 8000 years, agriculture has had a significant impact on the 17 atmospheric concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> (Salinger, 2007). 18 Impacts of agriculture on global climate changes through green-19 house gas emissions and changes in the physical properties of land 20 cov = ve been summarized in the recent analyses of Desjardins 21 and Sivakumar (2007) and Raddatz (2007). Hutchinson et al. Q122 (2007) concluded that the carbon sequestration potential of 23 croplands should be considered as a modest but non-negligible 24 contribution to climate change mitigation (between 3% and 6% of 25 fossil fuel contribution to climate changes), but quantification of 26

<sup>8</sup> 9

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 5 61 55 85 27; fax: +33 5 61 55 85 00. *E-mail address*: eric.ceschia@cesbio.cnes.fr (C. Eric).

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

crop carbon sequestration potential remains very uncertain.
Therefore, variability in stocks and fluxes of carbon in croplands
is a theme of major interest. However, most studies involving
micrometeorological measurements by the eddy correlation (EC)
method have focused on forest ecosystems, some have investigated grasslands and only a minority has concentrated on
croplands.

34 Recent studies on croplands have focused on seasonal patterns 35 of CO<sub>2</sub> flux and annual carbon balance for different crops. The most 36 studied croplands were maize-soybean rotations in North America 37 (Baker and Griffis, 2005; Bernacchi et al., 2005; Hollinger et al., 38 2005; Pattey et al., 2002; Suyker et al., 2005, 2004; Verma et al., 39 2005). However, rice (Saito et al., 2005), sugar beat (Moureaux 40 et al., 2006), winter wheat and triticale (Ammann et al., 1996; 41 Anthoni et al., 2004a; Baldocchi, 1994) have also been studied. In 42 Soegaard et al. (2003), an attempt was made to scale up crop fluxes 43 by comparing EC measurements placed on a tall mast coupled with 44 a footprint analysis of EC measurements over five different crop 45 plots (winter wheat, spring and fall barley, maize and grass) 46 around the tall mast.

47 Some of recent studies on croplands reveal the importance of 48 management practices on plot carbon balance. In Baker and Griffis 49 (2005), CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements were carried out on two plots with 50 similar climatic and soil conditions but different management 51 practices. The authors concluded that carbon gain caused by 52 reduced tillage and intercropping compared to conventional 53 management was compensated for by a drop in productivity 54 and an increase in crop residue decomposition. However, it has 55 been shown that the conversion of conventional tillage to no-till 56 agriculture in maize/sovbean crops in the USA might result in an 57 annual net carbon sequestration of 20.77 Tg C (Bernacchi et al., 58 2005, 2006). Hollinger et al. (2005) showed that considering 59 biomass export and fuel combustion may transform a soybean crop from a sink to a source of carbon. Therefore, considering 60 61 management to address whether a crop is a source or a sink is 62 essential. Still, too few long-term accurate flux measurements over 63 different crop species have been conducted to quantify manage-64 ment and climate effects on spatial and temporal flux variability, as 65 well as to determine the potential role of cropland in terrestrial 66 carbon sequestration.

67 In the present study, CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements were performed, 68 using the EC method, during three cropping seasons in South West 69 France at two crop sites with similar climates but different soils 70 and management practices. The main objectives were (1) to adapt 71 conventional EC data post-treatments, developed mainly for forest, to account for fast and discontinuous canopy structure variations 72 73 specific to croplands, to evaluate the impact of these modified 74 computational methods on annual net ecosystem carbon exchange 75 (NEP) and estimate NEP uncertainty; (2) to analyse the seasonal 76 dynamics and CO<sub>2</sub> flux evolution of different crop species 77 (rapeseed, triticale, winter wheat, maize and sunflower) in relation 78 to management and climate; (3) to compare crop carbon 79 assimilation efficiencies through analysis of light response curves; 80 and (4) to establish the annual carbon balance for the different 81 crops and evaluate the influence of management and climate.

### 2. Materials and methods

82

2.1. Site descriptions

83

Since 18 March 2005, micrometeorological and meteorological measurements have been performed over two cultivated plots, Auradé and Lamasquère, separated by 12 km and located near Toulouse (South West France). Both sites are part of the CarboEurope-IP Regional experiment (Dolman et al., 2006) and the CarboEurope-IP Ecosystem component (WP1) experiment. Both sites have been cultivated for more than 30 years and experience similar climatic conditions but have different management practices, soil properties and topography. Crop rotations on both sites are quite representatives of the main regional crop rotations. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics and general climate of the two sites.

The Auradé plot belongs to a private farmer and is located on a hillside area near the Garonne river terraces. The plot is characterised by a rapeseed/winter wheat/sunflower/winter wheat rotation. It was cultivated with rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) from 13-Sept-2004 (day-month-year) to 27-Jun-2005, with winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) from 27-Oct-2005 to 29-Jun-2006 and with sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) from 11-Apr-2007 to 20-Sept-2007. It was supplied with mineral fertilizer (204 and 124 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for rapeseed and winter wheat, respectively, and no fertilization for sunflower) and has never been irrigated. Superficial tillages (5–10 cm depth) were done after rapeseed harvest (04-Jul-2005 and 04-Aug-2005) to plough residues, and re-growth of crops and weeds into the soil. Deep tillages (30 cm depth) were performed before winter wheat sowing (22 and 23-Sept-2005) and before sunflower sowing (plough on 29 and 30-Sept-2006 and harrow on 12-Mar-2007).

The Lamasquère plot was cultivated with triticale (*Triticosecale*) from 24-Nov-2004 to 11-Jul-2005, with maize (Zea mays L.) used for silaging from 01-May-2006 to 31-Aug-2006 and with winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) from 18-Oct-2006 to 15-Jul-2007. This plot is part of an experimental farm owned by the Ecole Supérieure d'Agronomie de Purpan (ESAP). The instrumented site borders the "Touch" river and is characterised by a triticale/maize/winter wheat/maize rotation. Organic fertilisers (150, 115 and 150 kg N  $ha^{-1}$  for triticale, maize and winter wheat, respectively) and mineral fertilisers (89, 91 and 234 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for triticale, maize and winter wheat, respectively) were supplied to the plot. To plough residues and manure into the soil, the plot was tilled superficially before the sowing of triticale (28-Sept-2004), and a non-inverting tillage was performed between the maize harvest and winter wheat sowing (10 and 11-Oct-2006). A deep tillage was done before maize sowing (plough on 01-Dec-2005 and harrow on 29 and 30-Mar-2006). The plot was irrigated when maize was cultivated, with a total amount of 147.8 mm. In autumn 2004, triticale seeds were spread instead of being sown in a row, because the soil was too wet to allow the use of conventional tools.

### 2.2. Field measurements

### 2.2.1. Flux measurements

134 Masts were installed in the middle of each plot in order to optimize fetch in main wind directions (see Table 1). Secured 135 enclosures surrounded the masts to avoid damage caused by 136 wild animals. Management within the enclosures closely 137 resembled the management in the rest of the fields. Turbulent 138 fluxes of  $CO_2$  ( $F_{ct}$ ), water vapour (evapotranspiration, E and 139 latent heat, LE), sensible heat (H) and momentum ( $\tau$ ) have been 140 measured continuously by the EC method (Aubinet et al., 2000; 141 Baldocchi, 2003; Grelle and Lindroth, 1996; Moncrieff et al., 142 1997) since 18-Mar-2005. The EC devices were mounted at 143 heights of 2.8 and 3.65 m at Auradé and Lamasquère, respec-144 tively. Instrument heights were chosen to be at worst 1 m higher 145 than crops at their maximum development. The EC system is 146 made of a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (CSAT 3, 147 Campbell Scientific Inc, Logan, UT, USA) and an open-path 148 infrared gas analyzer (LI7500, LiCor, Lincoln, NE, USA). Data 149 were recorded at 20 Hz on a data logger (CR5000, Campbell 150 Scientific Inc, Logan, UT, USA) and stored on a 1 GB compact 151 flash card. Zero and span calibrations were performed for CO<sub>2</sub> 152 and H<sub>2</sub>O every six month. 153

89 90 91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

### B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

### Table 1

Site characteristics and general information on soil and meteorology, Climatic means were measured with each site meteorological station as described in Section 2.2. Mean annual air temperatures and annual precipitations were computed with data from 18-Mar-2005 to 17-Mar-2006 (2005 values) and from October to October (2006 and 2007 values). Mean wind speed and wind rose were computed with data from 18-Mar-2005 to 01-Oct-2007. Climatic normals are "Meteo France" data from the "Toulouse Blagnac" station (visible at http://www.infoclimat.fr/climatologie/index.php); they correspond to means calculated over 30 years (1961–1990).

|  | Sites                                  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | Auradé                                 | Lamasquère   |  |
| Site characteristics                       |  |  |  |
| Coordinates                                | 43°54′97″N, 01°10′61″E                 | 43°49′65″N, 01°23′79″E   |  |
| Plot area [ha]                             | 23.5                                   | 32.3   |  |
| Elevation [m]                              | 245                                    | 180  |  |
| Slope [%]                                  | 2                                      | 0  |  |
| Exposure                                   | ENE                                    |  |  |
| Fetch in main wind directions [m]          | 260 W, 270 ESE                         | 200 W, 140 ESE   |  |
| Soil                                       |  |  |  |
| Class of soil texture                      | Clav loam                              | Clav   |  |
| Particle analysis [% sand; % loam; % clay] | 20.6; 47.1; 32.3                       | 12.0; 33.7; 54.3   |  |
| Site climates                              |  |  |  |
| Mean annual temperature                    |  |  |  |
| 2005 [°C]                                  | 12.84                                  | 12.54  |  |
| 2006 [°C]                                  | 12.97                                  | 12.95  |  |
| 2007 [°C]                                  | 13.30                                  | 13.09  |  |
| Appual procipitation                       |  |  |  |
| 2005 [mm]                                  | 704                                    | 691  |  |
| 2005 [mm]                                  | 694                                    | 620  |  |
| 2000 [IIIII]<br>2007 [mm]                  | 671                                    | 615  |  |
|  | 0/1                                    | 015  |  |
| Mean wind speed [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]       | 2.60                                   | 1.79   |  |
| Site climates                              |  |  |  |
| Wind distribution                          | NNW<br>WNW<br>WSW<br>SSW<br>SSW<br>SSE | WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW<br>WNW |  |
| Climatic normals                           | 1                                      | 2.0  |  |
| Appual Precipitation [mm]                  | 1.                                     | 2. <del>3</del><br>5.7   |  |
|  | 50                                     | J.7  |  |

153

### 2.2.2. Meteorological measurements 154

155 Standard meteorological variables were recorded on each site 156 to analyse and calculate turbulent fluxes. Different radiation 157 components were measured: incoming and outgoing short-wave 158 and long-wave radiation with a CNR1 (Kipp & Zonen, Delft, NL); net radiation with a NR-lite (Kipp & Zonen, Delft, NL); incoming 159 photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) with a PAR-lite (Kipp & 160 Zonen, Delft, NL) and direct and diffuse PPFD with a BF2 (Delta-T, 161 162 Cambridge, UK). Direct and diffuse PPFD measurements began in March 2005 at Lamasquère and in September 2005 at Auradé. 163 164 Three PAR-LE sensors (PAR-LE, Solems, Palaiseau, France) were 165 used to measure transmitted PPFD to soil and one to measure reflected PPFD above vegetation. Photosynthetic photon flux 166 167 density absorbed by vegetation (aPPFD) was calculated as follows (Ruimy et al., 1995):

$$aPPFD = (PPFD + rstPPFD) - (tPPFD + rtPPFD)$$
(1)

17

17 transmitted to soil and rtPPFD is the total reflected PPFD by both 172 soil and vegetation measured above vegetation. The first and second term of the right-hand side of Eq. (1) correspond to 173 incoming and outgoing PPFD relative to the vegetation, respec-174 tively. rs was estimated as the slope of the linear regression 175 between rtPPFD and PPFD during bare soil periods between tillage 176 and sowing. rs estimates were 0.145 ( $R^2 = 0.81$ ) at Lamasquère and 177 0.231 ( $R^2$  = 0.82) at Auradé. aPPFD was only calculated for periods 178 with plant area index (PAI) higher than 1 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> to limit spatial 179 variability errors in tPPFD measurements caused by spatial 180 heterogeneity in radiation at the floor and the limited number 181 of sensors. During those periods, statistical differences between 182 the PAR-LE sensors were low (relative standard deviation <0.3). 183

Air temperature and relative humidity were measured with a 184 Vaisala probe (HMP35A, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland). Precipitation 185 was recorded with a ARG100 rain-gauge (Environmental Measure-186 ments Ltd., Sunderland, UK), atmospheric pressure with a BS4 187 sensor (BS4, Delta-T, Cambridge, UK), wind speed and direction 188 with a 014A wind speed sensor and a 024A wind direction sensor 189 (Met one instruments, inc., Grants Pass, OR, USA), respectively, at 190 Lamasquère and a Young wind monitor (05103, Young, Traverse 191 city, MI, USA) at Auradé. Surface radiative temperature was 192 measured with a precision infrared temperature sensor (IRTS-P, 193

3

172

B. Pierre et al. / Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

194 Campbell Scientific Inc, Logan, UT, USA). Soil temperature, 195 moisture and heat flux profiles were measured with TP107 196 (Campbell Scientific Inc, Logan, UT, USA), CS616 (Campbell 197 Scientific Inc, Logan, UT, USA) and HFP01 (Hukseflux, Delft, NL) 198 probes, respectively. Three measurement repetitions were per-199 formed on each site at depths of 0.05, 0.10, 0.30 m and a single 200 measurement was taken at depths of 0.60 and 1 m at Auradé and 201 Lamasquère, respectively. Since 03-Nov-2006 at Auradé and 24-202 Aug-2006 at Lamasquère, CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheric concentration, air 203 temperature and relative humidity profiles (50 and 150 cm 204 aboveground) have been measured using GMP343 (GMP343, 205 Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland) and HMP50 (HMP50, Vaisala, Helsinki, 206 Finland), respectively. These measurements were then used for 207 multi-layer CO<sub>2</sub> storage calculations (see Section 2.3).

208 2.2.3. Biomass inventories and photosynthetic surface measurements 209 Destructive measurements were operated to analyse biomass 210 and PAI dynamics. In 2005, randomly spatially distributed plants 211 were collected monthly in each field. Between each destructive 212 measurement date, 30 and 20 randomly spatially distributed 213 hemispherical photographs were taken at Auradé and Lamasquère, 214 respectively, to analyse PAI temporal evolution more accurately 215 (Demarez et al., in press). This method was cross-calibrated with 216 destructive measurements. In 2006 and in 2007, plants were 217 collected on the two diagonals of the fields, monthly during slow 218 vegetation development periods and every two weeks during fast 219 vegetation development periods. The aboveground dry mass (DM) 220 distribution among organs was measured using OHAUS balance 221 (SPU 4001, OHAUS, Pine Brook, NJ, USA). PAI was defined as the half 222 surface of all green organs and leaf area index (LAI) as the half 223 surface of green leaves; it was measured by means of a LiCor 224 planimeter (LI3100, LiCor, Lincoln, NE, USA). For rapeseed, maize 225 and sunflower, 30 plants were collected at each date. However, because of the large rapeseed plant sizes, only 10 plants were 226 227 sampled at Auradé from April 2005 until harvest. This reduced 228 sampling and the large variability observed in the field may explain 229 the large PAI standard deviation observed on 28-Apr-2005 230 (Fig. 2(a)). For winter wheat, ten 1.5 m long rows were collected 231 at each sampling date. Because seeds were not sown in rows, 232 triticale was sampled by collecting ten 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> plots.

233 After harvest, crop residues were sampled on ten 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> plots 234 for each crop. Analyses of plant and residue carbon content were 235 performed just before harvest. Exported carbon from the plot 236 during harvest (Exp) was calculated by subtracting the carbon 237 content in the aboveground biomass (AGB) and the carbon content 238 in crop residues (Residues). Exp standard deviation,  $\sigma$ (Exp), was calculated from  $\sigma(AGB)$  and  $\sigma(Residues)$  as:

$$\sigma(\text{Exp}) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma(\text{AGB})^2}{\text{nb}} + \frac{\sigma(\text{Residues})^2}{\text{nr}}}$$
(2)

241 where nb is the number of aboveground biomass samples, and nr 242 the number of residues samples. For this calculation, we assume a 243 normal distribution and independence between AGB and Residues. 244 We choose to calculate Exp from our destructive samples instead of 245 using the yield data from the farmers, because their yield estimates 246 correspond to mean yield values for several plots grown with the 247 same crop on the farm. However, both Exp and yields were in good 248 agreement (slope = 0.97, intercept =  $19 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ ,  $\frac{R^2}{R^2}$  = 0.98).

### 2.3. Flux data treatments 249

### 250 2.3.1. Flux calculation

220

251 Atmospheric convention was used in this paper with negative 252 flux moving downward from the atmosphere to the ecosystem and 253 positive flux moving upward. EdiRe software (Robert Clement, ©

1999, University of Edinburgh, UK) was used to calculate fluxes on 5 and 30 min intervals following CarboEurope-IP recommendations. A 2D rotation was applied in order to align the streamwise wind velocity component with the direction of the mean velocity vector. Fluxes were corrected for spectral frequency loss (Moore, 1986). F<sub>ct</sub>, E and LE fluxes were corrected for air density variations (Webb et al., 1980).

Before temperature, relative humidity and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration profiles below the EC system were measured, changes in CO<sub>2</sub> storage ( $F_{cs}$ ) were calculated as described in Aubinet et al. (2001) but with only one measuring height for CO<sub>2</sub> concentration:

$$F_{cs} = \frac{P_{a}}{RT_{a}} \cdot \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} \cdot h_{ec}$$
(3)

264 where  $h_{ec}$  is the EC system height,  $P_{a}$  is the atmospheric pressure at h<sub>ec</sub>, T<sub>a</sub> is the air temperature at h<sub>ec</sub>, R is the molar gas constant, and c is the  $CO_2$  concentration at  $h_{ec}$ . This methodology is known to 268 underestimate F<sub>cs</sub> by about 20–25% (Saito et al., 2005). However, it 269 is often used for ecosystems with short vegetation, such as 270 croplands and grasslands, where  $F_{cs}$  is assumed to be low (Anthoni 271 et al., 2004a; Moureaux et al., 2006; Suyker et al., 2005; Verma 272 et al., 2005; Wohlfahrt et al., 2005; Xu and Baldocchi, 2004). Net 273 ecosystem exchange (NEE) was then calculated as the sum of  $F_{ct}$ 274 and  $F_{cs}$ . When CO<sub>2</sub> concentration profiles below the EC were measured, Eq. (3) was used to calculate storage in each  $h_1$  high layer of the profile.  $F_{cs}$  below the EC system was then calculated as the sum of storage in each  $h_1$  high layer.

### 2.3.2. Flux filtering and quality control

Fluxes were filtered to remove data corresponding to technical problems, inappropriate meteorological conditions for EC measurements, low spatial representativeness, and violation of EC theory (Aubinet et al., 2000; Baldocchi, 2003; Foken and Wichura, 1996). Initially, flux data were discarded if the scalar means, scalar standard deviations or flux values were out of realistic bounds.

**F**<sub>ct</sub>, **E** and LE were also discarded during rainfall periods and the half-hour following rainfall events because of a dysfunction of the open-path gas analyzer and sonic anemometer in wet conditions. 288 However, Ruppert et al. (2006) showed that rain gauge measure-289 ments are not sufficiently accurate to identify light precipitation 290 events; therefore, outliers remain. In the present study, these 291 remaining outliers, as well as those caused by the wet gas analyzer or other events, were detected by the comparison of half-hourly fluxes  $X_i$  with a 200 data point moving mean  $(X_{gi})$  and standard deviation  $(X_{sdi})$  as follows:

| $if \frac{X_i}{X_j} < \frac{X_{gi}}{X_{gi}} - (2.5 \cdot \frac{X_{sdi}}{X_{sdi}})$ | (4a) | 296 |
|--|------|-----|
| or   |      |     |

$$if X_i > X_{gi} + (2.5 \cdot X_{sdi})$$

(4b) 298 299

300

301

302

then  $X_i$  was discarded from the dataset. This procedure was performed separately for day-time and night-time data. Nighttime was defined as  $PPFD < 5 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$  and solar elevation angles  $< 0^{\circ}$ .

A friction velocity (**u**\*) criteria was used to determine periods 303 within the low turbulence regime when fluxes are systematically 304 underestimated by EC measurements (Aubinet et al., 2000; Falge 305 et al., 2001; Gu et al., 2005; Papale et al., 2006; Reichstein et al., 306 2005). Reichstein et al. (2005) proposed an automatic method to 307 determine the **u**\* threshold applied every three months to take into 308 account changes in phenology and canopy properties. However, in 309 croplands, changes in canopy structure are fast and discontinuous 310 because of harvest and tillage. Therefore, we defined crop 311 functioning periods (CFP) between dates of sowing, maximum 312 313 crop development, harvest and tillage. A  $u_*$  threshold was then

253 254 255

257 258 259

256

260 261 262

263

266 267

275 276 277

278

279

280 281

282 283

284 285

286 287

292 293 294

354

314 determined with the Reichstein et al. (2005) automatic method for 315 each CFP. Flux data below the highest  $u_*$  threshold were discarded 316 from the dataset to maintain the same conservative approach as 317 Reichstein et al. (2005).

318 For each half-hourly flux value, a fetch including 90% of the flux 319  $(D_{90})$  was computed with the Kljun et al. (2004) parameterisation. 320 This fetch was compared with the distance between the mast and 321 the edge of the plot in the main wind direction for the corresponding half-hour ( $D_i$ ). If  $D_{90_i} > D_i$ , fluxes were discarded because we 322 323 assumed that it was not sufficiently representative of the plot.

324 Stationarity and development of turbulent conditions are 325 important hypotheses for EC measurements. They were tested 326 with the steady state test and the integral turbulence characteristic 327 test recommended by Foken and Wichura (1996) and revisited by 328 Foken et al. (2004). Flux data were flagged 0, 1 or 2 with 0 329 corresponding to the best quality and 2 to the worst (see Foken 330 et al. (2004) for details). However, the steady state test was applied 331 only if an absolute threshold between covariance over 30 min and 332 means of covariances over 5 min for the corresponding half-hour 333 was reached. This method allows us to keep fluxes corresponding 334 to covariances close to zero that failed the steady state test for 335 mathematical reasons. The absolute threshold was defined as the EC measurement estimated accuracy; it was fixed, based on our 336 expertise, at 1.25  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for NEE and 10 W m<sup>-2</sup> for 337 energy fluxes H and LE. Fluxes corresponding to an unrotated 338 339 vertical wind velocity mean  $w > 0.35 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$  were also flagged 2, 340 because measurements over this threshold cannot be properly 341 corrected with rotation procedures (Foken and Wichura, 1996; 342 Göckede et al., 2004). In the remainder of the study, filtered NEE 343 correspond to values that pass all the filters described above and 344 with a quality flag lower than 2.

345 2.3.3. Flux gapfilling and NEE partitioning between GEP and  $R_E$ 346 To compute daily to annual sums of fluxes, NEE gaps were filled 347 in all the dataset. The algorithm described in Reichstein et al. 348 (2005) was used for gapfilling; it was not applied to the whole 349 dataset but on each CFP independently to account for the fast and 350 discontinuous changes in canopy properties. After gapfilling was 351 achieved, NEE was partitioned into gross ecosystem production 352 (GEP) and ecosystem respiration  $(R_E)$  components. The method 353 described in Reichstein et al. (2005), based on the Lloyd and Taylor (1994) model parameters optimisation, was followed:

$$R_{\rm E} = R_{\rm ref} \cdot \exp\left(E_0\left(\frac{1}{T_{\rm ref} - T_0} - \frac{1}{T_{\rm a} - T_0}\right)\right) \tag{5}$$

356 where  $R_{ref}$  is the respiration at the reference temperature  $T_{ref}$  (here 357 10 °C therefore  $R_{ref} = R_{10}$ ,  $E_0$  is a parameter describing  $R_E$ 358 sensitivity to temperature, and  $T_0$  is a temperature scale parameter 359 360 kept constant at -46.02 °C as in Lloyd and Taylor (1994) to avoid any over-parameterization of the model as explained by Reichstein 361 et al. (2005). First, the model parameter  $E_0$  was optimised using 362 nocturnal filtered NEE (equal to the observed ecosystem respira-363 364 tion) for each CFP, because it is strongly correlated to canopy properties (see Reichstein et al. (2005) for a full description of the 365 optimisation process). Then  $R_{10}$  was optimised with nocturnal 366 367 filtered NEE for five days sliding windows with a two day step and 368 interpolated every half-hour. Diurnal  $R_{\rm E}$  was then calculated using 369 Equation 5 with optimised  $E_0$  and  $R_{10}$  and diurnal  $T_a$ . Diurnal GEP 370 was finally calculated as the difference between the diurnal gapfilled NEE and the diurnal calculated  $R_{\rm E}$ . 371

### 2.3.4. Carbon balance calculation and uncertainty assessments 372

373 Net ecosystem production (NEP) was defined as the annual 374 integration of half-hourly NEE values. NEP was computed from early October to late September, because this period generally begins after summer crop harvest and before the beginning of winter crop sowing. Therefore, this integration period is valid for both winter and summer crop species. However, in 2005, because continuous EC measurements started on 18-Mar-2005, the NEP for rapeseed at Auradé and triticale at Lamasquère were computed between 18-Mar-2005 and 17-Mar-2006. Although this integration period is not ideal because growth had already started in March, these data were integrated into the analysis because of the lack of flux data concerning triticale and rapeseed in the literature.

Three different sources of random errors were investigated to asses NEP uncertainty:

- (1) The uncertainty introduced in NEP by the random error of the systematic  $u_*$  threshold determination  $\sigma$ (NEPu) was assessed with the same bootstrapping approach as in Papale et al. (2006). Data were re-sampled 100 times using the bootstrap approach to determine 100  $u_*$  thresholds. NEE were then filtered with the new u\* thresholds (NEEu) and gapfilled. All other treatments on NEEu were the same as those described in Section 2.3.2. NEP from the 100 u\* thresholds (NEPu) were then computed.  $\sigma$ (NEPu) was calculated as the standard deviation of all the NEPu.
- (2) Random errors in measurement can also introduce uncertainties in *NEP via* gapfilling and data integration (Dragoni et al., 2007; Rannik et al., 2006; Richardson and Hollinger, in press). We followed the Richardson and Hollinger (in press) methodology to calculate the uncertainty introduced in NEP by the random errors in measurement ( $\sigma$ (NEPr)) in the following steps:
  - Random errors ( $\delta$ ) were calculated as the differences between fluxes in similar climatic conditions on two successive days (Richardson et al., 2006).
  - A relation between  $\sigma(\delta)$  and NEE was established for 20 NEE bins with the same number of data in each bin. Both sites were included in this relation, because they have the same instrumentation set up and the same ecosystem type. The following relations were found:

 $\sigma(\delta) = -0.152$ <u>NEE</u> + 0.87 for NEE = 0 ( $R^2 = 0.82$ ) (6a)

$$\sigma(\delta) = -0.204$$
NEE + 0.47 for NEE = 0 ( $R^2 = 0.63$ ) (6b)

- 429 - Random noise from a double exponential distribution with 0 420 mean and  $\sigma(\delta)$  standard deviation calculated from Equation 43月 6a and 6b was added to filtered NEE (NEEr). NEEr was then gapfilled, and the noisy NEP (NEPr) was computed. This last step was repeated 100 times, and  $\sigma(\text{NEP}r)$  was calculated as the standard deviation of all NEPr.
- 433 (3) The uncertainty introduced in NEP by the gapfilling method 438 errors  $\sigma(\text{NEPgap})$  was analysed through a gap distribution 439 impact analysis. First, filtered NEE were gapfilled. Then random 440 noise from a double exponential distribution with 0 mean and 441  $\sigma(\delta)$  standard deviation (Eqs (6a) and (6b)) was added to the 442 modelled NEE resulting from gapfilling procedures; no random 443 noise was added to the filtered NEE. This procedure was done to 444 avoid the smoothing impact of gapfilling procedures. Then gaps 445 (same number, same size, and with approximately the same 446 distribution between night and day as observed in filtered NEE) 447 were randomly introduced in this gapfilled NEE (NEEgap). 448 NEEgap was then gapfilled and integrated to compute NEP 449 (NEPgap). Gap re-introduction and NEPgap computation was 450 done 100 times.  $\sigma$ (NEPgap) was calculated as the standard 451 deviation of all the NEPgap. 452

453 Assuming a normal distribution of NEPu, NEPr and NEPgap and 454 that these three sources of error were independent, NEP standard 455 deviation,  $\sigma$ (NEP), was estimated as the quadratic sum of  $\sigma$ (NEPu), 456  $\sigma$ (NEPr) and  $\sigma$ (NEPgap). NEP uncertainty was reported at a 95%

439

430

440

420

422

**4**<u>4</u>3

428

430

439

430

374

### B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

457 confidence interval as  $2\sigma$ (NEP). Although this method is not an 458 exhaustive description of random and systematic error associated 459 with the EC method (for more details see Anthoni et al., 2004a; 460 Goulden et al., 1996; Moncrieff et al., 1996), it allows estimation of 461 NEP uncertainty in a systematic way, valid for all ecosystem type, 462 and with the main sources of error caused by standard flux data 463 treatments.

464 Carbon output through Exp and input through organic
465 fertilization (OF) were analysed to evaluate management effects
466 on carbon balance at the plot scale. Therefore, net biome production (NBP) was defined as:

$$NBP = NEP + OF + Exp$$
(7)

469

486

456

470 As in Hollinger et al. (2005), Exp was considered a rapid carbon 471 release to the atmosphere; thus, it was positive. OF was considered 472 a carbon input to the plot, and thus was negative. The standard 473 deviation of OF,  $\sigma(OF)$ , was calculated from analyses of the carbon 474 content in organic fertiliser provided by the farmer. Assuming 475 independence and normality of the different error sources,  $\sigma(NBP)$ 476 was calculated as the quadratic sum of  $\sigma(NEP)$ ,  $\sigma(Exp)$  and  $\sigma(OF)$ .

### 477 2.3.5. Net ecosystem exchange (NEE) response to light

478Only filtered NEE data were used for the light response to light479Only filtered NEE data were used for the light response analysis480to avoid artificial relations between PPFD and gapfilled NEE.480Relations between daytime NEE (NEE<sub>d</sub>) and aPPFD were det481mined at each crop maximum development (PAZ-482max - 0.5  $\leq$  PAI  $\leq$  PAI<sub>max</sub> where PAI<sub>max</sub> is the maximal value of483PAI) to limit crop dynamics effects on these relations. A484nonrectangular hyperbolic light response model (Gilmanov481each dataset:

$$NEE_{d} = \frac{1}{2\theta} (\alpha - aPPFD + \beta) = \frac{1}{2\theta} (\alpha - aPPFD + \beta)^{2} - 4\alpha\beta\theta + aPPFD + \beta^{2} - 4\beta\theta + \beta^{2} - 4\beta\theta + \beta^{2} - 4\beta\theta + \beta^{2} - 4\beta\theta + \beta^{2} - \beta^{2}$$

487 where  $\alpha$  is the initial slope of the light response curve,  $\beta$  is NEE<sub>d</sub> at 488 light saturation,  $\gamma$  is the respiration term and  $\theta$  is the curvature 489 parameter ( $0 < \frac{\theta}{\theta} \le 1$ ). All fitted parameters and fitting statistics 490 are reported in Table 3. Periods with high vapour pressure deficit 491 (VPD), leading to a decrease in stomatal conductance and therefore 492 to a decrease in NEE<sub>d</sub>, were discarded from this analysis because 493 this phenomenon was not accounted for in Eq. (8). VPD thresholds 494 were estimated for each crop by the analysis of the distribution of 495 NEE residuals (NEE<sub>res</sub>), computed as the difference between 496 observed NEE and modelled NEE by Eq. (8). NEE<sub>res</sub> were averaged 497 for 1 hPa VPD bins. VPD threshold was defined as the lower VPD 498 bin with NEE<sub>res</sub> averages significantly higher than 0 (one-sample 499 unpaired *t*-test, *p*-value < 0.05), meaning a systematic over-500 estimation of NEE<sub>d</sub> by the model beyond this threshold. 501

NEE<sub>res</sub> were also analysed against aPPFD, soil water content 502 (SWC) and  $T_a$  (data not shown). For aPPFD and SWC, NEE<sub>res</sub> were 503 randomly distributed around 0 with no clear pattern. These results 504 indicate a satisfying NEE<sub>d</sub> representation by Eq. (8) and show that 505 no evident water stress was observed for any crop at maximum 506 development. At high  $T_{a}$ , modelled NEE<sub>d</sub> overestimations were 507 observed; however, a strong correlation between VPD and  $T_{a}$ 508  $(\mathbf{R}^2 = 0.78$  on average for the 6 datasets) leads us to think that this 509 observation is mostly due to VPD.

### 510 **3. Results and discussion**

### 511 3.1. Site meteorology

512

Annual climatic means from our two stations were compared to climatic normals (30 year average) recorded at the "Toulouse

514 Blagnac" Meteo France station located 22 and 14 km from the Auradé and Lamasquère plots, respectively (Table 1). On an 515 annual basis, no climatic anomalies were observed for both sites, 516 with mean annual temperature and precipitation close to 517 normals; except during the 2007 cropping season, where mean 518 annual temperature was slightly warmer than normals, and less 519 precipitation was observed at the Lamasquère site than normals. 520 For the 3 cropping seasons, temperature, precipitation and wind 521 speed were slightly lower at Lamasquère than at the Auradé 522 plot, but trends were very similar (Fig. 1). On a quarterly basis, 523 except for large precipitations observed in spring 2007, seasonal 524 precipitation dynamics were not no well-marked. Precipitation 525 was generally similar on both sites but with strong local 526 differences caused by thunderstorm events (Fig. 1d). On a 527 weekly basis, no major differences were observed for **PPFD** and 528  $T_{\rm a}$  between the two sites. During the winter of 2006–2007, 529 episodes with high elevated  $T_a$  were observed on both sites 530 compared to the winter of 2005-2006. Main wind directions 531 were similar at the two sites, but more scatter was observed at 532 Lamasquère (Table 1). SWC in the first 30 cm of soil followed 533 globally the same evolution for both sites except during 2006 534 summer because of irrigation at Lamasquère (Fig. 1c and d). 535 Absolute values of SWC were higher at Lamasquère than at 536 Auradé because of (1) a higher water retention capacity of the 537 soil at Lamasquère due to higher clay content (Table 1) and (2) 538 the proximity of the "Touch" river at Lamasquère. Therefore, this 539 absolute difference did not necessarily induce a difference in soil 540 water availability for plants. 541

513

### 3.2. Crop growth and production

542 For winter crops (rapeseed, triticale and winter wheat), 543 growth started with germination in November and remained 544 slow until March (Figs. 2 and 3). However, winter wheat 545 growth in 2007 at Lamasquère started earlier than for the other 546 winter crops due, almost certainly, to the high temperature 547 episodes during winter 2006-2007 (Fig. 1). The same phenom-548 enon was observed by Aubinet et al. (submitted for publication) 549 for 2007 winter wheat at the Lonzée site in Belgium. Maximum 550 growth was observed from late April to late May. PAImax, 551 (Table 2) and the maximum leaf biomass were reached between 552 the end of April and the middle of May, followed by senescence. 553 For rapeseed, total biomass corresponded to leaves until April 554 but all leaves had fallen by early June, even if the stems were 555 still photosynthetically active. During senescence, biomass was 556 reallocated from leaves and stems to fruits (Fig. 3). For summer 557 crops (maize and sunflower), growth started in late May. 558 Aboveground biomass increase was relatively constant until the 559 beginning of August for sunflower and the beginning of 560 September for maize. For both summer crops, PAI was at its 561 maximum in the middle of July (Fig. 2, Table 2). Maize was 562 harvested early at the end of August, corresponding to the 563 beginning of senescence, because it was used for silaging. For 564 both winter and summer crops, PAI is a more relevant 565 indicator of photosynthetic area than LAI because leaves are 566 not the only photosynthetic organ. This was particularly true at 567 the end of rapeseed development in early June 2005, with 568 PAI values higher than  $1.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  and GEP values lower 569 than  $-8 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  even though LAI values were close to 570  $0 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ , as explained above (Figs. 2 and 4). (AGB) ranged 571 from  $324 \pm 157 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$  for Auradé sunflower in 2007 to 572  $810\pm311~g~C~m^{-2}$  for Lamasquère maize in 2006 (Table 2). On 573 average, AGB were 36% lower at Auradé than at Lamasquère, 574 but Exp were 65% lower at Auradé than at Lamasquère. This more 575 important difference in Exp is linked to crop residues, which 576 577 were 1.9 times higher at Auradé than at Lamasquère. This is

B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

593

596



**Fig. 1.** Evolution of meteorological variables from 18-Mar-2005 to 01-Oct-2007. (a) Weekly averages of air temperature ( $T_a$ ), and (b) weekly sums of photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) at Auradé (solid line and full circles) and Lamasquère (dotted line and open circles). (c and d) The half-hourly soil water content integrated between 0 and 0.3 m depth (SWC<sub>0.30</sub>) and precipitation (P), respectively, for Auradé (full line) and Lamasquère (dotted line). Weekly sums of irrigation (I) for Lamasquère (vertical grey bar) and the quarterly sums of precipitation for Auradé (grey bar) and Lamasquère (white bar) are also reported on graphs (c) and (d), respectively.

577 578

584

because Lamasquère is a cattle breeding farm exporting most of
the aboveground biomass for cattle bedding and feeding,
whereas Auradé is a cereal production farm exporting only the
seeds. Residues were particularly low for maize in 2006 at
Lamasquère because all aboveground biomass was used for
silaging.

### 3.3. Seasonal changes in carbon fluxes

585 Net carbon fluxes over crop ecosystems are influenced by 586 different processes; some are natural (photosynthesis and 587 respiration), and others are caused by human activities (organic 588 fertilization, tillage, ...). Fig. 4 presents the evolution of gross 589 ecosystem production (GEP, i.e., ecosystem carbon uptake by 590 both crop and weed photosynthesis) and ecosystem respiration 591  $(R_{\rm E}, i.e., autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration)$ . In order to 592 separate the impacts of temperature from the other possible  $R_{\rm E}$ 593 driving variables (phenology, growth, water, management, etc.) the normalized ecosystem respiration at a reference temperature 594 of 10 °C ( $R_{10}$ ) is also reported in Fig. 4. 595

### 3.3.1. Gross ecosystem production (GEP)

The dynamics of GEP of the different crops were close to 597 those of PAI (Figs. 2 and 4). For winter crops, GEP remained low 598 like PAI until March, except for winter wheat in 2007 at 599 Lamasquère. Indeed, winter wheat development occurred earlier 600 in winter 2006-2007 because of the warm episodes described 601 above. Therefore, absolute GEP values were high from December 602 to March compared with winter wheat at Auradé in 2006. 603 Overall, during crop development, absolute values of GEP 604 increased to a maximum value (GEPmax) corresponding to 605 PAI<sub>max</sub>. Daily carbon fluxes at maximum crop development are 606 reported in Table 2 for each crop. For winter crops, GEP<sub>max</sub> 607 ranged between -15.4 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for rapeseed reached on 24-608 May-2005 at Auradé and  $-18.9 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  for winter wheat 609 reached on 21-Apr-2007 at Lamasquère. These estimates agree 610

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 2.** Evolution of vegetation indices: plant area index (PAI) and leaf area index (LAI) for 2005 (a and b), 2006 (c and d) and 2007 (e and f) crops. The units are green vegetation area per soil area. Vertical full lines (error bars) are  $\pm$  tandard deviation of each measurement mean. For (a) and (b), full triangles represent PAI measured by means of hemispherical photography (see Section 2.2.3).

with those reported over other winter wheat crops,  $-17.4 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  and  $-16.4 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  for the Ponca (USA) and Soroe (Denmark) sites, respectively (Falge et al., 2002), about  $-16 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  at the Gebesee (Germany) site (Anthoni et al., 2004a) and  $-15 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  at the Lonzée (Belgium) site (Aubinet et al., submitted for publication). For maize, GEPmax was  $-19.6 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ . Suyker et al. (2005) reported higher absolute GEP values of about -27 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. However, GEP<sub>max</sub> per leaf area unit were higher at our site than in Suyker et al. (2005), with respective values of  $5.8 \text{ g C m}^{-2}_{\text{leaves}} \text{ d}^{-1}$  (max-imum LAI = 3.4 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) and 4.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup><sub>leaves</sub> d<sup>-1</sup> (maximum LAI = 6  $m^2 m^{-2}$ ). The low GEP<sub>max</sub> observed at our site was probably not caused by physiological stress but by low LAI values. Moreover, the high GEP<sub>max</sub> per leaf area unit observed at our site could be interpreted as higher light use by the photosynthetic tissues caused by lower shadow effects at lower LAI. The difference in LAI can be explained by differences in irrigation. At our site, the irrigation amount was two times lower than in Suyker et al. (2005) (precipitation + irrigation during vegetation period were respectively 413 and 749 mm). Verma et al. (2005) reported maximum LAI values of  $3.9 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  over rainfed maize with precipitation of 433 mm during the vegetation period, which is comparable to our study. Differences in maize variety (grain production in Suyker et al. (2005) and silaging at our site) and associated management practices might also explain *LAI* differences. Sunflower had the lowest absolute GEP<sub>max</sub> rate of  $-13.7 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , probably because of the lower PAI of this crop (PAI<sub>max</sub> =  $2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ) compared to the others. Then crops entered into senescence, and the absolute values of GEP decreased until harvest. For maize, the interruption in carbon assimilation was sudden due to an early harvest just after the beginning of senescence. This event occurred so that the maize was used for silaging.

After harvest (see Section 2.1 and Fig. 5 for dates), absolute values of GEP often increased again due to the re-growth of seeds that fell during harvest and the growth of weeds under

B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



Fig. 3. Evolution of biomass in the different plant organs for 2005 (a and b), 2006 (c and d) and 2007 (e and f) crops. Vertical full lines (error bars) are ±the standard deviation of each measurement mean. Fruits correspond to siliqua plus flower biomass for rapeseed, ear biomass for winter wheat and triticale, ear plus flower biomass for maize and seed plus flower biomass for sunflower.

647favourablemeteorologicalconditions.Alongre-growth648period was observed at Lamasquère after the triticale harvest649in 2005, with *PAI* values of about  $0.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  (estimate650with hemispherical photography) and GEP values reaching -

 $5.65 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  on 22-Oct-2005. Two other re-growth events651occurred at Auradé at the end of summer and in fall 2005 with652absolute GEP values smaller than for the Lamasquère re-growth.653Re-growth was more important after 2005 crops than after 2006654

### Table 2

Values at the maximum of crop development of plant area index ( $PAI_{max}$ ), daily ecosystem respiration ( $R_{E_{max}}$ ) and gross ecosystem production ( $GEP_{max}$ ). Carbon content in the aboveground biomass (AGB) just before harvest, and in residue biomass and exported biomass (Exp) just after harvest were also reported. Values after the symbol  $\pm$  are the standard deviations from the mean.

| Sites             | $\text{PAI}_{\text{max}}(m^2m^{-2})$ | $R_{E_{max}}$ [g C m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ] | $GEP_{max}  [g  C  m^{-2}  d^{-1}]$ | AGB $[g C m^{-2}]$ | Residues [g C m <sup>-2</sup> ] | Exp [g C m <sup>-2</sup> ] |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Auradé            |                                      |  |                                     |                    |                                 |                            |
| Rapeseed 2005     | $\textbf{3.4}\pm\textbf{0.6}$        | 8.0  | -15.4                               | $482\pm158$        | $269\pm76$                      | $213\pm 61$                |
| Winter wheat 2006 | $\textbf{3.8}\pm\textbf{1.3}$        | 7.0  | -15.6                               | $516\pm115$        | $237\pm 66$                     | $279 \pm 42$               |
| Sunflower 2007    | $\textbf{2.0}\pm \textbf{1}$         | 7.3  | -13.7                               | $324\pm157$        | $220\pm67$                      | $104\pm36$                 |
| Lamasquère        |                                      |  |                                     |                    |                                 |                            |
| Triticale 2005    | $\textbf{3.9}\pm\textbf{1.2}$        | 7.0  | -17.1                               | $663 \pm 109$      | $158\pm41$                      | $505\pm 39$                |
| Maize 2006        | $\textbf{3.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$      | 11.0   | -19.6                               | $810\pm311$        | $4\pm3$                         | $806\pm57$                 |
| Winter wheat 2007 | $5.4\pm1.5$                          | 11.5   | -18.9                               | $600\pm125$        | $213\pm82$                      | $386\pm47$                 |

650

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 4.** Evolution of carbon fluxes for (a) Auradé and (b) Lamasquère plots from 18-Mar-2005 to 01-Oct-2007. The solid line is the daily ecosystem respiration ( $R_{E,b}$ , the dotted line the daily gross ecosystem production (GEP) and the full grey circles the ecosystem respiration at a reference temperature of 10°C ( $R_{10}$ ). Gaps in  $R_{10}$  correspond to large gaps in filtered NEE or in  $T_a$  inducing failure in the fitting operation (see Section 2.3.3). The different crops species are reported for each site under the curves. Atmospheric convention was used for this representation; fluxes from ecosystem to atmosphere are positives, and inversely, fluxes from atmosphere to ecosystem are negatives.

654

655 and 2007 crops because of important rainfall events and high 656 temperatures in Fall 2005 (Fig. 1). After maize and sunflower 657 crops, the stubbles were ploughed into the soil, and winter wheat was seeded; therefore, no re-growth was observed. For 658 the other crops, re-growth was stopped by the different tillage 659 660 operations (see Section 2.1 for dates). Low absolute GEP values 661 during winter were caused by (1) low temperatures that 662 reduced ecosystem activity for winter crops and (2) absence 663 of vegetation during the winter and spring preceding the 664 summer crop.

### 665 3.3.2. Ecosystem respiration $(R_E)$

666  $R_{\rm F}$  and  $R_{10}$  seasonal dynamics were quite similar. This suggests 667 that, at a seasonal scale, crop development is a more important 668 driving variable for  $R_{\rm F}$  than temperature. This hypothesis is 669 confirmed by measurements at our sites showing that autotrophic 670 respiration represents the largest proportion of  $R_{\rm E}$  during vegetation periods (Sagnier and Le Dantec, personal communica-671 672 tion).  $R_{10}$  and  $R_{\rm E}$  evolution differed more for summer crops 673 probably because of high summer temperature affecting  $R_{\rm F}$ .

674  $R_{\rm E}$  globally followed the same dynamics as GEP and PAI during 675 crop development. However, in spring 2005, R<sub>E</sub> was delayed compared to GEP. A sudden  $R_{\rm E}$  increase occurred in late April, 676 677 whereas absolute GEP had already increased. Lags between GEP 678 and  $R_{\rm E}$  dynamics have already been observed by Falge et al. (2002) 679 in temperate deciduous and boreal coniferous forests. Both 680 phenological and climatic factors could explain this lag. Heading 681 and flower emergence are known to induce an increase in crop 682 respiration (Baldocchi, 1994), and the late  $R_{\rm E}$  increase occurred at

this phenological stage. However, as  $R_{\rm E}$  delay was not visible for 2006 and 2007 winter crops, this reinforces the fact that phenology was not the only factor. Low temperatures until late April followed by a rapid temperature increase and 45 mm of rainfall between 20-Apr-2005 and 01-May-2005 (Fig. 1) could have induced a sudden  $R_{\rm E}$  increase. These two meteorological events can contribute to soil micro-organism activation and quickening of crop development. Maximum  $R_{\rm E}$  values  $(R_{\rm E_max})$  for winter crops ranged between 7.0 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> on 17-May-2006 for winter wheat at Auradé and 11.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> on 24-Apr-2007 for winter wheat at Lamasquère. These observed values are higher than those reported in Falge et al. (2002) over two winter wheat plots  $(3.1 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1} \text{ and}$ 5.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), but agree with values of 8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> reported by Aubinet et al. (submitted for publication) over a winter wheat crop. As GEP<sub>max</sub>,  $R_{E_max}$  estimated over maize in the present study was lower than the one (13 g C  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) reported by Suyker et al. (2005), because of the LAI difference explained above.

During intercropping periods,  $R_E$  was relatively low at both sites, but peaks occurred. As these peaks affected both  $R_E$  and  $R_{10}$ , they were not controlled by temperature. Generally rainfall events, ploughing, residue incorporation into the soil and regrowth could explain these peaks. For example,  $R_E$  and  $R_{10}$ increased in the beginning of August 2005 at Auradé, which occurred following incorporation of residues and plant regrowth into the soil by the cover crop on 04-Aug-2005 and a 55 mm rainfall event between 10-Aug-2005 and 11-Aug-2005. In the absence of vegetation on the plot at this time, this  $R_E$ increase could be explained by micro-organism activation caused by higher water and substrate availability for decom-

687

688

689

690

691

707

708

709

710

711

B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xx.



Fig. 5. Daily net ecosystem exchange (NEE) evolution (left axis) and cumulated values of NEE (right axis) from 18-Mar-2005 to 01-Oct-2007 at the Auradé (a) and Lamasquère (b) plots. Crop development (denoted as C) and re-growth (denoted as R) periods were reported at the top of each subplot. Annotations corresponding to vertical dotted lines give information about punctual management operations affecting NEE: S is crop sowing, H is harvest and T and P are superficial tillage and deep ploughing, respectively.

position. Generally, high *R*<sub>E</sub> rates in summer after harvest in
2005 for both sites and in 2006 at Auradé were mainly caused by
re-growth and soil water availability (Figs. 1 and 4).

715 3.3.3. Net ecosystem exchange (NEE) and carbon storage dynamics 716 NEE dynamics allow the determination of GEP and  $R_{\rm F}$ 717 importance in the ecosystem carbon dynamics, whereas cumu-718 lated NEE allows the identification of carbon storage or release 719 periods (Fig. 5). A negative slope on the cumulated NEE curve 720 means that the ecosystem behaves as a carbon sink (GEP  $> R_{\rm E}$ ), 721 and a positive slope means that the ecosystem behaves as a carbon source (GEP  $< R_E$ ). Overall, the dynamics were well-722 marked with alternating carbon sequestration and carbon 723 724 release periods corresponding to crop growth and bare soil, 725 respectively. For the three observed seasons, Lamasquère was a 726 stronger carbon sink than Auradé without considering manage-727 ment effects (see Section 3.5.2).

728 Development of crops (denoted C) appeared quite different for 729 winter and summer crops. For winter wheat, NEE values were close to 0 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (GEP =  $R_E$ ) from the beginning of November until 730 February at Auradé in 2006 and only until December at 731 732 Lamasquère in 2007. Then, for all winter crops, NEE values became 733 negative, and the ecosystem stored carbon until the beginning of 734 June. Maximum absolute NEE values of -8.6, -9.7, -10.5 and -9.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> were observed at Auradé for rapeseed and winter 735

736 wheat and at Lamasquère for triticale and winter wheat, respectively. Between May and June, GEP decreased because 737 senescence began, although  $R_{\rm E}$  remained high; therefore, carbon 738 storage became less important with decreasing absolute NEE 739 values. In June, senescence was observed with a fast change in the 740 sign of NEE, which became positive because  $R_{\rm E}$  values became 741 higher than absolute GEP values. For summer crops (maize and 742 sunflower), NEE values were positive until June, about one and a 743 half months after sowing. The NEE became negative and maximum 744 absolute NEE values of -7.8 and -10.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> were observed 745 at Auradé for sunflower and at Lamasquère for maize, respectively. 746 The ecosystem stored carbon from June to August for sunflower 747 and from mid-June to harvest (denoted H) for maize. The reversal 748 from a carbon sink to a carbon source was more progressive for 749 sunflower than for winter crops because of a slower senescence. 750 For maize, the inversion was sudden because it was harvested, 751 whereas it was still green just after the beginning of senescence. 752

Re-growth events (denoted R) after harvest had visible effects 753 on NEE and cumulated NEE. NEE decreased progressively, and 754 occasionally negative NEE values were observed at Auradé in 2005 755 and 2006. During the 2005 re-growth event, the slope of cumulated 756 NEE was close to 0. Photosynthesis balanced soil and vegetation 757 respiration during periods when only carbon losses from soil 758 respiration should have occurred. The re-growth effect was even 759 more important at Lamasquère because the ecosystem switched 760

Please cite this article in press as: Pierre, B., et al., Carbon balance of a three crop succession over two cropland sites in South West France. Agric. Forest Meteorol. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.05.004

735

760

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

### B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

761 from a source to a sink. Negative NEE values down to 762  $-2 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  were observed during re-growth events in 2005, 763 and it allowed a net carbon storage of  $-57 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ .

764 Tillage (denoted T for superficial tillage and P for deep 765 ploughing) affected the cumulated NEE in two ways, as described 766 in Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2: (1) it stopped carbon assimilation from 767 re-growth events, and (2) it could contribute to important soil 768 respiration increases when associated with rainfall events and 769 incorporation of plant residues as on 10-Aug-2005 at Auradé. 770 Tillages before summer crops at Auradé and Lamasquère and the 771 non-inverting tillage between maize and winter wheat at 772 Lamasquère had no visible impact on NEE.

3.4. NEE response to light

773

Ecosystem net carbon fluxes of the different crops were
compared in terms of response to light in order to evaluate the
influence of climatic variables, species and sites on NEE as well as
annual carbon balance.

### 778 3.4.1. Climatic control on NEE response to light

The VPD threshold above which NEE modelled by Eq. (8) were
overestimated (see Section 2.3.5) was on average 27% lower for
winter crops than for summer crops (Table 3). This shows that
summer crops are more adapted to air high VPD than winter crops;
their higher stomatal conductance was underlined by their higher
net carbon fixation rate at high VPD.

785Except for rapeseed at Auradé in 2005, the datasets were split into786two parts according to light quality. The first one corresponded to787the ratio of diffuse PPFD to total PPFD (d/t) lower than 0.5 (clear sky788conditions) and the other to d/t higher than 0.5 (cloudy conditions).789It can be seen that for aPPFD above 400 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, NEE<sub>d</sub> values790were higher for diffuse conditions (Fig. 6). Such observations have

already been reported for many ecosystems (Alton et al., 2007; Gu et al., 2002; Law et al., 2002; Moureaux et al., 2006; Suyker et al., 2004). Considering all crops except rapeseed, the mean  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  were higher ( $-51 \mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and -0.051 mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) in diffuse light conditions than in direct light conditions ( $-33 \mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and -0.028 mol mol<sup>-1</sup>). Large differences in parameterisation between diffuse and direct conditions were also observed by Gu et al. (2002) on different ecosystems (Scots pine forest, aspen forest, tallgrass prairie, mixed forest and wheat crops). Higher values of  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  for diffuse light conditions were probably caused mainly by the limitation of shadow effects with a more homogeneous distribution of radiation among all leaves in plant canopies (Gu et al., 2002). It induced better light use efficiency for NEE and limited saturation effects.

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

The determination coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and the root mean square error (RMSE) were respectively 35% higher and 37% lower for cloudy conditions than for clear sky conditions. It was probably because aPPFD were usually higher for clear sky conditions, and this corresponds to the saturation part of the global light response curve. Therefore, aPPFD was probably not the most important driving variable influencing NEE<sub>d</sub> during clear sky conditions (Baldocchi, 1994); indeed, air and soil temperature and humidity, wind speed and measurement error are more important driving variables of NEE<sub>d</sub> in clear sky conditions.

### 3.4.2. Species and site impact on NEE response to light

Comparison of crop behaviours and fitting parameters in both clear sky and cloudy conditions (Fig. 6 and Table 3) shows that light saturation seems to be correlated to PAI<sub>max</sub> values (Table 2). Indeed, low  $\beta$ , as observed for sunflower at Auradé in 2007, correspond to low PAI<sub>max</sub> while high  $\beta$ , as observed for winter wheat at Lamasquère in 2007, correspond to high PAI<sub>max</sub>. With similar aPPFD values in both cases, the lower the PAI is, the more

Table 3

Best fit parameters of Equation 8 ( $\alpha$  is the initial slope of the light response curves,  $\beta$  is NEE<sub>d</sub> at light saturation,  $\gamma$  is the respiration term and  $\theta$  is the curvature parameter  $(0 < \theta \le 1)$ ) and associated statistics ( $R^2$  is the determination coefficient, and RMSE the root mean square error) for each crop at maximum crop development (PAI<sub>max</sub> – 0.5 < PAI  $\le$  PAI<sub>max</sub>) at the Auradé and Lamasquère plots. Eq. (8) was fitted for clear sky conditions data (d/t < 0.5), cloudy conditions data ( $d/t \ge 0.5$ ) and for all data (clear sky and cloudy conditions). Values in brackets correspond to VPD thresholds expressed in hPa. NEE and aPPFD data were selected below this threshold (see text).

| Data                   | Parameters  |   |  |          | Statistics     |  |                |
|------------------------|---|---|--|----------|----------------|--|----------------|
|                        | <mark>ര</mark> [µmol CO <sub>2</sub> µmol <sub>photon</sub> ] | $\beta$ [µmol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] | $\gamma$ [µmol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] | $\theta$ | R <sup>2</sup> | RMSE [ $\mu$ mol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] | <mark>n</mark> |
| All data               |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Auradé                 |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Rapeseed 2005 (11)     | -0.0670   | -38.43  | 4.39   | 0.63     | 0.88           | 3.54   | 568            |
| Winter wheat 2006 (9)  | -0.0410   | -33.52  | 2.42   | 0.90     | 0.86           | 3.71   | 504            |
| Sunflower 2007 (14)    | -0.0357   | -19.12  | 3.26   | 0.94     | 0.82           | 3.00   | 473            |
| Lamasquère             |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Triticale 2005 (15)    | -0.0548   | -52.77  | 4.46   | 0.00     | 0.79           | 4.66   | 307            |
| Maize 2006 (17)        | -0.0501   | -42.57  | 5.50   | 0.82     | 0.80           | 5.26   | 614            |
| Winter wheat 2007 (10) | -0.0885   | -67.65  | 9.34   | 0.00     | 0.85           | 5.19   | 315            |
| Diffuse/total <0.5     |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Auradé                 |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Winter wheat 2006      | -0.0250   | -29.89  | 0.72   | 0.99     | 0.81           | 3.22   | 200            |
| Sunflower 2007         | -0.0420   | -19.70  | 4.37   | 0.90     | 0.38           | 3.68   | 139            |
| Lamasquère             |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Triticale 2005         | -0.0239   | -45.71  | 0.10   | 0.80     | 0.52           | 5.11   | 150            |
| Maize 2006             | -0.0249   | -31.60  | 0.83   | 1.00     | 0.61           | 5.49   | 277            |
| Winter wheat 2007      | -0.0229   | -36.97  | -1.20  | 1.00     | 0.59           | 5.68   | 103            |
| Diffuse/total >0.5     |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Auradé                 |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Winter wheat 2006      | -0.0444   | -37.86  | 2.71   | 0.90     | 0.92           | 3.03   | 304            |
| Sunflower 2007         | -0.0398   | -28.01  | 3.42   | 0.70     | 0.87           | 2.54   | 334            |
| Lamasquère             |   |   |  |          |                |  |                |
| Triticale 2005         | -0.0510   | -55.76  | 4.39   | 0.31     | 0.86           | 3.71   | 157            |
| Maize 2006             | -0.0486   | -51.55  | 5.34   | 0.87     | 0.90           | 3.93   | 337            |
| Winter wheat 2007      | -0.0792   | -87.02  | 8.85   | 0.00     | 0.92           | 3.78   | 212            |

(a)

NEE [ $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>]

(C)

NEE [µmol CO2 m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>]

(e)

NEE [µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>]

-50

0

n

B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



aPPFD [µmol<sub>photon</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] aPPFD [µmol<sub>photon</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] Fig. 6. Light response curves at maximum crop development (PAI<sub>max</sub> – 0.5 < PAI  $\leq$  PAI<sub>max</sub>) below the VPD threshold (see text) for 2005 (a and b), 2006 (c and d) and 2007 (e and f) crops. Net ecosystem exchange (NEE) is shown as a function of photosynthetic photon flux density absorbed by vegetation (aPPFD). In (a), all data are represented with full circles. In (b-f), full circles are data corresponding to a ratio of diffuse to total PPFD < 0.5 (clear sky conditions) and open circles to a ratio of diffuse to total PPFD ≥ 0.5 (cloudy conditions). On each plot, Eq. (8) fitted over all the data (clear sky and cloudy conditions) is also represented with a grey solid line. Fitted parameters and statistics are

1600

-50

0

822

reported in Table 3.

827

823 light can easily penetrate deeply within the canopy, and the more 824 light saturation can occur rapidly. Leaf orientation, which is 825 planophile for sunflower (and almost erectophile for winter 826 wheat), may also contribute to the earlier saturation of the crop at high solar incident angles due to more important shadows 828 effects. This phenomenon also affected  $\alpha$ , which was 60% lower for 829 sunflower than for Lamasquère 2007 winter wheat. For all other crops,  $\text{PAI}_{max}$  were similar (3.4  $m^2$   $m^{-2}$  <  $\text{PAI}_{max}$  < 3.9  $m^2$   $m^{-2}$ ). It 830 831 is noteworthy that no marked differences in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  were observed 832 between C3 winter crops (rapeseed, winter wheat and triticale) 833 and the C4 summer crop (maize). Indeed, Baldocchi (1994) 834 observed lower  $\alpha$  values for maize than for winter wheat crop, 835 but it was caused by lower PPFD absorbed by the maize canopy on 836 their sites. In our case, aPPFD values were similar for both C3 837 winter crops and maize. This can be explained by: (1)  $R_{\rm E}$  values 838 being higher for maize than for C3 winter crops, resulting in a

uradé sunflower 1.5<PAI

800

1200

400

lower light use efficiency for maize at our site, as it was noted by 839 Ruimy et al. (1995), (2) canopy architecture, as mentioned 840 above, being more erectophile for winter wheat and triticale 841 than for maize, (3) footprint mismatching between the flux 842 measurements and PAI and aPPFD measurements and (4) limita-843 tions of  $NEE_d$  description quality by Eq. (8). Other factors, such as 844 soil type, fertilization supply and inter-annual climate variability, 845 could contribute to observed differences in crop carbon 846 assimilation efficiency between sites and species, but they are 847 difficult to assess here. 848

1200

1600

### 3.5. Crop carbon balance

amasquère winter wheat 4.9

800

400

### 3.5.1. Annual net ecosystem production (NEP) 850

For winter crops, NEP ranged from  $-286 \pm 23$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for 851 rapeseed in 2005 at Auradé to  $-369\pm33\,g\,C\,m^{-2}\,y^{-1}$  for winter 852

838

849

13

### B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

### 14

### Table 4

Annual net ecosystem production (NEP) at different crop sites and for different crop species.

| Crop species                              | NEP, (g C $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ ) | Site/Year                  | Reference                |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Summer crops                              |                             |                            |                          |
| Maize (mean value)                        | -576                        | Bondville 1997, 1999, 2001 | Hollinger et al. (2005)  |
| Rainfed maize (mean value)                | -454                        | Mead 2001, 2002            | Verma et al. (2005)      |
| Irrigated maize (mean value)              | -480                        | Mead 2001-2003             | Verma et al. (2005)      |
| Maize (conventional and reduce tillage)   | -290 to -300                | Rosemount 2003             | Baker and Griffis (2005) |
| Maize                                     | $-186\pm42$                 | Lamasquère 2006            | This study               |
| Potato                                    | -49 to 29                   | Gebesee 2002               | Anthoni et al. (2004b)   |
| Soybean (conventional and reduce tillage) | -50 to -85                  | Rosemount 2002             | Baker and Griffis (2005) |
| Soybean (mean value)                      | -33                         | Bondville 1998, 2000, 2002 | Hollinger et al. (2005)  |
| Soybean (mean value)                      | 18 to 48                    | Mead 2002                  | Verma et al. (2005)      |
| Spring barley                             | 210                         | Jokioinen 2001             | Lohila et al. (2004)     |
| Sugar beet                                | -610                        | Lonzée 2004                | Moureaux et al. (2006)   |
| Sunflower                                 | $28\pm18$                   | Auradé 2007                | This study               |
| Winter crops                              |                             |                            |                          |
| Rapeseed                                  | $-286\pm23$                 | Auradé 2005                | This study               |
| Triticale                                 | $-335\pm42$                 | Lamasquère 2005            | This study               |
| Winter wheat                              | -183                        | Ponca 1997                 | Falge et al. (2001)      |
| Winter wheat                              | -185 to -245                | Gebesee 2001               | Anthoni et al. (2004a)   |
| Winter wheat                              | $-324\pm20$                 | Auradé 2006                | This study               |
| Winter wheat                              | $-369\pm33$                 | Lamasquère 2007            | This study               |
| One year rotation                         |                             |                            |                          |
| Winter wheat + maize                      | -197.6                      | Yucheng 2003               | Jun et al. (2006)        |
| Winter wheat + maize                      | -317.9                      | Yucheng 2004               | Jun et al. (2006)        |

852

853 wheat in 2007 at Lamasquère (Table 4). Despite its large annual GEP, 854 maize NEP was only  $-186 \pm 42$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. The ratio of annual GEP 855 to annual  $R_{\rm F}$  denoted v, allows the determination of the respective 856 contribution of crop carbon assimilation by photosynthesis and 857 ecosystem respiration to NEP (Falge et al., 2002). The mean value of v858 for winter crops was 1.34. For maize, *v* was 13% lower than for winter crops (v = 1.17). However, annual GEP were similar in both cases 859  $(-1310 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ v}^{-1} \text{ on average})$ for winter crops 860 and  $-1286 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ v}^{-1}$  for maize). The difference in v was therefore 861 862 explained by the large observed difference in annual  $R_{\rm E}$  $(982 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1} \text{ on average for winter crops and } 1100 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ 863 864 for maize). Sunflower was a carbon source on an annual basis with a NEP of  $28 \pm 18$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (v = 0.97). This low value compared to 865 maize is the result of a low annual GEP of -803 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and  $R_{\rm E}$  of 866 831 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. We suggest that NEP differences observed among 867 868 winter crops and among summer crops were mostly due to 869 differences in crop carbon assimilation efficiency (see Section 3.4) and to year to year climatic variations. However, these factors could 870 871 not fully explain NEP differences between winter and summer crops. 872 At our sites, summer crop NEP values were in absolute 76% lower than 873 winter crops. It is therefore important to consider the season length of 874 carbon assimilation. For 2006 and 2007 crops, the season length of 875 carbon assimilation was calculated as the number of days between 876 sowing and harvest with negative daily NEE. It was not calculated for 877 2005 crops, because flux measurements started after the beginning of 878 the season of carbon assimilation. The season length was 53% shorter 879 for summer crops (76 and 86 days for maize and sunflower, 880 respectively) than for winter crops (156 and 189 days for winter wheat in 2006 at Auradé and 2007 at Lamasquère, respectively). The 881 882 season length was particularly long for 2007 winter wheat at 883 Lamasquère because of the warm 2007 winter. This emphasizes the 884 fact that long bare soil periods can counteract the ecosystem carbon 885 storage on an annual basis by carbon losses through heterotrophic 886 respiration. Compared to NEP values found at other instrumented 887 crop sites (Table 4), NEP values obtained at our site were on the same 888 order of magnitude but with some noticeable differences. Absolute 889 NEP values were 44% and 35% lower for winter wheat at the Ponca and 890 Gebesee sites, respectively, (Anthoni et al., 2004a; Falge et al., 2001) 891 than for the mean NEP of our winter crops. It may be explained by 892 differences in climate, which is more continental and with lower

temperatures at these two sites compared to our oceanic climate and therefore conducive to lower ecosystem productivity. The low LAI and early harvest of maize resulted in a 59% lower NEP in our study compared with values found in the literature (Baker and Griffis, 2005: Hollinger et al., 2005; Verma et al., 2005). Sunflower NEP was comparable with low carbon assimilation rates encountered for soybean (Baker and Griffis, 2005; Hollinger et al., 2005; Verma et al., 2005) and potato (Anthoni et al., 2004b) with NEP close to neutral. The stronger sink of carbon was observed at the Lonzée sugar beet crop in Belgium (Moureaux et al., 2006) and the stronger source at the Jokioinen spring barley crop in Finland (Lohila et al., 2004). For the Chinese site of Yucheng (Jun et al., 2006), carbon storage seemed a bit low compared to all the other sites because two crops (winter wheat and maize) were cultivated in one year; therefore, bare soil periods were limited. However, this comparison between sites is relatively uncertain because of differences in dates concerning the beginning and the end of the period use for annual NEP calculation, which were sometimes not explicitly reported. It is therefore important to harmonize and specify it for future NEP inter-comparisons exercises.

NEP uncertainty  $(2\sigma(NEP))$  estimated in the present study ranged between  $\pm 18\,g\,C\,m^{-2}\,y^{-1}$  for sunflower at Auradé and  $\pm$ 42 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for triticale and maize at Lamasquère. This estimation of NEP uncertainty is in the same range of values as those reported in the Baldocchi (2003) review over different sites. In that study, NEP uncertainty ranged from  $\pm 30 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  at Harvard forest to  $\pm 68$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for a short bog with different estimations methods. Dragoni et al. (2007) calculated an uncertainty in NEP caused by measurements' random error with a Monte Carlo approach that varied between  $\pm 10$ simulation and  $\pm 12~g~C~m^{-2}~y^{-1}.$  These results were very close to our uncertainty in NEP caused by measurements' random error that varied between  $\pm 4$  and  $\pm 7$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> at our sites. Richardson and Hollinger (in press) used a similar approach than in the present study to estimate NEP uncertainty due to measurements random error and to long gaps 926 in the data set. These authors found results very close to our global 927 uncertainty estimate, ranging between  $\pm 25$  and  $\pm 44$  g C  $m^{-2}\,y^{-1}$  at 928 different forest sites. Independent of the method used, NEP 929 uncertainty estimates seem relatively stable across different sites. 930

Table 5 reports the impacts of methodology on NEP calculation. Differences in NEP were very limited and were always in the 931

932

892

893

### B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

### 932 933 934

937

Table 5 Methodology impacts on net ecosystem production (NEP). NEP was calculated with the methodology describe in the present study (see Section 2.3 for methodology 935 details), with the application of a conventional steady state test with no absolute 936 threshold (A), with fixed periods of 90 days that affect both u\* threshold detection and gapfilling (B), with a calculation of the storage term  $(F_{cs})$  from one point of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurement (C) and with the simultaneous application of A, B 938 and C. Units are g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>.

| 939               | and C. Units are g C m <sup>-</sup> y <sup>-</sup> . |             |                               |                              |   |             |  |
|-------------------|--|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------|--|
| 940<br>941<br>942 | Site/Year  | This study  | A: fixed<br>90-day<br>periods | B: conventional stationarity | C: <mark>F<sub>cs</sub></mark><br>from 1<br>point | A + B + C   |  |
| 943               | Auradé   |             |                               |                              |   |             |  |
| 944               | 2005   | $-286\pm22$ | $-295\pm22$                   | $-277\pm21$                  | $-286\pm22$                                       | $-287\pm22$ |  |
| 945               | 2006   | $-324\pm20$ | $-319\pm19$                   | $-313\pm19$                  | $-324\pm20$                                       | $-307\pm19$ |  |
| 946               | 2007   | $28\pm18$   | $24\pm18$                     | $36\pm19$                    | $31\pm20$   | $33\pm19$   |  |
| 947               | Lamasquèr  | e           |                               |                              |   |             |  |
| 948               | 2005   | $-335\pm42$ | $-352\pm29$                   | $-331\pm41$                  | $-335\pm42$                                       | $-342\pm28$ |  |
| 040               | 2006   | $-186\pm42$ | $-204\pm34$                   | $-182\pm36$                  | $-194\pm37$                                       | $-192\pm30$ |  |
| 949               | 2007   | $-369\pm33$ | $-389\pm27$                   | $-362\pm36$                  | $-375\pm33$                                       | $-381\pm27$ |  |

uncertainty range. However, NEP calculated with the conventional steady state test were systematically higher than those calculated with our modified steady state test. As it is explained in Section 2.3.2, the conventional steady state test might failed when NEE is close to 0 for mathematical reasons. Therefore, when considering bare soil periods with a low respiration rate, NEE close to 0 were discarded and replaced by gapfilled data from higher positive NEE values. It resulted in a systematic overestimation of NEP. The effect of applying fixed periods of 90 days (Reichstein et al., 2005) instead of CFP for the determination of  $u_*$  threshold and gapfilling procedures is not obvious. In most cases, using 90-day periods resulted in a slight underestimation of NEP. The most critical management event that modified roughly instantaneous carbon fluxes were harvest and tillage operations. Thus, the impact on NEP should therefore depend on how the CFP and 90-day periods mismatch. Impact of  $F_{cs}$  calculation from one point measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is only noticeable for 2007 NEP at Auradé and



Fig. 7. The annual carbon balance at the plot scale. (a), (c) and (e) are rapeseed, winter wheat and sunflower at Auradé, and (b), (d) and (f) are triticale, maize and winter wheat at Lamasquère. Grey bars represent net ecosystem production (NEP), black bars are organic fertilization (OF), white bars are carbon output of the plot by exported biomass (Exp) and hatched bars are net biome production (NBP), i.e., the sum of NEP, OF and Exp. OF data given by the farmer, only carried out at Lamasquère (b, c and f). Vertical full lines (error bars) are ±the standard deviation of each variable.

949

B. Pierre et al. / Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

950 for 2006 and 2007 NEP at Lamasquère when CO2 concentration profile was installed. In theory, as gaps in filtered NEE occurred 951 952 mostly at night (53% of gap on average for both sites) when  $F_{cs}$  is important, calculation of  $\frac{F_{cs}}{F_{cs}}$  from one point should result in an 953 954 underestimation of NEP. Mean diurnal variation of half-hourly F<sub>cs</sub> 955 data was 2.6 times higher at Lamasquère than at Auradé (not 956 shown). At Auradé F<sub>cs</sub> was relatively low because of the slight slope 957 at this site, which may induce horizontal advection during low 958 turbulence conditions. Thus, a slight NEP underestimation was 959 observed at Lamasquère in 2006 and 2007 but not at Auradé in 960 2007. Finally, the compensation phenomenon when applying the 961 conventional steady state test, fixed 90-day periods and  $F_{cs}$ 962 calculation from one point all together resulted in no systematic 963 differences in NEP.

964 3.5.2. Management impacts on annual carbon balance

For the six crops, annual *NBP* ranged from a significant carbon 965 sink of  $-161 \pm 66$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for winter wheat at Lamasquère in 966 2007 to a strong carbon source of  $372 \pm 78$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for maize at 967 968 Lamasquère in 2006 (Fig. 7). Marked differences in NBP were 969 observed between the two crop sites, Auradé and Lamasquère. First, 970 OF at Lamasquère was an important carbon input ranging from  $-68 \pm 31$  to  $-249 \pm 49$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. As a consequence, carbon inputs 971 (NEP + OF) were on average 58% lower at Auradé than at Lamasquère, 972 973 whereas NEP were only 35% lower. However, Lamasquère carbon 974 exportations were 2.8 times higher than Auradé Exp (Table 2). As a 975 consequence, for 2005 and 2006 crops, NBP were negative at Auradé 976 and positive at Lamasquère. For sunflower in 2007 at Auradé, positive 977 NEP resulted in a source of carbon of  $132 \pm 37$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> v<sup>-1</sup> 978 considering Exp. The significant carbon sink for the winter wheat 979 in 2007 at Lamasquère may be explained in two ways: (1) absolute 980 NEP was the highest observed of the six crops, and (2) Exp was less important compared to 2005 and 2006 at Lamasquère (residues was 981 more than 2 times higher in 2007 than the mean of 2005 and 2006). 982 983 However, the ratio of -NEP to Exp was equal to 0.96 for winter wheat 984 at Lamasquère in 2007, meaning that the plot was a carbon sink only 985 because of OF. For 2005 and 2006 crops, the ratio of -NEP to Exp was 986 1.34 and 1.16 for rapeseed and winter wheat at Auradé, respectively, 987 and 0.66 and 0.23 for triticale and maize at Lamasquère, respectively. 988 This reveals the strong impact of biomass exportation on NBP for 989 these 2 years.

990 In the USA, it has been shown that maize/soybean rotation had 991 NBP close to neutrality: some studies found non-significant low 992 carbon sinks (Baker and Griffis, 2005; Dobermann et al., 2006; Hollinger et al., 2005, 2006), and others non-significant low carbon 993 994 sources (Grant et al., 2007; Verma et al., 2005). These differences 995 were mostly due to management practices (irrigation, tillage, ...). 996 These results are comparable to those found at Auradé with a 997 carbon balance for the 3 years close to neutrality. At Lamasquère, 998 the mean NBP for the 3 years was about 100 g C  $m^{-2}$   $y^{-1}$ ; therefore, 999 this site is a carbon source. Similar observations have been made in 1000 other agrosystems. Anthoni et al. (2004a) found that winter wheat 1001 crop was a significant carbon source with a NBP between 45 and 1002 105 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. Similar carbon sources were reported in north China by Jun et al. (2006) over 2 one-year winter wheat/maize 1003 1004 rotations with NBP between 108 and 341 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. For a four 1005 years rotation of sugar beat/winter wheat/potato/winter wheat, 1006 Aubinet et al. (submitted for publication) observed a slighter mean NBP of 42 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. However, they concluded that the large 1007 climatic difference in 2007 led to an underestimation of NBP; 1008 therefore, they computed a NBP of 90 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> by substituting 1009 2007 data with 2005 data. Grant et al. (2007) confirmed all these 1010 1011 results for NBP by a modelling approach over 100 years, showing 1012 that carbon storage potential in agro-ecosystem soils is limited. 1013 In the present study, larger uncertainties were observed for NBP

1015 in Exp and in OF. Therefore, we recommended that careful biomass sampling and regular OF analysis should be done to limit these 1016 uncertainties, even if these manipulations are very fastidious and 1017 1018 time consuming.

1014

1019

1048

1049 1050

1051

1052 1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

### 4. Summary and conclusions

Carbon fluxes and the carbon balance of a succession of three 1020 crops were analysed at two cropland sites in South West France 1021 using the EC method and biomass sampling. With special care 1022 concerning the method of flux computation and correction 1023 1024 adapted for croplands, NEE was partitioned into GEP and  $R_{\rm F}$  and integrated over the year to compute NEP and NBP. We observed 1025 that the carbon flux dynamics were strongly correlated to crop 1026 development at the two sites. Winter crops had an earlier and a 1027 longer vegetation period than summer crops. However, inter-1028 annual climate variability affected these dynamics. For example, 1029 winter wheat at Lamasquère in 2007 had an elevated winter 1030 1031 development caused by exceptionally warm conditions. Another 1032 factor that had a strong impact on carbon fluxes was management practices. We observed that re-growth events could limit 1033 the carbon release of the ecosystem by introducing negative NEE 1034 1035 values during periods when respiration should be the only cause of carbon fluxes. Tillage limited carbon storage, avoiding re-1036 growth, and, if associated with rainfalls, it increased  $R_{\rm E}$ , by 1037 supplying substrate and enhancing micro-organism decomposi-1038 tion activity. Without these conditions, tillage effects on carbon 1039 fluxes were less obvious at our sites. NEE light response curves 1040 revealed differences in crops carbon assimilation. Both climatic 1041 (light intensity and quality, VPD, etc.) and plant species (PAI, 1042 plant architectures, physiology, etc.) variables affected this 1043 1044 response in different ways and therefore introduced differences 1045 in NEP and NBP. Moreover, these variables can be correlated to and affected by management practices like fertilization and by 1046 site specificities. 1047

NEP ranged between  $-369 \pm 33$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for winter wheat at Lamasquère in 2007 and 28  $\pm$  18 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for sunflower at Auradé in 2007. Higher absolute NEP values for winter crops than for summer crops were observed, due to the longer season length for carbon assimilation. Differences within winter or summer crops were thought to be due mostly to year to year climate variability and differences in crop species. At the annual scale, we showed that the methodology impact on NEP was less than our uncertainty estimations; however, using the conventional stationarity test without an absolute threshold could lead to a systematic overestimation of NEP. Finally, NBP were calculated for each crop by adding carbon inputs through organic fertilizers and carbon outputs through biomass exportation to NEP. For the three years, the Auradé NBP indicate a nearly carbon balanced ecosystem, whereas the Lamasquère NBP of about  $100 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  indicates that the ecosystem was a carbon source. Moreover, carbon inputs through organic fertilizers could induce important CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, which are stronger greenhouse gases than CO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, a complete greenhouse gas budget at the plot scale should be investigated to fully evaluate these crop management impacts. We suggest that the differences in carbon balance between Auradé and Lamasquère are mostly due to differences in the type of farm: cereal production at Auradé and cattle breeding at Lamasquère.

Despite the fact that the carbon storage potential of croplands 1071 seems to be poor, long term monitoring experiments are very 1072 important to evaluate the carbon balance of different rotations 1073 cycles, with various climate and physical backgrounds. It will 1074 1075 provide insights into which rotations, crop species and crop management techniques can mitigate carbon release to the 1076 atmosphere and improve carbon sequestration in the context of 1077 1078 climate change and increasing earth population and food needs.

1014 than for NEP, and they were mostly due to important uncertainties

# RTICLE

### B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

### 1078

1093

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1147

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

### Acknowledgements

This work was made possible through the support of the French 1080 Ministry In Charge of Research ("Réseau Terre et Espace"), the 1081 Ministry In Charge of Environment (GICC programme), the Centre 1082 1083 National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the Institut National 1084 des Sciences de l'Univers (INSU), the Centre National d'Etudes 1085 Spatiales (CNES), and the Région Midi-Pyrénées Council. We are 1086 very grateful to Mr Andréoni, farmer, and to Michel Gay, Jean-Paul 1087 Kummel and Benoît Cantaloube from the Ecole Supérieure 1088 d'Agriculture de Purpan for accommodating our measurement 1089 devices in their respective fields of Lamasquère and Auradé. Special 1090 thanks to our technical staff, Hervé Gibrin, Pascal Keravec and 1091 Bernard Marciel.

### Reference 1092

- 1094 Alton, P.B., North, P.R., Los, S.O., 2007. The impact of diffuse sunlight on canopy 1095 light-use efficiency, gross photosynthetic product and net ecosystem exchange 1096 in three forest biomes. Global Change Biology 13 (4), 776-787.
- 1097 Ammann, C., Meixner, F.X., Busch, J., Losch, R., 1996. CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O gas exchange of a 1098 triticale field: II. Micrometeorological flux studies and comparison with 1099 upscaling from porometry. Physics and Chemistry of The Earth 21 (3), 1100 151 - 155.
- 1101 Anthoni, P.M., Freibauer, A., Kolle, O., Schulze, E.-D., 2004a. Winter wheat carbon 1102 exchange in Thuringia, Germany. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 121 (1-1103 2).55-67.1104
  - et al., 2004b. Forest and agricultural land-use-dependent CO2 Anthoni. P.M. exchange in Thuringia, Germany. Global Change Biology 10 (12), 2005-2019.
  - Aubinet, M., et al., 2001. Long term carbon dioxide exchange above a mixed forest in the Belgian Ardennes. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 108 (4), 293-315
  - Aubinet, M., et al., 2000. Estimates of the annual net carbon and water exchange of forests: the EUROFLUX methodology. Advances in Ecological Research 30, 114-175.
  - Aubinet, M., et al. Carbon sequestration by a crop during a four year rotational cycle. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, submitted for publication,
  - Baker, J.M., Griffis, T.J., 2005. Examining strategies to improve the carbon balance of corn/soybean agriculture using eddy covariance and mass balance techniques. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 128 (3-4), 163-177.
  - Baldocchi, D.D., 1994. A comparative study of mass and energy exchange rates over a closed C3 (wheat) and an open C4 (corn) crop: II. CO2 exchange and water use efficiency. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 67, 291-321.
  - Baldocchi, D.D., 2003. Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: past, present and future. Global Change Biology 9, 479-492.
  - Bernacchi, C.J., Hollinger, S.E., Meyers, T., 2005. The conversion of the corn/soybean ecosystem to no-till agriculture may result in a carbon sink. Global Change Biology 11 (11), 1867-1872.
  - Bernacchi, C.J., Hollinger, S.E., Meyers, T.P., 2006. The conversion of the corn/ soybean ecosystem to no-till agriculture may result in a carbon sink. Global Change Biology 12 (8), 1585-1586.
  - Demarez, V., Duthoit, S., Baret, F., Weiss, M. and Dedieu, G. Estimation of leaf area and clumping indexes of crops with hemispherical photographs. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, in press,
  - Desjardins, R.L., Sivakumar, M.V.K., de Kimpe, C., 2007. The contribution of agriculture to the state of climate: Workshop summary and recommendations. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 142 (2-4), 314-324.
  - Dobermann, A., Baker, J.M., Walters, D.T., 2006. Comment on "Carbon budget of mature no-till ecosystem in North Central Region of the United States". Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 136 (1-2), 83-84.
  - Dolman, A.J., et al., 2006. The CarboEurope Regional Experiment Strategy. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 87 (10), 1367-1379.
  - Dragoni, D., Schmid, H.P., Grimmond, C.S.B., Loescher, H.W., 2007. Uncertainty of annual ecosystem productivity estimated using eddy covariance flux measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research 112 (D17102), 1-9.
  - Falge, E., et al., 2001. Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 107, 43-69.
  - Falge, E., et al., 2002. Seasonality of ecosystem respiration and gross primary production as derived from FLUXNET measurements. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 113, 53-74.
  - Foken, T., et al., 2004. Post-field data quality control. In: Lee, X., Massman, W., Law, B.E. (Eds.), Handbook of Micrometeorology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 181-203.
  - Foken, T., Wichura, B., 1996. Tools for quality assessment of surface-based flux measurements. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 78 (1-2), 83-105.
  - Gilmanov, T.G., et al., 2003. Gross primary production and light response parameters of four Southern Plains ecosystems estimated using long-term CO2-flux tower measurements. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 17 (2), 401-416.

- Göckede, M., Rebmann, C., Foken, T., 2004. A combination of quality assessment tools for eddy covariance measurements with footprint modelling for the characterisation of complex sites. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 127, 175-188.
- Goulden, M.L., Munger, J.W., Fan, S.-M., Daube, B.C., Wofsy, S.C., 1996. Measurements of carbon sequestration by long-term eddy covariance: methods and a critical evaluation of accuracy. Global Change Biology 2 (3), 169-182.
- Grant, R.F., et al., 2007. Net biome productivity of irrigated and rain fed maize soybean rotations: modelling vs measurements. Agronomy Journal 99 (6), 1404-1423.
- Grelle, A., Lindroth, A., 1996. Eddy-correlation system for long-term monitoring of fluxes of heat, water vapour and CO2. Global Change Biology 2, 297-307.
- Gu, L., et al., 2002. Advantages of diffuse radiation for terrestrial ecosystem productivity. Journal of Geophysical Research 107 (D6), 4050 2-12-23.
- Gu, L., et al., 2005. Objective threshold determination for nightime eddyflux filtering. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 128, 179-197.
- Hollinger, S.E., Bernacchi, C.J., Meyers, T.P., 2005. Carbon budget of mature no-till ecosystem in North Central Region of the United States. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 130 (1-2), 59-69.
- Hollinger, S.E., Bernacchi, C.J., Meyers, T.P., 2006. Corrigendum to "Carbon budget of mature no-till ecosystem in North Central Region of the United States [Agric For, Meteorol, 130 (2005) 59-69]" Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 136 (1-2).88-89.
- Hutchinson, J.J., Campbell, C.A., Desjardins, R.L., 2007. Some perspectives on carbon sequestration in agriculture. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 142 (2-4), 288-302
- Jun, L., et al., 2006, Carbon dioxide exchange and the mechanism of environmental control in a farmland ecosystem in North China Plain. Science in China Series D 49 (Suppl. II), 226-240.
- Kljun, N., Calanca, P., Rotach, M.P., Schmid, H.P., 2004. A simple parameterisation for flux footprint predictions. Boundary-Layer Meteorology 112, 503-523.
- Law, B.E., et al., 2002. Environmental controls over carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange of terrestrial vegetation. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 113 (1-4), 97-120.
- Lloyd, J., Taylor, J.A., 1994. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. Functional Ecology 8 (3), 315–323.
- Lohila, A., Aurela, M., Tuovinen, J.P., Laurila, T., 2004. Annual CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of a peat field growing spring barley or perennial forage grass. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres 109 (D18).
- Moncrieff, J.B., Malhi, Y., Leuning, R., 1996. The propagation of errors in long-term measurements of land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon and water. Global Change Biology 2 (3), 231-240.
- Moncrieff, J.B., et al., 1997. A system to measure surface fluxes of momentum, sensible heat, water vapour and carbon dioxide. Journal of Hydrology 188-189, 589-611
- Moore, C.J., 1986. Frequency response corrections for eddy correlation systems. Boundary-Layer Meteorology 37 (1-2), 17-35.
- Moureaux, C., Debacq, A., Bodson, B., Heinesch, B., Aubinet, M., 2006. Annual net ecosystem carbon exchange by a sugar beet crop. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 139 (1-2), 25-39.
- Papale, D., et al., 2006. Towards a standardized processing of Net Ecosystem Exchange measured with eddy covariance technique: algorithms and uncertainty estimation. Biogeosciences 3, 571-583.
- Pattey, E., Strachan, I.B., Desjardins, R.L., Massheder, J., 2002. Measuring nighttime CO2 flux over terrestrial ecosystems using eddy covariance and nocturnal boundary layer methods. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 113, 145-158.
- Raddatz, R.L., 2007. Evidence for the influence of agriculture on weather and climate through the transformation and management of vegetation: Illustrated by examples from the Canadian Prairies. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 142 (2-4), 186-202.
- Rannik, U., Kolari, P., Vesala, T., Hari, P., 2006. Uncertainties in measurement and modelling of net ecosystem exchange of a forest. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 138 (1-4), 244-257.
- Reichstein, M., et al., 2005. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm. Global Change Biology 11 (9), 1424-1439.
- Richardson, A.D., Hollinger, D.Y., 2007. A method to estimate the additional uncertainty in gap-filled NEE resulting from long gaps in the CO2 flux record. 41225 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, in press<mark>a</mark>
- Richardson, A.D., et al., 2006. A multi-site analysis of random error in tower-based measurements of carbon and energy fluxes. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 136 (1-2), 1-18.
- Robert, M., Saugier, B., 2003. Contribution des écosystèmes continentaux à la séquestration du carbone. Contribution of terrestrial ecosystems to carbon sequestration. Comptes Rendus Geosciences 335 (6-7), 577-595.
- Ruimy, A., Jarvis, P.G., Baldocchi, D.D., Saugier, B., 1995. CO2 fluxes over plant canopies and solar radiation: a review. Ecological Research 26, 1-68.
- Ruppert, J., Mauder, M., Thomas, C., Lüers, J., 2006. Innovative gap-filling strategy for annual sums of CO2 net ecosystem exchange. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 138 (1-4), 5-18.
- Saito, M., Miyata, A., Nagai, H., Yamada, T., 2005. Seasonal variation of carbon dioxide exchange in rice paddy field in Japan. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 135 (1-4), 93-109.
- Salinger, M.J., 2007. Agriculture's influence on climate during the Holocene. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 142 (2-4), 96-102.

1224

1226

1227

1228

229

1230

231

1232

233

1234

1235

1236

237

1238

239

1240

1241

1155 1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164 1165

B. Pierre et al./Agricultural and Forest Meteorology xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

- 1242 Smith, P., et al., 2005. Carbon sequestration potential in European croplands has been overestimated. Global Change Biology 11, 2153-2163.
- 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247 Soegaard, H., et al., 2003. Carbon dioxide exchange over agricultural landscape using eddy correlation and footprint modelling. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 114, 153-173.
- Suyker, A., Verma, S., Burba, G., Arkebauer, T.J., 2005. Gross primary 1247 1248 1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 production and ecosystem respiration of irrigated maize and irrigated soybean during a growing season. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 131, 180-190.
  - Suyker, A.E., et al., 2004. Growing season carbon dioxide exchange in irrigated and rainfed maize. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 124 (1-2), 1–13.
- Verma, S.B., et al., 2005. Annual carbon dioxide exchange in irrigated and rainfed maize-based agroecosystems. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 131 (1-2), 77-96.
- Webb, E.K., Pearman, G.I., Leuning, R., 1980. Correction of flux measurement for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 106, 85-100.
- Wohlfahrt, G., et al., 2005. Quantifying nighttime ecosystem respiration of a meadow using eddy covariance, chambers and modelling. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 128 (3-4), 141-162.
- Xu, L., Baldocchi, D.D., 2004. Seasonal variation in carbon dioxide exchange over a Mediterranean annual grassland in California. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 123 (1-2), 79-96.