

## Nuclear-DNA evidence that northeastern Atlantic Mytilus trossulus mussels carry M. edulis genes

Philippe Borsa, Claire Daguin, Sophia Ramos Caetano, François Bonhomme

### ▶ To cite this version:

Philippe Borsa, Claire Daguin, Sophia Ramos Caetano, François Bonhomme. Nuclear-DNA evidence that northeastern Atlantic Mytilus trossulus mussels carry M. edulis genes. Journal of Molluscan Studies, 1999, 65 (4), pp.504-507. ird-00204025

### HAL Id: ird-00204025 https://ird.hal.science/ird-00204025

Submitted on 11 Jan 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

To be cited as:

Borsa P., Daguin C., Ramos Caetano S., Bonhomme F. 1999. - Nuclear-DNA evidence that northeastern Atlantic *Mytilus trossulus* mussels carry *M. edulis* genes. J. Mollus. Stud. 65, 504-507

## Nuclear-DNA evidence that northeastern Atlantic $Mytilus\ trossulus$ mussels carry $M.\ edulis\ genes$

Philippe Borsa $^{1}$ , Claire Daguin, Sofia Ramos Caetano, François Bonhomme

IRD and Laboratoire Génome et Populations, UPR CNRS 9060, Génétique des Populations marines, Station méditerranéenne de l'Environnement littoral, Quai de la Daurade, 34200 Sète, France

<sup>1</sup> Correspondence

While variable rates of admixture and introgression characterise Mytilus assemblages in the about 2000-km wide zone of contact between M. edulis and M. galloprovincialis, 8,10,11 the Øresund region at the entrance of the Baltic Sea is the place of a sharp transition between M. edulis and M. trossulus, with a smooth North-to-South introgression gradient at allozyme loci over a geographic distance of about 100 km. Twelve of the 22 enzyme loci that were used to characterise North Sea (Skagerrak-Kattegat) M. edulis and Baltic Sea M. trossulus were strongly differentiated, 5 of which were nearly diagnostic between the two species. 5

More recent, specific-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) investigations of genetic variability at a nuclear locus encoding the mussel polyphenolic adhesive protein, Glu-5',  $^{13}$ ,  $^{14}$  showed that individuals of smooth-shell Mytilus species could be characterised by private alleles, one each for M. galloprovincialis from the Mediterranean and from California and for M. trossulus from the NE Pacific, and two for M. edulis from the NW Atlantic.  $^{14}$ 

Here we characterised G1u-5' allele polymorphism (Figure 1; Table 1) in Mytilus mussels from Gilleleje, Kattegat (56°08'N, 12°17'E); Sète, western Mediterranean (43°25'N, 03°44'E) and Gdansk, Baltic Sea (54°28'N, 18°34'E). Skagerrak or Kattegat samples have been used as

reference *M. edulis* in a number of allozyme<sup>5,12,15</sup> and nuclear-DNA<sup>16</sup> surveys of genetic variation; similarly, Sète samples have been used as reference *M. galloprovincialis*<sup>8,14,16,17</sup>; samples from the Bay of Gdansk in the Baltic Sea have been used as reference *M. trossulus* in mitochondrial (mt) DNA<sup>18</sup> and anonymous nuclear DNA<sup>19</sup> surveys. We analysed additional mussel samples collected from two locations in northern California [Bodega Bay (38°20'N, 123°03'W) and Hog Island (38°17'N, 123°01'W)], a region where *M. trossulus* and introduced, *M. galloprovincialis* are known to co-occur and hybridise.<sup>20-22</sup>

Table 2 reports Glu-5' genotype frequencies in all 5 samples of the present study together with 3 reference samples from Ref. 14. Northeastern Atlantic M. edulis (sample Gilleleje) exhibited alleles characteristic of NW Atlantic M. edulis (sample Lewes, DE of Ref. 14) that is E at high frequency and E' at lower frequency. Mediterranean (Sète) M. galloprovincialis were characterised by allele G, but allele E was also detected. This suggests possible secondary contact, perhaps owing to the recent introduction to Sète of NE Atlantic M. edulis as spat attached to imported juvenile oysters, Crassostrea gigas Thunberg. One sample from California (Bodega Bay) exhibited mostly M. galloprovincialis alleles, but one individual was a GT heterozygote indicating that M. galloprovincialis Glu-5' genes have introgressed into M. trossulus. The other Californian sample (Hog Island), collected a few tens of km apart, consisted of a mixture of GG and TThomozygotes suggesting that pure M. galloprovincialis and pure M. trossulus were sampled on Hog Island, but not their hybrids. These results accord with previous reports on the geographic patchiness of the introgression of introduced M. galloprovincialis into native M. trossulus in the NE Pacific. 20-22 Allele T characteristic of NE Pacific M. trossulus (sample Newport, OR of Ref. 14) was common in the Baltic Sea sample but surprisingly, alleles E and E' characteristic of NE and NW Atlantic M. edulis were also present at high frequency. This was not expected on the view that smooth-shell Mytilus species are genetically homogeneous across vast distances and clearly differentiated from each other. 1,2,12,15,20 The distribution of genotypes in sample Gdansk were in accordance with Hardy-Weinberg

expectations with an estimate of f ( $\equiv$  Wright's  $Fis^{23}$ ) = 0.010, not significantly different from 0 as indicated by permutation tests.  $^{24}$  This result suggests strong introgression of M. edulis alleles into Gdansk M. trossulus at locus Glu-5'.

We assumed that geographic variation within M. trossulus is weak, but is this assumption correct? Actually, heterogeneity in allozyme frequencies and also significant differences in gene diversity were detected when making comparisons across  $\mathit{M.\ trossulus}$  samples from the Baltic Sea, the NW Atlantic and the NW Pacific, while allozyme frequencies in a sample from Gdansk Bay 18 were very close to other Baltic Sea samples<sup>5</sup> (Table 3). Nevertheless, the important point here is that across-ocean genetic variation (as estimated using Nei's  $Gst^{25}$ ) at locus Glu-5' by far exceeded that of allozymes (Table 3). Two additional observations support the view that in contrast to other M. trossulus populations, Baltic Sea Mytilus possess M. edulis characters: PCR using specific primers for the actin gene mac-1 intron  $1^{16,17}$  yielded DNA fragments whose size is characteristic of M. edulis, in the Gdansk sample but not in the Hog Island sample (our unpublished data); Gdansk Bay and other Baltic Sea M. trossulus also possess mtDNA that is much more similar to NE Atlantic  $\mathit{M. edulis}$  mtDNA than to the mtDNA of NW Atlantic or NE Pacific M. trossulus. 18,26,27

Thus, nuclear-DNA and possibly mtDNA markers reveal the presence of *M. edulis* genes in Gdansk Bay *Mytilus*, a result that was not apparent from earlier allozyme studies. <sup>5,18,20</sup> We can offer the following tentative explanations for this finding. (1) We haphazardly sampled the progeny of *M. edulis* x *M. trossulus* crosses in Gdansk Bay. This supposes that *M. edulis* has been introduced to the Gdansk region where it has survived until reproduction and has introgressed *M. trossulus*. Such successful cases of accidental or on-purpose introduction of smooth-shell *Mytilus* spp. have been reported elsewhere. <sup>20-22,28,29</sup> We have not independently tested this hypothesis by using allozyme markers. However, this is challenged by the following observations: while hybridisation of *M. trossulus* with introduced *M. galloprovincialis* has been documented in California (Ref. 20-22,28; present study) and in Japan, <sup>29</sup> it is not clear whether

M. trossulus x M.edulis hybridisation naturally occurs in the NW Atlantic $^{30,31}$  or in the NE Pacific $^{28}$ ; also, the narrowness of the Øresund hybrid zone between M. edulis and M. trossulus 5 may well be explained by strong selection against hybrid genotypes; samples of individuals with M. edulis allozyme genotypes experimentally transferred from the Skagerrak to the Baltic Sea underwent catastrophic mortality $^{32,33}$  showing that the extreme environment of the Baltic Sea is lethal to NE Atlantic M. edulis. (2) A second hypothesis is that the  ${\it M. edulis}$  /  ${\it M. trossulus}$  hybrid zone is more permeable to Glu-5', mac-1 or mtDNA than to allozyme, genes. As a corollary to this, the maintenance of a narrow hybrid zone at allozyme loci would be due to strong selection against the latter while other genes including Glu-5' and mtDNA would spread across the hybrid zone because they would be weakly counter-selected or not counter-selected. Selection against allozyme loci as a group, if it occurs, might well be enhanced by linkage since two (Est-D, Mpi), possibly three (adding  $\mathit{Gpi}$ ) of the 5 allozyme loci that show clearcut differences between Skagerrak M. edulis and Baltic Sea M. trossulus  $^{5}$  belong to the same linkage group in NE Atlantic M. edulis. 34 Differential introgression, which has been documented at nuclear loci in Mytilus spp. (Ref. 28; our unpublished data) points towards differential selection across loci. Assuming that the introgression in the Baltic Sea of M. trossulus by M. edulis genes is recent, this situation offers a unique opportunity to study the dynamics of selection in natural populations of Mytilus mussels.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Maciej Wolowicz, Michel Raymond and Will Borgeson for the provision of mussels from respectively, Gdansk Bay, Gilleleje and Bodega Bay; to Nicolas Bierne for comments; to Kevin Dawson for careful reading.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. KOEHN, R.K. 1991. Aquaculture, 94: 125-145.
- 2. SEED, R. 1992. Amer. Malacol. Bull., 9: 123-137.
- 3. SANJUAN, A., ZAPATA, C. & ALVAREZ, G. 1994. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser., 113: 131-146.
- 4. BULNHEIM, H.-P. & GOSLING, E. 1988. Helg. Meeresunters., 42: 113-129.
- 5. VÄINÖLÄ, R. & HVILSOM, M.M. 1991. Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 43: 127-148.
- 6. Lubet, P., Prunus, G., Masson, M. & Bucaille, D. 1984. *Bull. Soc. Zool. Fr.*, **104**: 87-98.
- 7. QUESADA, H., ZAPATA, C. & ALVAREZ, G. 1995. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser., 116: 99-115.
- 8. COUSTAU, C., RENAUD, F. & DELAY, B. 1991. Mar. Biol., 111: 87-93.
- 9. COMESAÑA, A.S., POSADA, D. & SANJUAN, A. 1998. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **223**: 271-283.
- 10. Skibinski, D.O.F., Beardmore, J.A. & Cross, T.F. 1983. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, **19**: 137-183.
- 11. GARDNER, J.P.A. 1994. Arch. Hydrobiol., suppl. 99: 37-71.
- 12. VARVIO, S.-L., KOEHN, R.K. & VÄINÖLÄ, R. 1988. Mar. Biol., 98: 51-60.
- 13. INOUE, K., WAITE, J.H., MATSUOKA, M., ODO, S. & HARAYAMA, S. 1995. *Biol. Bull.*, **189**: 370-375.
- 14. RAWSON, P.D., JOYNER, K.L., MEETZE, K. & HILBISH, T.J. 1996. Heredity, 77: 599-
- 15. McDonald, J.H., SEED, R. & KOEHN, R.K. 1991. Mar. Biol., 111: 323-333.
- 16. DAGUIN, C. & BORSA, P., 1999. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 235: 55-65.
- 17. OHRESSER, M., BORSA, P. & DELSERT, C. 1997. Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol., **6**: 123-130.
- 18. WENNE, R. & SKIBINSKI, D.O.F. 1994. Mar. Biol., 122: 619-624.
- 19. BEYNON, C.M. & SKIBINSKI, D.O.F. 1996. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 203: 1-10.
- 20. McDonald, J.H. & Koehn, R.K. 1988. Mar. Biol., 99: 111-118.
- 21. RAWSON, P.D. & HILBISH, T.J. 1995. Mar. Biol., 124: 245-250.
- 22. SUCHANEK, T.H., GELLER, J.B., KREISER, B.R. & MITTON, J.B. 1997. *Biol. Bull.*, **193**: 187-194.
- 23. WEIR, B.S. & COCKERHAM, C.C. 1984. Evolution, 38: 1358-1370.
- 24. Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Goudet, J., Chikhi, L. & Bonhomme, F. 1996. Genetix v. 3.0,  $logiciel\ sous\ \textit{Windows}^{TM}\ pour\ la\ génétique\ des\ populations.\ Universit\'e$  Montpellier 2, Montpellier.
- 25. NEI, M. 1987. Molecular evolutionary genetics. Sinauer Assoc., Sunderland.
- 26. RAWSON, P.D. & HILBISH, T.J. 1995. Mol. Biol. Evol., 12: 893-901.
- 27. RAWSON, P.D. & HILBISH, T.J. 1998. Evolution, 52: 100-108.

- 28. HEATH, D.D., RAWSON, P.D. & HILBISH, T.J. 1995. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 52: 2621-2627.
- 29. INOUE, K., ODO, S., NODA, T., NAKAO, S., TAKEYAMA, S., YAMAHA, E., YAMAZAKI, F. & HARAYAMA, S. 1997. *Mar. Biol.*, **128**: 91-95.
- 30. KOEHN, R.K., HALL, J.G., INNES, D.J & ZERA, A.J. 1984. Mar. Biol., 79:117-126.
- 31. BATES, J.A. & INNES, D.J. 1995. Mar. Biol., 124: 417-424.
- 32. JOHANNESSON, K., KAUTSKY, N. & TEDENGREN, M. 1990. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser., **59**: 211-219.
- 33. VÄINÖLÄ, R. 1990. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser., **67**: 305-308.
- 34. BEAUMONT, A.R. 1994. Heredity, 72: 557-562.

**Table 1.** Approximate sizes in base pairs (bp) of the 5 Glu-5' allelomorphs in Mytilus spp. samples of the present study, and identification to alleles reported by Rawson  $et~al.^{14}$  on the basis of the close correspondence between Approximate~size~a and Size~b.

. 14						
This study			Rawson et al. 14			
Allele name	Approximate size <sup>a</sup>		Allele name	Size b		
E''	550 bp		-	-		
E'	410 bp		380	427 bp		
E 390 bp		350		397 bp		
G 320 bp		300		322 bp		
T 240 bp		240		244 bp		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimate from visual comparison with 100-bp ladder

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize b}}$  Nucleotide count from sequences presented in Fig. 2 of Ref. 14

**Table 2.** Inferred genotype frequencies at locus Glu-5' in smooth-shell Mytilus spp. samples from the northern hemisphere. N, sample size.

Sample	( <i>N</i> )	Inferred genotype										
		E"E	E'E'	E'E	EE	EG	E"T	E'T	ET	GG	GT	TT
Gilleleje, Skagerrak	(16)	0.06	0.25	0.44	0.25	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sète, Mediterranean	(39)	-	-	-	-	0.03	-	-	-	0.97	-	-
Gdansk, Baltic Sea	(30)	-	-	0.03	0.20	-	0.03	0.17	0.37	-	-	0.20
Bodega Bay, CA	(23)	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	0.96	0.04	-
Hog island, CA	(36)	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	0.17	-	0.83
Lewes, DE <sup>a</sup>	(25)	_	0.08	0.16	0.76	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1.	. ,		0.00	0.10	0.70							
Sète, Mediterranean <sup>D</sup>	(17)	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Newport, OR C	(42)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00

a, b, c Respectively, reference M. edulis, M. galloprovincialis and M. trossulus samples in Ref. 14

**Table 3.** Mytilus trossulus. Estimates of genetic diversity and genetic differentiation  $(Gst^{25})$  at different geographic scales. Hs, within-subpopulation estimate of genetic diversity; SD, across-locus jackknife estimate of standard deviation.

Source	Hs ± SD	Gst ± SD
.,b,c		0.060 ± 0.028
Ref. 20	$0.544 \pm 0.202$	
Ref. 20	$0.295 \pm 0.164$	
Ref. 12	$0.531 \pm 0.120$	
		0.266
Ref. 14	0.000	
present study	0.606	
		0.005 ± 0.001
Ref. 5	$0.385 \pm 0.050$	
Ref. 18	$0.359 \pm 0.051$	
	Ref. 20 Ref. 20 Ref. 12 Ref. 14 present study	Ref. 14 0.000 Present study 0.385 ± 0.050

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Five allozyme loci (Est-D, Gpi, Lap-2, Odh and Pgm) were taken into account because these were scored in all 4 source studies

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{b}}$  Est-D was not scored in sample Group III $^{\mathrm{12}}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> To allow comparisons across studies, some poolings (+) of electromorphs were necessary. These were the following. Gpi: electromorphs 86+89 of Ref. 20 to compare with 91 of Ref. 12; Pgm: electromorphs 86+89+93 of Ref. 20 to compare with <96 of Ref. 12, and 108+111 of ref. 12 to compare with 111 of Ref. 20.

d Est-D: electromorphs 90 + 97; Pgm: 100 + 103 and 120 + 122

Figure 1. Allelomorph polymorphism at locus Glu-5' in Mytilus spp. of the NE Atlantic and inferred genotypes. Mussel DNA extracts were subjected to PCR in a Robocycler thermocycler (Stratagene, San Diego) according to protocols that were identical to those of Ref. 14 except that the annealing temperature here was set at  $54^{\circ}$ C instead of  $53^{\circ}$ C. The PCR products were electrophoresed at  $100^{\circ}$ V for 2 hrs on ethidium-bromide stained 2.5% agarose gels and photographed under ultraviolet light. The sizes of the PCR products were estimated approximately by visual comparison with the 100-bp ladder (L) of Pharmacia Biotech (Uppsala). Banding patterns were the same as formerly described (Figure 1a of Ref. 14). Glu-5' alleles were denominated as E, E', E'', G and T. We chose this new nomenclature to avoid confusion between allele name, approximate allele size and nucleotide count, when comparing the present study with Ref. 14 (see Table 1).

# L GG E"G ET E'E E'E' TT E'G E'T GG L

